

LOCAL CONNECTEDNESS AND RETRACTIONS IN HYPERSPACES

JANUSZ J. CHARATONIK AND PATRICIA PELLICER-COVARRUBIAS

ABSTRACT. Given a metric continuum X , let 2^X denote the hyperspace of all nonempty closed subsets of X ; further, let $C(X)$ (and $C_2(X)$) be the hyperspace of all members of 2^X which are connected (composed of at most two components, respectively). For a point $p \in X$ we consider mappings $\varphi_p : C(X) \rightarrow C_2(X)$ and $\psi_p : 2^X \rightarrow 2^X$ both defined by $A \mapsto A \cup \{p\}$. Relations between local connectedness of X at p and conditions under which these mappings are deformation retractions or strong deformation retractions (under a special convention for φ_p) are studied. The conditions are related to contractibility of the corresponding hyperspaces and to the existence of a special local base of the point p .

1. Introduction. Throughout this paper a *continuum* means a compact, connected metric space. If X is a continuum, 2^X will denote the hyperspace of nonempty closed subsets of X , which is assumed to be equipped with the Hausdorff metric, see [2, Definitions 1.5, 1.6 and 2.1, pages 6, 11, respectively]. We also utilize three special subspaces of 2^X . Namely, for a point $p \in X$, we let 2_p^X denote the hyperspace consisting of all members of 2^X that contain p . Further, $C(X)$ means the hyperspace of subcontinua of X , and $C_2(X)$ stands for the hyperspace composed of all members of 2^X which have at most two components.

Results obtained in this article are related to certain ones obtained earlier by the second named author in [6]. Namely we investigate connections between local connectivity of a continuum X at a point $p \in X$ (also connectedness im kleinen of X at p) and properties of some mappings between hyperspaces of X . More precisely, for a continuum X and a point $p \in X$, we consider two mappings: $\psi_p : 2^X \rightarrow 2_p^X$ given by $\psi_p(A) = A \cup \{p\}$ and $\varphi_p : C(X) \rightarrow C_2(X)$ defined similarly by

2000 AMS *Mathematics subject classification.* Primary 54B20, 54C15, 54F15.

Keywords and phrases. Connected im kleinen, continuum, contractible, deformation retraction, hyperspace, local basis, locally connected, retraction, strong deformation retraction.

Received by the editors on August 27, 2004, and in revised form on May 4, 2006.

DOI:10.1216/RMJ-2008-38-6-1927 Copyright ©2008 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

$\varphi_p(A) = A \cup \{p\}$. Among other results we show that if ψ_p is a strong deformation retraction, then X is connected im kleinen at p (but it need not be locally connected at this point).

2. Preliminaries. The symbol \mathbf{N} stands for the set of all positive integers. All considered spaces are assumed to be metric, and all mappings are continuous. By a *continuum* we mean a compact connected space. The symbols $\text{cl}_X(A)$ and $\text{int}_X(A)$ stand for the closure and the interior of the set A in the space X , respectively.

Let Y and Z be spaces. A mapping from $Y \times [0, 1]$ into Z is called a *homotopy*. For a homotopy $h : Y \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Z$ and any $t \in [0, 1]$ we let $h_t : Y \rightarrow Z$ denote the mapping defined by $h_t(y) = h(y, t)$ for all $y \in Y$. We say that two mappings $f, g : Y \rightarrow Z$ are *homotopic* provided that there is a homotopy $h : Y \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Z$ such that $h_0 = f$ and $h_1 = g$, in which case we say that h is a *homotopy joining f to g* .

Let X be a space, and let A be a subspace of X . Recall that a mapping $r : X \rightarrow A$ is called a *retraction* if the restriction $r|_A$ is the identity. Further, r is called a *deformation retraction* if r is a retraction homotopic to the identity on X , i.e., if there is a homotopy $h : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ such that for each $x \in X$ we have $h(x, 0) = x$ and $h(x, 1) = r(x)$. Finally, r is called a *strong deformation retraction* provided that there exists a homotopy $h : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ such that for each $x \in X$ we have $h(x, 0) = x$, $h(x, 1) = r(x)$ and $h(x, t) = x$ for every $x \in A$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. In these cases, A is called a *retract*, a *deformation retract* and a *strong deformation retract* of X , respectively.

If Z is a space and $Y \subset Z$, then Y is said to be *contractible in Z* provided that there is a homotopy $h : Y \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Z$ such that h_0 is the inclusion mapping of Y into Z and h_1 is a constant mapping of Y into Z . A space X is said to be *contractible* provided that the identity on X is homotopic to a constant mapping, see e.g., [2, page 155].

Given a continuum X with a metric d , we let 2^X denote the hyperspace of all nonempty closed subsets of X equipped with the Hausdorff metric, see e.g., [5, (0.1), page 1; (0.12), page 10]. We denote by $C(X)$ the hyperspace of all subcontinua of X , i.e., of all connected elements of 2^X ; further, we let $C_2(X)$ denote the hyperspace of all elements of 2^X having at most two components, both equipped with the inherited topology (thus induced by the Hausdorff metric).

It is known that 2^X , $C(X)$ and $C_2(X)$ are arcwise connected continua (for 2^X see [5, (1.13), page 65]; for $C(X)$ and $C_2(X)$, see [3, Theorem 3.1, page 240]).

Further, for $p \in X$, define $2_p^X = \{A \in 2^X : p \in A\}$ and $C(p, X) = \{A \in C(X) : p \in A\}$.

For each nonempty closed subset \mathcal{A} of 2^X , denote by $\cup \mathcal{A}$ the union of all elements of \mathcal{A} . The following known lemmas (see [5, Lemma 1.48, page 100; Lemma 1.49, page 102]; compare [2, Exercise 11.5, page 91]) will be utilized in proofs.

Lemma 2.1. *For each continuum X the union function $\cup : 2^{2^X} \rightarrow 2^X$ given by $\cup(\mathcal{A}) = \cup\{A \in 2^X : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is a surjective mapping.*

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be a continuum, and let \mathcal{A} be a subcontinuum of 2^X . If $\mathcal{A} \cap C(X) \neq \emptyset$, then $\cup \mathcal{A}$ is a subcontinuum of X .*

A collection \mathcal{F} of subsets of a space is called a *nest* if for any $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ we have either $F_1 \subset F_2$ or $F_2 \subset F_1$.

For a continuum X and a point $p \in X$, define $\psi_p : 2^X \rightarrow 2_p^X$ by $\psi_p(A) = A \cup \{p\}$ for each $A \in 2^X$, see [6, page 277]. Note that ψ_p is a retraction. Similarly, for a continuum X and $p \in X$, define $\varphi_p : C(X) \rightarrow C_2(X)$ by $\varphi_p(A) = A \cup \{p\}$ for each $A \in C(X)$. In this case we cannot ask whether φ_p is a retraction, because $C_2(X)$ is not a subspace of $C(X)$. However, we establish the following convention, see [6, page 279].

Since $\varphi_p|_{C(p, X)}$ is the identity mapping on $C(p, X)$, we shall say that φ_p is a *retraction in $C_2(X)$* . Similarly, we shall say that φ_p is a *deformation retraction in $C_2(X)$* if there exists a homotopy $G : C(X) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow C_2(X)$ joining φ_p to the identity mapping in $C(X)$. Finally, we will say that φ_p is a *strong deformation retraction in $C_2(X)$* provided that the homotopy G satisfies the condition $G(A, t) = A$ whenever $A \in C(p, X)$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

3. Local interrelations. Let a continuum X and a point $p \in X$ be given. Equivalences between local connectedness of the continuum X

and properties of the mappings ψ_p and φ_p are shown in [6, Corollary 4.13, page 289]. The result is the following.

Proposition 3.1. *For a continuum X the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (3.1.1) X is locally connected;
- (3.1.2) for every $p \in X$, ψ_p is a strong deformation retraction;
- (3.1.3) for every $p \in X$, φ_p is a strong deformation retraction in $C_2(X)$.

Since the above mentioned equivalences concern the *global* situation, when X is considered to be locally connected at each of its points, it is natural to investigate the *local* situation, when the properties are considered at a certain fixed point p of X . We start our investigation with recalling two characterizations which are needed to show the next result. The characterizations are given in [6, Corollaries 4.12, page 289 and 4.11, page 288, respectively].

Proposition 3.2. *Let a continuum X and a point $p \in X$ be given.*

(3.2.1) *The mapping $\psi_p : 2^X \rightarrow 2_p^X$ is a strong deformation retraction if and only if the hyperspace 2^X is contractible and there exists a local basis of closed, connected, nested neighborhoods $\{K_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ of p such that $2^{K_{n+1}}$ is contractible in 2^{K_n} for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$.*

(3.2.2) *The mapping $\varphi_p : C(X) \rightarrow C_2(X)$ is a strong deformation retraction in $C_2(X)$ if and only if the hyperspace $C(X)$ is contractible and there exists a local basis of closed, connected, nested neighborhoods $\{K_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ of p such that $C(K_{n+1})$ is contractible in $C_2(K_n)$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$.*

Now we are ready to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a continuum, and let $p \in X$. The following two conditions are equivalent.*

- (3.3.1) ψ_p is a strong deformation retraction;
- (3.3.2) φ_p is a strong deformation retraction in $C_2(X)$.

Proof. Assume (3.3.1). By (3.2.1) the hyperspace 2^X is contractible. This is equivalent to the contractibility of the hyperspace $C(X)$ according to [2, Theorem 20.1, page 164]. Again by (3.2.1), there exists a local basis of closed, connected, nested neighborhoods $\{K_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ of p such that $2^{K_{n+1}}$ is contractible in 2^{K_n} for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Thus there is a homotopy $G : 2^{K_{n+1}} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow 2^{K_n}$ such that $G(A, 0) = A$ and $G(A, 1) = K_n$ for each $A \in 2^{K_{n+1}}$. Define a homotopy $H : C(K_{n+1}) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow C_2(K_n)$ by $H(A, t) = \cup\{G(A, s) : s \in [0, t]\}$ for each $A \in C(K_{n+1})$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Applying Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 we see that H is well defined and continuous. Further, note that $H(A, 0) = \cup\{G(A, 0)\} = \cup\{A\} = A$ and $H(A, 1) = \cup\{G(A, s) : s \in [0, 1]\} \supset G(A, 1) = K_n$, whence it follows that $H(A, 1) = K_n$. Therefore the homotopy H shows that $C(K_{n+1})$ is contractible in $C_2(K_n)$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Hence by equivalence (3.2.2) it follows that (3.3.2) holds, as required.

Conversely, assume (3.3.2). By (3.2.2) the hyperspace $C(X)$ is contractible, which is equivalent to the contractibility of the hyperspace 2^X according to [2, Theorem 20.1, page 164]. Again by (3.2.2), there exists a local basis of closed, connected, nested neighborhoods $\{K_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ of p such that $C(K_{n+1})$ is contractible in $C_2(K_n)$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Thus there is a homotopy $H : C(K_{n+1}) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow C_2(K_n)$ such that $H(A, 0) = A$ and $H(A, 1) = K_n$ for each $A \in C(K_{n+1})$. Define a homotopy $G : 2^{K_{n+1}} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow 2^{K_n}$ by $G(A, t) = \cup\{H(\{a\}, t) : a \in A\}$ for each $A \in 2^{K_{n+1}}$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. By compactness of A , applying Lemma 2.1 we see that G is well defined and continuous. Further, note that $G(A, 0) = \cup\{H(\{a\}, 0) : a \in A\} = \cup\{\{a\} : a \in A\} = A$ and $G(A, 1) = \cup\{H(\{a\}, 1) : a \in A\} = \cup\{K_n : a \in A\} = K_n$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Thus, G is a contraction which shows that $2^{K_{n+1}}$ is contractible in 2^{K_n} . Hence, by equivalence (3.2.1) it follows that (3.3.1) holds, as required. The proof is complete. \square

As can be observed from the proof of Proposition 3.1, given in [6, page 289], conditions (3.3.1) and (3.3.2) are related not only to local connectedness of X at p but also to connectedness im kleinen of X at p . Recall, see for example [2, page 83], that a space X is said to be:

–*locally connected at a point* $p \in X$ provided that there exists in X a local basis of connected open sets at p ;

–*connected im kleinen at a point* $p \in X$ provided that there exists in X a local basis of connected sets at p (note that if the considered space X is compact and Hausdorff, then X is connected im kleinen at p if and only if there exists in X a local basis at p composed of continua, see [4, page 120]).

It is obvious that if a space X is locally connected at a point, then it is connected im kleinen at this point; the converse is false even for continua, see for example [2, page 83 and Figure 22, page 84]. To see some other relations, consider two (known) examples.

Example 3.4. There exists a continuum X such that

(3.4.1) the hyperspaces 2^X and $C(X)$ are not contractible;

(3.4.2) for no point $p \in X$ the mapping ψ_p is a strong deformation retraction;

(3.4.3) for no point $p \in X$ the mapping φ_p is a strong deformation retraction in $C_2(X)$.

Proof. Let X be the one-point union of two harmonic fans having the accumulation point of the sets of their end points in common only, see [2, Figure 25, page 158]. Then (3.4.1) holds, see [2, Exercise 19.12, page 161] and compare [2, Theorem 20.1, page 164]. Therefore, (3.4.2) and (3.4.3) are consequences of (3.2.1) and (3.2.2), respectively. \square

Remark 3.5. Since the continuum X of Example 3.4 contains points p at which X is locally connected, it follows that local connectedness of a continuum at a point p (and thus connectedness im kleinen at p) does not imply conditions (3.3.1) and (3.3.2).

Recall that an arcwise connected and hereditarily unicoherent continuum is called a *dendroid*. A dendroid X is said to be *smooth at a point* $q \in X$ provided that there is a point $q \in X$ such that for each point $x \in X$ and for each sequence of points $\{x_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ converging to x , the sequence of arcs $\{qx_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ converges to the arc qx . A dendroid X is said to be *smooth* provided that it is smooth at some point $q \in X$.

Example 3.6. There exists a continuum X such that

(3.6.1) X is a smooth dendroid;

(3.6.2) X is contractible;

(3.6.3) the hyperspaces 2^X and $C(X)$ are contractible;

(3.6.4) there exists a point $p \in X$ having a local basis of closed, connected, nested neighborhoods $\{K_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ of p such that $2^{K_{n+1}}$ is contractible in 2^{K_n} for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$;

(3.6.5) the mapping $\psi_p : 2^X \rightarrow 2_p^X$ is a strong deformation retraction;

(3.6.6) X is connected im kleinen at p while it is not locally connected at p .

Proof. Let X be the continuum pictured in [2, Figure 22, page 84], let p be the point furthest to the left (as denoted in the Figure), and let q be the point furthest to the right. Then X is smooth at q , see [2, page 194], whence (3.6.1) follows. This implies (3.6.2) since each smooth dendroid is contractible, [1, Corollary 12, page 311]. Further, (3.6.2) implies contractibility of the hyperspace 2^X and $C(X)$ by [2, Corollary 20.2, page 166]. So (3.6.3) is shown.

For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ let F_n denote the n th harmonic fan contained in X , and let p_n be the vertex of F_n . Thus $p_1 = q$, $p = \lim p_n$, and

$$X = \{p\} \cup \bigcup \{F_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\} = \text{cl} \left(\bigcup \{F_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\} \right).$$

For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ define $K_n = \{p\} \cup \bigcup \{F_m : m \geq n\}$. Thus K_n is a continuum containing p in its interior, and $K_{n+1} \subset K_n$ for each n . Therefore, the family $\{K_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ forms a local basis of closed, connected, nested neighborhoods of p . Observe further that each K_n is homeomorphic to the whole continuum X . It follows by (3.6.3) that 2^{K_n} is contractible for each n , and therefore $2^{K_{n+1}}$ is contractible in 2^{K_n} . Hence (3.6.4) is proved.

Now (3.6.5) is a consequence of (3.6.3) and (3.6.4) according to (3.2.1). And finally (3.6.6) is a well-known fact. The proof is complete. \square

In the next theorem all possible implications between the considered conditions are discussed.

Theorem 3.7. *Let X be a continuum, and let $p \in X$. Consider the following conditions.*

(3.7.1) *X is locally connected at p .*

(3.7.2) *X is connected im kleinen at p .*

(3.3.1) *ψ_p is a strong deformation retraction.*

(3.3.2) *φ_p is a strong deformation retraction in $C_2(X)$.*

Then the only implications between these conditions are:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (3.7.1) & \implies & (3.7.2) \\ & & \uparrow \\ (3.3.1) & \iff & (3.3.2) \end{array}$$

Proof. Indeed, the implication from (3.7.1) to (3.7.2) is obvious. The one from (3.3.1) (or from (3.3.2)) to (3.7.2) is a consequence of (3.2.2) because the existence of a local basis at p composed of continua implies connectedness im kleinen of X at p . Finally, the equivalence between (3.3.1) and (3.3.2) is Theorem 3.3.

Condition (3.7.2) does not imply (3.7.1) by the well-known example, see [2, page 83 and Figure 22, page 84]. Neither (3.7.1) nor (3.7.2) implies (3.3.1) and/or (3.3.2) by Remark 3.5. Finally, (3.3.1) or (3.3.2) does not imply (3.7.1) by Example 3.6. \square

In connection with the implication from (3.3.2) to (3.7.2) (see the diagram in Theorem 3.7 above) one can ask if condition (3.3.2) (that φ_p is a *strong* deformation retraction in $C_2(X)$) can be relaxed to the one of being a deformation retraction in $C_2(X)$ only. The answer is negative, as can be seen from the next example.

Example 3.8. There exist a continuum X and a point $p \in X$ such that:

(3.8.1) the hyperspaces $C(X)$ and $C_2(X)$ are contractible;

(3.8.2) for each point $x \in X$ the mapping φ_x is a deformation retraction in $C_2(X)$;

(3.8.3) the mapping φ_p is not a strong deformation retraction in $C_2(X)$.

Proof. Let X be the circle with a spiral, that is, $X = \mathbf{S}^1 \cup S$, where \mathbf{S}^1 is the unit circle in the plane, and S is the spiral given in polar coordinates (ρ, θ) by

$$S = \left\{ (\rho, \theta) : \rho = 1 + \frac{1}{1 + \theta} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta \geq 0 \right\},$$

see [2, Figure 14, page 51]. Thus, S approximates \mathbf{S}^1 . It is known that $C(X)$ is homeomorphic to the cone over X , [2, Example 7.1, page 53], so it is contractible, whence $C_2(X)$ is contractible, too, see [3, Theorem 3.7, page 241]. Thus, (3.8.1) is shown. Now (3.8.2) follows from (3.8.1) by [6, Theorem 4.1, page 280]. To see that φ_p is not a strong deformation retraction in $C_2(X)$, take an arbitrary point $p \in \mathbf{S}^1$ and observe that there is no local basis of closed connected neighborhoods of p . Thus, (3.8.3) is a consequence of [6, Corollary 4.11, page 288]. \square

REFERENCES

1. J.J. Charatonik and C. Eberhart, *On smooth dendroids*, Fund. Math. **67** (1970), 297–322.
2. A. Illanes and S.B. Nadler, Jr., *Hyperspaces*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1999.
3. S. Macías, *On the hyperspace $C_n(X)$ of a continuum X* , Topology Appl. **109** (2001), 237–256.
4. W. Makuchowski, *On local connectedness in hyperspaces*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. **47** (1999), 119–126.
5. S.B. Nadler, Jr., *Hyperspaces of sets*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1978.
6. P. Pellicer-Covarrubias, *Retractions in hyperspaces*, Topology Appl. **135** (2004), 277–291.

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNAM, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, MÉXICO, D.F., 04510 MÉXICO

Email address: `jjc@matem.unam.mx`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNAM, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, 04510 MÉXICO, D.F., MÉXICO

Email address: `paty@ciencias.unam.mx`