

COVERING METRIC SPACES WITH CLOSED SETS

F.S. CATER AND JOHN DAILY

1. This paper concerns a theorem [3] about continua originally due to W. Sierpinski. (For more discussion consult also [2, p. 173] and [1, p. 440].)

Theorem. *If a connected compact Hausdorff space has a countable cover $\{X_i\}$ by pairwise disjoint closed sets, at most one of the sets X_i is nonvoid.*

We will show (Corollary 1) that if X is a complete, connected, locally connected metric space and if X is covered by countably many proper closed sets E_n , then some two E_n must meet. Sierpinski has shown [4] that “locally connected” cannot be deleted here. We increase slightly the hypothesis on X (Theorem 1) and find that some two E_n must meet in at least continuum many points. This last result applies (Corollaries 2, 3 and 4) to several spaces frequently encountered in functional analysis.

2. We prove

Proposition 1. *Let X be a complete, locally connected metric space. Let X be covered by a sequence of proper closed sets (E_n) at least one of which has a nonvoid boundary. Then there exist indices i, j , $i \neq j$, such that $E_i \cap E_j$ is nonvoid.*

Proof. Let $Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}$ (boundary of E_n). By hypothesis, Y is nonvoid, and Y is covered by the closed sets E_n . We claim there is a number $c > 0$ and a $y_0 \in Y$ and an index i such that E_i contains the set $Y \cap S(y_0, c)$ where $S(y_0, c)$ denotes the open ball in X with center y_0

Key words and phrases. Metric spaces, complete metric spaces, connected and locally connected spaces.

Subject classification. 54E35, 54E50, 54D05.

Received by the editors on September 5, 1988, and in revised form March 17, 1989.

and radius c . This follows from Baire's theorem if Y is closed; if Y is not closed, choose $y_1 \in (Y \text{ closure}) \setminus Y$ and observe that y_1 lies in the interior of some E_i , and the conclusion is clear. Because X is locally connected, there is a connected neighborhood U of y_0 such that $y_0 \in U \subset S(y_0, c)$.

Now assume that the conclusion of Proposition 1 is false. Then E_i cannot contain U . For otherwise y_0 would be in the interior of E_i and also in the boundary of some E_j , $j \neq i$. So we assume, without loss of generality, that there is an index $j \neq i$, such that E_j meets U . But $y_0 \notin E_j$, and U is connected. Hence, E_j has a boundary point in U , in $Y \cap S(y_0, c)$ and in E_i . \square

When we assume that X is also connected, we need not mention the nonvoid boundary in our hypothesis.

Corollary 1. *Let X be a complete, connected, locally connected metric space. Let X be covered by a sequence of proper closed sets (E_n) . Then there exist i, j , $i \neq j$, such that $E_i \cap E_j$ is nonvoid.*

Proof. Because X is connected, the boundary of each E_n is nonvoid. The conclusion follows from Proposition 1. \square

Sierpinski [4] provided an example of a complete, connected metric space that is the union of a sequence of mutually disjoint proper closed subsets. Thus, local connectedness cannot be omitted in Corollary 1. His example is a closed subspace of Euclidean 3-space, R^3 .

3. We say that a topological space X is *strongly locally connected* if for each $x \in X$ and each neighborhood V of x , there exists a neighborhood U of x such that $U \subset V$ and either $U = \{x\}$ or the difference $U \setminus \{x\}$ is connected. Thus, for example, Euclidean n -space is strongly locally connected for $n > 1$, but the real line is not strongly locally connected.

It is easy to see that if X is strongly locally connected, then X is locally connected. For suppose, in the preceding paragraph, x is not an

isolated point of X . Then x is in the closure of $U \setminus \{x\}$ and the union $\{x\} \cup (U \setminus \{x\}) = U$ is also a connected set. We begin with two lemmas.

Lemma 1. *Let E be a closed subset of a strongly locally connected metric space X . Then the boundary of the interior of E is a perfect set.*

Proof. We assume, without loss of generality, that this boundary is nonvoid. Let B denote the boundary of the interior of E , and let $y \in B$. Choose any $c > 0$. Because y is in the boundary of a set, y is not an isolated point of X . Hence, there is a neighborhood U of y such that $U \subset S(y, c)$, where $S(y, c)$ is the open ball with center y and radius c , and $U \setminus \{y\}$ is a connected set. Moreover, $(U \setminus \{y\}) \cap (\text{interior } E)$ is nonvoid because U is a neighborhood of a boundary point of interior E . But interior E cannot contain the set $U \setminus \{y\}$, for otherwise the closed set E would contain U and interior E would contain y . Because $U \setminus \{y\}$ is connected $U \setminus \{y\}$ must contain a boundary point x of interior E .

It follows that $x \in S(y, c) \cap B$. Thus the set B is dense in itself. And B is clearly closed, so B is a perfect set. \square

In Lemma 2, X need not be a strongly locally connected space. For example, X could be the real line.

Lemma 2. *Let X be a complete, connected, locally connected metric space. Let X be covered by a sequence of proper closed sets (E_n) such that the boundary of the interior of each E_n is a perfect set. Then there exist indices $i, j, i \neq j$, such that $E_i \cap E_j$ contains at least continuum many points.*

Proof. By Baire's theorem, the interior of some E_n is nonvoid, and by connectedness, the boundary of this interior is nonvoid. Let $Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\text{boundary of interior } E_n)$. Then Y is nonvoid. Again, by Baire's theorem, there is an index i , a point $y \in Y$, and a positive number c such that $E_i \supset Y \cap S(y, c)$. Now y is not an isolated point of X because y is on the boundary of a set, so there is a neighborhood U of y such that $U \subset S(y, c)$ and $U \setminus \{y\}$ is connected.

We assume, without loss of generality, that y is on the boundary of interior E_i . For otherwise there is a k ($k \neq i$) such that y is on the boundary of interior E_k and (because X is complete and this boundary is a perfect set) $S(y, c)$ contains continuum many points in the boundary of interior E_k , and all these points lie in $Y \cap S(y, c)$ and in E_i . But E_i cannot contain the set $U \setminus \{y\}$ for otherwise y would be in interior E_i .

There is a nonvoid open set $Z \subset U \setminus \{y\}$ such that $Z \cap E_i$ is void. By Baire's theorem, there is an index j ($j \neq i$) such that $Z \cap$ (interior E_j) is nonvoid. Thus, $(U \setminus \{y\}) \cap$ (interior E_j) is also nonvoid. We may assume, without loss of generality, that E_j does not contain $U \setminus \{y\}$. For if it did, interior E_j would contain continuum many of the points in the perfect set (boundary of interior E_i).

Because $U \setminus \{y\}$ is connected, $U \setminus \{y\}$ contains a boundary point of interior E_j . It follows that U contains continuum many points of the boundary of interior E_j and so do $Y \cap S(y, c)$ and E_i . \square

We turn again to strongly locally connected metric spaces.

Theorem 1. *Let X be a complete, connected, strongly locally connected metric space. Let X be covered by a sequence of proper closed sets (E_n) . Then there exist indices i, j ($i \neq j$) such that $E_i \cap E_j$ contains at least continuum many points.*

Proof. By Lemma 1, the boundary of the interior of each E_n is a perfect set. Moreover, X is locally connected because X is strongly locally connected. The conclusion follows from Lemma 2. \square

Certain metric spaces encountered in functional analysis are strongly locally connected.

Lemma 3. *Let X be the unit sphere of a normed linear space not of dimension 1 or 2. Then X is strongly locally connected.*

Proof. By the segment joining vectors x and y in X for which $x+y \neq 0$ we mean the set of vectors $\{(tx + (1-t)y)/\|tx + (1-t)y\| : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$.

Now let $u = tx + (1 - t)y$ for some t , $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Clearly, $\|u\| \leq 1$. Also, $x - u/\|u\| = (x - u) + u(\|u\| - 1)/\|u\|$ and $\|x - u/\|u\|\| \leq \|x - u\| + 1 - \|u\|$. Moreover, $\|u\| \geq \|x\| - \|x - u\| \geq 1 - \|x - y\|$. It follows that $\|x - u/\|u\|\| \leq 2\|x - y\|$.

Choose any number $c > 0$. Let $U = \{y \in X : \|x - y\| < c \text{ for all } y \text{ in the segment joining } x \text{ and } y\}$. It follows that any $y \in X$ for which $\|x - y\| < (1/2)c$ lies in U , so U is a neighborhood of x containing the open ball $S(x, (1/2)c)$.

Now let y and z be vectors in U . Because the vector space does not have dimension 1 or 2, it follows that y and z can be joined by a connected path in U that avoids x in the ball $S(x, (1/2)c)$. Clearly, $U \setminus \{x\}$ is connected and X is strongly locally connected. \square

By a *convex body* in a normed linear space we mean a convex set with nonvoid interior.

Lemma 4. *Let X be a convex body in a normed linear space not of dimension 1. Then X is strongly locally connected.*

Proof. The argument is much like the proof of Lemma 3 only it is easier because we do not normalize the vector u . So we leave it. \square

In Corollaries 2, 3 and 4 we need not assume that X is complete.

Corollary 2. *Let X be the unit sphere of a normed linear space not of dimension 1 or 2. Let X be covered by a sequence of proper closed sets (E_n) . Then there exist indices i, j ($i \neq j$) such that $E_i \cap E_j$ contains at least continuum many points.*

Proof. Choose vectors x and y in X and let T denote the segment joining x and y as in the proof of Lemma 3. Let $z \in X$ such that $x - y$, $z - y$ are linearly independent. Let N be an index such that $T \cap E_N$ is uncountable. But E_N is closed so $T \cap E_N$ contains continuum many points. Choose $w \in X \setminus E_N$. Let X_0 be the unit sphere of the finite dimensional subspace generated by the vectors x, y, z, w . We assume, without loss of generality, that no E_i contains X_0 ; for if it did, then

$E_i \cap E_N$ contains continuum many points. Finally, X_0 is compact and hence complete, and the rest follows from Lemma 3 and Theorem 1.

□

Corollary 3. *Let X be a convex body in a normed linear space not of dimension 1. Let X be covered by a sequence of proper closed sets (E_n) . Then there exist indices i, j ($i \neq j$) such that $E_i \cap E_j$ contains at least continuum many points.*

Proof. Choose vectors x and y in X and let T denote the set $\{tx + (1-t)y : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$. Let $z \in X$ such that $x - y, z - y$ are linearly independent. Let N be an index such that $T \cap E_N$ is uncountable. But E_N is closed so $T \cap E_N$ contains continuum many points. Choose $w \in X \setminus E_N$. Let X_0 be the smallest convex set containing the vectors x, y, z, w . Then X_0 is compact and is homeomorphic to a convex body in some finite dimensional normed linear space not of dimension 1. We assume, without loss of generality, that no E_i contains X_0 ; for if it did, then $E_i \cap E_N$ contains continuum many points. The rest follows from Lemma 4 and Theorem 1. □

We turn now to a special kind of normed linear space, the inner product spaces.

Corollary 4. *Let X be a convex body in an inner product space not of dimension 1. Let X be covered by a sequence of closed balls (B_n) . Then there exist indices i, j ($i \neq j$) such that $(\text{interior } B_i) \cap (\text{interior } B_j)$ is nonvoid.*

It follows easily from the geometry of an inner product space, that if the balls B_i and B_j have more than one common point, then the distance between the centers of B_i and B_j is less than the sum of the radii of B_i and B_j and their interiors must meet. The proof then is an easy consequence of Corollary 3, so we leave it.

It is well to note that we cannot state Corollary 4 for general normed linear spaces. Consider the plane with the norm of (u, v) equal to $|u| + |v|$. Then the balls are just squares in the plane, and an appropriate tiling of the plane provides our counterexample.

REFERENCES

1. R. Engelking, *General topology*, Polish Scientific Publishers, 1977.
2. K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Volume 2, Academic Press, 1968.
3. W. Sierpinski, *Un theoreme sur les continus*, Tohoku Math. Journal **13** (1918), 300–303.
4. ———, *Sur quelques proprietes topologiques du plan*, Fund. Math. **4** (1923), 1–6.

PORTLAND STATE UNIVERSITY, PORTLAND, OR 97207