

ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR AND OSCILLATION OF DELAY PARTIAL DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS WITH POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE COEFFICIENTS

SHU TANG LIU, BING GEN ZHANG and GUANRONG CHEN

ABSTRACT. We obtain sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all solutions of the linear partial difference equations with positive and negative coefficients of the form

$$A_{m-1,n} + A_{m,n-1} - A_{mn} + pA_{m+k} - qA_{m+k'} = 0,$$

$n+l \qquad n+l'$

and

$$A_{m-1,n} + A_{m,n-1} - A_{mn} + p_{mn}A_{m+k} - q_{mn}A_{m+k'} = 0,$$

$n+l \qquad n+l'$

where $m, n = 0, 1, \dots$, and k, k', l', l are nonnegative integers $p, q \in (0, \infty)$, and coefficients $\{q_{mn}\}$ and $\{p_{mn}\}$ are sequences of nonnegative real numbers. In this paper $A_m = A_{m,n}$.

1. Introduction. Partial difference equations arise from various practical problems and numerical analysis of partial difference equations [1-2]. In this area, the oscillatory and nonoscillatory behaviors of delay partial difference equations have been investigated in, for example, [3, 4, 6-11].

In this paper we consider the linear partial difference equations with positive and negative coefficients in the form

$$(1.1) \quad A_{m-1,n} + A_{m,n-1} - A_{mn} + pA_{m+k} - qA_{m+k'} = 0,$$

$n+l \qquad n+l'$

and

$$(1.2) \quad A_{m-1,n} + A_{m,n-1} - A_{mn} + p_{mn}A_{m+k} - q_{mn}A_{m+k'} = 0.$$

$n+l \qquad n+l'$

This work is supported by the NNSF of China (no. 60372028), the Project sponsored by SRF for ROCS, SEM and the Hong Kong CERG City U. 1115/03E.
AMS Mathematics Subject Classification. 39A10.

Key words and phrases. Delay partial difference equation, positive and negative coefficients, oscillation.

Let $N_i = \{i, i+1, i+2, \dots, |i = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, where

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} k, k', l', l \in N_0, \quad p, q \in (0, \infty), \quad p_{mn}, q_{mn} \in [N_0^2, (0, \infty)], \\ k > k', \quad l > l'. \end{aligned}$$

Note that in the case of $q = q_{mn} = 0$, some results for the oscillation of (1.1) and (1.2) have been obtained in [3, 6–8]. Regarding the definition of the oscillation as well as initial conditions, the reader is referred to [3, 8]. As can be easily seen, a detailed and specific study of (1.1) and (1.2) in such a general form is very difficult. Nevertheless, in this paper, we are able to obtain some sufficient conditions for the oscillatory behavior of all solutions of (1.1) and (1.2).

2. Preliminary lemmas. Consider the delay partial difference equation

$$(2.1) \quad A_{m-1,n} + A_{m,n-1} - A_{mn} + p_{mn} A_{m+k, n+l} = 0.$$

The following results are obtained based on [3, pp. 237–240]:

Lemma 1 [3]. *Assume that one of the following two conditions is satisfied:*

(i)

$$(2.2) \quad \liminf_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{kl} \left(\sum_{i=m+1}^{m+k} \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+l} p_{ij} \right) > \frac{\alpha^\alpha}{(1+\alpha)^{1+\alpha}},$$

where $\alpha = \max(k, l)$.

(ii) *For all large enough m and n ,*

$$(2.3) \quad p_{mn} \geq \xi > \frac{(k+l)^{(k+l)}}{(k+l+1)^{(k+l+1)}}.$$

Then every solution of (2.1) oscillates.

Lemma 2 [3].

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.4) \quad \sum_{i=m_1}^m \sum_{j=n_1}^n (A_{i-1,j} + A_{i,j-1} - A_{ij}) \\
 = \sum_{i=m_1}^m \sum_{j=n_1}^{n-1} + \sum_{i=m_1}^m A_{i,n_1-1} + A_{m_1-1,n} - A_{m,n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Next we consider the following equation:

$$(2.5) \quad A_{m-1,n} + A_{m,n-1} - pA_{mn} + p_{mn}A_{m+k}_{n+l} = 0.$$

The following results can be obtained based on [4]:

Lemma 3 [4]. *Assume that (2.2) or (2.3) holds. Then the partial difference inequality*

$$(2.6) \quad A_{m-1,n} + A_{m,n-1} - A_{mn} + p_{mn}A_{m+k}_{n+l} \leq 0$$

cannot have eventually positive solutions, and

$$(2.7) \quad A_{m-1,n} + A_{m,n-1} - A_{mn} + p_{mn}A_{m+k}_{n+l} \geq 0$$

cannot have eventually negative solutions.

Lemma 4 [4]. *Assume that for all large enough m and n ,*

$$(2.8) \quad p_{mn} > \xi > \frac{p^{2(k+l)+1}(k+l)^{(k+l)}}{(k+l+1)^{(k+l+1)}}.$$

Then every solution of equation (2.7) oscillates.

Now let s, t be positive integers and c be a positive real number, such that

$$(2.9) \quad s \leq m, \quad t \leq n, \quad 1 < c \leq 2$$

and

(2.10)

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_{st} &= 2^{s+t-m-n} c A_{m+k}^{n+l} + A_{st} - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2(s+t-m-n)+1} \\
 &\quad \times \left(\sum_{i=m-k}^s q_{in} A_{i+k'}^{n+l'} + \sum_{j=n-l}^t q_{mj} A_{m+k'}^{j+l'} \right) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=m-k}^s q_{i-k'+k} A_{i+k}^{n+l} + \sum_{j=n-l}^t q_{m-k'} A_{m+k}^{j+l} + 2A_{mn} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let also

$$(2.11) \quad \alpha_{mn} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p_{mn} - q_{m-k'+k}^{n-l'+l} > 0, \quad \text{for } m \geq k - k', \quad n \geq l - l'.$$

Then

$$(2.12) \quad p_{mn} - \frac{1}{2} q_{m-k'+k}^{n-l'+l} \geq p_{mn} - q_{m-k'+k}^{n-l'+l} > 0.$$

The following results can be established based on (2.10):

Lemma 5. *Assume that (1.3) holds and $\{A_{mn}\}$ is an eventually positive solution of (1.2), that is, there exist positive integers M, N such that $A_{mn} > 0$ as $m \geq M, n \geq N$. Then*

(i) C_{mn} is increasing in m, n , that is,

$$(2.13) \quad C_{m-1,n} < C_{mn}, C_{m,n-1} < C_{mn}.$$

(ii) For sufficiently large M, N , when $m \geq M, n \geq N$, we have

$$(2.14) \quad C_{mn} \leq c A_{mn}.$$

(iii)

$$(2.15) \quad C_{m-1,n} + C_{m,n-1} - C_{mn} = -\alpha_{mn} A_{m+k}_{n+l} - \beta_{mn}(A) < 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{mn}(A) &= \frac{7}{2}\Delta_1 + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_2 + 2q_{mn}A_{m+k'}_{n+l'} > 0, \\ \Delta_1 &= \sum_{i=m-k}^{m-1} q_{in}A_{i+k'}_{n+l'} + \sum_{j=n-1}^{n-1} q_{mj}A_{m+k'}_{j+l'} \\ \Delta_2 &= \sum_{i=m-k}^m q_{i-k'+k}A_{i+k}_{n+l} + \sum_{j=n-l}^n q_{m-k'+k}A_{m+k}_{j+l} + 2A_{mn}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (i) From (2.10), we obtain

(2.16)

$$\begin{aligned} C_{mn} &= cA_{m+k}_{n+l} + A_{mn} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=m-k}^m q_{in}A_{i+k'}_{n+l'} + \sum_{j=n-l}^n q_{mj}A_{m+k'}_{j+l'} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=m-k}^m q_{i-k'+k}A_{i+k}_{n+l} + \sum_{j=n-l}^n q_{m-k'+k}A_{m+k}_{j+l} + 2A_{mn} \right) \\ &= cA_{m+k}_{n+l} + A_{mn} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=m-k}^{m-1} q_{in}A_{i+k'}_{n+l'} + \sum_{j=n-l}^{n-1} q_{mj}A_{m+k'}_{j+l'} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=m-k}^m q_{i-k'+k}A_{i+k}_{n+l} + \sum_{j=n-l}^n q_{m-k'+k}A_{m+k}_{j+l} + 2A_{mn} \right) \\ &\quad - q_{mn}A_{m+k'}_{n+l'} \\ &= cA_{m+k}_{n+l} + A_{mn} - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_1 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_2 - q_{mn}A_{m+k'}_{n+l'}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{m-1,n} &= \frac{1}{2} cA_{m+k} + A_{m-1,n} - 2 \left(\sum_{i=m-k}^{m-1} q_{in} A_{i+k'} + \sum_{j=n-l}^n q_{mj} A_{m+k'} \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{i=m-k}^{m-1} q_{i-k'+k} A_{i+k} + \sum_{j=n-l}^n q_{m-k'+k} A_{m+k} + 2A_{mn} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} cA_{m+k} + A_{m-1,n} - 2 \left(\sum_{i=m-k}^{m-1} q_{in} A_{i+k'} + \sum_{j=n-l}^{n-1} A_{mj} A_{m+k'} \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{i=m-k}^m q_{i-k'+k} A_{i+k} + \sum_{j=n-l}^n q_{m-k'+k} A_{m+k} + 2A_{mn} \right] \\
&\quad - 2q_{mn} A_{m+k'} + \frac{1}{2} q_{m-k'+k} A_{m+k} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} cA_{m+k} + A_{m-1,n} - 2\Delta_1 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_2 - 2q_{mn} A_{m+k'} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} q_{m-k'+k} A_{m+k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $A_{mn} > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{m-1,n} - C_{mn} &= \frac{1}{2} cA_{m+k} + A_{m-1,n} - 2\Delta_1 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_2 - 2q_{mn} A_{m+k'} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} q_{m-k'+k} A_{m+k} \\
&\quad - \left[cA_{m+k} + A_{mn} - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_1 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_2 - q_{mn} A_{m+k'n+l'} \right] \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} cA_{m+k} + A_{m-1,n} - A_{mn} - \frac{3}{2}\Delta_1 - q_{mn} A_{m+k'} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} q_{m-k'+k} A_{m+k} \\
&\leq -\frac{1}{2} cA_{m+k} + A_{m-1,n} + A_{m,n-1} - A_{mn} \\
&\quad - \frac{3}{2}\Delta_1 - q_{mn} A_{m+k'} + \frac{1}{2} q_{m-k'+k} A_{m+k} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} cA_{m+k} - p_{mn} A_{m+k} + q_{mn} A_{m+k'}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{3}{2}\Delta_1 - q_{mn}A_{m+k'}_{n+l'} + \frac{1}{2}q_{m-k'+k}A_{m+k}_{n+l} \\
& = -\left(p_{mn} - \frac{1}{2}q_{m-k'+k}_{n-l'+l}\right)A_{m+k}_{n+l} - \frac{1}{2}cA_{m+k}_{n+l} - \frac{3}{2}\Delta_1 \\
& \leq -\left(p_{mn} - \frac{1}{2}q_{m-k'+k}_{n-l'+l}\right)A_{m+k}_{n+l} < 0.
\end{aligned}$$

That is, $C_{m-n-1} \leq C_{mn}$. Similarly we have $C_{m,n-1} - C_{mn} < 0$.

(ii) From (2.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{mn} & = cA_{m+k}_{n+l} + A_{mn} - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_1 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_2 - q_{mn}A_{m+k'}_{n+l'} \\
& = cA_{m+k}_{n+l} - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_1 \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i=m-k}^m q_{i-k'+k}A_{i+k}_{n+l} + \sum_{j=n-l}^n q_{m-k'+k}A_{m+k}_{j+l}\right) \\
& \quad - q_{mn}A_{m+k'}_{n+l'} \\
& \leq cA_{m+k}_{n+l}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for sufficiently large M, N , when $m \geq M, n \geq N$, we have

$$C_{mn} \leq cA_{mn}.$$

Furthermore, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{m,n-1} & = \frac{1}{2}cA_{m+k}_{n+l} + A_{m,n-1} \\
& \quad - 2\left(\sum_{i=m-k}^m q_{in}A_{i+k'}_{n+l'} + \sum_{j=n-l}^{n-1} q_{mj}A_{m+k'}_{j+l'}\right) \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i=m-k}^m q_{i-k'+k}A_{i+k}_{n+l} + \sum_{j=n-l}^n q_{m-k'+k}A_{m+k}_{j+l} + 2A_{mn}\right) \\
& = \frac{1}{2}cA_{m+k}_{n+l} + A_{m,n-1} - 2\Delta - 1
\end{aligned}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Delta_2 - 2q_{mn}A_{m+k'}_{n+l'} + \frac{1}{2}q_{m-k'+k}A_{m+k}_{n+l}.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & C_{m-1,n} + C_{m,n-1} - C_{mn} \\ &= A_{m-1,n} + A_{m,n-1} + 2\left(\frac{1}{2}cA_{m+k}_{n+l} - 2\Delta_1 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_2\right. \\ &\quad \left.- 2q_{mn}A_{m+k'}_{n+l'} + \frac{1}{2}q_{m-k'+k}A_{m+k}_{n+l}\right) \\ &\quad - \left(cA_{m+k}_{n+l} + A_{mn} - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_1 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_2 - q_{mn}A_{m+k'}_{n+l'}\right) \\ &= A_{m-1,n} + A_{m,n-1} - A_{mn} - \frac{7}{2}\Delta_1 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_2 \\ &\quad - 3q_{mn}A_{m+k'}_{n+l'} + q_{m-k'+k}A_{m+k}_{n+l} \\ &= -p_{mn}A_{m+k}_{n+l} + q_{mn}A_{m+k'}_{n+l'} - \frac{7}{2}\Delta_1 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_2 \\ &\quad - 3q_{mn}A_{m+k'}_{n+l'} + q_{m-k'+k}A_{m+k}_{n+l} \\ &= -\alpha_{mn}A_{m+k}_{n+l} - \frac{7}{2}\Delta_1 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_2 - 2q_{mn}A_{m+k'}_{n+l'} \\ &= -\alpha_{mn}A_{m+k}_{n+l} - \beta_{mn}(A) \\ &\leq -\alpha_{mn}A_{m+k}_{n+l} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for the case of constant coefficients, we obtain the following result:

Corollary 1. *Assume that the conditions of Lemma 5 hold. Then*

(i) *The C_{mn} is increasing in m, n , that is,*

$$C_{m-1,n} < C_{mn}, C_{m,n-1} < C_{mn}.$$

(ii) *For sufficiently large M, N as $m \geq M, n \geq N$, we have*

$$C_{mn} \leq cA_{mn} \quad \text{and} \quad C_{m-1,n} + C_{m,n-1} - C_{mn} = -\alpha A_{m+k}_{j+l} - \beta(A),$$

where $\alpha = p - q > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(A) = q & \left[2A_{\substack{m+k' \\ n+l'}} + \frac{7}{2} \left(\sum_{i=m-k}^{m-1} A_{\substack{i+k' \\ n+l'}} + \sum_{j=n-l}^{n-1} qA_{\substack{m+k' \\ j+l'}} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=m-k}^m A_{\substack{i+k \\ n+l}} + \sum_{j=n-l}^n A_{\substack{m+k \\ j+l}} + 2A_{mn} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6. Assume that (1.3), (2.9)–(2.11) hold. Further, assume that for $m \geq k - k'$, $n \geq l - l'$,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.17) \quad \frac{1}{2} & \left(\sum_{i=m-k}^m q_{in} + \sum_{j=n-l}^n q_{mj} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=m-k}^m q_{\substack{i-k'+k \\ n-l'+l}} + \sum_{j=n-l}^n q_{\substack{m-k'+k \\ j-l'+l}} + 2 \right) < c. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\{A_{mn}\}$ be an eventually positive solution of equation (1.2). Then $\{C_{mn}\}$ is increasing and eventually positive.

Proof. By Lemma 5, $\{C_{mn}\}$ is increasing in m, n . Next we shall show that the $\{C_{mn}\}$ is an eventually positive. Because $\{A_{mn}\}$ is an eventually positive solution of equation (1.2) and the $\{C_{mn}\}$ is increasing, thus the limit of $\{C_{mn}\}$ exists. If $\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} C_{mn} = -\infty$, as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\{A_{mn}\}$ must be unbounded. There exists $\{(m_k, n_k)\}$ such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} m_k = \infty$, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} n_k = \infty$ and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} A_{m_k+k, n_k+l} = +\infty$, $A_{m_k+k, n_k+l} = \max_{M \leq m \leq m_k, N \leq n \leq n_k} A_{m+k, n+l}$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{m_k n_k} & = cA_{\substack{m_k+k \\ n_l+l}} + A_{m_k n_k} \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=m_k-k}^{m_k} q_{in_k} A_{\substack{i+k' \\ n_k+l'}} + \sum_{j=n_k-l}^{n_k} q_{m_k j} A_{\substack{m_k+k' \\ j+l'}} \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=m_k-k}^{m_k} q_{\substack{i-k'+k \\ n_k-l'+l}} A_{\substack{i+k \\ n_k+l}} + \sum_{j=n_k-l}^{n_k} q_{\substack{m_k-k'+k \\ j-l'+l}} A_{\substack{m_k+k \\ j+l}} + 2A_{m_k n_l} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq A_{m_k+k}^{n_l+l} \left[c - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=m_k-k}^{m_k} q_{in_k} + \sum_{j=n_k-l}^{n_k} q_{m_k j} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=m_k-k}^{m_k} q_{i-k'+k}^{n_k-l'+l} + \sum_{j=n_k-l}^{n_k} q_{m_k-k'+k}^{j-l'+l} + 2 \right) \right] \\
&\geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Hence, $\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} C_{mn} = \beta$ exists. If $\{A_{mn}\}$ is unbounded, then $\beta \geq 0$. Now we consider the case that $\{A_{mn}\}$ is bounded. Let $\bar{\beta} = \limsup_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} A_{mn} = \lim_{m' \rightarrow \infty, n' \rightarrow \infty} A_{m',n'}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&cA_{m'+k}^{n'+l} - C_{m',n'} \\
&\leq cA_{m'+k}^{n'+l} + A_{m',n'} - C_{m',n'} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=m'-k}^{m'} q_{in'} A_{i+k'}^{n'+l'} + \sum_{j=n'-l}^{n'} q_{m'j} A_{m'+k'}^{j+l'} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=m'-k}^{m'} q_{i-k'+k}^{n'-l'+l} A_{i+k}^{n'+l} + \sum_{j=n'-l}^{n'} q_{m'-k'+k}^{j-l'+l} A_{m'+k}^{j+l} + 2 \right) \\
&\leq A(\xi_m, \eta_n) \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=m'-k}^{m'} q_{in'} + \sum_{j=n'-l}^{n'} q_{m'j} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=m'-k}^{m'} q_{i-k'+k}^{n'-l'+l} + \sum_{j=n'-l}^{n'} q_{m'-k'+k}^{j-l'+l} + 2 \right) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where $A(\xi_m, \eta_n) = \max\{A_{i+k,j+l} | i=m'-k, \dots, m', j=n'-l, \dots, n'\}$. Taking superior limit on both sides of the above inequality, we have $c\bar{\beta} - \beta \leq c\bar{\beta}$, therefore $\beta \geq 0$. Hence $C_{mn} > 0$ for $m \geq M, n \geq N$.

In particular, in the constant coefficient case, we obtain the following conclusion:

Corollary 2. Assume that (1.3)–(1.5) and (2.9)–(2.11) hold and that, for $m \geq k - k', n \geq l - l'$, we have

$$q(k+l+3) < c.$$

Let $\{A_{mn}\}$ be an eventually positive solution of (1.1). Then $\{C_{mn}\}$ is increasing and eventually positive in m, n .

3. Oscillation of equation (1.1).

Theorem 3.1. Assume that

- (i) $k \geq k' \geq 1, l \geq l' \geq 1, p > q > 0$,
- (ii) $\alpha \geq \xi > (k+l)^{(k+l)}/(k+l+1)^{(k+l+1)}$,
- (iii) $c - q(k+l+3) \geq 0$.

Then every solution of (1.1) oscillates.

Proof. (a) If $k = k', l = l'$, then (1.1) becomes

$$(3.1) \quad A_{m-1,n} + A_{m,n-1} - A_{mn} + (p-q)A_{m+k}_{n+l} = 0,$$

and the oscillatory behavior of (3.1) has been studied in [3, 6, 7–8].

(b) If $k > k', l > l'$, then we let $\{A_{mn}\}$ be an eventually positive solution of (1.1). This means that m_0, n_0 exists such that when $m \geq m_0, n \geq n_0$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad A_{mn} > 0.$$

By Corollary 2 $\{C_{mn}\}$ is eventually positive and increasing. However, by (ii) of Lemma 5, we have $cA_{mn} > C_{mn}$. Also by Corollary 1, we have

$$(3.3) \quad C_{m-1,n} + C_{m,n-1} - C_{mn} + (p-q)A_{m+k}_{n+l} \leq 0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} C_{m-1,n} + C_{m,n-1} - C_{mn} + (p-q)\frac{1}{c}C_{m+k}_{n+l} \\ \leq C_{m-1,n} + C_{m,n-1} - C_{mn} + (p-q)A_{m+k}_{n+l} \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from condition (ii) of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 1 that every solution of

$$C_{m-1,n} + C_{m,n-1} - C_{mn} + (p-q)\frac{1}{c}C_{m+k}_{n+l} = 0$$

oscillates. However, Lemma 3 leads to a contradiction. The proof is thus completed. \square

Example 1. Consider the partial difference equation

$$(3.4) \quad A_{m-1,n} + A_{m,n-1} - A_{mn} + \frac{53}{18} A_{m+2, n+2} - \frac{1}{18} A_{m+1, n+2} = 0,$$

where $k = 2$, $k' = 1$, $l = l' = 2$, $q = (1/18)$, $p = (53/18)$, $k - k' = 1 > 0$, $l - l' = 0$. For $1 < c \leq 2$, we have $c - q(k+l+3) = c - (7/18) > 0$. Taking $\xi = 1$ yields $[(k+l)^{(k+l)} / (k+l+1)^{(k+l+1)}] = (4^4/5^5)$ and $\alpha = p - q = (52/18) > 1 > (256/3125) = 4^4/5^5 = [(k+l)^{(k+l)} / (k+l+1)^{(k+l+1)}]$. It can be verified that all the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Therefore, all solutions of (3.4) are oscillatory. In fact (3.4) has a unique oscillatory solution given by $\{A_{mn}\} = \{(-1)^{m+n}\}$.

4. Stability of equation (1.2).

Theorem 4.1. Assume that (1.3), (2.11) and (2.17) hold and that one of the following two conditions is satisfied:

(i) There exists a positive integer α_0 such that

$$(4.1) \quad p_{mn} - q_{m+k-k', n+l-l'} \geq \alpha_0 \quad \text{for } m \geq k - k', \quad n \geq l - l',$$

or

(ii) There exists a positive constant $\beta_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=m-k}^m q_{in} + \sum_{j=n-1}^n q_{mj} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=m-k}^m q_{i-k'+k, n-l'+l} + \sum_{j=n-l}^n q_{m-k'+k, j-l'+l} + 2 \right) \leq c - \beta_0 \quad \text{for } m \geq k_0, \quad n \geq l_0,$$

where $k_0 = k + k'$, $l_0 = l + l'$ and

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{i=k+k'}^{\infty} \sum_{j=l+l'+1}^{\infty} (p_{ij} - q_{i+k-k', j+l-l'}) = \infty.$$

Then every nonoscillatory solution of (1.2) tends to zero as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. It suffices to show that every eventually positive solution $\{A_{mn}\}$ of (1.2) tends to zero as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$.

It follows from Lemma 5 that $\{C_{mn}\}$ is increasing and positive. Hence,

$$(4.4) \quad \lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} C_{mn} = \xi \in R^+,$$

where $R^+ = (0, \infty)$. By Lemma 5 it is easy to see that

$$(4.5) \quad C_{m-1, n} + C_{m, n-1} - C_{mn} = -\alpha_{mn} A_{m+k, j+l}.$$

Taking m_1, n_1 sufficiently large, and summing both sides of (4.5) from $m_1 + 1, n_1 + 1 \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$(4.6) \quad \sum_{i=m_1+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n_1+1}^{\infty} (C_{i-1, j} + C_{i, j-1} - C_{ij}) \leq - \sum_{i=m_1+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n_1+1}^{\infty} (p_{ij} - q_{i+k-k', j+l-l'}) A_{i+k, j+l}.$$

Since $C_{ij} > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -2C_{m_1, n_1} &\leq \sum_{i=m_1}^{\infty} C_{in_1} + \sum_{j=n_1}^{\infty} C_{m_1 j} - 2C_{m_1, n_1} \\ &= \sum_{i=m_1+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n_1+1}^{\infty} [(C_{i-1, j} - C_{ij}) + (C_{i, j-1} - C_{ij})] \\ &= \sum_{i=m_1+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n_1+1}^{\infty} (C_{i-1, j} + C_{i, j-1} - 2C_{ij}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=m_1+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n_1+1}^{\infty} (C_{i-1, j} + C_{i, j-1} - C_{ij}) \\ &\leq - \sum_{i=m_1+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n_1+1}^{\infty} (p_{ij} - q_{i+k-k', j+l-l'}) A_{i+k, j+l}, \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(4.8) \quad -2C_{m_1, n_1} \leq - \sum_{i=m_1+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n_1+1}^{\infty} (p_{ij} - q_{i+k-k'}_{j+l-l'}) A_{i+k}.$$

First assume that (4.1) holds. Then (4.8) implies that

$$\sum_{i=m_1+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n_1+1}^{\infty} (p_{ij} - q_{i+k-k'}_{j+l-l'}) < \infty.$$

Since $A_{i-k, j-l}$ is a positive solution of (1.2), and from (4.1) and (4.8), we have

$$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} A_{m, n} = 0.$$

Thus the proof is completed when (4.1) holds.

Next assume that (4.2) and (4.3) hold. From (4.8), it follows that

$$\liminf_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} A_{m, n} = 0.$$

Also (2.16) implies $C_{mn} \leq cA_{mn}$ and, in view of (4.4), $\xi = 0$.

Now we claim that $\{A_{mn}\}$ is bounded. Otherwise, there would exist a subsequence, $\{A_{m_r+k, n_r+l}\}$ of $\{A_{mn}\}$ such that

$$A_{m_r+k, n_r+l} = \max_{n_r+l} \{A_{m+k} \mid \substack{m \leq m_r \\ n \leq n_r} \text{ for } r = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} A_{m_r+k, n_r+l} = \infty.$$

Then by (2.10) and (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{m_r, n_r} &= cA_{m_r+k, n_r+l} + A_{m_r, n_r} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=m_r-k}^{m_r} q_{i, n_r} A_{i+k'}_{n_r+l'} + \sum_{j=n_r-l}^{n_r} q_{m_r, j} A_{m_r+k'}_{j+l'} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=m_r-k}^{m_r} q_{i-k'+k, n_r-l'+l} A_{i+k}_{n_r+l} + \sum_{j=n_r-l}^{n_r} q_{m_r-k'+k, j-l'+l} A_{m_r+k}_{j+l} + 2A_{m_r, n_r} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq cA_{m_r+k} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=m_r-k}^{m_r} q_{in_r} A_{i+k'} + \sum_{j=n_r-l}^{n_r} q_{m_r j} A_{m_r+k'} \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=m_r-k}^{m_r} q_{i-k'+k} A_{i+k} + \sum_{j=n_r-l}^{n_r} q_{m_r-k'+k} A_{m_r+k} + 2A_{m_r n_r} \right) \\
&\geq A_{m_r+k} \left[c - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=m_r-k}^{m_r} q_{in_r} + \sum_{j=n_r-l}^{n_r} q_{m_r j} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=m_r-k}^{m_r} q_{i-k'+k} + \sum_{j=n_r-l}^{n_r} q_{m_r-k'+k} + 2 \right) \right] \\
&\geq \beta_0 A_{m_r+k} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the fact that $\xi = 0$. Therefore, $\{A_{mn}\}$ must be bounded. To this end, set

$$\lambda = \limsup_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} A_{m,n}$$

and let $\{A_{m_s+k, n_s+l}\}$ be a subsequence of $\{A_{mn}\}$ such that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} A_{m_s+k, n_s+l} = \lambda.$$

Then for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ and for a sufficiently large s , it follows from (2.10) and (4.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{m_s n_s} &= \left[cA_{m_s+k} + A_{m_s n_s} \right. \\
&\quad - \left(\sum_{i=m_s-k}^{m_s} q_{in_s} A_{i+k'} + \sum_{j=n_s-l}^{n_s} q_{m_s j} A_{m_s+k'} \right) \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=m_s-k}^{m_s} q_{i-k'+k} A_{i+k} + \sum_{j=n_s-l}^{n_s} q_{m_s-k'+k} A_{m_s+k} + 2A_{m_s n_s} \right) \right] \\
&\geq \left[cA_{m_s+k} - \left(\sum_{i=m_s-k}^{m_s} q_{in_s} A_{i+k'} + \sum_{j=n_s-l}^{n_s} q_{m_s j} A_{m_s+k'} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=m_s-k}^{m_s} q_{i-k'+k} A_{i+k} + \sum_{j=n_s-l}^{n_s} q_{m_s-k'+k} A_{m_s+k} + 2A_{m_s n_s} \right) \right] \\
&\geq cA_{m_s+k} - (\lambda + \varepsilon)(c - \beta_0).
\end{aligned}$$

By taking limits as $s \rightarrow \infty$ and using the fact that $\xi = 0$, we finally obtain

$$0 \geq c\lambda - (\lambda + \varepsilon)(c - \beta_0).$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we conclude that $\lambda = 0$ and the proof is thus completed. \square

5. Oscillation of equation (1.2).

Theorem 5.1 *Assume that (1.3), (2.10) and (2.11) hold, and that one of the two conditions (2.2) and (2.3) is satisfied. Then, every solution of (1.2) oscillates.*

Proof. Assume, on the contrary, that (1.2) has an eventually positive solution $\{A_{mn}\}$.

By Lemmas 5 and 6, it follows that the sequence $\{C_{st}\}$ defined by (2.10) is eventually positive and that

$$(5.1) \quad C_{m-1,n} + C_{m,n-1} - C_{mn} + (p_{mn} - q_{m+k-k'}') A_{m+k} \leq 0.$$

Also, eventually,

$$(5.2) \quad 0 < C_{mn} \leq cA_{mn}.$$

Consequently,

$$(5.4) \quad C_{m-1,n} + C_{m,n-1} - C_{mn} + (p_{mn} - q_{m+k-k'}') \frac{1}{c} C_{m+k} \leq 0$$

which implies that every solution of the equation

$$(5.4) \quad C_{m-1,n} + C_{m,n-1} - C_{mn} + (p_{mn} - q_{m+k-k'}') C_{m+k} = 0$$

oscillates. However, by Lemma 2, inequality (5.4) cannot have an eventually positive solution. This contradiction proves the theorem. \square

Example 2. Consider the partial difference equation

$$(5.6) \quad A_{m-1,n} + A_{m,n-1} - A_{mn} + \frac{4(16n+1)}{8n} A_{\frac{m+2}{n+2}} - \frac{1}{8n} A_{m+1,n} = 0,$$

where $m \geq 2$, $n \geq 2$, $p_{mn} = (16n+1)/(8n)$, $q_{mn} = 1/(8n)$, $k = l = 2$, $k' = 1$, $l' = 0$. Since $k = 2 > 1 = k'$, $l > l'$, and

$$1^0. \quad p_{mn} - q_{m+k-k',n+l-l'} = \frac{4(16n+1)}{8n} - \frac{1}{8(n+2)} > 0$$

for $m \geq 2$, $n \geq 2$,

$$2^0. \quad \sum_{i=k'}^k \sum_{j=l'+1}^l q_{m-i,n-j} = \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{1}{8(n+j)} = \frac{2n+3}{4(n+1)(n+2)} < 1$$

for $m \geq 2$, $n \geq 2$,

3⁰. Taking $\xi = 2$, then

$$p_{mn} = \frac{4(16n+1)}{8n} > \xi > \frac{256}{3125} = \frac{(k+l)^{k+l}}{(k+l+1)^{k+l+1}}.$$

Since all of the hypotheses of Theorem 5.1 are satisfied, all solutions of (5.6) are oscillatory. In fact (5.6) has a unique oscillatory solution given by $\{A_{mn}\} = \{(-1)^n(1/2^n)\}$ for $m \geq 2$, $n \geq 2$.

REFERENCES

1. W.G. Kelley and A.C. Peterson, *Difference equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1991.
2. H. Levy and F. Lessman, *Finite difference equations*, Dover Publications, New York, 1992.
3. B.G. Zhang and S.T. Liu, *Oscillation of partial difference equations with variable coefficients*, Comput. Math. Appl. **36** (1998), 235–242.
4. P.J.Y. Wong and R.P. Agarwal, *On the oscillation of partial difference equations generated by deviating arguments*, Acta Math. Hungar. **79** (1998), 1–29.
5. ———, *Advanced topics in difference equations*, Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht, 1997.
6. B.G. Zhang and S.T. Liu, *On the oscillation of two partial difference equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **206** (1997), 480–492.

7. ———, *Oscillation of partial difference equations*, Panamer. Math. J. **7** (1995), 60–71.
8. B.G. Zhang, S.T. Liu and S.S. Cheng, *Oscillation of a class of delay partial difference equations*, J. Difference Equation Appl. **1** (1995), 215–226.
9. S.T. Liu, X.P. Guan and J. Yang, *Nonexistence of positive solution of a class of nonlinear delay partial difference equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **234** (1999), 361–371.
10. B.G. Zhang and S.T. Liu, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for oscillations of delay partial difference equations*, Discuss. Math. - Diff. Indusions **15** (1995), 213–219.
11. S.T. Liu and H. Wang, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for oscillations of a class of delay partial difference equations*, Dynam. Syst. Appl. **7** (1998), 495–500.

S.T. LIU, COLLEGE OF CONTROL SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, SHANDONG UNIVERSITY 250061, P.R. CHINA.
E-mail address: stliu@sdu.edu.cn

B.G. ZHANG, OCEAN UNIVERSITY QINGDAO, DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, QINGDAO 266003, CHINA.

G. CHEN, DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONIC ENGINEERING, CITY UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, P.R. CHINA.