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#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to study spacelike Einstein Kähler submanifolds with restricted full immersions and parallel second fundamental forms in an indefinite complex hyperbolic space.


1. Introduction. The theory of semi-definite complex submanifolds of a semi-definite complex space form is one of the most interesting research subjects in differential geometry and it is studied by many geometers from the various points of view, see $[\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1 0}-\mathbf{1 2}]$ and $[\mathbf{1 4}]$, for instance.

As one of such studies, in their paper [10], Nakagawa and Takagi classified completely locally symmetric Kähler submanifolds of a complex projective space. In particular, it is seen that complex submanifolds whose second fundamental form are parallel of a complex projective space are all Einstein. Conversely, Einstein Kähler submanifolds of a complex space form do not satisfy necessarily the result that the second fundamental form is parallel, and it is seen in $[\mathbf{1 0}]$ that there exist many Einstein Kähler submanifolds of a complex projective space whose second fundamental form are not necessarily parallel. Furthermore, Romero [13] and Umehara [15] independently proved the indefinite version and they found that there exists a full holomorphic isomorphic immersion of an indefinite complex space form $M_{s}^{n}(c)$ into an indefinite complex space form $M_{s+t}^{n+p}\left(c^{\prime}\right)$.

On the other hand, Einstein Kähler submanifolds of a complex projective space whose second fundamental form are parallel were investigated by Nakagawa [9]. He proved the following

[^0]Theorem A. Let $M$ be an $n(\geqq 2)$-dimensional Einstein Kähler submanifold immersed in a complex projective space $C P^{n+p}(c)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c$. If the immersion is full and if the second fundamental form of $M$ is parallel, then the following hold:
(1) If $p<\frac{n}{2}$, then $p=1$ and $M$ is locally a complex quadric $Q^{n}$.
(2) If $p \geqq \frac{1}{2} n(n+1)$, then $p=\frac{1}{2} n(n+1)$ and $M$ is locally $C P^{n}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)$.

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the space-like version of Theorem A, namely to prove the following

Theorem. Let $M$ be an $n(\geqq 2)$-dimensional space-like Einstein Kähler submanifold of an indefinite complex space form $M_{p}^{n+p}(c)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c<0$. If the immersion is full and if the second fundamental form of $M$ is parallel, then the following hold:
(1) If $p<\frac{n}{2}$, then $p=1$ and $M$ is locally a complex quadric $Q^{n}$.
(2) If $p \geqq \frac{1}{2} n(n+1)$, then $p=\frac{1}{2} n(n+1)$ and $M$ is locally $C H^{n}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)$.
2. Indefinite Kähler manifolds. We begin by recalling basic formulas on indefinite Kähler manifolds. Let $M$ be a complex $n(\geqq 2)$ dimensional connected semi-definite Kähler manifold equipped with the semi-definite Kähler metric tensor $g$ and almost complex structure $J$. For the semi-definite Kähler structure $\{g, J\}$, it follows that $J$ is integrable and the index of $g$ is even, say $2 s, 0 \leqq s \leqq n$. In the case where $s$ is contained in the range $0<s<n$, the structure $\{g, J\}$ is said to be indefinite Kähler structure and $M$ is called an indefinite Kähler manifold. In particular, in the case where $s=0$ or $n$, it is said to be Kähler structure.
Let $M^{\prime}$ be a complex $(n+p)$-dimensional connected indefinite Kähler manifold of index $2 p, n \geqq 2, p>0$. Then we can choose a local field $\left\{E_{A}\right\}=\left\{E_{1}, \ldots, E_{n}, E_{n+1}, \ldots, E_{n+p}\right\}$ of unitary frames on a neighborhood of $M^{\prime}$. This is a complex frame field on the neighborhood of $M^{\prime}$ which is orthonormal with respect to the indefinite Kähler metric $g^{\prime}$, that is, $g^{\prime}\left(E_{A}, E_{B}\right)=\varepsilon_{A} \delta_{A B}$, where
$\varepsilon_{A}=1$ or -1 , according to whether $1 \leqq A \leqq n$ or $n+1 \leqq A \leqq n+p$.
Its dual field $\omega_{0}^{\prime}=\left\{\omega_{A}\right\}=\left\{\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n}, \omega_{n+1}, \ldots, \omega_{n+p}\right\}$ with respect
to the unitary frame $\left\{E_{A}\right\}$ consists of complex-valued 1-forms of type $(1,0)$ on $M^{\prime}$ such that $\omega_{A}\left(E_{B}\right)=\varepsilon_{A} \delta_{A B}$, and $\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n+p}, \bar{\omega}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\omega}_{n+p}$ are linearly independent, where $\bar{\omega}_{A}$ denotes the complex conjugate of $\omega_{A}$. It is called the canonical form with respect to the unitary frame $\left\{E_{A}\right\}$. The indefinite Kähler metric $g^{\prime}$ of $M^{\prime}$ can be expressed as $g^{\prime}=2 \sum_{A} \varepsilon_{A} \omega_{A} \otimes \bar{\omega}_{A}$, where the Latin capital indices $A$ and $B$ run over the range $1, \ldots, n+p$. Associated with the frame field $\left\{E_{A}\right\}$, there exist complex-valued forms $\omega^{\prime}=\left\{\omega_{A B}\right\}$ and $\Omega^{\prime}=\left\{\Omega_{A B}\right\}$ the connection form and the curvature form on $M^{\prime}$, respectively. They satisfy the following structure equations of $M^{\prime}$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
d \omega_{A}+\sum_{B} \varepsilon_{B} \omega_{A B} \wedge \omega_{B}=0, \quad \omega_{A B}+\bar{\omega}_{B A}=0  \tag{2.1}\\
d \omega_{A B}+\sum_{C} \varepsilon_{C} \omega_{A C} \wedge \omega_{C B}=\Omega_{A B}  \tag{2.2}\\
\Omega_{A B}=\sum_{C, D} \varepsilon_{C} \varepsilon_{D} R_{\bar{A} B C \bar{D}}^{\prime} \omega_{C} \wedge \bar{\omega}_{D} \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $R_{\bar{A} B C \bar{D}}^{\prime}$ denotes the components of the Riemannian curvature tensor $R^{\prime}$ of $M^{\prime}$. The equations (2.1) and (2.2) means that the skewsymmetry of $\Omega_{A B}$, which is equivalent to the symmetric condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\bar{A} B C \bar{D}}^{\prime}=\bar{R}_{\bar{B} A D \bar{C}}^{\prime} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the exterior derivative of (2.1) and (2.3), the first Bianchi formula

$$
\sum_{B} \varepsilon_{B} \Omega_{A B} \wedge \omega_{B}=0
$$

is given, which implies the further symmetric relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\bar{A} B C \bar{D}}^{\prime}=R_{\bar{A} C B \bar{D}}^{\prime}=R_{\bar{D} C B \bar{A}}^{\prime}=R_{\bar{D} B C \bar{A} .}^{\prime} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, relative to the frame field chosen above, the Ricci tensor $S^{\prime}$ of $M^{\prime}$ can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{\prime}=\sum_{A, B} \varepsilon_{A} \varepsilon_{B}\left(S_{A \bar{B}}^{\prime} \omega_{A} \otimes \bar{\omega}_{B}+S_{\bar{A} B}^{\prime} \bar{\omega}_{A} \otimes \omega_{B}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{A \bar{B}}^{\prime}=\sum_{C} \varepsilon_{C} R_{\bar{C} C A \bar{B}}^{\prime}=S_{\bar{B} A}^{\prime}=\bar{S}^{\prime}{ }_{A B B}$. The scalar curvature $r^{\prime}$ of $M$ is also given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{\prime}=2 \sum_{A} \varepsilon_{A} S_{A \bar{A}}^{\prime} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The indefinite Kähler manifold $M^{\prime}$ is said to be Einstein if the Ricci tensor $S^{\prime}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{A \bar{B}}^{\prime}=\frac{r^{\prime}}{2(n+p)} \varepsilon_{A} \delta_{A B} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, the components $R_{\bar{A} B C \bar{D} E}^{\prime}$ and $R_{\bar{A} B C \bar{D} \bar{E}}^{\prime}$ relative to the frame field $\left\{E_{A}\right\}$ of the covariant derivative of the Riemannian curvature tensor $R^{\prime}$ are obtained by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{E} \varepsilon_{E}\left(R_{\bar{A} B C \bar{D} E}^{\prime} \omega_{E}+R_{\bar{A} B C \bar{D} \bar{E}}^{\prime} \bar{\omega}_{E}\right)=d R_{\bar{A} B C \bar{D}}^{\prime}  \tag{2.9}\\
& \quad-\sum_{E} \varepsilon_{E}\left(R_{\bar{E} B C \bar{D}}^{\prime} \bar{\omega}_{E A}+R_{\bar{A} E C \bar{D}}^{\prime} \omega_{E B}+R_{\bar{A} B E \bar{D}}^{\prime} \omega_{E C}+R_{\bar{A} B C \bar{E}}^{\prime} \bar{\omega}_{E D}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The second Bianchi formula is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\bar{A} B C \bar{D} E}^{\prime}=R_{\bar{A} B E \bar{D} C .}^{\prime} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional semi-definite Kähler manifold of index $2 s$, $0 \leqq s \leqq n$, with almost complex structure $J$. A plane section $P$ of the tangent space $T_{x} M$ of $M$ at any point $x$ is said to be nondegenerate, provided that the restriction of $\left.g_{x}\right|_{T_{x} M}$ to $P$ is nondegenerate. It is easily seen that $P$ is nondegenerate if and only if it has a basis $\{X, Y\}$ such that $g(X, X) g(Y, Y)-g(X, Y)^{2} \neq 0$. The plane $P$ is said to be holomorphic if it has a basis $\{X, J X\}$ for the plane $P$. It is also trivial that the plane $P$ is nondegenerate if and only if it contains a vector $X$ with $g(X, X) \neq 0$. For the non-degenerate plane $P$ spanned by $X$ and $Y$, the sectional curvature $K(X, Y)$ of $P$ is usually defined by

$$
K(X, Y)=\frac{g(R(X, Y) Y, X)}{g(X, X) g(Y, Y)-g(X, Y)^{2}}
$$

It is well known that the sectional curvature of the non-degenerate plane $P$ is independent of the choice of the basis $\{X, Y\}$ for the plane. So, it is denoted by $K(X, Y)=K(P)$. Moreover, the sectional curvature $H(P)$ of the non-degenerate holomorphic plane $P$ is called the holomorphic sectional curvature, which is denoted by $H(P)=K(P)=K(X, J X)=$ $H(X)$ for any nonzero vector $X$ in $P$.

The indefinite Kähler manifold $M$ is said to be of constant holomorphic sectional curvature if its holomorphic sectional curvature $H(P)$ is constant for any nondegenerate holomorphic plane $P$ and any point on $M$. Then $M$ is called an indefinite complex space form, which is denoted by $M_{s}^{n}(c)$, provided that it is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c$, of complex dimension $n$ and of index $2 s, 0<s<n$. It is seen in Barros and Romero [4] that the standard models of indefinite complex space forms are the following three kinds: the indefinite complex projective space $C P_{s}^{n}(c)$, the indefinite complex Euclidean space $C_{s}^{n}$ or the indefinite complex hyperbolic space $C H_{s}^{n}(c)$, according to whether $c>0, c=0$ or $c<0$. For any integer $s, 0<s<n$, it is also seen by [4] that they are complete simply connected indefinite complex space forms of dimension $n$ and of index $2 s$.

The components $R_{\bar{A} B C \bar{D}}^{\prime}$ of the Riemannian curvature tensor $R^{\prime}$ of the $n$-dimensional indefinite complex space form $M^{\prime}=M_{s}^{n}(c)$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\bar{A} B C \bar{D}}^{\prime}=\frac{c}{2} \varepsilon_{B} \varepsilon_{C}\left(\delta_{A B} \delta_{C D}+\delta_{A C} \delta_{B D}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Space-like complex submanifolds. This section is concerned with space-like complex submanifolds of an indefinite Kähler manifold. First of all, the basic formulas for the theory of space-like complex submanifolds are prepared. Let $\left(M^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)$ be an $(n+p)$-dimensional connected indefinite Kähler manifold of index $2 p(>0)$, and let $M$ be an $n(\geqq 2)$-dimensional connected space-like complex submanifold of $M^{\prime}$. Then $M$ becomes the Kähler manifold endowed with the induced metric tensor $g$. We can choose a local field $\left\{E_{A}\right\}=\left\{E_{i}, E_{x}\right\}=$ $\left\{E_{1}, \ldots, E_{n+p}\right\}$ of unitary frames on a neighborhood of $M^{\prime}$ in such a way that, restricted to $M, E_{1}, \ldots, E_{n}$ are tangent to $M$ and the others are normal to $M$. Here and in the sequel, the following convention on the range of indices is used throughout this paper, unless otherwise
stated.

$$
\begin{gathered}
A, B, \ldots=1, \ldots, n, n+1, \ldots, n+p \\
i, j, \ldots=1, \ldots, n \\
x, y, \ldots=n+1, \ldots, n+p
\end{gathered}
$$

With respect to the frame field $\left\{E_{A}\right\}$, let $\left\{\omega_{A}\right\}=\left\{\omega_{i}, \omega_{x}\right\}$ be its dual frame field. Then the indefinite Kähler metric tensor $g^{\prime}$ of $M^{\prime}$ is given by $g^{\prime}=2 \sum_{A} \varepsilon_{A} \omega_{A} \otimes \bar{\omega}_{A}$, where $\varepsilon_{i}=1$ and $\varepsilon_{x}=-1$. The canonical form $\left\{\omega_{A}\right\}$ and the connection form $\left\{\omega_{A B}\right\}$ with respect to the unitary frame field $\left\{E_{A}\right\}$ of the ambient space $M^{\prime}$ satisfy the structure equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
d \omega_{A}+\sum_{B} \varepsilon_{B} \omega_{A B} \wedge \omega_{B}=0, \quad \omega_{A B}+\bar{\omega}_{A B}=0  \tag{3.1}\\
d \omega_{A B}+\sum_{C} \varepsilon_{C} \omega_{A C} \wedge \omega_{C B}=\Omega_{A B}^{\prime}  \tag{3.2}\\
\Omega_{A B}^{\prime}=\sum_{C, D} \varepsilon_{C} \varepsilon_{D} R_{\bar{A} B C \bar{D}}^{\prime} \omega_{C} \wedge \bar{\omega}_{D} \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\left\{\Omega_{A B}^{\prime}\right\}$, respectively $R_{\bar{A} B C \bar{D}}^{\prime}$, denotes the curvature form, respectively the components of the indefinite Riemannian curvature tensor $R^{\prime}$, of $M^{\prime}$.
Now restricting these forms to the submanifold $M$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{x}=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the induced Kähler metric tensor $g$ of $M$ is given by $g=2 \sum_{i} \varepsilon_{i} \omega_{i} \otimes$ $\bar{\omega}_{i}$. Then $\left\{E_{i}\right\}$ is a local unitary frame field with respect to the induced metric and $\left\{\omega_{i}\right\}$ is a canonical form with respect to $\left\{E_{i}\right\}$, which consists of complex valued 1-forms of type (1.0) on $M$. Moreover, $\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n}, \bar{\omega}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\omega}_{n}$ are linearly independent. It follows from (3.4) and Cartan's lemma that the exterior derivatives of (3.4) give rise to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{x i}=\sum_{j} \varepsilon_{j} h_{i j}^{x} \omega_{j,} \quad h_{i j}^{x}=h_{j i}^{x} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quadratic form $\alpha=\sum_{i, j, x} \varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j} \varepsilon_{x} h_{i j}^{x} \omega_{i} \otimes \omega_{j} \otimes E_{x}$ with values in the normal bundle on $M$ in $M^{\prime}$ is called the second fundamental form
of the submanifold $M$. From the structure equations for $M^{\prime}$, it follows that the structure equations for $M$ are similarly given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
d \omega_{i}+\sum_{j} \varepsilon_{j} \omega_{i j} \wedge \omega_{j}=0, \quad \omega_{i j}+\bar{\omega}_{j i}=0  \tag{3.6}\\
d \omega_{i j}+\sum_{k} \varepsilon_{k} \omega_{i k} \wedge \omega_{k j}=\Omega_{i j}  \tag{3.7}\\
\Omega_{i j}=\sum_{k, l} \varepsilon_{k} \varepsilon_{l} R_{\bar{i} j k \bar{l}} \omega_{k} \wedge \bar{\omega}_{l} \tag{3.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Moreover, the following relationships are obtained.

$$
\begin{gather*}
d \omega_{x y}+\sum_{z} \varepsilon_{z} \omega_{x z} \wedge \omega_{z y}=\Omega_{x y}  \tag{3.9}\\
\Omega_{x y}=\sum_{k, l} \varepsilon_{k} \varepsilon_{l} R_{\bar{x} y k l} \omega_{k} \wedge \bar{\omega}_{l} \tag{3.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\left\{\Omega_{x y}\right\}$ is called the normal curvature form of $M$. For the Riemannian curvature tensors $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ of $M$ and $M^{\prime}$, respectively, it follows from (3.1), (3.5) and (3.8) that we have the Gauss equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\bar{i} j k \bar{l}}=R_{\bar{i} j k \bar{l}}^{\prime}-\sum_{x} \varepsilon_{x} h_{j k}^{x} \bar{h}_{i l,}^{x}, \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by means of (3.5) and (3.10), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\bar{x} y k \bar{l}}=R_{\bar{x} y k \bar{l}}^{\prime}+\sum_{j} \varepsilon_{j} h_{k j}^{x} \bar{h}_{j l .}^{y} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The components $S_{i \bar{j}}$ of the Ricci tensor $S$ and the scalar curvature $r$ of $M$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{i \bar{j}} & =\sum_{k} \varepsilon_{k} R_{\bar{k} k i \bar{j}}^{\prime}-h_{i \bar{j}}^{2}  \tag{3.13}\\
r & =2\left(\sum_{j, k} \varepsilon_{j} \varepsilon_{k} R_{\bar{j} j k \bar{k}}^{\prime}-h_{2}\right), \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h_{i \bar{j}}{ }^{2}={h_{\bar{j} i}}^{2}=\sum_{k, x} \varepsilon_{k} \varepsilon_{x} h_{i k}^{x} \bar{h}_{k j}^{x}$ and $h_{2}=\sum_{j} \varepsilon_{j} h_{j \bar{j}}{ }^{2}$.

Next, the components $h_{i j k}^{x}$ and $h_{i j \bar{k}}^{x}$ of the covariant derivative of $h_{i j}^{x}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k} \varepsilon_{k}\left(h_{i j k}^{x} \omega_{k}+h_{i j \bar{k}}^{x} \bar{\omega}_{k}\right)  \tag{3.15}\\
& \quad=d h_{i j}^{x}-\sum_{k} \varepsilon_{k}\left(h_{k j}^{x} \omega_{k i}+h_{i k}^{x} \omega_{k j}\right)+\sum_{y} \varepsilon_{y} h_{i j}^{y} \omega_{x y} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then, substituting $d h_{i j}^{x}$ in this definition into the exterior derivative of (3.5) and using (3.1), (3.4), (3.5), (3.6), (3.7) and (3.15), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i j k}^{x}=h_{i k j}^{x}, \quad h_{i j \bar{k}}^{x}=-R_{\bar{x} i j \bar{k}}^{\prime} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

from the coefficients of $\omega_{j} \wedge \omega_{k}$ and $\omega_{j} \wedge \bar{\omega}_{k}$.
Similarly, the components $h_{i j k l}^{x}$ and $h_{i j k l}^{x}$, respectively $h_{i j \overline{k l}}^{x}$ and $h_{i j \bar{k} \bar{l}}^{x}$, of the covariant derivative of $h_{i j k}^{x}$, respectively $h_{i j \bar{k}}^{x}$, can be defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{l} \varepsilon_{l}\left(h_{i j k l}^{x} \omega_{l}+h_{i j k l}^{x} \bar{w}_{l}\right)  \tag{3.17}\\
& =d h_{i j k}^{x}-\sum_{l} \varepsilon_{l}\left(h_{l j k}^{x} \omega_{l i}+h_{i l k}^{x} \omega_{l j}+h_{i j l}^{x} \omega_{l k}\right)+\sum_{y} \varepsilon_{y} h_{i j k}^{y} \omega_{x y}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{l} \varepsilon_{l}\left(h_{i j \bar{k} l}^{x} \omega_{l}+h_{i j \bar{k} \bar{l}}^{x} \bar{\omega}_{l}\right)  \tag{3.18}\\
& =d h_{i j \bar{k}}^{x}-\sum_{l} \varepsilon_{l}\left(h_{l j \bar{k}}^{x} \omega_{l i}+h_{i l \bar{k}}^{x} \omega_{l j}+h_{i j \bar{l}}^{x} \bar{\omega}_{l k}\right)+\sum_{y} \varepsilon_{y} h_{i j \bar{k}}^{y} \omega_{x y .} .
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiating (3.15) exteriorly and using the properties $d^{2}=0,(3.6)$, (3.7), (3.9), (3.13), (3.15) and (3.16), we have the following Ricci formula for the second fundamental form on $M$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i j k l}^{x}=h_{i j l k}^{x}, \quad h_{i j \bar{k} \bar{l}}^{x}=h_{i j \bar{k}}^{x} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

from the coefficients of $\omega_{k} \wedge \omega_{l}$ and $\bar{\omega}_{k} \wedge \bar{\omega}_{l}$, respectively, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i j k \bar{l}}^{x}-h_{i j \bar{l} k}^{x}=\sum_{r} \varepsilon_{r}\left(R_{\bar{l} k i \bar{r}} h_{r j}^{x}+R_{\bar{l} k j \bar{r}} h_{r i}^{x}\right)-\sum_{y} \varepsilon_{y} R_{\bar{l} k y \bar{x}} h_{i j}^{y} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

from the coefficients of $\omega_{k} \wedge \bar{\omega}_{l}$.
In particular, let the ambient space $M^{\prime}$ be an $(n+p)$-dimensional indefinite complex space form $M_{p}^{n+p}\left(c^{\prime}\right)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c^{\prime}$ and of index $2 p(>0)$. Then we get

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{\bar{i} j k \bar{l}} & =\frac{c^{\prime}}{2} \varepsilon_{j} \varepsilon_{k}\left(\delta_{i j} \delta_{k l}+\delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}\right)-\sum_{x} \varepsilon_{x} h_{j k}^{x} \bar{h}_{i l}^{x}  \tag{3.21}\\
S_{i \bar{j}} & =\frac{c^{\prime}}{2}(n+1) \varepsilon_{i} \delta_{i j}-h_{i \bar{j}}^{2}  \tag{3.22}\\
r & =c^{\prime} n(n+1)-2 h_{2}  \tag{3.23}\\
h_{i j \bar{k}}^{x} & =0 \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{i j k \bar{l}}^{x}= & \frac{c^{\prime}}{2}\left(\varepsilon_{k} h_{i j}^{x} \delta_{k l}+\varepsilon_{i} h_{j k}^{x} \delta_{i l}+\varepsilon_{j} h_{k i}^{x} \delta_{j l}\right) \\
& -\sum_{r, y} \varepsilon_{r} \varepsilon_{y}\left(h_{r i}^{x} h_{j k}^{y}+h_{r j}^{x} h_{k i}^{y}+h_{r k}^{x} h_{i j}^{y}\right) \bar{h}_{r l}^{y} . \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

4. Examples of space-like Einstein Kähler submanifolds. We give in this section some examples of space-like Einstein Kähler submanifolds of an indefinite complex hyperbolic space $C H_{p}^{n+p}(c)$, $c<0$, whose second fundamental forms are parallel or not parallel.

Example 4.1. For an indefinite complex hyperbolic space $\mathrm{CH}_{1}^{n+1}(c)$, if $\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n+2}\right\}$ is the usual homogeneous coordinate system of $C H_{1}^{n+1}(c)$, then the equation $z_{n+2}=0$ defines a totally geodesic spacelike complex hypersurface identifiable with $C H^{n}(c)$. So, it is Einstein and it is trivial that its second fundamental form is parallel.

A semi-definite complex hyperbolic space $C H_{s}^{n}(-c), c>0$, is obtained from a semi-definite complex projective space $C P_{n-s}^{n}(c)$ by reversing the sign of its semi-definite Kähler metric. By taking into account the fact, the previous discussion shows that $C H_{s}^{n}(-c)$ is totally geodesic complex hypersurface of both $C H_{s}^{n+1}(-c)$ and $C H_{s+1}^{n+1}(-c)$.

Example 4.2. For the homogeneous coordinate system $\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n+2}\right\}$ of $C P_{s}^{n+1}(c)$, an indefinite complex quadric $Q_{s}^{n} 0<s<n$, is an indef-
inite complex hypersurface of $C P_{s}^{n+1}(c)$ defined by the equation

$$
-\sum_{j=1}^{s} z_{j}^{2}+\sum_{k=s+1}^{n+2} z_{k}^{2}=0
$$

Then $Q_{s}^{n}$ is a complete complex hypersurface of index $2 s$, and moreover, in the similar way to Kobayashi and Nomizu [7, Chapter 11, Example 10.6], it is Einstein and then the Ricci tensor $S$ satisfies

$$
S=\frac{c}{2} n g, \quad h_{i \bar{j}}^{2}=-\frac{c}{2} \varepsilon_{i} \delta_{i j} .
$$

Note that $Q^{n}$ can be also considered as a complete space-like Einstein complex hypersurface of $\mathrm{CH}_{1}^{n+1}(c), c<0$. In this case, in particular, the second fundamental form of $Q^{n}$ is parallel because it is of codimension one.

Remark 4.1. In his paper [14], Smyth showed that a complete Einstein complex hypersurface $M$ of a complex space form $M^{n+1}(c)$ is totally geodesic or $c>0$ and $M$ is the complex quadric $Q^{n}$.

Remark 4.2. An indefinite Einstein complex hypersurface of an indefinite complex space form is investigated in detail by Montiel and Romero [8].
The following example was also given by them.

Example 4.3. Let us consider an indefinite complex hypersurface $M=M_{n}^{2 n}$ of $C P_{n+1}^{2 n+1}(c)$ defined by the equation

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} z_{j} z_{n+1+j}=0
$$

in the usual homogeneous coordinate system of $C P_{n+1}^{2 n+1}(c)$. It is a complete complex hypersurface of index $2 n$, which is denoted by $Q_{n}^{*}$.
It is easily seen that the Ricci tensor $S$ satisfies

$$
S=c(n+1) g, \quad h_{i \bar{j}}^{2}=-\frac{c}{2} \varepsilon_{i} \delta_{i j}
$$

and hence it is Einstein, so the second fundamental form is parallel.
A same discussion as that in Example 4.3 shows that it is also an indefinite complete Einstein complex hypersurface of $\mathrm{CH}_{n}^{2 n+1}(-c)$, whose second fundamental form is parallel.

Example 4.4. For the homogeneous coordinate systems $\left\{z_{1}, \cdots, z_{s}\right.$, $\left.z_{s+1} \cdots, z_{n+1}\right\}$ of $C P_{s}^{n}(c)$ and $\left\{w_{1}, \cdots, w_{t}, w_{t+1} \cdots, w_{m+1}\right\}$ of $C P_{t}^{m}(c)$, a mapping $f$ of $C P_{s}^{n}(c) \times C P_{t}^{m}(c)$ into $C P_{R(n, m, s, t)}^{N(n, m)}(c)$ with

$$
N(n, m)=n+m+n m, \quad R(n, m, s, t)=s(m-t)+t(n-s)+s+t
$$

is defined by

$$
f(z, w)=\left(z_{a} w_{u}, z_{r} w_{x}, z_{b} w_{y}, z_{s} w_{v}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a, b, \ldots=1, \ldots, s ; \quad r, s, \ldots=s+1, \ldots, n+1 \\
& x, y, \ldots=1, \ldots, t ; \quad u, v, \ldots=t+1, \ldots, m+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $f$ is a well-defined holomorphic mapping and it is seen that $f$ is also an isomorphic imbedding, which is called an indefinite Segre imbedding. In the case of $n=m$, it is Einstein and the Ricci tensor $S$ satisfies

$$
S=\frac{c}{2}(n+1) g
$$

The second fundamental form is not necessarily parallel. In particular, if $s=t=0$, then $f$ is a classical Segre imbedding, see Nakagawa and Takagi [10]. This example is due to Ikawa, Nakagawa and Romero [6]. If $n \neq m$, then it is not Einstein, but its Ricci tensor is parallel. So, the second fundamental form is not parallel.

As the simple case in the definite product ones, $C P^{1}(c) \times C P^{1}(c)$ is the complex quadric $Q^{2}$ in $C P^{3}(c)$. In the indefinite case, however, we can consider two product manifolds $C P_{1}^{1}(c) \times C P_{1}^{1}(c)$ and $C P_{1}^{1}(c) \times C P^{1}(c)$ which are mutually different complex quadric in $C P_{2}^{3}(c)$. In fact, it is seen in Montail and Romero [8] that they are denoted by $Q_{2}^{2}$ and $Q_{1}^{*}$, respectively.

By using the fact that an indefinite complex hyperbolic space $C H_{s}^{n}(-c)$ is obtained from $C P_{n-s}^{n}(c)$ by changing the Kähler metric to its negative. Another indefinite Segre imbedding

$$
f: C H_{s}^{n}(-c) \times C H_{t}^{m}(-c) \longrightarrow C H_{S(n, m, s, t)}^{N(n, m)}(-c)
$$

is given, where

$$
S(n, m, s, t)=(n-s)(m-t)+s t+s+t
$$

In the case where $n=m$, it is Einstein and the Ricci tensor $S$ satisfies $S=-\frac{c}{2}(n+1) g$. In particular, for $s=t=0$, we have a holomorphic isometric imbedding $f$ of $C H^{n}(-c) \times C H^{m}(-c)$ into $C H_{n m}^{N(n, m)}(-c)$.

It is easily seen that the Ricci tensor on the complex submanifold with parallel second fundamental form is also parallel. We give here some examples complex submanifolds of a complex projective space whose second fundamental forms are parallel. These submanifolds are completely classified by Nakagawa and Takagi [10] and their geometric properties are also completely determined.

Example 4.5. Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional compact irreducible Hermitian symmetric space with Kähler metric under the canonical imbedding into a complex projective space $C P^{n+p}(c)$. Then the degree of the imbedding coincides with the rank of $M$ as a symmetric space. This shows that the following six kinds of compact irreducible Hermitian symmetric spaces:

$$
\begin{gathered}
C P^{n}(=S U(n+1) / S(U(n) \times U(1)), \\
Q^{n}(=S O(n+2) / S O(n) \times S O(2)), \quad n \geqq 3 \\
S U(s+2) / S(U(s) \times U(2)), \quad s \geqq 3 \\
S O(10) / U(5), \\
E_{6} / S p i n(10) \times T, \\
E_{7} / E_{6} \times T
\end{gathered}
$$

admit Kähler imbeddings with parallel second fundamental form into $C P^{n+p}(c)$, where $U(n), S U(n)$ and $S O(n)$ denote the unitary group, the special unitary group and the special orthogonal group, respectively, and $E_{6}, \operatorname{Spin}(10)$ and $T$ denote the exceptional group, the spin group and the torus group, respectively. The above six spaces are Einstein, their dimensions are $n, n, 2 s, 10,16$ and 27 , respectively, and their scalar curvatures are given by $c n(n+1), c n^{2}, 2 c s(s+2), 80 c, 192 c$ and $486 c$, respectively. If the imbedding is full, then the codimension $p$ is $0,1, \frac{1}{2}\left(s^{2}-s\right), 5,10$ and 28 , respectively.

Example 4.6. We give another example of complex submanifold of an $N$-dimensional complex projective space $C P^{N}(c)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c$. Define a mapping $f$ of $C P^{n_{1}}\left(c_{1}\right) \times$ $\cdots \times C P^{n_{r}}\left(c_{r}\right)$ into $C P^{N}(c)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(z_{0}^{1}, \ldots, z_{n_{1}}^{1}, \ldots, z_{0}^{r}, \ldots, z_{n_{r}}^{r}\right) \\
& \quad \longrightarrow\left(z_{0}^{1} \cdots z_{0}^{r}, \ldots, z_{i_{1}}^{1} \cdots z_{i_{r}}^{r}, \ldots, z_{n_{1}}^{r} \cdots z_{n_{r}}^{r}\right) \\
& \quad i_{\alpha}=0,1, \ldots, n_{\alpha}, \quad \alpha=1, \ldots, r
\end{aligned}
$$

where $N=\left(n_{1}+1\right) \cdots\left(n_{r}+1\right)-1$ and $\left(z_{0}^{\alpha}, \ldots, z_{n_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}\right)$ are complex homogeneous coordinates of $C P^{n_{\alpha}}\left(c_{\alpha}\right)$. Then it is easy to see that $f$ induces a Kähler imbedding of a Kähler manifold $C P^{n_{1}}\left(c_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times$ $C P^{n_{r}}\left(c_{r}\right)$ into $C P^{N}(c)$ if and only if $c_{1}=\cdots=c_{r}=c$.

In particular, the Kähler manifold $C P^{n_{1}}(c) \times \cdots \times C P^{n_{r}}(c)$ is Einstein if and only if $n_{1}=\cdots=n_{r}=n$. The scalar curvature $r$ of $C P^{n_{1}}(c) \times C P^{n_{2}}(c)$ is given by

$$
r=c\left\{n_{1}\left(n_{1}+1\right)+n_{2}\left(n_{2}+1\right)\right\} .
$$

And moreover, we see $h_{2}=c n_{1} n_{2}$ and $N=\left(n_{1}+1\right)\left(n_{2}+1\right)-1$.
In their paper [10], Nakagawa and Takagi proved the following classification theorem.

Theorem 4.1. Let $M$ be an n-dimensional complete complex submanifold imbedded into an $N$-dimensional complex projective space $C P^{N}(c)$ with parallel second fundamental form. If $M$ is irreducible, then $M$ is congruent to one of six kinds of complex submanifolds imbedded into $C P^{N}(c)$ with parallel second fundamental form given in the above Example 4.5. If $M$ is reducible, then $M$ is congruent to $\left(C P^{n_{1}} \times C P^{n_{2}}, f\right)$ given in Example 4.6 for some $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ with $n=n_{1}+n_{2}$. The corresponding local version is true.

Example 4.7. Calabi [5] classified completely a Kähler imbedding of simply connected complex space forms into complete simply connected space forms. He gave a full Kähler imbedding of $C P^{n}(c)$ into $C P^{N(p)}(p c)$ by

$$
\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(z_{0}^{p}, \ldots, \sqrt{\frac{p!}{p_{0}!\cdots p_{n}!}} z_{0}^{\left.p_{0} \cdots z_{n}{ }^{p_{n}}, \ldots, z_{n}^{p}\right), ~ \text {, }{ }^{p}, \ldots}\right.
$$

where $N(p)={ }_{n+p} C_{p}-1,{ }_{n} C_{m}$ denotes the number of possible combinations of $n$ objects taken $m$ at a time, $\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ are homogeneous coordinates of $C P^{n}(c)$ and $p_{0}, \ldots, p_{n}$ range over all nonnegative integers with $p_{0}+\cdots+p_{n}=p$, which is called a $p$-canonical imbedding.

On the other hand, Romero [13] and Umehara [15] independently proved the indefinite version and they found that there exists a full holomorphic isometric immersion of an indefinite complex space form $M_{s}^{n}(c)$ into an indefinite complex space form $M_{s+t}^{n+p}\left(c^{\prime}\right)$.

Aiyama, Nakagawa and Suh [3] obtained the following local property of the above immersion.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{x} h_{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}^{x} \bar{h}_{j_{1} \cdots j_{l}}^{x} \\
& \quad= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for all } k \neq l \\
\frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \Pi_{r=1}^{k-1}\left(c^{\prime}-c r\right) \varepsilon_{i_{1}} \cdots \varepsilon_{i_{k}} \\
\sum_{\tau} \delta_{\tau\left(i_{1}\right) j_{1}} \cdots \delta_{\tau\left(i_{k}\right) j_{k}} & \text { for } k=l\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sum_{\tau}$ denotes the summation on all permutations $\tau$ with respect to the indices $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}$. By this formula, it is easily seen that the 2 canonical imbedding of $C P^{n}(c)$ into $C P^{N(2)}(2 c)$ has the parallel second fundamental form but the second fundamental form of $C P^{n}(c)$ is not parallel for the $p(\geqq 3)$-canonical imbedding.
5. Parallel second fundamental forms. Let $M$ be an $n(\geqq 2)$ dimensional space-like complex Einstein submanifold of an indefinite complex space form $M^{\prime}=M_{p}^{n+p}(c)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c$. Assume that the second fundamental form is parallel. We denote by $A$ the $p \times p$-matrix defined by $\left(A_{y}^{x}\right)$ and $H$ the $p \times \frac{1}{2} n(n+1)$ matrix defined by $\left(h_{(j k)}^{x}\right)_{j \leqq k}$, where $A_{y}^{x}=\sum_{i, j} h_{i j}^{x} \bar{h}_{i j}^{y}$. Under the above assumption, making use of (3.23), we can simplify the equation (3.25) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A H=\frac{1}{2 n}\left(c n^{2}-2 r\right) H \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $\varepsilon_{i}=1$ and $\varepsilon_{x}=-1$. By the definition of the matrices $A$ and $H$, we see that $A=H H^{*}$, where the symbol * denotes the complex
conjugate and transpose operator, namely, $H^{*}={ }^{t} \bar{H}$. From (5.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{2}=\frac{1}{2 n}\left(c n^{2}-2 r\right) A \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the matrix $A$ is a positive semi-definite Hermitian one, it implies that $c n^{2}-2 r \geqq 0$. This means that the matrix $A$ has at most two different eigenvalues 0 and $\frac{1}{2 n}\left(c n^{2}-2 r\right)$.

We investigate here a property concerning the rank of matrices $A$ and $H$. We denote by rank $A$ the rank of the matrix $A$. At any point $x$ in $M$ we put $q(x)=\operatorname{rank} A(x)$. Then the following result is verified.

Lemma 5.1. Let $M$ be an $n(\geqq 2)$-dimensional space-like complex Einstein submanifold of $M_{p}^{n+p}(c)$. If the second fundamental form on $M$ is parallel and if $M$ is not totally geodesic, then for any point $x$ in $M$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(x)=\operatorname{rank} H(x)=\frac{n}{2 r-c n^{2}}\{c n(n+1)-r\} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose that there exists a geodesic point $x$ in $M$, namely, there is a point $x$ at which all eigenvalues are zero. The assumption that the second fundamental form is parallel implies that the scalar curvature $r$ is constant, and hence we have $r=c n(n+1)$ on $M$, from which together with (3.23) again it follows that $M$ is totally geodesic. Accordingly, by the assumption of this lemma, there do not exist geodesic points. In other words, the matrix $A$ has at least one positive eigenvalue $\lambda=\frac{1}{2 n}\left(c n^{2}-2 r\right)$. Since any point $x$ in $M$ is not a geodesic one, we see that $\operatorname{rank}(A H)=\operatorname{rank} H$ by (5.1), which yields that $\operatorname{rank} H \leqq \operatorname{rank} A$. On the other hand, because of $A=H H^{*}$, we have $\operatorname{rank} A \leqq \operatorname{rank} H$. Thus we obtain $\operatorname{rank} H=\operatorname{rank} A$. The first equality of the formula in Lemma 5.1 follows from this property. In fact, since a positive eigenvalue $\lambda(x)$ of the matrix $A$ at point $x$ is given by

$$
\lambda(x)=\frac{1}{2 n}\left(c n^{2}-2 r\right)
$$

and the scalar curvature $r$ is constant on $M$, the eigenvalue $\lambda$ is constant on $M$, so that the multiplicity $q$ of $\lambda$ is constant on $M$. Thus we have
the $\operatorname{rank} q$ of $A$ is also constant on $M$ and it is easily seen that the rank of the matrix $A$ is equal to that of the matrix $H$ at any point in $M$ and it satisfies

$$
q \lambda=\operatorname{Tr} A=-h_{2}=-\frac{1}{2}\{c n(n+1)-r\}
$$

by (3.23).
This completes the proof.

Now we give an information for the range of the scalar curvature $r$ on $M$. Since the second fundamental form of $M$ is parallel, putting $k=l$ in (3.25) and then summing up with respect to $k$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(n+2) h_{i j}^{x}-2\left\{\sum _ { r } \left({\left.\left.h_{i \bar{r}}^{2} h_{r j}^{x}+{h_{j \bar{r}}}^{2} h_{r i}^{x}\right)+\sum_{y} \varepsilon_{y} A_{y}^{x} h_{i j}^{y}\right\}=0 . . . . . ~ . ~}_{\text {. }}\right.\right. \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Transvecting $\varepsilon_{x} \bar{h}_{i j}^{x}$ to this equation and then summing up with respect to $i, j$ and $x$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(n+2) h_{2}-4 h_{4}-2 \operatorname{Tr} A^{2}=0 \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{4}=\sum_{i, j} h_{i \bar{j}}{ }^{2} h_{j \bar{i}}{ }^{2}$. The matrix $\left(h_{j \bar{k}}{ }^{2}\right)$ is a negative semidefinite Hermitian one, whose eigenvalues $\lambda_{j} \mathrm{~s}$ are nonpositive real valued functions on $M$. This yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{4}=\sum_{j} \lambda_{j}{ }^{2} \geqq \frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{j} \lambda_{j}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{n}(\operatorname{Tr} H)^{2}=\frac{1}{n} h_{2}^{2} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the equality holds if and only if $\lambda=\lambda_{j}$ for any index $j$, namely, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{j \bar{k}}^{2}=\lambda \delta_{j k} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that the equality (5.6) holds on $M$ if and only if $M$ is Einstein. On the other hand, the matrix $A$ is a positive semi-definite Hermitian one of order $p$. Thus its eigenvalues $\mu_{x} \mathrm{~s}$ are all nonnegative real-valued functions on $M$ and hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr} A^{2}=\sum_{x} \mu_{x}^{2} \geqq \frac{1}{p}\left(\sum_{x} \mu_{x}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{p}(\operatorname{Tr} A)^{2}=\frac{1}{p}{h_{2}}^{2} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the equality holds if and only if $\mu=\mu_{x}$ for any index $x$, that is, the matrix $A$ satisfies $A=\mu I_{p}$, where $I_{p}$ denotes the identity matrix of order $p$.

Making use of these properties, we can prove

Lemma 5.2. Let $M$ be a space-like complex submanifold of $M_{p}^{n+p}(c)$. If the second fundamental form on $M$ is parallel and if $M$ is not totally geodesic, then the scalar curvature $r$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \leqq \frac{c}{n+2 p} n^{2}(n+p+1) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the equality holds if and only if $M$ is Einstein.

Proof. By (5.5), (5.6) and (5.8), we have

$$
c(n+2) h_{2}-\frac{4}{n} h_{2}^{2}-\frac{2}{p} h_{2}^{2} \geqq 0
$$

where the equality holds if and only if $M$ is Einstein because if the equality holds at a point, then the fact that the squared norm $h_{2}$ is constant implies that it holds on $M$ and the matrix $A$ satisfies $A=\mu I_{p}$. Hence we obtain

$$
\left\{c n p(n+2)-2(n+2 p) h_{2}\right\} h_{2} \geqq 0
$$

The squared norm $h_{2}$ of the second fundamental form $\alpha$ on $M$ is negative constant because the second fundamental form $\alpha$ on $M$ is parallel and $M$ is not totally geodesic. So, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{2} \geqq \frac{c}{2(n+2 p)} n p(n+2) \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the equality holds if and only if $M$ is Einstein. Hence the assertion (5.9) is derived by (3.23) and (5.10).

Next, we give another information about the restriction of the scalar curvature on $M$.

Lemma 5.3. Let $M$ be a space-like complex submanifold of $M_{p}^{n+p}(c)$, $c<0$. If the second fundamental form on $M$ is parallel, then the scalar curvature $r$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \leqq \frac{c}{2} n(n+1) \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the equality holds if and only if $M$ is a complex space form $M^{n}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)$.

Proof. First, we introduce a tensor field $F$ of type ( 0,4 ) with components $F_{\bar{i} j k \bar{l}}$ defined by

$$
F_{\bar{i} j k \bar{l}}=\sum_{x} \varepsilon_{x} h_{j k}^{x} \bar{h}_{i l}^{x}-\frac{c}{4}\left(\delta_{i j} \delta_{k l}+\delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}\right)
$$

Then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i, j, k, l} F_{\bar{i} j k \bar{l}} \bar{F}_{\bar{i} j k \bar{l}}=\operatorname{Tr} A^{2}+c \operatorname{Tr} A+\frac{c^{2}}{8} n(n+1) \geqq 0 \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the equality holds on $M$ if and only if we have

$$
\sum_{x} \varepsilon_{x} h_{j k}^{x} \bar{h}_{i l}^{x}=\frac{c}{4}\left(\delta_{i j} \delta_{k l}+\delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}\right)
$$

on $M$. By (2.11) and (3.21), if the above equality holds on $M$, then it is seen that $M$ is a complex space form $M^{n}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $\frac{c}{2}$. From (5.6) and (5.12), we can eliminate the term $\operatorname{Tr} A^{2}$ in (5.5) and then we obtain the following inequality

$$
\left\{4 h_{2}-c n(n+1)\right\}\left(4 h_{2}+c n\right) \leqq 0
$$

where the equality holds if and only if $M$ is a complex space form $M^{n}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)$ because $h_{2}$ is constant. Since the holomorphic sectional curvature of the ambient space is assumed to be negative, we see $4 h_{2}+c n<0$, so we have

$$
h_{2} \geqq \frac{c}{4} n(n+1),
$$

where the equality holds if and only if $M$ is a complex space form $M^{n}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)$. Hence the assertion (5.11) is proved by (3.23) and the above equation.
6. Proof of Theorem. Let $M$ be an $n(\geqq 2)$-dimensional spacelike Einstein Kähler submanifold of an indefinite complex space form $M^{\prime}=M_{p}^{n+p}(c), c<0$. Assume that the second fundamental form $\alpha$ on $M$ is parallel. Under this assumption, we give an information for the range of the scalar curvature $r$ on $M$.

Lemma 6.1. Let $M$ be an $n(\geqq 2)$-dimensional space-like Einstein Kähler submanifold of $M_{p}^{n+p}(c), c<0$. If $M$ is not totally geodesic and if the second fundamental form on $M$ is parallel, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \leqq c n^{2} \quad \text { or } \quad r \geqq \frac{c}{4} n(3 n+2) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $M$ is Einstein, the Ricci tensor $S$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{j \bar{k}}=\frac{r}{2 n} \delta_{j k} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ is the scalar curvature, it follows from $\varepsilon_{i}=1,(3.22)$ and (6.2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{j \bar{k}}^{2}=\sum_{l, x} \varepsilon_{x} h_{j l}^{x} \bar{h}_{l k}^{x}=\frac{1}{2 n}\{c n(n+1)-r\} \delta_{j k} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we have by (3.25)

$$
\begin{aligned}
c\left(\varepsilon_{k} h_{i j}^{x} \delta_{k l}+\varepsilon_{i} h_{j k}^{x} \delta_{i l}+\right. & \left.\varepsilon_{j} h_{k i}^{x} \delta_{j l}\right) \\
& -2 \sum_{r, y} \varepsilon_{y}\left(h_{r i}^{x} h_{j k}^{y}+h_{r j}^{x} h_{k i}^{y}+h_{r k}^{x} h_{i j}^{y}\right) \bar{h}_{r l}^{y}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

because the second fundamental form of $M$ is parallel. Transvecting $\varepsilon_{x} \bar{h}_{i m}^{x} \bar{h}_{j k}^{z}$ to this equation and then summing up with respect to $x, i, j$ and $k$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
c\left(2 \sum_{j} h_{j \bar{m}}^{2} \bar{h}_{j l}^{z}+\sum_{x} \varepsilon_{x} \bar{h}_{m l}^{x} A_{z}^{x}\right) & -2 \sum_{j, y} \varepsilon_{y} h_{j \bar{m}}^{2} A_{z}^{y} \bar{h}_{j l}^{y} \\
& -4 \sum_{i, j, k, r, x, y} \varepsilon_{x} \varepsilon_{y} \bar{h}_{m r}^{x} h_{r i}^{y} \bar{h}_{i j}^{z} h_{j k}^{x} \bar{h}_{k l}^{y}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

We denote by $H^{x}$ a symmetric matrix defined as $\left(h_{j k}^{x}\right)$ of order $n$. Then we can reform the above equation as follows:
(6.4) $\sum_{x, y} \varepsilon_{x} \varepsilon_{y} \bar{H}^{x} H^{y} \bar{H}^{z} H^{x} \bar{H}^{y}$

$$
=\frac{1}{8 n^{2}}\left\{2 r^{2}-c n(3 n+2) r+c^{2} n^{2}\left(n^{2}+2 n+2\right)\right\} \bar{H}^{z}
$$

where we have used (5.1) and (6.3).
Now we define a tensor field $G$ with components $G^{x y z}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{x y z}= & H^{x} \bar{H}^{y} H^{z}+H^{z} \bar{H}^{y} H^{x} \\
& -\frac{2}{c n^{3}-(n-2) r}\{c n(n+1)-r\}\left(A_{y}^{x} H^{z}+A_{y}^{z} H^{x}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By the direct and complicated calculation, it follows from (5.2), (6.3) and (6.4) that we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{x, y, z} \varepsilon_{x} \varepsilon_{y} \varepsilon_{z} G^{x y z} \bar{G}^{x y z}  \tag{6.5}\\
& =\frac{1}{8 n^{3}\left\{c n^{3}-(n-2) r\right\}}(n+2)\{c n(3 n+2)-4 r\} \\
& \quad \times\left(c n^{2}-r\right)\{c n(n+1)-r\}\{c n(n+2)-r\} I
\end{align*}
$$

Since $M$ is not totally geodesic, we have by (3.23)

$$
c n(n+1)-r<0
$$

And taking account of this inequality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
c n(n+2)-r<c n(n+2)-c n(n+1) & =c n<0 \\
c n^{3}-(n-2) r<c n^{3}-c(n-2) n(n+1) c & =c n(n+2)<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the above three equations, we have by (6.5)

$$
\{c n(3 n+2)-4 r\}\left(c n^{2}-r\right) \geqq 0
$$

because the lefthand side of the equation (6.5) is nonpositive.
This completes the proof.

Moreover, we obtain by (5.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\frac{c}{n+2 q} n^{2}(n+q+1) \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $r \leqq c n^{2}$, then the above equation implies that $q \leqq 1$, namely, we have $q=1$. And if $r \geqq c / 4 n(3 n+2)$, then we have $q \geqq \frac{n}{2}$. Thus we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=1 \quad \text { or } \quad q \geqq \frac{n}{2} . \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 6.2. Let $M$ be an $n(\geqq 2)$-dimensional space-like Einstein complex submanifold of $M_{p}^{n+p}(c), c<0$. If it is not totally geodesic, then there exists an $(n+q)$-dimensional totally geodesic submanifold $M^{\prime}$ in $M_{p}^{n+p}(c)$ in which the given submanifold $M$ is immersed, where $q=\operatorname{rank} A>0$.

Proof. For the unitary frame $\left\{E_{\alpha}\right\}=\left\{E_{j}, E_{y}\right\}$ at any point $x$, we define the normal space to $M$ at $x$, which is denoted by $N_{x}$

$$
N_{x}=\left\{\sum_{y} \xi^{y} E_{y}: \xi^{y} \in \mathbf{C}\right\}
$$

where $\mathbf{C}$ is the complex field. We define a mapping $f$ of $N_{x} \times N_{x}$ into C by

$$
f(Y, Z)=\sum_{y, z} A_{z}^{y} \bar{\xi}^{y} \eta^{z}, \quad Y=\sum_{y} \xi^{y} E_{y}, \quad Z=\sum_{z} \eta^{z} E_{z}
$$

Let $H_{p}$ be a set of all Hermitian matrices of order $p$, which is considered as a complex vector space. Then the unitary group $U(p)$ operates $H_{p}$ as follows:
For any Hermitian matrix $H$ in $H_{p}$ and any unitary matrix $U$ in $U(p)$,

$$
U(H)=U^{*} H U
$$

where * denotes the complex conjugate and transpose operator. Since the matrix $A$ is invariant under $U(p)$, the mapping $f$ is well-defined and
it is a positive semi-definite Hermitian form of order $q$, so that it can be normalized. This means that we can new unitary frame $\left\{E_{i}, E_{\alpha}, E_{\lambda}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\alpha i} \neq 0, \quad \omega_{\lambda i}=0 \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the range of indices is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
i, j, \ldots & =1, \ldots, n \\
\alpha, \beta, \ldots & =n+1, \ldots, n+q \\
\lambda, \mu, \ldots & =n+q+1, \ldots, n+p
\end{aligned}
$$

By definition of $h_{i j k}^{\lambda}$, we have $\sum_{\alpha} h_{i j}^{\alpha} \omega_{\lambda \alpha}=0$. It implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\lambda \alpha}=0 \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any indices $\alpha$ and $\lambda$. From (6.8) and (6.9), we can define a distribution $D M$ defined by

$$
\omega_{\lambda}=0, \quad \omega_{\lambda i}=0, \quad \omega_{\lambda \alpha}=0
$$

Then it follows from the structure equations on $M_{p}^{n+p}(c)$ that we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \omega_{\lambda} & =-\sum_{j} \omega_{\lambda j} \wedge \omega_{j}-\sum_{\alpha} \omega_{\lambda \alpha} \wedge \omega_{\alpha}-\sum_{\mu} \omega_{\lambda \mu} \wedge \omega_{\mu} \\
& \equiv 0\left(\bmod \omega_{\lambda}, \omega_{\lambda i}, \omega_{\lambda \alpha}\right), \\
d \omega_{\lambda i} & =-\sum_{j} \omega_{\lambda j} \wedge \omega_{j i}-\sum_{\alpha} \omega_{\lambda \alpha} \wedge \omega_{\alpha i}-\sum_{\mu} \omega_{\lambda \mu} \wedge \omega_{\mu i}+\Omega_{\lambda i} \\
& \equiv 0\left(\bmod \omega_{\lambda}, \omega_{\lambda i}, \omega_{\lambda \alpha}\right), \\
d \omega_{\lambda \alpha} & =-\sum_{j} \omega_{\lambda j} \wedge \omega_{j \alpha}-\sum_{\beta} \omega_{\lambda \beta} \wedge \omega_{\beta \alpha}-\sum_{\mu} \omega_{\lambda \mu} \wedge \omega_{\mu \alpha}+\Omega_{\lambda \alpha} \\
& \equiv 0\left(\bmod \omega_{\lambda}, \omega_{\lambda i}, \omega_{\lambda \alpha}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the distribution $D M$ is of dimensional $(n+q)$ and it becomes completely integrable. For any point $x$, we consider the maximal integral submanifold $M^{\prime}(x)$ of $M$ through $x$. Then $M^{\prime}(x)$ is of $(n+q)$ dimensional and it is totally geodesic in $M_{p}^{n+p}(c)$ by the construction. Moreover, $M$ is immersed in $M^{\prime}(x)$.

This completes the proof.
Now we are in a position to prove the main theorem stated in the Introduction.
The immersion of $M$ into $M_{p}^{n+p}(c)$ is said to be full if $M$ cannot be immersed in $\left(n+p^{\prime}\right)$-dimensional totally geodesic submanifold in $M_{p}^{n+p}(c)$, where $p>p^{\prime}>0$. The first assertion of the theorem follows immediately from (6.7) and Lemma 6.2 and the rigidity theorem due to Montiel and Romero [8] for an Einstein semi-Kähler hypersurface in an indefinite hyperbolic space.
Next, we shall prove another case. In this case, we may suppose $p=q$ because of the full immersion. By the assumption of the theorem, we have $p=q \geqq \frac{1}{2} n(n+1)$. We denote by $r(q)$ the right hand side of (6.6), namely, we see $r=r(q)$. We can regard $r(q)$ as the function with one variable $q$ and then it is easily seen that it is monotonic increasing with respect to $q$ because $c$ is negative, and hence

$$
r=r(q) \geqq \frac{c}{2} n(n+1),
$$

from which together with (5.11), it follows that

$$
r=\frac{c}{2} n(n+1) .
$$

By taking account of Lemma 5.3 and (6.6), $p=\frac{1}{2} n(n+1)$ and $M$ is a complex space form $M^{n}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $\frac{c}{2}$.
This completes the proof.
Problem 6.1. Does there exist an $n(\geqq 2)$-dimensional spacelike Einstein Kähler submanifold of an indefinite complex space form $M_{p}^{n+p}(c), c<0, \frac{n}{2}<p<\frac{1}{2} n(n+1) ?$

Remark 6.1. Is the estimate of the codimension in the assertion (1) of the theorem best possible? As seen in Example 4.6, the product manifold $C H^{\frac{n}{2}}(c) \times C H^{\frac{n}{2}}(c)$ is a space-like Einstein complex submanifold $C H_{p}^{n+p}(c)$, where $p=1 / 4 n^{2}$. So, if $n=2$, then $p=1$. However, it means essentially that it is complex quadric.

Remark 6.2. Let $M=M_{s}^{n}(c)$ be a complex $n$-dimensional semidefinite Kähler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c$ and of index $2 s$, and let $M_{S}^{N}\left(c^{\prime}\right)$ be a complex $N$-dimensional semidefinite complete simply connected complex space form of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c^{\prime}$ and of index $2 S$. Then a holomorphic isometric immersion $f: M_{s}^{n}(c) \rightarrow M_{S}^{N}\left(c^{\prime}\right)$ is said to be full if $f\left(M_{s}^{n}(c)\right)$ is not contained in a totally geodesic submanifold of $M_{S}^{N}\left(c^{\prime}\right)$. It is seen in [13] that $M_{s}^{n}(c), c>0$, admits a full holomorphic isometric immersion into $M_{S}^{N}\left(c^{\prime}\right), c^{\prime}>0$, if and only if $c^{\prime}=k c$ for some positive integer $k$,

$$
N={ }_{n+k} C_{k}-1=: N(n, k)
$$

and

$$
S=\sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{k+1}{2}\right]-1} s+2 j C_{2 j+1} n-s+k-2 j-1 C_{k-2 j-1}=: S(n, s, k) \quad \text { if } s>0
$$

where $\left[\frac{k+1}{2}\right]$ denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to $\frac{1}{2}(k+1)$, and $S=0$ and if $s=0$. The local version is true.

Changing the Kähler metric of $M_{n}^{n}(c), c>0$, by its opposite, we have that there exists a full holomorphic isometric immersion of $M^{n}(-c)$, $c>0$, into $M_{S^{\prime}(n, k)}^{N(n, k)}(-k c), c>0$, where $S^{\prime}(n, k)=N(n, k)-S(n, n, k)$. It is seen that

$$
N(n, 2)-n=S^{\prime}(n, 2)=\frac{1}{2} n(n+1)
$$

and

$$
N(n, k)-n>S^{\prime}(n, k) \quad \text { if } k>2
$$

This means that there exists only one full holomorphic isometric immersion of $M^{n}(c), c<0$, into $M_{p}^{n+p}\left(c^{\prime}\right), c^{\prime}<0$, as space-like submanifolds except for the trivial immersion as a totally geodesic one. In this case, we see $k=2$ and $p=\frac{1}{2} n(n+1)$.

Remark 6.3. In their paper [3], Aiyama, Nakagawa and Suh proved the following fact. Let $M$ be a space-like complex submanifold with constant scalar curvature $r$ of $M_{p}^{n+p}(c), c<0$. If $r>\frac{c}{2} n(n+1)$, then $M$ is a complex space form $M^{n}\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)$ and $p \geqq \frac{1}{2} n(n+1)$.
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