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#### Abstract

Forms of Chern-Simons type associated to homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structures are considered. The corresponding secondary classes are a measure of the lack of a homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian space to be locally symmetric. Explicit computations are done for some pseudoRiemannian Lie groups and their compact quotients.


1. Introduction. The characterization by É. Cartan of Riemannian locally symmetric spaces as those Riemannian manifolds whose curvature tensor is parallel was extended by Ambrose and Singer in [1]. They proved that a complete simply connected Riemannian manifold is homogeneous if and only if it admits a $(1,2)$ tensor field $S$ satisfying certain equations. If $S=0$ then the manifold is Riemannian symmetric.

The purpose of the present paper is to provide forms of ChernSimons type for each pseudo-Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ endowed with a homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structure $S$. This construction furnishes odd-dimensional differential forms of degree greater than 1, which are null if $S=0$. Under certain conditions, these forms are closed and define secondary classes. Each of such triples $(M, g, S)$ has thus a number of such differential forms, and roughly speaking (when the corresponding group of real cohomology of the manifold is nonzero), the more nonvanishing classes of that kind a manifold has, the less symmetric it is.

We give several examples of such forms on some Lie groups equipped with left-invariant metrics: The three-dimensional unimodular Lie groups, so having instances of Abelian, nilpotent, solvable and simple Lie groups; and the five-dimensional generalized Heisenberg group $H(1,2)$, which is nilpotent. Further, we consider the corresponding

[^0]secondary classes of the compact quotients of the previous groups, identifying them in the real cohomology spaces of the quotient spaces. In [6], we also studied the oscillator group.
2. Preliminaries. Ambrose and Singer [1] proved that a connected, simply connected and complete Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ is homogeneous if and only if there exists a $(1,2)$ tensor field $S$ on $M$, called a homogeneous Riemannian structure, satisfying certain equations, see (2.1) below. In [4] we have extended that characterization to pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. Specifically, let $(M, g)$ be a connected $C^{\infty}$ pseudo-Riemannian manifold of dimension $n$ and signature $(k, n-k)$. Let $\nabla$ be the Levi-Civita connection of $g$ and $R$ its curvature tensor field. A homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structure on $(M, g)$ is a tensor field $S$ of type $(1,2)$ on $M$ such that the connection $\nabla=\nabla-S$ satisfies
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\nabla} g=0, \quad \tilde{\nabla} R=0, \quad \tilde{\nabla} S=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

If $g$ is a Lorentzian metric $(k=1)$, we say that $S$ is a homogeneous Lorentzian structure. In [4] we proved that if $(M, g)$ is connected, simply connected and geodesically complete, then it admits a homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structure if and only if it is a reductive homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold.

Let $(P, M, G)$ be a principal fiber bundle over the $n$-dimensional $C^{\infty}$ manifold $M$. Let $\mathcal{I}^{r}(G)$ be the real vector space of $\operatorname{Ad}(G)$ invariant polynomials of degree $r$. Let $D$ be a connection in $P$, with connection 1-form $\omega$ and curvature form $\Omega=d \omega+\omega \wedge \omega$. Let $I \in \mathcal{I}^{r}(G)$ be an invariant polynomial. One can consider for each $r$ the $2 r$-form $I\left(\Omega^{r}\right)=I(\Omega, \ldots, \Omega)$, which is a $2 r$-form on $P$ and projects to a (unique) $2 r$-form on $M$, say again $I\left(\Omega^{r}\right)$. This form is closed and determines a cohomology class in $H^{2 r}(M, \mathbf{R})$. Let $\widetilde{D}$ be another connection in $P$ with connection 1-form $\widetilde{\omega}$ and curvature form $\widetilde{\Omega}$. Consider the connection given, for a $t \in[0,1]$, by $\omega_{t}=\tilde{\omega}+t(\omega-\tilde{\omega})$, with curvature form $\Omega_{t}=d \omega_{t}+\omega_{t} \wedge \omega_{t}$. Then we have the transgression formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(\Omega^{r}\right)-I\left(\widetilde{\Omega}^{r}\right)=d Q(\omega, \tilde{\omega}) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(\omega, \tilde{\omega}):=r \int_{0}^{1} I(\omega-\tilde{\omega}, \underbrace{\Omega_{t}, \ldots, \Omega_{t}}_{r-1}) d t \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Chern-Simons $(2 r-1)$-form $Q(\omega, \tilde{\omega})$ on $M$ defines, if $I\left(\Omega^{r}\right)=$ $I\left(\widetilde{\Omega}^{r}\right)$, a secondary class.
3. Chern-Simons forms associated to a homogeneous pseudoRiemannian structure. We consider the bundle of pseudo orthonormal frames $p: \mathcal{O}_{k, n-k}(M) \rightarrow M$ over the pseudo-Riemannian $n$ manifold $(M, g)$, where $g$ is a metric of signature $(k, n-k)$. We define $\operatorname{Ad}(O(k, n-k))$-invariant polynomial functions $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}$ on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}(k, n-k)$ by

$$
f(t, X)=\operatorname{det}(t I+X)=\sum_{r=0}^{n} f_{r}(X) t^{n-r}, \quad X \in \mathfrak{o}(k, n-k)
$$

Let $\Omega$ be the curvature form of a connection $\omega$ in $\mathcal{O}_{k, n-k}(M)$. Then, for each $f_{r}, r=1, \ldots, n$, there exists a unique closed $2 r$-form $v_{r}$ on $M$ such that $p^{*}\left(v_{r}\right)=f_{r}(\Omega)$. One has $\operatorname{det}(I+\Omega)=p^{*}\left(1+v_{1}+\cdots+\right.$ $v_{n}$ ), so having characteristic forms $v_{r}$ of degree $2 r$, and a total form $\Upsilon\left(\mathcal{O}_{k, n-k}(M), \omega\right)=1+\sum_{r=1}^{n} v_{r}$. The forms $f_{r}(\Omega)$ are the elementary symmetric functions $s_{r}(\Omega), r=1, \ldots, n$, of the eigenvalues of $\Omega$, so that $\operatorname{det}(I+\Omega)=1+s_{1}(\Omega)+s_{2}(\Omega)+\cdots+s_{n}(\Omega)$. By using Newton's recursive formulas, one can further compute the functions $s_{r}(\Omega)$ in terms of the traces of the powers of $\Omega$ from the expressions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{tr}\left(\Omega^{r}\right)-s_{1}(\Omega) \operatorname{tr}\left(\Omega^{r-1}\right)+s_{2}(\Omega) \operatorname{tr}\left(\Omega^{r-2}\right)-\cdots \\
& \quad+(-1)^{r-1} s_{r-1}(\Omega) \operatorname{tr}(\Omega)+(-1)^{r} r s_{r}(\Omega)=0, \quad r=1, \ldots, n,
\end{aligned}
$$

and since $\operatorname{tr} \Omega=0$, we have after computation that
$\operatorname{det}(I+\Omega)=1-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\Omega^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{3} \operatorname{tr}\left(\Omega^{3}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\operatorname{tr}(\Omega)^{2}\right)^{2}-\operatorname{tr}\left(\Omega^{4}\right)\right)+\cdots$.
Now, we consider here the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$ and the linear connection $\widetilde{\nabla}=\nabla-S$, with connection form $\tilde{\omega}$ and curvature form $\widetilde{\Omega}$, as in the previous section, where $S$ is a homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian
structure on $(M, g)$, so that the general equation (2.2) can be written in this case as

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{r}(\Omega)-s_{r}(\widetilde{\Omega})=d Q(\omega, \tilde{\omega}) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $s_{r}(\Omega)=s_{r}(\widetilde{\Omega})$, then $Q(\omega, \tilde{\omega})$ is closed, so determining a secondary class. In particular, if $r=2,3$, then this happens if $\operatorname{tr}\left(\Omega^{r}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\widetilde{\Omega}^{r}\right)$. We shall denote by $Q_{2 r-1}^{S}(M, g)$, or simply by $Q_{2 r-1}^{S}$, the form $Q(\omega, \tilde{\omega})$ in (3.1).

Definition 3.1. Let $(M, g)$ be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold and let $S$ be a homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structure on $M$. We shall call the forms $Q_{2 r-1}^{S}(M, g)$, for each $3 \leq 2 r-1 \leq \operatorname{dim} M$, Chern-Simons forms of pseudo-Riemannian homogeneity, or simply forms of homogeneity, on $(M, g, S)$. We shall call the corresponding real cohomology classes $\left[Q_{2 r-1}^{S}\right](M, g)$ secondary classes of pseudoRiemannian homogeneity, or simply secondary classes of homogeneity.

The case $r=1$ in (3.1) is trivial, as the forms $\omega-\tilde{\omega}, \Omega$, and $\widetilde{\Omega}$ take values in $\mathfrak{o}(k, n-k)$. For $r=2$, we get the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{3}^{S}=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(2 \sigma \wedge \widetilde{\Omega}+\sigma \wedge d \sigma+2 \sigma \wedge \tilde{\omega} \wedge \sigma+\frac{2}{3} \sigma \wedge \sigma \wedge \sigma\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma=\omega-\tilde{\omega}$. One can obtain similar formulas for any $r$ with $2 r \leq \operatorname{dim} M$. We give also the formula for $r=3$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{5}^{S}= & \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{tr}\left\{3 \sigma \wedge \widetilde{\Omega}^{2}+\frac{3}{2}\left(\sigma^{2} \wedge \widetilde{\Omega} \wedge \tilde{\omega}+2 \sigma \wedge \widetilde{\Omega} \wedge \sigma \wedge \tilde{\omega}+\sigma \wedge \widetilde{\Omega} \wedge d \sigma\right.\right.  \tag{3.3}\\
& \left.+\sigma^{2} \wedge \widetilde{\omega} \wedge \widetilde{\Omega}+\sigma \wedge d \sigma \wedge \widetilde{\Omega}+2 \sigma^{4} \wedge \widetilde{\omega}+\sigma^{3} \wedge d \sigma\right) \\
& +2 \sigma^{3} \wedge \widetilde{\Omega}+3 \sigma^{2} \wedge \tilde{\omega} \wedge \sigma \wedge \tilde{\omega}+2 \sigma \wedge \tilde{\omega} \wedge \sigma \wedge d \sigma+\sigma^{3} \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{2} \\
& \left.+\sigma^{2} \wedge \tilde{\omega} \wedge d \sigma+\sigma^{2} \wedge d \sigma \wedge \tilde{\omega}+\sigma \wedge(d \sigma)^{2}+\frac{3}{5} \sigma^{5}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

 results for the forms $Q_{2 r-1}^{S}$.

Proposition 3.2. If $S=0$ then $Q_{2 r-1}^{S}=0$, for each $r$.

Proof. Immediate from (2.3).

Let $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ be homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structures on $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$, respectively. We recall [11, pp. 33-34] that an isomorphism between $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ is an isometry $\varphi:\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ which is also an affine transformation with respect to the connections $\widetilde{\nabla}_{1}=\nabla_{1}-S_{1}$ and $\widetilde{\nabla}_{2}=\nabla_{2}-S_{2}$. Then we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3. If $\varphi:\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ is an isomorphism between $S_{1}$ on $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ and $S_{2}$ on $\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$, then $\varphi^{*}\left(Q_{2 r-1}^{S_{2}}\right)=Q_{2 r-1}^{S_{1}}$, for each $r$.

Proof. According to the previous definition, we have that $\varphi^{*} \omega_{2}=\omega_{1}$ and $\varphi^{*} \tilde{\omega}_{2}=\tilde{\omega}_{1}$. Thus we have that $\varphi^{*}\left(\left(\omega_{t}\right)_{2}\right)=\varphi^{*}\left(\tilde{\omega}_{2}+t\left(\omega_{2}-\tilde{\omega}_{2}\right)\right)=$ $\left(\omega_{t}\right)_{1}$, and so $\varphi^{*}\left(\left(\Omega_{t}\right)_{2}\right)=\varphi^{*}\left(d\left(\omega_{t}\right)_{2}+\left(\omega_{t}\right)_{2} \wedge\left(\omega_{t}\right)_{2}\right)=\left(\Omega_{t}\right)_{1}$. Hence for any invariant polynomial $I$ we have that

$$
\varphi^{*}\left\{I\left(\sigma_{2},\left(\Omega_{t}\right)_{2}, \ldots,\left(\Omega_{t}\right)_{2}\right)\right\}=I\left(\sigma_{1},\left(\Omega_{t}\right)_{1}, \ldots,\left(\Omega_{t}\right)_{1}\right)
$$

As $I$ is multi-linear, we conclude.

## Proposition 3.4.

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\Omega^{r}\right)-\operatorname{tr}\left(\widetilde{\Omega}^{r}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left\{\sum_{l=0}^{r-1}\binom{r}{l} \Omega^{l} \wedge\left(3[S, S]-\mathcal{A} S_{S}\right)^{r-l}\right\}
$$

where $\mathcal{A} S_{S}$ is defined by $\left(\mathcal{A} S_{S}\right)(X, Y)=S_{S(X, Y)-S(Y, X)}$.
Proof. First we recall that $d^{\nabla} S$ is defined [7, p. 22] by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(d^{\nabla} S\right)(X, Y)=\nabla_{X} S_{Y}-\nabla_{Y} S_{X}-S_{[X, Y]} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
[S, S](X, Y)=S_{X} S_{Y}-S_{Y} S_{X}=\left[S_{X}, S_{Y}\right] \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, Ambrose-Singer's third equation (2.1) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{X} S\right)(Y, Z)=\left[S_{X}, S_{Y}\right](Z)-S_{S(X, Y)} Z \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\nabla$ is torsionless, by (3.4) we can write $\left(d^{\nabla} S\right)(X, Y)(Z)=$ $\left(\nabla_{X} S\right)(Y, Z)-\left(\nabla_{Y} S\right)(X, Z)$, and thus from (3.6) one has that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(d^{\nabla} S\right)(X, Y)(Z)=\left\{2\left[S_{X}, S_{Y}\right]-S_{S(X, Y)-S(Y, X)}\right\}(Z) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, on account of (3.5) we have that $\left(d^{\nabla} S\right)(X, Y)=(2[S, S]-$ $\left.\mathcal{A} S_{S}\right)(X, Y)$. Substituting now (3.7) in Koszul's formula $\widetilde{\Omega}=\Omega+$ $[S, S]+d^{\nabla} S$, see $\left[\mathbf{7}\right.$, p. 22], we obtain that $\widetilde{\Omega}=\Omega+3[S, S]-\mathcal{A} S_{S}$. Finally, calculation of $\operatorname{tr}\left(\Omega^{r}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\Omega+3[S, S]-\mathcal{A} S_{S}\right)^{r}$ gives us, on account of the property $\operatorname{tr}(\Phi \wedge \Psi)=\operatorname{tr}(\Psi \wedge \Phi)$ for any two End $(T M)$ valued 2 -forms $\Phi, \Psi$, the expression in the statement.

In particular, if $3[S, S]=\mathcal{A} S_{S}$, then $\operatorname{tr}\left(\Omega^{r}\right)-\operatorname{tr}\left(\widetilde{\Omega}^{r}\right)=0$, and $Q_{2 r-1}^{S}$ defines, for $r=2,3$, a secondary class $\left[Q_{2 r-1}^{S}\right]$.

## 4. Examples of forms $Q_{2 r-1}^{S}$ associated to homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structures.

4.1 The 3 -dimensional unimodular Lie groups. Let $G$ be a connected unimodular Lie group, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, endowed with a left-invariant Riemannian metric $g$. We consider the homogeneous Riemannian structure $S$ on $(G, g)$ defined by [11, p. 83]
$2 g\left(S_{X} Y, Z\right)=g([X, Y], Z)-g([Y, Z], X)+g([Z, X], Y), \quad X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$.

If $\operatorname{dim} G=3$ there exists [8] an orthonormal basis $\left\{E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[E_{1}, E_{2}\right]=\lambda_{3} E_{3}, \quad\left[E_{2}, E_{3}\right]=\lambda_{1} E_{1}, \quad\left[E_{3}, E_{1}\right]=\lambda_{2} E_{2} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $G$ then $\nabla_{E_{i}} E_{i}=S_{E_{i}} E_{i}=0$ and the remaining components of $\nabla$ and $S$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{E_{1}} E_{2}=S_{E_{1}} E_{2} \\
& \nabla_{E_{1}} E_{3}=S_{E_{1}} E_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) E_{3} \\
& \nabla_{E_{2}} E_{1}\left.=S_{3}\right) E_{2} \\
& \nabla_{E_{2}} E_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right) E_{3} \\
& \nabla_{E_{3}} E_{1}=S_{E_{2}} E_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right) E_{1} \\
& \nabla_{E_{3}} E_{2}=S_{E_{3}} E_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right) E_{2} \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\left\{\tilde{\theta}^{1}, \theta^{2}, \theta^{3}\right\}$ be the basis dual to $\left\{E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}\right\}$. We obtain for $\omega, \tilde{\omega}$ and $\widetilde{\Omega}$ defined as in Section 3, that $\tilde{\omega}=0, \widetilde{\Omega}=0$,

$$
\omega=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \left(-\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right) \theta^{3} & \left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right) \theta^{2} \\
\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right) \theta^{3} & 0 & \left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right) \theta^{1} \\
\left(-\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right) \theta^{2} & \left(-\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right) \theta^{1} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and then from (3.2), after some calculations, the next proposition.

Proposition 4.1. The Chern-Simons form associated to the homogeneous Riemannian structure $S$ on $G$, for arbitrarily fixed $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ as in (4.2), is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{3}^{S}\left(G_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}}, g\right)=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum \lambda_{i}^{3}-\sum_{i \neq j} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{j}^{2}+4 \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}\right) \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{2} \wedge \theta^{3} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $S=0$ then $\lambda_{i}=0,1 \leq i \leq 3$, and the group $G$ is commutative; in this case $Q_{3}^{S}\left(G_{0,0,0}, g\right)=0$. Since $S_{E_{1}} E_{1}=S_{E_{2}} E_{2}=S_{E_{3}} E_{3}=0$, one has $c_{12}(S)=0$, and hence $S$ is of type $\mathcal{S}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{3}$, see [11, p. 84], [5]. In particular, $S$ is of type $\mathcal{S}_{2}$, that is, $\mathfrak{S}_{X Y Z} S_{X Y Z}=0$ for every $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$, if and only if $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}=0$; and $S$ is of type $\mathcal{S}_{3}$, that is $S_{X} Y+S_{Y} X=0$ for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, if and only if $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\lambda_{3}$. By [8], see also [11, p. 84], if $S \neq 0$ is of type $\mathcal{S}_{2}$ then the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $G$ is either the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}(1,1)$ of the Lie group of rigid motions of the Minkowski plane or $\mathfrak{s l}(2, \mathbf{R})$, and we have that

$$
Q_{3}^{S}\left(G_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}}, g\right)=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{1}^{3}-\lambda_{2}^{3}-\lambda_{3}^{3}+4 \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}\right) \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{2} \wedge \theta^{3}
$$

with $\sum \lambda_{i}=0$. If $S \neq 0$ is of type $\mathcal{S}_{3}$ we may suppose $\lambda_{i}=1, i=1,2,3$; then $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s u}(2)$, and we have that

$$
Q_{3}^{S}(S U(2), g)=-\frac{1}{2} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{2} \wedge \theta^{3}
$$

As a consequence of Milnor's classification [8] of three-dimensional unimodular Lie algebras, if $S$ is neither of type $\mathcal{S}_{2}$ nor $\mathcal{S}_{3}$ then $\mathfrak{g}$ is either the Heisenberg Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_{3}$ or the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}_{2}$ of the Lie group of rigid motions of the Euclidean space. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of the Heisenberg group $H_{3}$ we may suppose that $\lambda_{1}=1$ and $\lambda_{2}=\lambda_{3}=0$; in this case,

$$
Q_{3}^{S}\left(H_{3}, g\right)=-\frac{1}{2} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{2} \wedge \theta^{3}
$$

If $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{e}_{2}$, then one of the constants, suppose $\lambda_{3}$, is null; in this case,

$$
Q_{3}^{S}\left(E(2)_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}}, g\right)=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)^{2} \theta^{1} \wedge \theta^{2} \wedge \theta^{3}
$$

4.2 The Heisenberg group. Consider again the Heisenberg group $H_{3}$, that is, the simply connected Lie group corresponding to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_{3}=\langle a, x, y\rangle$ with nonzero bracket $[x, y]=a$. We now endow $H_{3}$ with the left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric defined at $\mathfrak{h}_{3}$ by the diagonal matrix $g=\operatorname{diag}(\varepsilon, 1,1)$ with respect to the given basis, where $\varepsilon= \pm 1$. Let $\{\tau, \alpha, \beta\}$ be the basis dual to $\{a, x, y\}$. Then, integrating Ambrose-Singer's equations (2.1), we obtain [5, 11] the 1-parameter family of homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structures

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\lambda}=\lambda \tau \otimes(\alpha \wedge \beta)+\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \beta \otimes(\tau \wedge \alpha)-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \alpha \otimes(\tau \wedge \beta), \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{R} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this we have that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\omega=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -\beta & \alpha \\
\varepsilon \beta & 0 & \varepsilon \tau \\
-\varepsilon \alpha & -\varepsilon \tau & 0
\end{array}\right), \text { and letting } A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right): \\
\widetilde{\omega}=\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\lambda\right) \tau A, \quad \widetilde{\Omega}=\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\lambda\right) \alpha \wedge \beta A
\end{gathered}
$$

and then, after some computations from (3.2), we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 4.2. The form of homogeneity on $\left(H_{3}, g_{\varepsilon}\right)$ corresponding to the homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structure $S_{\lambda}$ is given by

$$
Q_{3}^{S_{\lambda}}\left(H_{3}, g_{\varepsilon}\right)=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}-2 \lambda(\lambda+\varepsilon)\right) \tau \wedge \alpha \wedge \beta
$$

Notice that in the Riemannian case, that is, when $\varepsilon=1$, and if $\lambda=-1 / 2$, then $S_{\lambda}$ is the homogeneous Riemannian structure on $H_{3}$ obtained in Section 4.1, where the Heisenberg group was considered as a particular case of three-dimensional unimodular Lie group.
4.3 The generalized Heisenberg group $H(1,2)$. Consider [3] a 2-nilpotent Lie group $N$ with the left-invariant metric induced by a, not necessarily positive definite, inner product in their Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$. If $\mathfrak{n}$ is a Lie algebra with inner product $\langle$,$\rangle and \mathfrak{z}$ is the center of $\mathfrak{n}$, one considers a decomposition $\mathfrak{n}=\mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{v}$, where $\mathfrak{z}=\mathfrak{U} \oplus \mathfrak{Z}$, $\mathfrak{v}=\mathfrak{V} \oplus \mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{U}$ stands for the null subspace of $\mathfrak{z}$, and $\mathfrak{V} \subset \mathfrak{v}$ for a complementary null subspace. An example of the construction in [3] is the generalized Heisenberg group $H(1,2)$ of dimension 5, whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{n}=\mathfrak{U} \oplus \mathfrak{Z} \oplus \mathfrak{V} \oplus \mathfrak{E}=\left\langle\left\{u, z, v, e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}\right\rangle$, where $\mathfrak{U}=\langle\{u\}\rangle$, $\mathfrak{Z}=\langle\{z\}\rangle, \mathfrak{V}=\langle\{v\}\rangle$ and $\mathfrak{E}=\left\langle\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}\right\rangle$, with nonvanishing brackets $\left[e_{1}, e_{2}\right]=z,\left[v, e_{2}\right]=u$, and nontrivial inner products

$$
\langle u, v\rangle=1, \quad\langle z, z\rangle=\varepsilon, \quad\left\langle e_{1}, e_{1}\right\rangle=\bar{\varepsilon}_{1}, \quad\left\langle e_{2}, e_{2}\right\rangle=\bar{\varepsilon}_{2}
$$

where each $\varepsilon$-symbol is $\pm 1$ independently, so that the pseudo-Riemannian metric on $H(1,2)$ defined by $\langle$,$\rangle has signature (k, 5-k)$, $1 \leq k \leq 4$. Let $\left\{\eta, \theta, \tau, \alpha^{1}, \alpha^{2}\right\}$ denote the dual basis to $\left\{u, z, v, e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$. Then integration of Ambrose-Singer's equations (2.1) gives us [5] the only homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structure

$$
\begin{align*}
S= & \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \alpha^{2} \otimes\left(\theta \wedge \alpha^{1}\right)-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \alpha^{1} \otimes\left(\theta \wedge \alpha^{2}\right)  \tag{4.5}\\
& -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \theta \otimes\left(\alpha^{1} \wedge \alpha^{2}\right)-\tau \otimes\left(\tau \wedge \alpha^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

We obtain that
$\omega=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \tau \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha^{2} & \alpha^{1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon \bar{\varepsilon}_{1} \alpha^{2} & 0 & 0 & \varepsilon \bar{\varepsilon}_{1} \theta \\ 0 & -\varepsilon \bar{\varepsilon}_{2} \alpha^{1} & -2 \bar{\varepsilon}_{2} \tau & -\varepsilon \bar{\varepsilon}_{2} \theta & 0\end{array}\right), \quad \tilde{\omega}=0, \quad \widetilde{\Omega}=0$,
and by means of some computations from (3.2) and (3.3), we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.3. The forms of homogeneity on $\left(H(1,2), g_{\varepsilon \bar{\varepsilon}_{1} \bar{\varepsilon}_{2}}\right)$ corresponding to the homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structure $S$ are

$$
Q_{3}^{S}\left(H(1,2), g_{\varepsilon \bar{\varepsilon}_{1} \bar{\varepsilon}_{2}}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} \bar{\varepsilon}_{1} \bar{\varepsilon}_{2} \theta \wedge \alpha^{1} \wedge \alpha^{2}, \quad Q_{5}^{S}\left(H(1,2), g_{\varepsilon \bar{\varepsilon}_{1} \bar{\varepsilon}_{2}}\right)=0
$$

5. Secondary classes $\left[Q_{2 r-1}^{S}\right]$ of compact quotients of Lie groups. Now, we determine the secondary classes $\left[Q_{2 r-1}^{S}\right]$ of the compact quotients of the spaces considered in Section 4. For this, we first note that given a left-invariant form $\alpha$ on a Lie group $G$, then it is invariant under the action of a discrete subgroup $\Gamma$ of $G$, so that there exists a form $\hat{\alpha}$ on the quotient $\Gamma \backslash G$ such that $\pi^{*}(\hat{\alpha})=\alpha$, where $\pi$ denotes the natural projection $\pi: G \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash G$. In the sequel, we shall denote by $\widehat{\alpha}$ such a projected form of a left-invariant form $\alpha$ on $G$ onto a compact quotient $\Gamma \backslash G$. If $g$ is a left-invariant metric on $G$, then it projects to a metric $\hat{g}$ on $\Gamma \backslash G$ such that the map $\pi:(G, g) \rightarrow(\Gamma \backslash G, \hat{g})$ is a local pseudo-Riemannian isometry. Moreover, the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$ projects to the Levi-Civita connection $\widehat{\nabla}$ on $\Gamma \backslash G$ and each homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structure $S$ projects to a homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structure $\widehat{S}$ on $\Gamma \backslash G$, where $\Gamma$ is a uniform discrete subgroup of $G$.
5.1 The three-dimensional unimodular groups. We first recall that for a compact orientable three-dimensional manifold $M$ one has $H^{3}(M, \mathbf{R}) \approx \mathbf{R}$. On the other hand, the compact quotients of the three-dimensional unimodular Lie groups $G$ were classified in [10] and such manifolds are orientable. Thus, $H^{3}(\Gamma \backslash G, \mathbf{R}) \approx \mathbf{R}$ in all the cases, which we now recall.

The Abelian group $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ has vanishing Chern-Simons form, so its only compact quotient, the 3 -torus $T^{3}$, has no nontrivial corresponding secondary class.

The compact quotients of the Heisenberg group are the $S^{1}$-bundles over the torus $T^{2}$ with Euler class $m \in H^{2}\left(T^{2}, \mathbf{Z}\right)$. One has such a bundle for each $m \in \mathbf{Z}$.
Let $\widetilde{E^{0}}(2)$ be the universal covering of the identity component $E^{0}(2)=S O(2) \ltimes \mathbf{R}^{2}$ of the Euclidean group $E(2)$. The compact quotients of $\widetilde{E^{0}}(2)$ are the 2-torus bundles over $S^{1}$, which are flat manifolds with cyclic holonomy equal to either $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$ or $\mathbf{Z}_{3}$ or $\mathbf{Z}_{4}$ or $\mathbf{Z}_{6}$ or 1 .

The compact quotients of the group $E(1,1)$ of rigid motions of the Minkowski plane are torus bundles over $S^{1}$ satisfying a supplementary condition.
The group $S U(2) \approx S^{3}$ is compact. Their quotients as above are either lens spaces when $\Gamma$ is a cyclic group, one for each $m \in \mathbf{Z}, m>1$, or the quotient spaces by $\Gamma$, where $\Gamma$ is either the binary dihedral group, or the binary tetrahedral group, or the binary octahedral group, or the binary icosahedral group.
The compact quotients of the universal covering $\widetilde{S L}(2, \mathbf{R})$ of the Lie group $S L(2, \mathbf{R})$ are defined by a Fuchsian group $\Gamma$ of the first kind satisfying certain conditions. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. For any three-dimensional unimodular Lie group $G$, the Chern-Simons form $Q_{3}^{S}\left(G_{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}}, g\right)$ in (4.3) defines the secondary class

$$
-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum \lambda_{i}^{3}-\sum_{i \neq j} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{j}^{2}+4 \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}\right)\left[\widehat{\theta}^{1} \wedge \widehat{\theta}^{2} \wedge \widehat{\theta}^{3}\right]
$$

associated to the homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structure $\widehat{S}$ induced on any of the compact quotients $(\Gamma \backslash G, \widehat{g})$ by the homogeneous pseudoRiemannian structure $S$ in (4.1). If $G=H_{3}, S U(2)$, the secondary class is given by

$$
-\frac{1}{2}\left[\widehat{\theta}^{1} \wedge \widehat{\theta}^{2} \wedge \widehat{\theta}^{3}\right]
$$

For $G=E(1,1), \widetilde{S L}(2, \mathbf{R})$, we have the class

$$
-\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{1}^{3}-\lambda_{2}^{3}-\lambda_{3}^{3}+4 \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}\right)\left[\widehat{\theta}^{1} \wedge \widehat{\theta}^{2} \wedge \widehat{\theta}^{3}\right], \quad \sum \lambda_{i}=0
$$

If $G=\widetilde{E^{0}}(2)$, one has the class

$$
-\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)^{2}\left[\hat{\theta}^{1} \wedge \hat{\theta}^{2} \wedge \hat{\theta}^{3}\right]
$$

5.2 The Heisenberg group. The cohomology of the compact quotient of the Heisenberg group $H_{3}$ by a discrete subgroup $\Gamma$ is known to be [2], as a consequence of Nomizu's theorem [9], equal to

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H^{0}\left(\Gamma \backslash H_{3}, \mathbf{R}\right)=\{[1]\}, & H^{1}\left(\Gamma \backslash H_{3}, \mathbf{R}\right)=\{[\widehat{\alpha}],[\widehat{\beta}]\} \\
H^{2}\left(\Gamma \backslash H_{3}, \mathbf{R}\right)=\{[\widehat{\tau} \wedge \widehat{\alpha}],[\widehat{\tau} \wedge \widehat{\beta}]\}, & H^{3}\left(\Gamma \backslash H_{3}, \mathbf{R}\right)=\{[\widehat{\tau} \wedge \widehat{\alpha} \wedge \widehat{\beta}]\}
\end{array}
$$

Then we have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2. The Chern-Simons form $Q_{3}^{S_{\lambda}}\left(H_{3}, g_{\varepsilon}\right)$ in Proposition 4.2 determines the secondary class $-1 / 2(1 / 2-2 \lambda(\lambda+\varepsilon))[\widehat{\tau} \wedge \widehat{\alpha} \wedge \widehat{\beta}]$ associated to the homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structure $\widehat{S}_{\lambda}$ induced on the compact quotient $\left(\Gamma \backslash H_{3}, \widehat{g}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ by the homogeneous pseudoRiemannian structure $S_{\lambda}$ in (4.4).
5.3 The generalized Heisenberg group $H(1,2)$. We can compute, again as a consequence of Nomizu's theorem, the cohomology of the compact quotient $\Gamma \backslash H(1,2)$ of the generalized Heisenberg group $H(1,2)$ by a discrete subgroup $\Gamma$, obtaining

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{0}(\Gamma \backslash H(1,2), \mathbf{R})= & \langle 1\rangle, \quad H^{1}(\Gamma \backslash H(1,2), \mathbf{R})=\left\langle[\widehat{\tau}],\left[\widehat{\alpha}^{1}\right],\left[\widehat{\alpha}^{2}\right]\right\rangle \\
H^{2}(\Gamma \backslash H(1,2), \mathbf{R})= & \left\langle[\widehat{\eta} \wedge \widehat{\tau}],\left[\widehat{\eta} \wedge \widehat{\alpha}^{1}+\widehat{\theta} \wedge \widehat{\tau}\right],\left[\widehat{\eta} \wedge \widehat{\alpha}^{2}\right]\right. \\
& {\left.\left[\widehat{\theta} \wedge \widehat{\alpha}^{1}\right],\left[\widehat{\theta} \wedge \widehat{\alpha}^{2}\right],\left[\widehat{\tau} \wedge \widehat{\alpha}^{1}\right]\right\rangle, } \\
H^{3}(\Gamma \backslash H(1,2), \mathbf{R})= & \left\langle\left[\widehat{\eta} \wedge \widehat{\theta} \wedge \widehat{\alpha}^{2}\right],\left[\widehat{\eta} \wedge \widehat{\tau} \wedge \widehat{\alpha}^{1}\right],\left[\widehat{\eta} \wedge \widehat{\tau} \wedge \widehat{\alpha}^{2}\right]\right. \\
& {\left.\left[\widehat{\eta} \wedge \widehat{\alpha}^{1} \wedge \widehat{\alpha}^{2}\right],\left[\widehat{\theta} \wedge \widehat{\tau} \wedge \widehat{\alpha}^{1}\right],\left[\widehat{\theta} \wedge \widehat{\alpha}^{1} \wedge \widehat{\alpha}^{2}\right]\right\rangle } \\
H^{4}(\Gamma \backslash H(1,2), \mathbf{R})= & \left\langle\left[\widehat{\eta} \wedge \widehat{\theta} \wedge \widehat{\tau} \wedge \widehat{\alpha}^{1}\right],\left[\widehat{\eta} \wedge \widehat{\theta} \wedge \widehat{\tau} \wedge \widehat{\alpha}^{2}\right]\right. \\
& {\left.\left[\widehat{\eta} \wedge \widehat{\theta} \wedge \widehat{\alpha}^{1} \wedge \widehat{\alpha}^{2}\right]\right\rangle } \\
H^{5}(\Gamma \backslash H(1,2), \mathbf{R})= & \left.\left\langle\widehat{\eta} \wedge \widehat{\theta} \wedge \widehat{\tau} \wedge \widehat{\alpha}^{1} \wedge \widehat{\alpha}^{2}\right]\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.3. The Chern-Simons form $Q_{3}^{S}\left(H(1,2), g_{\varepsilon \bar{\varepsilon}_{1} \bar{\varepsilon}_{2}}\right)$ in Proposition 4.3 determines the secondary class $-1 / 2 \bar{\varepsilon}_{1} \bar{\varepsilon}_{2}\left[\widehat{\theta} \wedge \widehat{\alpha}^{1} \wedge \widehat{\alpha}^{2}\right]$ associated to the homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structure $\widehat{S}$ induced on the compact quotient $\left(\Gamma \backslash H(1,2), \hat{g}_{\varepsilon \bar{\varepsilon}_{1} \bar{\varepsilon}_{2}}\right)$ by the homogeneous pseudoRiemannian structure $S$ in (4.5).
6. Final remarks. For the class of pseudo-Riemannian homogeneity in Proposition 5.2, we have

$$
\left[Q_{3}^{S_{\lambda}}\right]\left(\Gamma \backslash H_{3}, \hat{g}_{\varepsilon}\right)=0, \quad \text { for } \varepsilon=1, \lambda=\frac{ \pm \sqrt{2}-1}{2}, \quad \text { or } \varepsilon=-1, \lambda=-\frac{1}{2}
$$

so that in these cases the pseudo-Riemannian compact quotient of the Heisenberg group, endowed with that homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structure, is "more symmetric" (although they are never symmetric in the usual sense) than the spaces corresponding to the rest of values of $\lambda$. Consider a compact quotient $\Gamma \backslash H(1,2)$ of the generalized Heisenberg group. By Proposition 5.3, we have that

$$
\left[Q_{3}^{S}\right]\left(\Gamma \backslash H(1,2), \hat{g}_{\varepsilon \bar{\varepsilon}_{1} \bar{\varepsilon}_{2}}\right) \neq 0, \quad\left[Q_{5}^{S}\right]\left(\Gamma \backslash H(1,2), \hat{g}_{\varepsilon \bar{\varepsilon}_{1} \bar{\varepsilon}_{2}}\right)=0
$$

Hence this compact quotient, endowed with that homogeneous pseu-do-Riemannian structure, is "more symmetric" than other pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of the same dimension whose classes of pseudoRiemannian homogeneity are nonnull.
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