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#### Abstract

In this paper we consider the two-dimensional barotropic-vorticity equation in the first quadrant, and using a rearrangement variational principle, prove it has a solution. The solution represents a steady localized topographic ideal flow. The data given are the behavior of the flow at infinity, the rearrangement class of the vorticity field and the height of the localized seamount.


1. Introduction. In this paper we prove existence of solutions for the following barotropic-vorticity equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\psi, \omega+h]=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta \psi \in \mathcal{F}+h \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ denotes the Jacobian. Here $\mathcal{F}$ denotes a class of rearrangements of a given function, and $h$ is some fixed non-negative function, see the next section for precise definitions. Equation (1.1) is the governing equation describing the flow of an ideal fluid with $\psi$ representing the stream function, $\omega$ the vorticity and $h$ the height of the bottom topography. In the present work we are assuming (1.1) to hold in a nonsymmetric planar domain, the first quadrant $\Pi_{+}$. Since the domain is unbounded, we require some asymptotic condition to be satisfied; namely, we assume $\psi \rightarrow \lambda x_{1} x_{2}$ at infinity ( $\lambda x_{1} x_{2}$ represents the stream function of an irrotational flow). We also assume that $\omega$ belongs to the class of rearrangements of a given function. Similar problems in symmetric domains have been considered but the methods are not applicable in our situation. We derive new estimates in order to overcome this lack of symmetry.

[^0]A weak formulation of $(1.1)$, in $\Pi_{+}$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Pi_{+}}(\omega+h)[\psi, u]=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\Pi_{+}\right)$, where the functions $\psi, \omega$ and $h$ are related by the inclusion (1.2), see for example [11, 12]. By a weak solution of (1.1) we mean a pair $(\psi, \omega)$ that satisfies (1.3) for every $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\Pi_{+}\right)$, and (1.2). To prove existence of solutions to (1.1) we employ the variational principle developed extensively by Burton [4] suitable for optimization problems where the admissible set is either the set of rearrangements of a given integrable function (the unconstrained case) or the intersection of that with an affine subspace of finite codimension (the constrained case). The main results of this paper are Theorems 1 and 2 which are stated in the next section.

Similar existence results for the Euler's equation (when $h=0$ ) have recently been the focus of many authors, the reader could refer to $[\mathbf{5}-\mathbf{8}$, $13]$.
2. Notation, definitions and the statement of the main results. Throughout the paper $p$ is a real number in $(2,+\infty)$. For any number $q \geq 1, q^{*}$ denotes the conjugate of $q$; that is, $1 / q+1 / q^{*}=1$. If $A \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{2}$ is measurable, then $|A|$ denotes the two-dimensional Lebesgue measure of $A$. The upper and the right half planes are designated by $\Pi_{u}$ and $\Pi_{r}$, respectively, and the first quadrant by $\Pi_{+}$. We write points in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ as $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$, etc. For $\xi>0$ we define

$$
\Pi_{+}(\xi)=\left\{x \in \Pi_{+} \mid x_{1}<\xi, x_{2}<\xi\right\} .
$$

The ball centered at $x$ with radius $R$ is denoted $B_{R}(x)$; in case the center is the origin we simply write $B_{R}$. In this paper we denote the Green's functions for $-\Delta$ with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions on $\Pi_{u}, \Pi_{r}$ and $\Pi_{+}$by $G_{u}, G_{r}$ and $G_{+}$, respectively, and these functions are given as follows

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
G_{u}(x, y)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log \frac{|x-\bar{y}|}{|x-y|}, & x, y \in \Pi_{u},
\end{array} \quad x \neq y, ~ x, y \in \Pi_{r}, \quad x \neq y, ~ \begin{aligned}
G_{r}(x, y)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log \frac{|x-y|}{|x-y|}, & x \neq y \\
G_{+}(x, y)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log \frac{|x-\bar{y}||x-\underline{y}|}{|x-y||x-\bar{y}|}, & x, y \in \Pi_{+},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{x}$ and $\underline{x}$ denote the reflections with respect to $x_{1}$-axis and $x_{2^{-}}$ axis, respectively. Note that Green's functions are non-negative. For measurable functions $f$ on $\mathbf{R}^{2}$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{u} f(x) & =\int_{\Pi_{u}} G_{u}(x, y) f(y) d y \\
T_{r} f(x) & =\int_{\Pi_{r}} G_{r}(x, y) f(y) d y \\
T_{+} f(x) & =\int_{\Pi_{+}} G_{+}(x, y) f(y) d y
\end{aligned}
$$

when the integrals exist. The strong support of a measurable function $f$, denoted $\operatorname{supp}(f)$, is defined by

$$
\operatorname{supp}(f)=\{x \mid f(x)>0\}
$$

Let us fix $f_{0} \in L^{p}\left(\Pi_{+}\right)$which is a non-negative, non-trivial function with compact support and assume $\left|\operatorname{supp}\left(f_{0}\right)\right|=\pi a^{2}$, for some $a>0$. Moreover, we suppose that $\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{1}=1$. The measurable function $f$ is called a rearrangement of $f_{0}$ whenever

$$
\left|\left\{x \in \Pi_{+} \mid f(x)>\alpha\right\}\right|=\left|\left\{x \in \Pi_{+} \mid f_{0}(x)>\alpha\right\}\right|
$$

for every $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$. It is known that if $f$ is a rearrangement of $f_{0}$, then $\|f\|_{q}=\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{q}, q \geq 1$. By $\mathcal{F}$ we denote the set of rearrangements of $f_{0}$ on $\Pi_{+}$which have compact support. By $\mathcal{F}(\xi)$ we denote the subset of $\mathcal{F}$ comprising functions vanishing outside $\Pi_{+}(\xi)$. For a measurable function $f$ on $\Pi_{+}$, we define the energy functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\lambda}(f)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Pi_{+}} f T_{+} f+\int_{\Pi_{+}} \eta f-\lambda \int_{\Pi_{+}} x_{1} x_{2} f \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever the integrals exist, where $\lambda$ is a positive fixed number and $\eta=$ $T_{+} h$, for a fixed function $h \in L^{p}\left(\Pi_{+}\right)$which is a non-negative function and has compact support. We consider the following maximization problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\lambda}: \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}} E_{\lambda}(f) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose set of solutions is denoted $\Sigma_{\lambda}$. Similarly, for $\xi \geq \sqrt{\pi} a$ we define $P_{\lambda, \xi}$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\lambda, \xi}: \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}(\xi)} E_{\lambda}(f) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\Sigma_{\lambda, \xi}$ is defined similarly to $\Sigma_{\lambda}$.
We are now ready to state the main results of this paper.

Theorem 1. There exists $\lambda_{0}>0$ such that for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right), P_{\lambda}$ has a solution. Moreover if $f_{\lambda} \in \Sigma_{\lambda}$ and $\psi_{\lambda}=T_{+} f_{\lambda}+\eta-\lambda x_{1} x_{2}$, then $\psi_{\lambda}$ satisfies the following semi-linear elliptic partial differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta \psi_{\lambda}=\varphi_{\lambda} \circ \psi_{\lambda}+h \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

almost everywhere in $\Pi_{+}$, where $\varphi_{\lambda}$ is an increasing function unknown a priori. Here " $\circ$ " denotes composition of functions.

Theorem 2. Let $\lambda_{0}$ be as in Theorem 1. Let $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)$ and suppose $f_{\lambda} \in \Sigma_{\lambda}$. Set $\psi=T_{+} f_{\lambda}$ and $\omega=f_{\lambda}$, then $(\psi, \omega)$ is a weak solution of (1.1) in $\Pi_{+}$.

The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 will be presented in the next section, but since a number of preliminaries are required, we digress at this stage to explain the strategy, which is proposed by Benjamin [3]. The first step is to prove the existence of a maximizer for $E_{\lambda}$ relative to the rearrangements of $f_{0}$ defined on $\Pi_{+}(\xi)$. We then use the variational principle developed by Burton, which is particularly suitable to show solvability of $P_{\lambda, \xi}$. The second step is to show that increasing the size of the box $\Pi_{+}(\xi)$ indefinitely does not affect the maximizer; that is, the support of the maximizer does not touch the boundary of the box if it is large enough.
3. Properties of the operator $T_{+}$. In this section we present some lemmas which are crucial in our analysis.

Lemma 1. Let $f \in L^{p}\left(\Pi_{+}\right)$be a function with compact support. Then $T_{+} f \in C^{1}\left(\bar{\Pi}_{+}\right)$and

$$
-\Delta T_{+} f=f
$$

almost everywhere in $\Pi_{+}$.

Proof. From Lemma 3 in [3] we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta T_{u} f=f \quad \text { in } \quad D^{\prime}\left(\Pi_{u}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the sense of distributions. Since $T_{+} f(x)=T_{u} f(x)-T_{u} f(\underline{x})$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^{2}$, by [2] we deduce that $T_{+} f \in W_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2, p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$. By the Sobolev embedding theorem $[\mathbf{1}]$, we infer $T_{+} f \in C^{1}\left(\bar{\Pi}_{+}\right)$.

Lemma 2. Let $f \in L^{p}\left(\Pi_{+}\right)$be a function with compact support. Then
(i) $\left|\nabla T_{+} f(x)\right| \leq C\|f\|_{p}$,
(ii) $\left|T_{+} f(x)\right| \leq C \min \left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}\|f\|_{p}$,
for every $x \in \Pi_{+}$, where $C$ depends on $|\operatorname{supp}(f)|$ and $p$.

Proof. (i) follows from [4]. To prove (ii) we fix $x \in \Pi_{+}$and apply the mean value theorem to obtain

$$
\left|T_{+} f(x)\right|=\left|T_{+} f(x)-T_{+} f\left(x_{1}, 0\right)\right| \leq x_{2}\left|\nabla T_{+} f(\hat{x})\right|
$$

where $\hat{x}$ is a point on the segment joining $x$ to $\left(x_{1}, 0\right)$. Whence from (i) we deduce that $\left|T_{+} f(x)\right| \leq C x_{2}\|f\|_{p}$. Similarly, one can show $\left|T_{+} f(x)\right| \leq C x_{1}\|f\|_{p}$. From these two inequalities we readily infer (ii).

Lemma 3. Let $U$ be an open and bounded subset of $\Pi_{+}$. Then, for any $q \geq 1, T_{+}: L^{p}(U) \rightarrow L^{q}(U)$ is a linear compact operator, in the sense that if $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of functions, bounded in $L^{p}\left(\Pi_{+}\right)$and vanishing outside $U$, then the sequence $\left\{\left.T_{+} f_{n}\right|_{U}\right\}$ has a subsequence converging in the $q$-norm.

Proof. From Lemma 2, it follows that $T_{+}: L^{p}(U) \rightarrow W^{1,2}(U)$ is bounded. Now by applying the Sobolev embedding theorem we derive the compactness of $T_{+}$.

Lemma 4. Let $f \in L^{p}\left(\Pi_{+}\right)$be a function with compact support. Then
(i) $\nabla T_{+} f(x)=O\left(|x|^{-2}\right), T_{+} f(x)=O\left(|x|^{-1}\right)$ as $|x| \rightarrow+\infty$.
(ii) $\int_{\Pi_{+}} f T_{+} f>0$.

Proof. Let us observe that, for every $x \in \mathbf{R}^{2}, T_{+} f(x)=T_{u} f(x)-$ $T_{u} f(\underline{x})$. Thus, (i) is an immediate consequence of Lemma 7 in [5]. To prove (ii), let us first recall from Lemma 1 that $-\Delta T_{+} f=f$, almost everywhere in $\Pi_{+}$. We let $\Omega(R)=B_{R} \cap \Pi_{+}$, so the boundary of $\Omega(R)$ is Lipschitz. On the other hand we have $T_{+} f \in C^{1}\left(\bar{\Pi}_{+}\right)$, hence we can apply the weak divergence theorem, see, for example $[\mathbf{9}]$, to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{\Omega(R)} f T_{+} f+\int_{\Omega(R)}\left|\nabla T_{+} f\right|^{2}=\int_{\partial \Omega(R)}\left(T_{+} f\right)\left(\partial_{\vec{n}} T_{+} f\right) d \sigma \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\vec{n}$ denotes the unit outward normal vector to $\partial \Omega(R)$. Now from (i) we have $\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial \Omega(R)}\left(T_{+} f\right)\left(\partial_{\vec{n}} T_{+} f\right) d \sigma=0$. Moreover, since $\int_{\Pi_{+}} f T_{+} f$ is finite and $\left|\nabla T_{+} f\right|^{2}$ is bounded in $\Pi_{+}$we can apply the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem to conclude

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega(R)} f T_{+} f & =\int_{\Pi_{+}} f T_{+} f \\
\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega(R)}\left|\nabla T_{+} f\right|^{2} & =\int_{\Pi_{+}}\left|\nabla T_{+} f\right|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, from (3.2) we derive

$$
\int_{\Pi_{+}} f T_{+} f=\int_{\Pi_{+}}\left|\nabla T_{+} f\right|^{2}
$$

Lemma 5. We have

$$
\lim _{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}(\xi)} \int_{\Pi_{+}} f T_{+} f=\infty
$$

Proof. Let us fix $(t, t) \in \mathbf{R}^{2}$ and denote the Schwarz-rearrangement of $f_{0}$, about $(t, t)$, by $f_{t}^{*}$ which is spherically decreasing and vanishes outside $B_{a}(t)$, the ball centered at $(t, t)$ with radius $a$. There exist $\beta>0$ and $0<b<a$ such that for all $x$ with $|x|<b$ we have $f_{0}{ }^{*} \geq \beta$. Clearly we can assume $t \geq 3 a$. Now consider $x \in B_{a}(t), y \in B_{b}(t)$. Thus

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
|x-\bar{y}| \geq 2 t-2 a, & |x-\underline{y}| \geq 2 t-2 a \\
|x-y| \leq 2 a, & |x-\underline{\bar{y}}| \leq 2 \sqrt{2} t+2 a .
\end{array}
$$

Therefore

$$
T_{+} f_{t}^{*}(x) \geq \frac{\beta}{2 \pi} \int_{B_{b}(t)} \log \frac{(2 t-2 a)^{2}}{2 a(2 \sqrt{2} t+2 a)} d y=\frac{\beta b^{2}}{2} \log \frac{(t-a)^{2}}{a(\sqrt{2} t+a)}
$$

Hence

$$
\int_{\Pi_{+}} f_{t}^{*} T_{+} f_{t}^{*} \geq \frac{\pi \beta^{2} b^{4}}{2} \log \frac{(t-a)^{2}}{a(\sqrt{2} t+a)}
$$

from which the conclusion follows.
4. Main results. In this section we prove Theorems 1 and 2. However we need some more lemmas that are given below. We begin by proving existence of a maximizer for the energy functional $E_{\lambda}$ relative to $\mathcal{F}(\xi)$. To do this we need the following result from Burton's theory [4].

Lemma 6. Let $q \geq 1$ and $q^{*}$ its conjugate. Let $g \in L^{q^{*}}\left(\Pi_{+}(\xi)\right)$ and $T: L^{q}\left(\Pi_{+}(\xi)\right) \rightarrow L^{q^{*}}\left(\Pi_{+}(\xi)\right), \xi \geq \sqrt{\pi} a$, be a compact strictly positive symmetric linear operator. Define

$$
\Phi(f)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Pi_{+}} f T f-\int_{\Pi_{+}} g f
$$

for $f \in L^{q}\left(\Pi_{+}(\xi)\right)$. Then $\Phi$ attains its supremum on $\mathcal{F}(\xi)$, and if $\hat{f}$ is a maximizer then $\hat{f}=\varphi \circ(T \hat{f}-g)$ almost everywhere in $\Pi_{+}(\xi)$ for some increasing function $\varphi$.

The following lemma is a straightforward result from the symmetry of $G_{+}$and Lemmas 3, 4 and 6 .

Lemma 7. Suppose $\lambda>0$ and $\xi \geq \sqrt{\pi} a$. Then problem $P_{\lambda, \xi}$ is solvable. Moreover, if $f_{\lambda, \xi} \in \Sigma_{\lambda, \xi}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\lambda, \xi}=\varphi_{\lambda, \xi} \circ\left(T_{+} f_{\lambda, \xi}+\eta-\lambda x_{1} x_{2}\right), \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

almost everywhere in $\Pi_{+}(\xi)$ for some increasing function $\varphi_{\lambda, \xi}$.

Lemma 8. Let $\lambda>0$. Then there exists $R(\lambda)>0$ such that

$$
T_{+} f(x)+\eta(x)-\lambda x_{1} x_{2} \leq 0, \quad|x| \geq R(\lambda), \quad f \in \mathcal{F}
$$

Proof. Let us fix $x \in \Pi_{+}$and $f \in \mathcal{F}$. According to Lemma 2 there exists $M>0$, independent of $f$, such that

$$
T_{+} f(x)+\eta(x) \leq M \min \left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}
$$

Thus,

$$
T_{+} f(x)+\eta(x)-\lambda x_{1} x_{2} \leq \min \left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}\left(M-\lambda \max \left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}\right)
$$

Hence if we assume $|x| \geq M / \lambda$, then

$$
T_{+} f(x)+\eta(x)-\lambda x_{1} x_{2} \leq 0
$$

Therefore the result follows for $R(\lambda)=M / \lambda$.

Lemma 9. There exist $\lambda_{0}>0$ and $\xi_{0} \geq \sqrt{\pi} a$ such that when $0<\lambda<\lambda_{0}, \xi \geq \xi_{0}$ and $f_{\lambda, \xi}$ is a maximizer of $E_{\lambda}$ relative to $\mathcal{F}(\xi)$, then

$$
\left|\operatorname{supp}\left(\psi_{\lambda, \xi}\right) \cap \Pi_{+}(\xi)\right| \geq \pi a^{2}
$$

where $\psi_{\lambda, \xi}(x)=T_{+} f_{\lambda, \xi}(x)+\eta(x)-\lambda x_{1} x_{2}$.

Proof. Let us fix $\alpha>0$. From Lemma 5 there are $\lambda_{0}>0, \xi_{0} \geq \sqrt{\pi} a$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}(\xi)} E_{\lambda}(f) \geq \alpha, \quad 0<\lambda<\lambda_{0}, \quad \xi \geq \xi_{0} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we set $\alpha=3 a C\left(\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{p}+2\|h\|_{p}\right)$, where $C$ is the constant in Lemma 2. Notice that $C$ is independent of $\lambda$ and $\xi$; it merely depends on $|\operatorname{supp}(\mathrm{h})|, a$ and $p$. From (4.2) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\lambda}\left(f_{\lambda, \xi}\right) \geq 3 a C\left(\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{p}+2\|h\|_{p}\right), \quad 0<\lambda<\lambda_{0}, \quad \xi \geq \xi_{0} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{\lambda, \xi}$ denotes a maximizer of $E_{\lambda}$ relative to $\mathcal{F}(\xi)$. Also note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\lambda}\left(f_{\lambda, \xi}\right) \leq \sup _{\Pi_{+}(\xi)}\left(\frac{1}{2} T_{+} f_{\lambda, \xi}+\eta-\lambda x_{1} x_{2}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<\lambda \leq \lambda_{0}$ and $\xi \geq \xi_{0}$. Hence, from (4.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\Pi_{+}(\xi)}\left(\frac{1}{2} T_{+} f_{\lambda, \xi}(x)+\eta(x)-\lambda x_{1} x_{2}\right) \geq 3 a C\left(\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{p}+2\|h\|_{p}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the function $(1 / 2) T_{+} f_{\lambda, \xi}(x)+\eta(x)-\lambda x_{1} x_{2}$ is continuous on $\bar{\Pi}_{+}(\xi)$, it attains its maximum at $\left(r_{1}(\lambda, \xi), r_{2}(\lambda, \xi)\right) \equiv\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$. Whence, by Lemma 2 ,

$$
\frac{1}{2} T_{+} f_{\lambda, \xi}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)+\eta\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} C\left(\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{p}+2\|h\|_{p}\right) \min \left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}
$$

Therefore from (4.5) we infer $\min \left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}>2 a$. Now fix $0<\lambda<\lambda_{0}$, $\xi \geq \xi_{0}$ and set

$$
S=\left\{x \in \Pi_{+} \mid x_{1}<r_{1}, x_{2}<r_{2}\right\} \cap B_{2 a}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)
$$

Observe that $S \subseteq \bar{\Pi}_{+}(\xi)$. Consider $x \in S$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{+} f_{\lambda, \xi}(x)+\eta(x)-\lambda x_{1} x_{2} \geq \frac{1}{2} T_{+} f_{\lambda, \xi}(x)+\eta(x)-\lambda r_{1} r_{2} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By an application of the mean value theorem in conjunction with Lemma 2,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|T_{+} f_{\lambda, \xi}(x)-T_{+} f_{\lambda, \xi}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)\right| & \leq 2 a C\left(\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{p}\right) . \\
\left|\eta(x)-\eta\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)\right| & \leq 2 a C\|h\|_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus from (4.5) and (4.6)

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{+} f_{\lambda, \xi}(x)+\eta(x)-\lambda x_{1} x_{2}  \tag{4.7}\\
& \quad \geq \frac{1}{2} T_{+} f_{\lambda, \xi}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)+\eta\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)-\lambda r_{1} r_{2}-a C\left(\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{p}+2\|h\|_{p}\right) \\
& \quad \geq 3 a C\left(\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{p}+2\|h\|_{p}\right)-a C\left(\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{p}+2\|h\|_{p}\right) \\
& \left.\quad=2 a C\left(\| f_{0}\right)\left\|_{p}+2\right\| h \|_{p}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore $S \subseteq \operatorname{supp}\left(\psi_{\lambda, \xi}\right)$ apart from a set of zero measure. Hence

$$
\left|\operatorname{supp}\left(\psi_{\lambda, \xi}\right) \cap \Pi_{+}(\xi)\right| \geq|S| \geq \pi a^{2}, \quad 0<\lambda \leq \lambda_{0}, \quad \xi \geq \xi_{0}
$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\lambda_{0}, \xi_{0}$ be as in Lemma 9 and fix $\lambda<\lambda_{0}$. So by Lemma 8 there exists positive $R(\lambda)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{+} f(x)+\eta(x)-\lambda x_{1} x_{2} \leq 0, \quad x \in \Pi_{+} \backslash \Pi_{+}(R(\lambda)), \quad f \in \mathcal{F} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us set $\xi(\lambda)=\max \left\{\xi_{0}, R(\lambda)\right\}$. Fix $\xi \geq \xi(\lambda)$; hence, from Lemma 7 it follows that $\Sigma_{\lambda, \xi}$ is non-empty. Consider $f_{\lambda, \xi} \in \Sigma_{\lambda, \xi}$, and apply Lemma 7 to find an increasing function $\varphi_{\lambda, \xi}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\lambda, \xi}=\varphi_{\lambda, \xi} \circ\left(T_{+} f_{\lambda, \xi}+\eta-\lambda x_{1} x_{2}\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost every $x \in \Pi_{+}(\xi(\lambda))$. Notice that we can assume $\varphi_{\lambda}$ is nonnegative. Since $f_{\lambda, \xi}$ is an increasing function of $T_{+} f_{\lambda . \xi}+\eta-\lambda x_{1} x_{2}$ on $\Pi_{+}(\xi)$, there exists a constant $\gamma_{\lambda}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{supp}\left(f_{\lambda, \xi}\right)=\left(T_{+} f_{\lambda, \xi}+\eta-\lambda x_{1} x_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\gamma_{\lambda}, \infty\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

apart from a set of measure zero. Note that $\xi \geq \xi_{0}$, hence by Lemma 9 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(T_{+} f_{\lambda, \xi}+\eta-\lambda x_{1} x_{2}\right)^{-1}(0, \infty)\right| \geq \pi a^{2} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Whence $\gamma_{\lambda} \geq 0$ and this implies supp $\left(f_{\lambda, \xi}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}\left(T_{+} f_{\lambda, \xi}+\eta-\lambda x_{1} x_{2}\right)$, apart from a set of measure zero in $\Pi_{+}(\xi)$. Thus by (4.8) and (4.10)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{supp}\left(f_{\lambda, \xi}\right) \subseteq \Pi_{+}(\xi(\lambda)), \quad \xi \geq \xi_{0} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It now follows that $f_{\lambda, \xi(\lambda)} \in \Sigma_{\lambda}$; hence, $P_{\lambda}$ is solvable for $0<\lambda<\lambda_{0}$. To derive (2.4) consider $f_{\lambda} \in \Sigma_{\lambda}$. From (4.12), $\operatorname{supp}\left(f_{\lambda}\right) \subseteq \Pi_{+}(\xi(\lambda))$, except for a set of measure zero. Hence by Lemma 7 there exists an increasing function $\varphi_{\lambda}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\lambda}=\varphi_{\lambda} \circ\left(T_{+} f_{\lambda}+\eta-\lambda x_{1} x_{2}\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost every $x \in \Pi(\xi(\lambda))$. Now define

$$
\varphi(t)= \begin{cases}\varphi_{\lambda}(t) & t \in \operatorname{dom} \varphi_{\lambda}, t \geq 0 \\ 0 & t<0\end{cases}
$$

Clearly $\varphi$ is increasing and

$$
f_{\lambda}=\varphi \circ\left(T_{+} f_{\lambda}+\eta-\lambda x_{1} x_{2}\right)
$$

almost everywhere in $\Pi_{+}$. Thus by applying Lemma 1, we obtain (2.4). This completes the proof.

## Proof of Theorem 2. We must show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Pi_{+}}\left(f_{\lambda}+h\right)\left[T_{+} f_{\lambda}-\lambda x_{1} x_{2}, u\right]=0 \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\Pi_{+}\right)$. Since $f_{\lambda}+h$ has compact support we infer existence of an open set $\Omega \subseteq \Pi_{+}$such that $\operatorname{supp}\left(f_{\lambda}+h\right) \subseteq \Omega$. Therefore it suffices to prove (4.14) only for test functions $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. So let us fix $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, and denote by $g_{t}(x)$ the unique solution of the following Hamiltonian system

$$
\frac{d z}{d t}=\nabla^{\perp} u(z)
$$

satisfying the initial condition $z(0)=x \in \Omega$; where $\nabla^{\perp}=\left(\left(\partial / \partial x_{2}\right)\right.$, $\left.-\left(\partial / \partial x_{1}\right)\right)$. It is well known that the mapping $x \rightarrow f_{t}(x), t \in$ $[-\tau, \tau], \tau$ small, defines a one-parameter family of measure preserving diffeomorphisms of $\Omega$, see for example [10]. Now following $[\mathbf{1 1}, \mathbf{1 2}]$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{\lambda}\left(f_{\lambda} \circ g_{t}^{-1}\right) \\
& \quad=E_{\lambda}\left(f_{\lambda}\right)+t \int_{\Pi}\left(f_{\lambda}+h\right)\left[T_{+} f_{\lambda}-\lambda x_{1} x_{2}, u\right]+o(t) \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$. Hence, if we set

$$
\alpha(t)=E_{\lambda}\left(f_{\lambda} \circ g_{t}^{-1}\right)
$$

for $t \in[-\tau, \tau]$, we infer from (4.15) that

$$
\alpha^{\prime}(0)=\int_{\Pi}\left(f_{\lambda}+h\right)\left[T_{+} f_{\lambda}-\lambda x_{1} x_{2}, u\right] .
$$

Moreover, since $f_{\lambda} \in \Sigma_{\lambda}$ and $f_{\lambda} \circ g_{t}^{-1} \in \mathcal{F}$, it follows that $\alpha$ has a global minimum at zero, whence $\alpha^{\prime}(0)=0$, from which (4.14) follows.
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