Péter Komjáth, Department of Computer Science, Eötvös University, Budapest, Pázmány P. s. 1/C, Hungary, 1117. email: kope@cs.elte.hu

## A CERTAIN 2-COLORING OF THE REALS

## Abstract

There is a function  $F: [\mathfrak{c}]^{<\omega} \to \{0,1\}$  such that if  $A \subseteq [\mathfrak{c}]^{<\omega}$  is uncountable, then  $\{F(a \cup b) : a, b \in A, a \neq b\} = \{0,1\}$ . A corollary is that there is a function  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \{0,1\}$  such that if  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is uncountable,  $2 \leq k < \omega$ , then both 0 and 1 occur as the value of f at the sum of k distinct elements of f. This was originally proved by Hindman, Leader, and Strauss under CH, and they asked if it holds in general.

Here we solve a problem left open in the paper [2]. We prove that there is a coloring with two colors of the finite subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that if A is an uncountable subfamily of this set, then both colors occur as the color of  $a \cup b$  for some  $a, b \in A$ ,  $a \neq b$ . Consequently—and this is what Hindman, Leader, and Strauss were interested in—there is a 2-coloring of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that if  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is uncountable, then both colors occur as the color of a+b for some  $a,b \in A$ ,  $a \neq b$ . In fact, this holds for k-sums in place of 2-sums. In [2] this was proved under CH, and the authors raised the question if it holds without it. The statement is a generalization of Sierpiński's theorem, by which there is a coloring of the pairs of  $\mathbb{R}$  with two colors, with no monocolored uncountable set ([5], see also e.g., in [1], Lemma 9.4.). The proof combines the main idea of Sierpiński's construction with some ideas in a current theory of Shelah, Todorcevic, and others producing very complicated colorings of pairs of sets (see e.g., [3], [4], [6]).

We just learned that the same result was independently proved by Dániel Soukup and William Weiss (Toronto).

**Notation. Definitions.** We use the notation and definitions of axiomatic set theory. In particular, ordinals are von Neumann ordinals, and each cardinal

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary: 05D10, 03E05 Key words: Ramsey theory, coloring the reals, Sierpinski's theorem Received by the editors June 28, 2015 Communicated by: Krzysztof Ciesielski

228 P. Komjáth

is identified with the least ordinal of that cardinality. Specifically,  $2 = \{0, 1\}$  and  $\mathfrak{c}$  denotes the least ordinal of cardinality continuum.

If S is a set,  $\kappa$  a cardinal, we define  $[S]^{\kappa} = \{x \subseteq S : |x| = \kappa\}, [S]^{<\kappa} = \{x \subseteq S : |x| < \kappa\}$ . For  $n < \omega$ , n2 denotes the set of all  $n \to 2$  functions. Similarly,  $n \to 2 = \{m \in N\}$ ,  $m \to 2 = \{m \in N\}$ , and  $m \to 2 = \{m \in N\}$ . If  $m \to 2 = \{m \in N\}$ , and  $m \to 2 = \{m \in N\}$ . If  $m \to 2 = \{m \in N\}$ , and  $m \to 2 = \{m \in N\}$ . If  $m \to 2 = \{m \in N\}$ , and  $m \to 2 = \{m \in N\}$ . If  $m \to 2 = \{m \in N\}$ , and  $m \to 2 = \{m \in N\}$  for some  $m \to 2$ . If  $m \to 2$ , then  $m \to 2$  is that function  $m \to 2$  such that  $m \to 2$  and  $m \to 2$  and  $m \to 2$ . If  $m \to 2$ , then  $m \to 2$  is the lexicographic ordering on  $m \to 2$ , i.e.,  $m \to 2$  iff there is  $m \to 2$  with  $m \to 2$  is the lexicographic ordering on  $m \to 2$ , i.e.,  $m \to 2$  iff there is  $m \to 2$  with  $m \to 2$  is the lexicographic ordering on  $m \to 2$ , i.e.,  $m \to 2$  iff there is  $m \to 2$  with  $m \to 2$  is the lexicographic ordering on  $m \to 2$ , i.e.,  $m \to 2$  iff there is  $m \to 2$  with  $m \to 2$  is the lexicographic ordering on  $m \to 2$  i.e.,  $m \to 2$  iff there is  $m \to 2$  if  $m \to 2$  iff there is  $m \to 2$  if  $m \to 2$ 

**Theorem 1.** There is a function  $F : [\mathfrak{c}]^{<\omega} \to 2$  such that if  $\{a_{\alpha} : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  are distinct finite subsets of  $\mathfrak{c}$ , i < 2, then there are  $\alpha < \beta$  such that  $F(a_{\alpha} \cup a_{\beta}) = i$ .

PROOF. Let  $\{r_{\alpha}: \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} \subseteq {}^{\omega}2$  be distinct functions. For  $\alpha \neq \beta$  set

$$\Delta(\alpha, \beta) = \min\{n : r_{\alpha}(n) \neq r_{\beta}(n)\}.$$

If  $a \in [\mathfrak{c}]^{<\omega}$ ,  $|a| \ge 2$ , let

$$N = \max \left\{ \Delta(\alpha, \beta) : \alpha \neq \beta \in a \right\}.$$

Let  $s \in {}^{N}2$  be lexicographically minimal such that there are  $\beta_0, \beta_1 \in a$  with  $r_{\beta_0}|N = r_{\beta_1}|N = s, r_{\beta_i}(N) = i \ (i < 2)$ . Define

$$F(a) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \beta_0 < \beta_1, \\ 1, & \text{if } \beta_1 < \beta_0. \end{cases}$$

For the other sets a, i.e., when  $|a| \leq 1$ , we define F(a) arbitrarily.

**Claim.** If  $A, B \subseteq \mathfrak{c}$ ,  $|A| = |B| = \aleph_1$ , then there are  $g \in {}^{<\omega}2$  and  $\varepsilon < 2$ , such that  $A' = \{\alpha \in A : g\widehat{\varepsilon} \lhd r_{\alpha}\}$  and  $B' = \{\beta \in B : g\widehat{\ }(1 - \varepsilon) \lhd r_{\beta}\}$  are both uncountable.

PROOF. For  $s\in {}^{<\omega}2$  define  $M(A,s)=\{\alpha\in A:s\vartriangleleft r_{\alpha}\}$  and similarly  $M(B,s)=\{\beta\in B:s\vartriangleleft r_{\beta}\}.$  Set

$$A^* = \{\alpha \in A : \exists s \lhd r_\alpha, |M(A,s)| \leq \aleph_0\}$$

and define  $B^*$  analogously for B.  $A^*$  is countable as the appropriate  $\alpha \mapsto s$  mapping maps  $A^*$  to the countable  ${}^{<\omega}2$  such that each preimage is countable. Similarly,  $B^*$  is countable.

Pick  $\alpha \in A - A^*$ ,  $\beta \in B - B^*$ ,  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . If  $N = \Delta(\alpha, \beta)$ ,  $g = r_{\alpha}|N = r_{\beta}|N$ ,  $g \in A \cap A^*$ ,  $g \in A \cap A^*$ , then

$$A' = \{ \gamma \in A : r_{\gamma} | (N+1) = g \widehat{\varepsilon} \}$$

and

$$B' = \{ \gamma \in B : r_{\gamma} | (N+1) = g (1-\varepsilon) \}$$

are uncountable by the choice of  $\alpha, \beta$ .

In order to show that the function F defined above is good, assume that  $\{a_{\xi}: \xi < \omega_1\} \subseteq [\mathfrak{c}]^{<\omega}$  are different. Using the  $\Delta$ -system lemma, we can assume that  $a_{\xi} = a \cup b_{\xi}$  where  $a \cap b_{\xi} = b_{\xi} \cap b_{\eta} = \emptyset$   $(\xi < \eta), |a| = \ell, |b_{\xi}| = k$ . Here  $\ell$  can be zero, but k > 0. Let  $a = \{\gamma_i : i < \ell\}, b_{\xi} = \{\gamma_j^{\xi} : j < k\}$  be the increasing enumerations. By shrinking, we can achieve that for each j < k,  $\{\gamma_j^{\xi}: \xi < \omega_1\}$  is of order type  $\omega_1$ . With further shrinking, we can obtain that for each j < k,  $\gamma_j^{\xi} < \gamma_j^{\eta}$  holds for  $\xi < \eta$ . (Another possibility is to use the Dushnik–Miller partition theorem  $\omega_1 \to (\omega_1, (\omega)_k)^2$ .) Still more shrinking and re-indexing gives that there is  $M < \omega$ , such that  $r_{\gamma_i}|M = f_i$   $(i < \ell)$ ,  $r_{\gamma\xi}|M = g_j$  (j < k) and the functions  $f_i, g_j$  are different.

We construct by recursion the uncountable sets  $U_j$ ,  $V_j$   $(j \le k)$  as follows.  $U_0 = V_0 = \omega_1$ . Given  $U_j$ ,  $V_j$ , we apply the Claim to  $A = \{\gamma_j^{\xi} : \xi \in U_j\}$ ,  $B = \{\gamma_j^{\xi} : \xi \in V_j\}$ , and obtain the uncountable  $U_{j+1} \subseteq U_j$ ,  $V_{j+1} \subseteq V_j$ ,  $N_j < \omega$ ,  $g_j \in N_j 2$ ,  $\varepsilon_j < 2$  such that

$$r_{\gamma_i^{\xi}}|(N_j+1)=g_j\hat{\ }\varepsilon_j\quad (\xi\in U_{j+1})$$

and

$$r_{\gamma_j^{\eta}}|(N_j+1)=g_j(1-\varepsilon_j) \quad (\eta \in V_{j+1}).$$

Set  $N = \max\{N_j : j < k\}$ . Notice that N > M. Let  $g_j$  be the  $<_{\text{lex}}$ -minimal element of  $\{g_j : N_j = N\}$ .

We now have that if  $\xi \in U_k$ ,  $\eta \in V_k$ , then  $F(a_{\xi} \cup a_{\eta}) = \varepsilon_j$  iff  $\gamma_j^{\xi} < \gamma_j^{\eta}$  iff  $\xi < \eta$ . As we can choose  $\xi \in U_k$ ,  $\eta \in V_k$  such that either of  $\xi < \eta$  or  $\eta < \xi$  hold, both 0 and 1 are attained as  $F(a_{\xi} \cup a_{\eta})$  for some  $\xi, \eta$ .

**Corollary 2.** There is a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \{0,1\}$  such that if  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|A| = \aleph_1$ ,  $2 \le k < \omega$ , then both 0 and 1 occur as  $f(a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_{k-1})$  for some distinct  $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1} \in A$ .

PROOF. Fix a Hamel basis  $B = \{b_{\alpha} : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  for  $\mathbb{R}$ . Each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , can uniquely be written as

$$x = \sum_{\alpha < \omega_1} \lambda_\alpha b_\alpha$$

where each  $\lambda_{\alpha}$  is rational and supp $(x) = \{\alpha : \lambda_{\alpha} \neq 0\}$  is finite.

230 P. Komjáth

We define f(x) = F(supp(x)). We show that f is as required.

Assume first that k=2. Let  $\{x_{\xi}: \xi < \omega_1\}$  be distinct reals. Set  $a_{\xi} = \sup(x_{\xi}) \in [\mathfrak{c}]^{<\omega}$ . By repeatedly shrinking the system, we can assume that every  $a_{\xi}$  has the same number of elements, k, and the sets  $\{a_{\xi}: \xi < \omega_1\}$  form a  $\Delta$ -system, i.e.,  $a_{\xi} \cap a_{\eta} = a$  ( $\xi \neq \eta$ ). Let  $a_{\xi} = \{\gamma_i^{\xi}: i < k\}$  be the increasing enumeration of  $a_{\xi}$  and  $\lambda_i^{\xi}$  be the corresponding coefficients, that is,

$$x_{\xi} = \sum_{i < k} \lambda_i^{\xi} b_{\gamma_i^{\xi}}.$$

By further shrinking the system we can assume that  $\lambda_i^{\xi} = \lambda_i$  and that there is a set I such that  $a = \{\gamma_i^{\xi} : i \in I\}$ , that is, the elements of a occupy the same positions in the  $a_{\xi}$ 's.

If now  $\xi < \eta$ , then

$$\operatorname{supp}(x_{\xi} + x_{\eta}) = a_{\xi} \cup a_{\eta}$$

as

$$x_\xi + x_\eta = \sum_{i \in I} 2\lambda_i b_{\gamma_i^\xi} + \sum_{i \not\in I} \lambda_i b_{\gamma_i^\xi} + \sum_{i \not\in I} \lambda_i b_{\gamma_i^\eta},$$

where the  $b_{\tau}$ 's are different on the right hand side.

We can therefore apply the Theorem and obtain  $\xi_0 < \eta_0$  and  $\xi_1 < \eta_1$  such that  $f(x_{\xi_0} + x_{\eta_0}) = 0$  and  $f(x_{\xi_1} + x_{\eta_1}) = 1$ .

We now consider the case  $k \geq 3$ . Assume that  $\{x_{\xi} : \xi < \omega_1\}$  are distinct reals and i < 2. Define

$$y_{\xi} = \frac{1}{2}(x_0 + \dots + x_{k-3}) + x_{k-2+\xi}$$

and apply the previous argument to  $\{y_{\xi}: \xi < \omega_1\}$ . It gives  $\xi < \eta$  such that the value of f is i at

$$y_{\xi} + y_{\eta} = x_0 + x_1 + \dots + x_{k-3} + x_{k-2+\xi} + x_{k-2+\eta},$$

the sum of k distinct elements of  $\{x_{\xi}: \xi < \omega_1\}$ .

**Acknowledgment.** The author is thankful for a thorough refereeing work.

## References

[1] T. Jech, Set Theory: The Third Millenium Edition, Revised and Expanded, Springer-Verlag, 2003.

- [2] N. Hindman, I. Leader, D. Strauss, *Pairwise sums in colourings of the reals*, Halin Memorial Volume, to appear.
- [3] S. Shelah, A graph which embeds all small graphs on any large set of vertices, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic, 38 (1988), 171–183.
- [4] S. Shelah, Was Sierpiński right?, Israel J. Math., 62 (1988), 355–380.
- [5] W. Sierpiński, Sur un problème de la théorie des relations, Ann. Scuolo Norm. Sup. Pisa, **2** (1933), 285–287.
- [6] S. Todorcevic, Coloring pairs of countable ordinals, Acta Math., 159 (1987), 261–294.