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# FUNCTIONS CONTINUOUS ON TWICE DIFFERENTIABLE CURVES, DISCONTINUOUS ON LARGE SETS 


#### Abstract

We provide a simple construction of a function $F: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ discontinuous on a perfect set $P$, while having continuous restrictions $F \upharpoonright C$ for all twice differentiable curves $C$. In particular, $F$ is separately continuous and linearly continuous.

While it has been known that the projection $\pi[P]$ of any such set $P$ onto a straight line must be meager, our construction allows $\pi[P]$ to have arbitrarily large measure. In particular, $P$ can have arbitrarily large 1Hausdorff measure, which is the best possible result in this direction, since any such $P$ has Hausdorff dimension at most 1 .


## 1 Introduction.

In this paper, a curve is understood as the range of a continuous injection $h=\left\langle h_{1}, h_{2}\right\rangle$ of an interval $J$ into the plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. A curve $C$ is said to be smooth (or $\mathcal{C}^{1}$ ), if the coordinate functions $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ are continuously differentiable (i.e., are $\mathcal{C}^{1}$ ) and $\left\langle h_{1}^{\prime}(t), h_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle \neq\langle 0,0\rangle$ for every $t \in J$; we say that $C$ is twice differentiable (or $D^{2}$ ), when it is smooth (so, its derivative nowhere vanishes) and the coordinate functions are twice differentiable. It has been proved by Rosenthal [17] that

[^0](*) For any function $G: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, if its restriction $G \upharpoonright C$ is continuous for every smooth curve $C$, then $G$ is continuous. However, there exists a discontinuous function $F: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $F \upharpoonright C$ continuous for all twice differentiable curves $C .{ }^{1}$

The function $F$ constructed by Rosenthal was discontinuous at a single point. The function constructed in our Theorem 4 seems to be the first example of a function with continuous restrictions to all twice differentiable curves, which has uncountable set of points of discontinuity.

For a family $\mathfrak{C}$ of curves $C$ in the plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, we say that $F: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\mathfrak{C}$-continuous, provided its restriction $F \upharpoonright C$ is continuous for every $C \in \mathfrak{C}$. The $\mathfrak{C}$-continuous functions for different classes $\mathfrak{C}$ of curves have been studied from the dawn of mathematical analysis. For the class $\mathcal{L}_{0}$ of straight lines parallel to either of the axis, the $\mathcal{L}_{0}$-continuity coincides with separate continuity (referring to maps $F$ with section functions $F(\cdot, y)$ and $F(x, \cdot)$ continuous for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ). Separately continuous functions have been investigated by many prominent mathematicians: Volterra (see Baire [2, p. 95]), Baire (1899, see [2]), Lebesgue (1905, see [13, pp. 201-202]), and Hahn (1919, see [9]). For the class $\mathcal{L}$ of all straight lines, $\mathcal{L}$-continuity is known under the name linear continuity. It has been known by J. Thomae (1870, see [20, p. 15] or [11]) that linearly continuous function need not be continuous. A simple example of such a function, which can be traced to a 1884 treatise on calculus by Genocchi and Peano [10], is defined as $F(x, y)=\frac{x y^{2}}{x^{2}+y^{4}}$ for $\langle x, y\rangle \neq\langle 0,0\rangle$, and $F(0,0)=0$. Scheeffer (1890, see [18]) and Lebesgue (1905, see [13, pp. 199-200]) have also noticed that the continuity along all analytic curves does not implies continuity. The question for what classes $\mathfrak{C}$ of curves does $\mathfrak{C}$-continuity imply continuity, apparently addressed in all works cited above, has been elegantly answered in 1955 by Rosenthal, as we stated in (*).

A next natural question, in this line of research, is about the structure of the sets $D(F)$ of points of discontinuity of $\mathfrak{C}$-continuous functions $F$ for different classes $\mathfrak{C}$ of curves. Of course, every set $D(F)$ must be $F_{\sigma}$. This follows from a well known result (see [14, thm. 7.1]) that, for arbitrary $F: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $D(F)$ is a union of the closed sets $D_{n}(F)=\left\{z \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \omega_{F}(z) \geq 2^{-n}\right\}$, where $\omega_{F}(z)=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0^{+}} \sup \{|F(z)-F(w)|:\|z-w\|<\delta\}$ is the oscillation of $F$ at $z$.

The structure of sets $D(F)$ for separately continuous functions (i.e., for $\mathfrak{C}=\mathcal{L}_{0}$ ) was examined by Young and Young (1910, see [21]) and was fully

[^1]described in 1943 by Kershner [12] (compare also [4]), who showed that a set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is equal to $D(F)$ for a separately continuous $F: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ if and only if $D$ is $F_{\sigma}$ and the projection of $D$ onto each axis is meager. More precisely, the characterization follows from the fact that a bounded set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is equal to the set $D_{n}(F)=\left\{z \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \omega_{F}(z) \geq 2^{-n}\right\}$ for a separately continuous $F: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ if and only if $D$ is closed and its projection onto each axis is nowhere dense. Notice, that this characterization implies, in particular, that a set of points of discontinuity a separately continuous $F: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can have full planar measure.

The structure of sets $D(F)$ for linearly continuous functions $F: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ is considerable more restrictive, as can be seen by the following result of Slobodnik [19]. More on separate continuity can be found in [7, 15, 16].

Proposition 1. If $D$ is the set of points of discontinuity of a linearly continuous function $F: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then
$(\bullet) D$ is a union of sets $D_{n}, n=1,2,3, \ldots$, where each $D_{n}$ is a rotation of a graph $h_{n} \upharpoonright P_{n}$ of a Lipschitz function $h_{n}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ restricted to a compact nowhere dense set $P_{n}$.

Since the graph of a Lipschitz function has Hausdorff dimension 1 (see e.g. [8, sec. 3.2]), this means that so does any set of points of discontinuity of a linearly continuous function. We have recently shown [5] that the condition $(\bullet)$ is actually quite close to the full characterization of sets $D(F)$ for linearly continuous functions $F$, by proving that: if $D$ is as in $(\bullet)$, where each function $h_{n}$ is either convex or $\mathcal{C}^{2}$, then $D$ is equal to the set of points of discontinuity of some linearly continuous function. This new result implies, in particular, that any meager $F_{\sigma}$ subset of a line is the set of points of discontinuity of some linearly continuous function; so such a set may have positive 1-Hausdorff measure.

The main goal of this paper is to show that a function $F: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with continuous restrictions to all twice differentiable curves can also have a set of points of discontinuity with large 1-Hausdorff measure.

Notice, that any smooth curve $C$, with associated injection $h=\left\langle h_{1}, h_{2}\right\rangle$, is locally (at a neighborhood of an arbitrary point $\left\langle h_{1}(t), h_{2}(t)\right\rangle$ ) a function of either variable $x$ (when $h_{1}^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$ ) or of variable $y$ (when $h_{2}^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$ ). Thus, $\mathfrak{C}\left(\mathcal{C}^{1}\right)$-continuity with respect to the class $\mathfrak{C}\left(\mathcal{C}^{1}\right)$ of all smooth curves is the same as the $\mathcal{C}^{1} \cup\left(\mathcal{C}^{1}\right)^{-1}$-continuity, where $\mathcal{C}^{1}$ is the class of all continuously differentiable functions $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $\left(\mathcal{C}^{1}\right)^{-1}=\left\{g^{-1}: g \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\right\}$, with $g^{-1}$ understood as an inverse relation, that is, as $g^{-1}=\{\langle g(y), y\rangle: y \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Similarly, $\mathfrak{C}\left(D^{2}\right)$-continuity, where $\mathfrak{C}\left(D^{2}\right)$ is the class of all (smooth) twice differentiable curves, coincides with $D^{2} \cup\left(D^{2}\right)^{-1}$-continuity.

## 2 The main result.

Our example will be constructed using the following simple, but general result on $\mathfrak{C}$-continuous functions. Recall that the support of a function $F: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, denoted as $\operatorname{supp}(F)$, is defined as the closure of the set $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: f(x) \neq 0\right\}$. Symbol $\omega$ will be used here to denote the first infinite ordinal number, which is identified with the set of all natural numbers, $\omega=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$.

Lemma 2. Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be a family of curves in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and let $\left\{D_{j} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}: j<\omega\right\}$ be a pointwise finite family of open sets such that
(F) the set $\left\{j<\omega: D_{j} \cap C \neq \emptyset\right\}$ is finite for every $C \in \mathfrak{C}$.

Then for every sequence $\left\langle F_{j}: j<\omega\right\rangle$ of continuous functions from $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ into $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\operatorname{supp}\left(F_{i}\right) \subset D_{i}$ for all $i<\omega$, the function $F \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sum_{j<\omega} F_{j}$ is $\mathfrak{C}$-continuous. Moreover, if

- the diameters of the sets $D_{j}$ go to 0 , as $j \rightarrow \infty$,
- $\hat{P}$ is the set of all $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ for which every open $U \ni z$ intersects infinitely many sets $D_{j}$, and
- each function $F_{j}$ is onto $[0,1]$,
then $\hat{P}=D(F)=\left\{z \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \omega_{F}(z)=1\right\}$.
Proof. The first part is obvious. The second follows easily from the fact, that, for any $z \in \hat{P}$, every open $U \ni z$ contains infinitely many sets $D_{j}$.

Lemma 2 will be used with $\hat{P}=h \upharpoonright P$, the graph of $h$ restricted to $P$, where $h$ and $P$ are from the proposition below.

Proposition 3. For every $M \in[0,1)$ there exists a $\mathcal{C}^{1}$ function $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a nowhere dense perfect $P \subset(0,1)$ of measure $M$ such that for every $\hat{x} \in P$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\prime}(\hat{x})=0 \text { and } \lim _{x \rightarrow \hat{x}} \frac{|h(x)-h(\hat{x})|}{(x-\hat{x})^{2}}=\infty \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will postpone the proof of Proposition 3 till the next section. However, we like to notice here, that the limit $\lim _{x \rightarrow \hat{x}} \frac{|h(x)-h(\hat{x})|}{(x-\hat{x})^{2}}$ is a variant of the limit $\lim _{x \rightarrow \hat{x}} 2 \frac{h(x)-h(\hat{x})}{(x-\hat{x})^{2}}$, which constitutes a generalized second derivative (related to Peano derivative) of $h$ at $\hat{x}$. Indeed, if $h^{\prime \prime}(\hat{x})$ exists, finite or infinite, then, by l'Hôpital's Rule, $\lim _{x \rightarrow \hat{x}} 2 \frac{h(x)-h(\hat{x})}{(x-\hat{x})^{2}}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \hat{x}} 2 \frac{h^{\prime}(x)-0}{2(x-\hat{x})}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \hat{x}} \frac{h^{\prime}(x)-h^{\prime}(\hat{x})}{x-\hat{x}}=$ $h^{\prime \prime}(\hat{x})$. We need Proposition 3 in its current form, since there is no $\mathcal{C}^{1}$ function
$h$ having an infinite second derivative on set of positive measure. ${ }^{2}$ But see also remarks at the end of this section.

Theorem 4. Let $h$ and $P$ be as in Proposition 3. Then $\hat{P}=h \upharpoonright P$ is the set of points of discontinuity of a $D^{2}$-continuous function $F: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, $F$ has oscillation equal 1 at every point from $\hat{P}$.

Proof. Let $\left\{J_{j}: j<\omega\right\}$ be an enumeration, without repetitions, of bounded connected components of $\mathbb{R} \backslash P$. For every $j<\omega$ let the $I_{j}$ be the open middle third subinterval of $J_{j}$ and let $F_{j}$ be a continuous function from $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ onto $[0,1]$ with $\operatorname{supp}\left(F_{j}\right)$ contained in $D_{j}=\left\{\langle x, y\rangle \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: x \in I_{j} \&|y-h(x)|<\left|I_{j}\right|^{3}\right\}$, where $\left|I_{j}\right|$ is the length of $I_{j}$. We will show that the function $F=\sum_{j<\omega} F_{j}$ is as required.

It is enough to show that sets $D_{j}$ satisfy property ( F ) for $\mathfrak{C}=D^{2} \cup\left(D^{2}\right)^{-1}$, since all other assumptions of Lemma 2 are clearly satisfied. To see this, fix a $D^{2}$ function $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We need to prove that both $g$ and $g^{-1}$ intersect only finitely many sets $D_{j}$.

To see that $g$ intersects only finitely many sets $D_{j}$, by way of contradiction, assume that there is an infinite set $\left\{j_{n}: n<\omega\right\}$ such that $g \cap D_{j_{n}} \neq \emptyset$. For $n<\omega$ choose $\left\langle x_{n}, y_{n}\right\rangle \in g \cap D_{j_{n}}$. Then $g\left(x_{n}\right)=y_{n}$ for all $n<\omega$. Choosing a subsequence, if necessary, we can assume that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=\hat{x} \in P$. Then, by the definition of sets $D_{j}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(y_{n}-h\left(x_{n}\right)\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y_{n}-h\left(x_{n}\right)}{x_{n}-\hat{x}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y_{n}-h\left(x_{n}\right)}{\left(x_{n}-\hat{x}\right)^{2}}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\frac{y_{n}-h\left(x_{n}\right)}{\left(x_{n}-\hat{x}\right)^{2}}\right| \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|y_{n}-h\left(x_{n}\right)\right|}{\left|I_{j_{n}}\right|^{2}} \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|I_{j_{n}}\right|=0$. In particular,

$$
g(\hat{x})=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} g\left(x_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(y_{n}-h\left(x_{n}\right)\right)+\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h\left(x_{n}\right)=h(\hat{x})
$$

and
$g^{\prime}(\hat{x})=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y_{n}-h(\hat{x})}{x_{n}-\hat{x}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y_{n}-h\left(x_{n}\right)}{x_{n}-\hat{x}}+\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h\left(x_{n}\right)-h(\hat{x})}{x_{n}-\hat{x}}=h^{\prime}(\hat{x})=0$.
Hence, by l'Hôpital's Rule, $\lim _{x \rightarrow \hat{x}} \frac{g(x)-g(\hat{x})}{(x-\hat{x})^{2}}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \hat{x}} \frac{g^{\prime}(x)-0}{2(x-\hat{x})}=\frac{1}{2} g^{\prime \prime}(\hat{x})$ and, using (2) once more,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h\left(x_{n}\right)-h(\hat{x})}{\left(x_{n}-\hat{x}\right)^{2}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h\left(x_{n}\right)-y_{n}}{\left(x_{n}-\hat{x}\right)^{2}}+\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g\left(x_{n}\right)-g(\hat{x})}{\left(x_{n}-\hat{x}\right)^{2}}=\frac{1}{2} g^{\prime \prime}(\hat{x})
$$

[^2]where the first equation is justified by $y_{n}=g\left(x_{n}\right)$ and $h(\hat{x})=g(\hat{x})$. But this contradicts the assumption on $h$ that $\lim _{x \rightarrow \hat{x}} \frac{|h(x)-h(\hat{x})|}{(x-\hat{x})^{2}}=\infty$.

To see that $g^{-1}$ intersects only finitely many sets $D_{j}$, by way of contradiction, assume that there is an infinite set $\left\{j_{n}: n<\omega\right\}$ such that $g^{-1} \cap D_{j_{n}} \neq \emptyset$. For $n<\omega$ choose $\left\langle x_{n}, y_{n}\right\rangle \in g^{-1} \cap D_{j_{n}}$. Then $g\left(y_{n}\right)=x_{n}$ for all $n<\omega$. Choosing a subsequence, if necessary, we can assume that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=\hat{x} \in P$. Then, $\hat{y} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(y_{n}-h\left(x_{n}\right)\right)+\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h\left(x_{n}\right)=h(\hat{x})$ and also $g(\hat{y})=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} g\left(y_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=\hat{x}$. Since, by the assumptions from Proposition $3, h^{\prime}(\hat{x})=0$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g\left(y_{n}\right)-g(\hat{y})}{y_{n}-\hat{y}} \cdot \frac{y_{n}-\hat{y}}{g\left(y_{n}\right)-g(\hat{y})} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g\left(y_{n}\right)-g(\hat{y})}{y_{n}-\hat{y}} \cdot \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y_{n}-h(\hat{x})}{x_{n}-\hat{x}} \\
& =g^{\prime}(\hat{y}) \cdot h^{\prime}(\hat{x})=g^{\prime}(\hat{y}) \cdot 0=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction.
It is also worth to notice here, that if $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a $\mathcal{C}^{1}$ homeomorphism and $P$ is a perfect set such that $h^{\prime \prime}(\hat{x})=\lim _{x \rightarrow \hat{x}} \frac{h^{\prime}(x)-h^{\prime}(\hat{x})}{x-\hat{x}}=\infty$ for every $\hat{x} \in P$, then a small modification of the above proof gives a $D^{2}$ continuous function $F: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $D(F)=h \upharpoonright P$. This remark is of interest here, since such an $h$ is easily constructed with standard calculus tools, see e.g. [6, Example 4.5.1]. However, as mentioned above, for such an $h$, neither can $P$ have positive measure, nor can we have $h^{\prime}(x)=0$ for more than finitely many points $x$ from $P$. So, in the modified argument for $g$, the fraction $\frac{h\left(x_{n}\right)-h(\hat{x})}{\left(x_{n}-\hat{x}\right)^{2}}$ would need to be replaced with $\frac{h\left(x_{n}\right)-\left[h^{\prime}(\hat{x})\left(x_{n}-\hat{x}\right)+h(\hat{x})\right]}{\left(x_{n}-\hat{x}\right)^{2}}$. Moreover, the same argument that we used to show that $g \notin D^{2}$ would need to be repeated for $g^{-1}$, however, this would require more restrictions in the definition of the sets $D_{j}$ to allow for the reversed role of the variables $x$ and $y$.

## 3 Proof of Proposition 3

Function $h$ described below is a minor modification of a map $f$ from $[1$, thm. 18].

Let $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ be such that $M<1-\varepsilon$ and let $K$ be a symmetrically defined Cantor-like subset of $[0,1]$ of measure $1-\varepsilon$. More precisely, the set $K$ is defined as $K=\bigcap_{n<\omega} \bigcup_{s \in 2^{n}} I_{s}=[0,1] \backslash \bigcup_{s \in 2^{<\omega}} J_{s}$, where: $2^{n}$ denotes the set of all sequences from $n=\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ into $2=\{0,1\} ; 2^{<\omega}=\bigcup_{n<\omega} 2^{n}$
is the set of all finite $0-1$ sequences; $I_{\emptyset}=[0,1]$, and, for any $s \in 2^{n}, J_{s}$ is an open interval of length $\frac{\varepsilon}{3^{n+1}}$ sharing the center with $I_{s}$, while $I_{s^{\wedge} 0}$ and $I_{s^{\wedge} 1}$ are the left and right component intervals of $I_{s} \backslash J_{s}$, respectively. Note that $\left|J_{s}\right|=\frac{\varepsilon}{3^{n+1}}<\frac{1}{3^{n+1}}<\left|I_{s}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n}}$ for every $s \in 2^{n}$, so the choice of $J_{s}$ is always possible. Clearly the set $K$ has the desired measure of $1-\sum_{s \in 2^{<\omega}}\left|J_{s}\right|=$ $1-\sum_{n<\omega} 2^{n} \frac{\varepsilon}{3^{n+1}}=1-\varepsilon$.

For every $s \in 2^{n}$ let $f_{s}$ be a function from $\mathbb{R}$ onto $[0,1 /(n+1)]$ defined as $f_{s}(x)=\frac{2}{(n+1)\left|J_{s}\right|} \operatorname{dist}\left(x, \mathbb{R} \backslash J_{s}\right)$, where $\operatorname{dist}(x, T)=\inf \{|x-t|: t \in T\}$ denotes the distance from $x$ to $T$. Then, the function $h_{0}=\sum_{s \in 2^{<\omega}} f_{s}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,1]$ is continuous and our $\mathcal{C}^{1}$ function $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as $h(x)=\int_{0}^{x} h_{0}(t) d t$. Note that $h$ is strictly increasing on $[0,1]$.

Let $P$ be an arbitrary perfect subset of $K$ of measure $M$, which is disjoint with the set of all endpoints of the intervals $J_{s}, s \in 2^{<\omega}$. We will show that $h$ and $P$ are as required.

Clearly, for every $\hat{x} \in P \subset K$ we have $h^{\prime}(\hat{x})=h_{0}(\hat{x})=0$. To see the other condition, first notice that for $n>1 / \ln (4 / 3)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { if } \hat{x}, x_{0} \in K \cap I_{s} \text { for } s \in 2^{n} \text { and } \hat{x} \neq x_{0} \text {, then } \frac{\left|h\left(x_{0}\right)-h(\hat{x})\right|}{\left(x_{0}-\hat{x}\right)^{2}} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{6} \frac{(4 / 3)^{n}}{(n+1)} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To argue for (3), choose the largest $m<\omega$ such that $\hat{x}, x_{0} \in I_{t}$ for some $t \in 2^{m}$. Then $m \geq n, \hat{x}$ and $x_{0}$ are separated by the interval $J_{t}$, and
$\frac{\left|h\left(x_{0}\right)-h(\hat{x})\right|}{\left(x_{0}-\hat{x}\right)^{2}}=\frac{\left|\int_{\hat{x}}^{x_{0}} h_{0}(t) d t\right|}{\left(x_{0}-\hat{x}\right)^{2}} \geq \frac{\left|\int_{J_{t}} h_{0}(t) d t\right|}{\left|I_{t}\right|^{2}}=\frac{\frac{1}{2}\left|J_{t}\right| \frac{1}{(m+1)}}{\left|I_{t}\right|^{2}} \geq \frac{\frac{1}{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{3^{m+1}} \frac{1}{(m+1)}}{\left(1 / 2^{m}\right)^{2}}$.
Hence, $\frac{\left|h\left(x_{0}\right)-h(\hat{x})\right|}{\left(x_{0}-\hat{x}\right)^{2}} \geq \frac{\frac{1}{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{3^{m+1} \frac{1}{m+1)}}}{\left(1 / 2^{m}\right)^{2}}=\frac{\varepsilon}{6} \frac{(4 / 3)^{m}}{(m+1)} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{6} \frac{(4 / 3)^{n}}{(n+1)}$, as required, where the last inequality holds, since the function $f(x)=\frac{(4 / 3)^{x}}{x+1}$ is increasing for $x>1 / \ln (4 / 3)$, having derivative $f^{\prime}(x)=\frac{(4 / 3)^{x}[\ln (4 / 3)(x+1)-1]}{(x+1)^{2}}$.

Next, notice that
if $s \in 2^{n}, x \in J_{s}$, and $x_{0}$ is an endpoint of $J_{s}$, then $\frac{\left|h(x)-h\left(x_{0}\right)\right|}{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}} \geq \frac{3^{n+1}}{4(n+1) \varepsilon}$.
To argue for (4), let $x_{1}$ be the midpoint between $x_{0}$ and $x$. Then $h_{0}$ is linear on the interval between $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$ with the slope $\pm \frac{2}{(n+1)\left|J_{s}\right|}$. Hence, indeed,

$$
\frac{\left|h(x)-h\left(x_{0}\right)\right|}{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}}>\frac{\left|h\left(x_{1}\right)-h\left(x_{0}\right)\right|}{4\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)^{2}}=\frac{\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)^{2} \frac{2}{(n+1)\left|J_{s}\right|}}{4\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)^{2}}=\frac{3^{n+1}}{4(n+1) \varepsilon}
$$

Finally, fix an $\hat{x} \in P$. We need to show that $\lim _{x \rightarrow \hat{x}} \frac{|h(x)-h(\hat{x})|}{(x-\hat{x})^{2}}=\infty$. For this, we fix an arbitrarily large $N$ and show that $\frac{|h(x)-h(\hat{x})|}{(x-\hat{x})^{2}} \geq N$ for the points $x$ close enough to $\hat{x}$.

Let $n_{0}$ be such that $\min \left\{\frac{\varepsilon}{6} \frac{(4 / 3)^{n}}{(n+1)}, \frac{3^{n+1}}{4(n+1) \varepsilon}\right\} \geq 4 N$ for all $n \geq n_{0}$ and let $s \in 2^{n_{0}}$ be such that $\hat{x} \in I_{s}$. Notice that $\hat{x}$ belongs to the interior $U$ of $I_{s}$, as $\hat{x} \in P$. Hence, it is enough to show that $\frac{|h(x)-h(\hat{x})|}{(x-\hat{x})^{2}} \geq N$ for every $x \neq \hat{x}$ from $U$. So, fix such an $x$.

If $x \in K$, then $\frac{|h(x)-h(\hat{x})|}{(x-\hat{x})^{2}} \geq N$ follows immediately from (3). So, assume that $x \notin K$. Then $x \in J_{t}$ for some $t \supset s$. Let $x_{0}$ be the end point of $J_{t}$ between $x$ and $\hat{x}$. Notice, that $x_{0} \neq \hat{x}$, since $\hat{x} \in P$. Then, since $h$ is increasing on $[0,1]$, properties (3) and (4) imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{|h(x)-h(\hat{x})|}{(x-\hat{x})^{2}} & =\frac{\left|h(x)-h\left(x_{0}\right)\right|}{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}} \frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}}{(x-\hat{x})^{2}}+\frac{\left|h\left(x_{0}\right)-h(\hat{x})\right|}{\left(x_{0}-\hat{x}\right)^{2}} \frac{\left(x_{0}-\hat{x}\right)^{2}}{(x-\hat{x})^{2}} \\
& \geq 4 N \frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}}{(x-\hat{x})^{2}}+4 N \frac{\left(x_{0}-\hat{x}\right)^{2}}{(x-\hat{x})^{2}} \geq N
\end{aligned}
$$

finishing the proof.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Clearly, for any such $F$, the composition $F \circ h$ is continuous, whenever $h=\left\langle h_{1}, h_{2}\right\rangle$ is a coordinate system for a $D^{2}$ curve. In fact, a little care in constructing such an $F$ (e.g. by using $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ functions $h_{n}$ in Proposition 1) insures that $F \circ h$ is also $D^{2}$. However, it is important here, that the derivative $h^{\prime}$ never vanishes, as it has been proved by Boman [3] (see also [11]), that if $F \circ\left\langle h_{1}, h_{2}\right\rangle$ is $\mathcal{C}^{1}$ for any $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ functions $h_{1}, h_{2}$, then $F$ is continuous.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ This follows, for example, from [1, thm. 19] (used with $f=h^{\prime}$ ) which says that: for any real-valued continuous function $f$ defined on a set $P \subset \mathbb{R}$ of positive measure there exists a $\mathcal{C}^{1}$ function $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which agrees with $f$ on an uncountable set.

