# MAXIMUM ENTROPY AND MOMENT PROBLEMS 


#### Abstract

We study moment problems in which one searches for a probability density on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ by using insufficient information given in an integral form. We characterize the existence of the representing densities for a finite multi-sequence of moments by the solvability of a concrete finite system of equations. Its solution provides the (unique) representing density of maximum entropy allowed by the given data, that turns out to be the exponential of a polynomial to be determined. For all densities of this form, the system to be solved can be taken linear to be provided sufficiently many moments are known.


## 1 Introduction

A truncated problem of moments can be stated as follows. Let $T$ be a measurable space endowed with a fixed nonnegative measure $m$. Let $u_{\alpha}, \alpha \in A$ be a finite family of known functions on $T$. Given a finite set $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha}$ of numbers $\gamma_{\alpha}(\alpha \in A)$, one asks to establish if there exist measures $\mu \geq 0$ on $T$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T} u_{\alpha}(t) d \mu(t)=\gamma_{\alpha} \quad(\alpha \in A) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, one looks for absolutely continuous measures $\mu=f m$ with $f \geq 0$. If (1) holds, then $\mu$ (resp. $f$ ) is called a representing measure (resp. density) for the sequence $\gamma$. The problem is then to characterize those sets $\gamma$ which have nonnegative representing measures, study the set of the solutions and find or approximate such measures $\mu$.

[^0]We shall assume that $T$ is a compact subset of either $n$-dimensional real space, or the $n$-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^{n}$. To fix the notation, we start by stating the results in the case when $T \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $m$ is the Lebesgue measure on $T$. However, our method applies with minor modifications to more general situations, including both cases mentioned here. We will consider only absolutely continuous representing measures $f m$, with nonnegative density $f$ from $L^{1}(T)$ - the space of all (classes of) measurable functions that are Lebesgue integrable on $T$ with respect to $m$. Set $a:=\operatorname{card} A$. We characterize the existence of such representing densities by the solvability of the following system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T} u_{\alpha}(t) \mathrm{e}^{\sum_{\beta \in A} x_{\beta} u_{\beta}(t)} d m(t)=\gamma_{\alpha} \quad(\alpha \in A) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $a$ equations with $a$ unknowns $x_{\alpha}(\alpha \in A)$. Therefore if our problem (1) has any absolutely continuous solution $\mu=f m$, then it will necessarily have also a solution of the form from above. The concrete form of (2) then should allow the study of the existence of (or approximate) the vector $x=\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in A} \in \mathbb{R}^{a}$, see for instance [1], [3]; note also Remark 1 in this sense. When the system (2) (see (24) and (29), too) has a solution, it is unique and provides the (also unique) representing density $f_{*}$ having maximal entropy, by the formula

$$
f_{*}(t)=f_{*, x}(t)=\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha \in A} x_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}(t)\right) \quad(t \in T)
$$

Namely, $f_{*}$ maximizes the Boltzmann's integral $-\int f \ln f d m$ amongst all the absolutely continuous measures $\mu=f m \geq 0$ satisfying the equalities (1).

For powers moment problems, we show that if there exists an integrable representing density of the form $f_{*}=\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha \in A} x_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}\right)$ on the whole space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ or $\mathbb{T}^{n}$, then knowing the moments $\gamma_{\alpha}, \alpha \in A+A$ provides the values of $x_{\alpha}$ ( $\alpha \in A$ ) by solving a compatible and determined linear system (30). Note the following example. Let $n=1$ and $\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. Set $u_{\alpha}(t)=t^{\alpha}(\alpha=0,1,2)$. In this case one can use (2) to compute $x_{\alpha}$ by hand. Namely, assume that $f_{*}(t):=\exp \left(x_{0}+x_{1} t+x_{2} t^{2}\right), t \in \mathbb{R}$ is integrable and satisfies (2). Since $f_{*} \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, we have $x_{2}<0$. Hence by the Leibniz-Newton formula we have $\int f_{*}^{\prime} d t=0$ and $\int\left(t f_{*}(t)\right)^{\prime} d t=0$, where $f^{\prime}$ denotes the derivative of $f$. It follows that $x_{1} \gamma_{0}+2 x_{2} \gamma_{1}=0$ and $\gamma_{0}+x_{1} \gamma_{1}+2 x_{2} \gamma_{2}=0$. Then $x_{1}=\gamma_{0} \gamma_{1} d^{-1}$, $x_{2}=-\gamma_{0}^{2} d^{-1}$ and $x_{0}=\ln \left(\gamma_{0} / \int \exp \left(x_{1} t+x_{2} t^{2}\right) d t\right)$, where $d:=\gamma_{0} \gamma_{2}-\gamma_{1}^{2}$. Hence $f_{*}(t)=C \exp \left[-(t-s)^{2} / d\right]$ is a multiple of the Gauss distribution of mean $s=\gamma_{1} / 2$ and dispersion $d$. Thus we get the well-known fact that the maximum entropy probability density of given mean and dispersion is the normal one, see [17] for instance. Similar computations providing $x$ in terms of the known data $\gamma_{\alpha}, \alpha \in A$ can be done also when $A=\left\{\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in\right.$
$\left.\mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n} \mid \alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n} \leq 2\right\}$. (This moment problem has been solved in [11] by different methods.)

The solution in the above example is valid if and only if $\gamma_{0}>0$ and $\gamma_{0} \gamma_{2}-\gamma_{1}^{2}>0$. This agrees with the theory of the truncated problem of power moments, existing for $n=1$. Namely, a set $\left(\gamma_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha=0}^{2 k}(\neq 0)$ has nonnegative representing measures (resp. densities) on $\mathbb{R} \Leftrightarrow$ the quadratic form $\left(\gamma_{\alpha+\beta}\right)_{\alpha, \beta=0}^{k}$ is nonnegative (resp. positive) definite [4]. Similar characterizations hold for $A:=\{0,1, \ldots, k\}$ and $T:=$ an interval in $\mathbb{R}$, for $A:=\{-k, \ldots, k\}$ and $T:=\mathbb{T}$ (with $u_{\alpha}\left(e^{i \theta}\right):=e^{i \alpha \theta}$ and $m:=d \theta$ ), as well as in other 1-dimensional cases [4], [6], [13], [24]. They are based on the possibility to represent any nonnegative polynomial (resp. trigonometrical polynomial) on $\mathbb{R}$ (resp. $\mathbb{T}$ ) as a sum of squares [21]. Namely the existence of $\mu$ can be characterized by the existence of a functional $L_{\gamma}$ on polynomials such that $L_{\gamma} t^{\alpha}=\gamma_{\alpha}$ and $L_{\gamma} p \geq 0$ for any $p \geq 0$ on $T$ [18]. Then by the Hahn-Banach theorem it suffices to ask $L_{\gamma} p \geq 0$ for those $p=\sum_{\alpha \in A} c_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}$ such that $p(t) \geq 0, t \in T$ [18], [24]. The problem is to describe these polynomials $p$. If they are (or can be expressed in terms of) sums of squares, then conditions like $L_{\gamma}\left(|q|^{2}\right) \geq 0$ for all $q$ lead to characterizations as above. This method is not applicable for $n>1$ when the set of nonnegative polynomials is more difficult to handle [8]. (For instance, not all of them can be written as sums of squares.) The same questions appear for trigonometric moment problems on $\mathbb{T}^{n}$ [15], [23].

There are also other approaches providing the existence of certain "maximum entropy"-type solutions [6], [13], but usually their proofs (operator theoretic or complex analytic) can work only in the case $n=1$. Various results exist in certain multidimensional cases, too [7], [10], [11], [22], [25]. Note in particular a complete solution of the truncated moment problem for finitelyatomic measures and flat data in the sense of [11]. Also, the existence of a maximum entropy (in another sense) solution is proved in [7] for an operatorvalued truncated trigonometric moment problem when $n=2$ and $A=$ an infinite band in $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$.

The full moment problems (when $A=\mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}$ or $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ ) received satisfactory answers for a large class of supports $T$, see [10], [13], [14], [18], [24], [25]. In this case one can complete the space of all polynomials with respect to the inner product $\left(t^{\alpha}, t^{\beta}\right):=\gamma_{\alpha+\beta}$ to a Hilbert space $H$, then study the operators induced on $H$ by the multiplication with the coordinate functions $t_{i}$ [5], [12], [14], [25]. However, as noted in [11], this idea has a limited applicability in the truncated case, when $H$ is not invariant under $t_{i}$.

Thus the moment problems for $n>1$ and $A=$ finite have received rather partial answers. For heuristic reasons and without claim of rigor, we sketch below the idea of our approach, based on Shannon's idea. Suppose that
$m(T)=\gamma_{0}=1$ and set $F_{\alpha}(f)=\int_{T} u_{\alpha}(t) f(t) d m-\gamma_{\alpha}(\alpha \in A)$. Assume the existence of the representing densities $f \geq 0$ of $\gamma$ with $\int_{T} f d m=1$. Then among them there exists one probability density $f_{*}$ having the maximum degree of randomness allowed by the conditions (1). (This implies in particular that $f_{*}$ is strictly positive and smooth on $T$.) Namely, this density maximizes the entropy functional $H(f):=-\int_{T} f \ln f d m$ with the restrictions $F_{\alpha}(f)=0(\alpha \in A)$. Since $f_{*}>0$ on $T$, it belongs in a certain sense to the interior of the domain of $H$. Hence we may apply the method of the Lagrange multipliers for the conditioned extremum. Then there are $x_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$ $(\alpha \in A)$ such that $f_{*}$ be a critical point of the function $L:=H+\sum_{\alpha \in A} x_{\alpha} F_{\alpha}$; namely, $L^{\prime}\left(f_{*}\right)=0$. Thus $L^{\prime}\left(f_{*}\right) g=0$ for all $g$. Note that $L(f)=\int_{T} G(f) d m$ where $G(f):=-f \ln f+\sum_{\alpha \in A}\left(u_{\alpha} f-\gamma_{\alpha}\right)$. By using the formula $L^{\prime}(f) g=$ $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} s^{-1}(L(f+s g)-L(f))$, it follows that $\int_{T} G^{\prime}\left(f_{*}\right) g d m=0$ for all $g$. Hence $G^{\prime}\left(f_{*}\right)=0$. Now $G^{\prime}(f)=-\ln f-1+\sum_{\alpha \in A} x_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}$. We obtain that $e f_{*}$ is the exponential of a linear combination of the functions $u_{\alpha}=u_{\alpha}(t)(\alpha \in A)$. Writing the conditions (1) for $\mu:=f_{*} m$, we obtain the system (2) which must then have a solution $x=\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in A}$. In the 2 nd section we will rigorously state and prove these considerations.

The idea from above is a known natural approach to this type of problems, at least in the case $T=$ finite. One way or another, it was also used or suggested in several problems in which maximum entropy distributions naturally arise [9], [17], [19], [20]. However, to our knowledge there are no proofs of the existence of the maximum entropy solution $f_{*}$ in the present context. The form of $f_{*}=f_{*, x}$ was known for $n=1$ and $T \subset \mathbb{R}$ in some particular cases [9], [17], [20] (see Theorem 0 below), but the existence of the corresponding $x$ satisfying a system of the form (2) is always-at least implicitly - assumed by hypothesis. Then it is verified that the (already existing) solution $f_{*}=f_{*, x}$ defined by $f_{*, x}(t):=\sum_{\alpha \in A} x_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}(t)(t \in T)$ maximizes $H$ among all other possible (nonnegative) solutions ([20], see the proof of $(3) \Rightarrow(2)$ in Theorem 14).

The novelty in the present paper, for any $n \geq 1$, is that Theorems $12,14,16$ are mainly results of existence of the maximum entropy representing density $f_{*}=f_{*, x}(t)$ given by the parameters $x$. Namely, we assume only that there is at least one (arbitrary) nonnegative representing density. Then we prove the existence of the solution $x$ of (2), and hence of the associated density $f_{*, x}$ of maximum entropy. It suffices actually (see Theorem 12) to assume that there exist representing measures whose absolutely continuous parts are $\not \equiv 0$.

To briefly recall the significance of the maximum entropy solution [9], [17], [19], let $V:(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P) \rightarrow(T, m)$ be a random variable with values in $T$ and absolutely continuous repartition $P \circ V^{-1}=\mu=f m$, where $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ is a
probability field. Let $T$ be finite with $m:=$ the normalized cardinal measure. The average of the minimum amount of information necessary to determine the position of $V$ in $T$ proves then to be equal to Shannon's entropy

$$
H(f):=-\int_{\Omega} \log _{2} f(V(\omega)) d P(\omega) \quad\left(=-\sum_{t \in T} f(t) \log _{2} f(t)\right)
$$

see for instance [17]. In general, if $T$ is endowed with some arbitrary nonnegative measure $m$, then the corresponding degree of randomness of $V$ is measured by

$$
H(V):=-\int_{\Omega} \ln f \circ V d P \quad\left(=-\int_{T} f \ln f d m\right)
$$

Suppose that the repartition $f$ of $V$ is unknown, but we can find the mean values of some quantities $u_{\alpha}, \alpha \in A$ depending on $V$. The available data on $V$ are thus given by the knowledge of the numbers

$$
\gamma_{\alpha}:=\int_{\Omega} u_{\alpha}(V(\omega)) d P(\omega) \quad\left(=\int_{T} u_{\alpha}(t) f(t) d m(t)\right) \quad(\alpha \in A)
$$

The problem is now to choose the most reliable $f$ by using all this (and only this) information. The repartition $f_{*}$ of the highest degree of randomness allowed by the conditions (1) is then the natural choice for $f$, see for instance [17], [19] for details. Note also in this sense the very interesting result from below.

Theorem 0. [9] Let $n:=1$ and $T:=[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$. Let $V$ be a random variable with uniform distribution on $T$. If $V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots$ are independent copies of $V$, then the conditional probability of $V$ given the observation

$$
k^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k} u_{\alpha}\left(V_{i}\right)=\gamma_{\alpha} \quad(\alpha \in A, k=1,2, \ldots)
$$

converges to $f_{*, x}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
Therefore in certain moment-type problems it could be of interest to approximate $f_{*, x}$ (that is, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{a}$ ). To this aim, one could minimize numerically the function $V$ from Remark 1, using projected gradients for example. In practice, for a large class of such problems $x$ can be obtained from a linear system of $n a$ equations if sufficiently many moments are given, see Theorem 17. The present approach seems to present certain similarities to various problems of reconstructing the shape or probability density of an object from indirect measurements providing its moments.

## 2 Main Results

Remark 1. If $\gamma_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$, and $u_{\alpha}: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are linearly independent continuous functions, then the functional $V=V(x)$ defined for $x=\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in A}$ by

$$
V(x)=\int_{T} \exp \left(\sum_{\alpha} x_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}(t)\right) d m(t)-\sum_{\alpha} \gamma_{\alpha} x_{\alpha}
$$

is smooth, strictly convex and $\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{\beta}}(x)=\int_{T} u_{\beta}(t) \exp \left(\sum_{\alpha} x_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}(t)\right) d m(t)-\gamma_{\beta}$. Moreover, a solution $x$ of (2) exists if and only if $V$ is bounded from below and attaints its minimum - in which case the (unique) minimum point of $V$ is $x$.

Proof. For every real numbers $c_{\alpha}$ we have

$$
\sum_{\beta, \delta} \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial x_{\beta} \partial x_{\delta}}(x) c_{\beta} c_{\delta}=\int_{T}\left(\sum_{\beta} c_{\beta} u_{\beta}(t)\right)^{2} \exp \left(\sum_{\alpha} x_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}(t)\right) d m(t) \geq 0
$$

with equality iff all $c_{\alpha}=0$. Use also the equality

$$
V(y)-V(x)=V^{\prime}(x)(y-x)+\frac{1}{2} V^{\prime \prime}(x)(y-x, y-x)+o\left(\|y-x\|^{2}\right)
$$

showing as it is known that any critical point $x$ of the (strictly convex) function $V$ is a local (and hence global) minimum point. We omit the details.

Let then $T$ be a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, with nonempty interior. Let $m$ denote $n$-dimensional Lebesgue measure. We assume that $m(T)=1$ and $m(\partial T)=0$, where $\partial T$ denotes the boundary of $T$. Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}$ be a finite set with $0 \in A$. Let $\gamma_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$ for $\alpha \in A$, and set $\gamma:=\left(\gamma_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in A}$. We assume $\gamma_{0}=1$. Set $u_{\alpha}(t):=t^{\alpha}=t_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots t_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}$ for $t=\left(t_{1}, \ldots t_{n}\right) \in T$ and $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in A$.

Notation. Let $P_{A}$ be the linear space of polynomial functions on $T$ generated by the monomials $u_{\alpha}, \alpha \in A$. Consider on $P_{A}$ the restriction of the supremum norm $|p|_{\infty}:=\max _{t \in T}|p(t)|(p \in \mathbb{R}[X])$. Let $P_{A}^{*}$ denote the dual of $P_{A}$. For $t \in T$, let $\delta_{t} \in P_{A}^{*}$ denote the Dirac functional $\delta_{t} p:=p(t), p \in P_{A}$. For $b=$ $\left(d_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in A} \in\left(P_{A}^{*}\right)^{a}$, define the operator $B_{b}$ on $P_{A}$ by $B_{b} u_{\alpha}=\sum_{\beta \in A} d_{\alpha}\left(u_{\beta}\right) u_{\beta}$ $(\alpha \in A)$. If $d_{\alpha}=\delta_{t(\alpha)}$ for some set $\tau:=(t(\alpha))_{\alpha \in A}$ of points $t(\alpha) \in T(\alpha \in A)$, then we set $\operatorname{det}(\tau)=$ the determinant of $B_{b}$.
Remark 2. [2] Let $b:=\left(d_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in A} \in\left(P_{A}^{*}\right)^{a}$. Then $b$ is a basis iff $B_{b}$ is invertible. There exist algebraic bases $b$ of the form $b=\left(\delta_{t(\alpha)}\right)_{\alpha \in A}$ with $t(\alpha) \in T \backslash \partial T$, and so $\{\tau \mid \operatorname{det}(\tau) \neq 0\}$ is dense in $T^{a}$.

Theorem 3. [2] Let $f \in L^{1}(T) \backslash\{0\}$ be nonnegative almost everywhere on T. Let $\left(\gamma_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in A}$ be a finite set of power moments of the measure $\mu=f m+\nu$, where $\nu \geq 0$ is a singular measure. Namely, $\gamma_{\alpha}=\int_{T} u_{\alpha} d \mu(\alpha \in A)$. Then there exists $g \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $\inf _{T} g>0$ such that $\gamma_{\alpha}=\int_{T} u_{\alpha} g d m \quad(\alpha \in A)$.
Notation. Let $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in A}$ be a fixed sequence of power moments, having at least one representing density $f \in L_{+}^{1}(T)$ (nonnegative and Lebesgue integrable on $T$ ). We shall prove then the existence of a representing density of maximum entropy. To this aim, we may assume by Theorem 3 that $f$ is Riemann integrable and bounded (from below and above) on $T$ by some finite and strictly positive constants. From now on, we fix such a function $f$. In what follows, the symbol $c$ (or $c_{1}, c_{2} \ldots$ ) will always stand for a finite positive constant depending only on the initial data of the problem (like $n, T, f, A$, $\gamma)$. We endow $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with the norm $|t|:=\max _{l=1}^{n}\left|t_{l}\right|$ for $t=\left(t_{l}\right)_{l=1}^{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then call cube any set $K=K\left(t_{0}, s\right):=\left\{t ;\left|t-t_{0}\right| \leq s\right\}$ where $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $s>0$; its side length $\sigma_{K}$, resp. diameter are of course $2 s$, resp. $2 s \sqrt{n}$.
Lemma 4. There exists $c_{1}=c_{1}(n, T)>0$ such that for any $\epsilon>0$ there are:
(1) a finite set of cubes $K_{i} \subset T, i \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$ of the same size, such that $m\left(K_{i} \cap K_{j}\right)=0$ for any $i \neq j$, the diameter of each $K_{i}$ is less than $\epsilon$ and $m\left(T \backslash\left(\cup_{i=1}^{N} K_{i}\right)\right)<\epsilon ;$
(2) a set $\tau=\{t(i)\}_{i=1}^{N}$ of points $t(i) \in K_{i}$, such that for any $i \neq j$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|t(i)-t(j)|>c_{1} N^{-1 / n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for any distinct points $t^{1}, \ldots, t^{a}$ of the set $\tau, \delta_{t^{1}}, \ldots, \delta_{t^{a}}$ is a basis of $P_{A}^{*}$. Moreover, $c_{2} \leq N^{1 / n} \sigma_{K_{i}} \leq c_{3}$ for some constants $c_{2}=c_{2}(T), c_{3}=c_{3}(T)$.
Proof. Take two cubes $K, K^{\prime}$, that we fix from now on, with $T \subset K$ and $K^{\prime}$ in the interior of $T$. More precisely, take $K^{\prime}=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left[a_{i}, a_{i}+b\right] \subset \operatorname{int} T$. Let $\left(e_{i}\right)$ be the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then let $K$ be the union of the $\left(2 k_{0}+1\right)^{n}$ translates $K^{\prime}+b \sum_{i=1}^{n} j_{i} e_{i}$ of $K^{\prime}$ over all $j_{1}, \ldots, j_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\left|j_{i}\right| \leq k_{0}$, where $k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ is fixed large enough so that $T \subset K$. Let $\epsilon>0$ be arbitrary. Then take an integer $l^{\prime}=l_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \geq 1$ sufficiently big such that the cubes of sides $b / l^{\prime}$ have diameters less than $\epsilon$. We divide each side of $K$ into $l:=\left(2 k_{0}+1\right) l^{\prime}$ equal compact intervals. Consider the corresponding cubic subdivision $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}_{\epsilon}$ of $K$ consisting of all the $l^{n}$ products of $n$ such intervals. Then choose those cubes of $\mathcal{K}$, denoted by $K_{i}=K_{i, \epsilon}$ for $i \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$ with $N=N_{\epsilon}$, that are included in $T$. If $l^{\prime}$ is sufficiently large (1) is fulfilled. Moreover we can assume $K^{\prime} \subset \cup_{i=1}^{N} K_{i}$. For each $i \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$ let $t(i)$ be, for the moment, the center of $K_{i}$. We have $N \geq l^{\prime n}$, since here exist at least those cubes $K_{i}$ covering $K^{\prime}$. Set $c_{1}=b / 2$. Hence

$$
\inf _{i \neq j}|t(i)-t(j)|=b / l^{\prime} \geq b N^{-1 / n}>c_{1} N^{-1 / n}
$$

Now let $S^{1}, S^{2}, \ldots$ be an enumeration of all $N!/ a!(N-a)!$ subsets $\left\{\delta_{t^{1}}, \ldots, \delta_{t^{a}}\right\}$ of $\left\{\delta_{t(1)}, \ldots, \delta_{t(N)}\right\}$ having $a$ elements. Apply successively Remark 2 for each $S^{j}$. That is, by successive small perturbations of the tuple $(t(1), \ldots, t(N))$ we can insure that all $S^{j}$ are basis of $P_{A}^{*}$, and the (strict) inequality (3) still holds. Now the side length $\sigma_{K_{i}}=b / l^{\prime}$ of the cubes $K_{i}$ in the subdivision $\mathcal{K}$ of $K$ is $\geq 2^{-1} c_{1} N^{-1 / n}$, see (3) and note that $t(i) \in K_{i}$. Thus $N^{1 / n} \sigma_{K_{i}} \geq c_{1} / 2$. Also, $N$ is less or equal than the cardinal $l^{n}$ of $\mathcal{K}$, which gives an estimate of the form $N^{1 / n} \sigma_{K_{i}} \leq c_{3}$ using $l=\left(2 k_{0}+1\right) l^{\prime}$.
Notation. For any $\epsilon>0$, we let $\tau$ be a set $(t(i))_{i=1}^{N}$ of points $t(i) \in K_{i}$ given by Lemma 4. (we shall sometimes omit stating the dependence on $\epsilon$.) Let $P=P_{\epsilon}$ be the measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{t(i)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5. There exists $c_{4}=c_{4}(T)$ such that for any $\epsilon>0$ and any approximation $\cup_{i=1}^{N} K_{i}$ of $T$, with points $t(i) \in K_{i}$ as in Lemma 4, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(K(t, r)) \leq c_{4} r^{n} \quad\left(t \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, r>\sigma_{K_{i}}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We follow the proof of Lemma 4. The side length of $K(t, r)$ is $2 r$. Also, the side length of any $K_{i}$ is $\geq c_{2} N^{-1 / n}$. Hence the number $\nu$ of those cubes $K_{i}$ in the subdivision $\mathcal{K}$ of $K$ such that $K_{i} \cap K(t, r) \neq \emptyset$ is of order $\left(2 r / c_{2} N^{-1 / n}\right)^{n}$; namely, we have an estimate of the form $\nu \leq c\left(2 r / c_{2} N^{-1 / n}\right)^{n}$. By (4) we get

$$
P(K(t, r)) \leq N^{-1} \nu \leq N^{-1} c\left(2 r / c_{2} N^{-1 / n}\right)^{n}=2^{n} c c_{2}^{-n} r^{n} .
$$

Lemma 6. There are $c_{5}=c_{5}(T)$ and $c_{6}=c_{6}(T, a)$ such that for any $(\mathcal{K}, \tau)$ as in Lemma 4 and any subset $T^{\prime}$ of $\{t(1), \ldots t(N)\}$ with $P\left(T^{\prime}\right)>c_{6} N^{-1}$ there exist distinct points $t^{i} \in T^{\prime}, i=1, \ldots$, a with the property that for any $i \neq j$ there is a coordinate index $l=l_{i, j} \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(t^{i}\right)_{l}-\left(t^{j}\right)_{l}\right| \geq c_{5}\left(P\left(T^{\prime}\right) / a\right)^{1 / n} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We have $\sigma_{K_{i}} \leq c_{3} N^{-1 / n}$, see Lemma 4. Set $c_{5}=c_{4}^{-1 / n}$, see Lemma 5 , and $c_{6}=a c_{3}^{n} c_{4}$. For $p:=P\left(T^{\prime}\right)$, set $r=\left(p / a c_{4}\right)^{1 / n}$. Since $p>c_{6} N^{-1}$, $r>\sigma_{K_{i}}$. Then (5) holds for any ball of radius $r$. We can assume $a \geq 2$. We prove by induction on $2 \leq m \leq a$ that there are $t^{1}, \ldots, t^{m} \in T^{\prime}$ such that the estimate (6) holds for any $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ with $t^{i} \neq t^{j}$, for some $l_{i, j}$. Let
$m:=2$. Let $t^{1} \in T^{\prime}$ be arbitrary. If $T^{\prime} \subset K\left(t^{1}, r\right)$, then by the inequalities (5) we have

$$
p=P\left(T^{\prime}\right) \leq P\left(K\left(t^{1}, r\right)\right) \leq c_{4} r^{n}=p / a,
$$

whence $a \leq 1$ which is false. Hence there is $t^{2} \in T^{\prime} \backslash K\left(t^{1}, r\right)$. Since $\left|t^{2}-t^{1}\right| \geq$ $r$, there exists an index $l=l_{1,2}$ such that

$$
\left|\left(t^{2}\right)_{l}-\left(t^{1}\right)_{l}\right| \geq\left(p / a c_{4}\right)^{1 / n}=c_{5}(p / a)^{1 / n}
$$

Now let $m<a$. Assume that we have found $t^{1}, \ldots, t^{m} \in T^{\prime}$ such that the estimates (6) hold for $i, j=1, \ldots, m$ with $t^{i} \neq t^{j}$, then show that they hold for any $i, j \in 1, \ldots, m+1$ with $t^{i} \neq t^{j}$. If $T^{\prime} \subset \cup_{i=1}^{m} K\left(t^{i}, r\right)$, then again (5) gives

$$
p=P\left(T^{\prime}\right) \leq P\left(\cup_{i=1}^{m} K\left(t^{i}, r\right)\right) \leq m \max _{i=1} P\left(K\left(t^{i}, r\right)\right) \leq m c_{4} r^{n}=m p / a
$$

whence $a \leq m$ which is false. Hence there is $t^{m+1} \in T^{\prime} \backslash \cup_{i=1}^{m} K\left(t^{i}, r\right)$. Since all $\left|t^{m+1}-t^{i}\right| \geq r$, for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ there exists an index $l=l_{i, m+1}$ with

$$
\left|\left(t^{m+1}\right)_{l}-\left(t^{i}\right)_{l}\right| \geq\left(p / a c_{4}\right)^{1 / n}=c_{5}(p / a)^{1 / n}
$$

Lemma 7. There exists $c_{7}=c_{7}(T)$ such that the following holds. For any $(\mathcal{K}, \tau)$ as in Lemma 4 and $T^{\prime}$ as in Lemma 6, let $t^{1}, \ldots, t^{a} \in T^{\prime}$ satisfying (6). Let $v_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ for $i \in\{1, \ldots, a\}$. For any $i, i_{0} \in\{1, \ldots, a\}$ with $i \neq i_{0}$, fix $l=l_{i, i_{0}}$ such that $\left|\left(t^{i_{0}}\right)_{l}-\left(t^{i}\right)_{l}\right| \geq c_{5}\left(P\left(T^{\prime}\right) / a\right)^{1 / n}$. Then set

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.p_{i, i_{0}}(x)=\left(x_{l}-\left(t^{i}\right)_{l}\right)\left(t^{i_{0}}\right)_{l}-\left(t^{i}\right)_{l}\right)^{-1} \quad\left(i \neq i_{0}, x \in T, l=l_{i, i_{0}}\right) \\
L_{i_{0}}(x)=\prod_{1 \leq i \leq a, i \neq i_{0}} p_{i, i_{0}}(x) \quad(x \in T)
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $L=\sum_{i=1}^{a} v_{i} L_{i}$. Then $L\left(t^{i}\right)=v_{i}$ for $i \in\{1, \ldots, a\}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|L|_{\infty} \leq c_{7} P\left(T^{\prime}\right)^{(1-a) / n} \cdot \max _{i=1}^{a}\left|v_{i}\right| . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. One can assume $\left|v_{i}\right| \leq 1$. We have $L_{i}\left(t^{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$ for $i, j=1, \ldots, a$. Then $L\left(t^{i}\right)=v_{i}$. Applying the estimates (6) to the denominators of $p_{i, i_{0}}$, we obtain

$$
\left|p_{i, i_{0}}\right|_{\infty} \leq 2 \max _{t \in T}|t| c_{5}^{-1}\left(a / P\left(T^{\prime}\right)\right)^{1 / n}
$$

Hence $\left|L_{i_{0}}\right|_{\infty} \leq c_{5}^{1-a} a^{\frac{a-1}{n}} P\left(T^{\prime}\right)^{(1-a) / n}$. Use also $|L| \leq a \max _{i}\left|L_{i}\right|$.

Notation. We will apply Lemmas $4-7$ for $\epsilon=\epsilon_{k}:=1 / k(k=1,2, \ldots)$. Then for each $k \geq 1$ we fix some cubes $K_{i}=K_{i, k}(i \in\{1, \ldots, N\})$, where $N=N_{k}$, as well as a set $\tau=\tau_{k}=(t(i))_{i=1}^{N}$ of points $t(i)=t(i, k) \in K_{i}$ satisfying (3) with $c_{1}$ independent of $k$. Let $P=P_{k}$ be defined by (4). Set $m_{k}:=m\left(K_{i}\right)$ and $x_{i}^{0}=x_{i}^{0}(k):=f(t(i, k))$ for $i \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$. Set $c_{8}=2^{-1} \inf _{T} f(>0)$ and $c_{8}^{\prime}=\sup _{T} f$. Then $c_{8} \leq x_{i}^{0} \leq c_{8}^{\prime}$. Set $\rho=\rho(k):=m_{k} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{k}} x_{i}^{0}(k)$,

$$
a_{i \alpha}=a_{i \alpha}(k):=t(i, k)^{\alpha} m_{k} \quad(\alpha \in A)
$$

Let $S$ denote the $N$-dimensional simplex

$$
S=S_{k}:=\left\{x=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{N} \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \mid x_{i} \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i}=\rho\right\}
$$

Set $D=D(k):=\left\{x \in S \mid x_{i}>0, i=1, \ldots, N\right\}$. Define $H=H_{k}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
H(x):=-\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i} \ln x_{i} \quad(x \in S)
$$

(with $0 \ln 0:=0$ ). Then $\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i \alpha} x_{i}^{0}$ is the Riemann sum of the function $u_{\alpha} f$ corresponding to $(\mathcal{K}, \tau)$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i \alpha} x_{i}^{0}-\gamma_{\alpha}\right| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $\int_{T} u_{\alpha} f d t=\gamma_{\alpha}$. If $k_{0}$ is sufficiently large, then for $k \geq k_{0}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(k) \leq 2 ; \quad 2^{-1} \leq m_{k} N_{k} \leq 2 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

the first estimate holds by (8) for $\alpha:=0$ since $\gamma_{0}=1$ and $\rho=\rho(k)$ is the Riemann sum of $f$, whence $\rho(k) \rightarrow 1$. For the second one, note that by Lemma 4 (1) we get, for $\epsilon:=1 / k$, that $m_{k} N_{k} \rightarrow m(T)=1$.

The index $k \geq 1$ from above will be fixed and omitted in the Lemmas 8-11.
Lemma 8. Define the functions $F_{\alpha}(\alpha \in A)$ on $S$ by $F_{\alpha}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i \alpha}\left(x_{i}-\right.$ $\left.x_{i}^{0}\right)$. Take $Z_{\alpha}=\left\{x \in S \mid F_{\alpha}(x)=0\right\}$. Set $K=\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} Z_{\alpha}$. Then there are $y=\left(y_{i}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, N} \in K \cap D$ and $\lambda_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}(\alpha \in A)$ such that $H(y)=\max _{x \in K} H(x)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}=\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha \in A} \lambda_{\alpha} a_{i \alpha}\right) \quad(i=1, \ldots, N) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Note that $x^{0}=\left(x_{i}^{0}\right)_{i} \in K \cap D$. Since $H$ is continuous and $K \neq \emptyset$ is compact, there is $y \in K$ with $H(y)=\max _{K} H$. We prove that $y \in D$. Since $x^{0} \in D$, we can assume $y \neq x^{0}$. Set $h(s)=H\left(s x^{0}+(1-s) y\right)$ for $s \in[0,1]$. Then $h$ is of class $C^{1}$ on $(0,1)$ and continuous on $[0,1]$. For $0<s<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\prime}(s)=-\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\ln \left(s x_{i}^{0}+(1-s) y_{i}\right)+1\right)\left(x_{i}^{0}-y_{i}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $h^{\prime}(s)=\sigma_{1}(s)+\sigma_{2}(s)$, where $\sigma_{1}(s)=-\sum_{i \mid y_{i}=0}\left(\ln s x_{i}^{0}+1\right) x_{i}^{0}(\geq 0)$ and

$$
\sigma_{2}(s)=-\sum_{i \mid y_{i}>0}\left(\ln \left(s x_{i}^{0}+(1-s) y_{i}\right)+1\right)\left(x_{i}^{0}-y_{i}\right)
$$

Suppose $y \notin D$. There is $i_{0}$ with $y_{i_{0}}=0$. Then the sums $\sigma_{1}(s), 0<s<\epsilon$ have at least one term $>0$, if $\epsilon>0$ is sufficiently small. Hence $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \sigma_{1}(s)=$ $+\infty$. Also, $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \sigma_{2}(s)=\sigma_{2}(0)$. Then $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} h^{\prime}(s)=+\infty$. Hence $(h(s)-$ $h(0)) / s>0$ if $s>0$ is enough small. Then $s x^{0}+(1-s) y \neq y$ since $y \neq x^{0}$ and

$$
H\left(s x^{0}+(1-s) y\right)-H(y)=s(h(s)-h(0)) / s>0
$$

This is impossible since $s x^{0}+(1-s) y \in K$ and $H(y)=\max _{T} H$. Thus $y \in D \cap K$. Then $H(y)=\max _{K} H \geq \max _{D \cap K} H \geq H(y)$, whence

$$
H(y)=\max \left\{H(x) \mid x_{i}>0, i=1, \ldots, N ; F_{\alpha}(x)=0, \alpha \in A\right\}
$$

Then we may apply the method of Lagrange multipliers on the (open) domain $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \mid x_{i}>0\right\}$ containing $y$. Thus there are $\lambda_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}(\alpha \in A)$ such that $y$ is a critical point of the function $L:=H+\sum_{\alpha \in A} \lambda_{\alpha} F_{\alpha}$ defined on $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \mid\right.$ $\left.x_{i}>0\right\}$. Using $\partial L / \partial x_{i}(y)=0$ we obtain $y_{i}=\exp \left(-1+\sum_{\alpha \in A} \lambda_{\alpha} a_{i \alpha}\right), \quad i=$ $1, \ldots, N$. Then denote $\left(-1+\lambda_{0} a_{i 0}\right) / a_{i 0}$ by $\lambda_{0}$.

Remark 9. Let $y, x^{0}$ and $h$ be as stated in the proof of Lemma 8. Then for any $s$ in a neighborhood of the interval $[0,1]$ we have

$$
h^{\prime \prime}(s)=-\sum_{i=1, \ldots, N}\left(\left(x_{i}^{0}-y_{i}\right)^{2}\left(s x_{i}^{0}+(1-s) y_{i}\right)^{-1} \leq 0\right.
$$

Thus $y$ (resp. 0) is a point of maximum for $H$ (resp. $h$ ) and $h^{\prime}(0)=0$. By (11),

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sum_{i=1, \ldots, N}\left(\ln y_{i}+1\right)\left(x_{i}^{0}-y_{i}\right)=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notation. Let $\{\pi\}$ denote the number of $i \in \overline{1, N}$ for which a property $\pi$ holds.

Remark 10. If $y$ is given by Lemma 8, then for sufficiently large $v$

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{-1}\left\{y_{i} \leq v\right\} \geq 1-4 / v \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We have $\left\{y_{i} \leq v\right\}+\left\{y_{i}>v\right\}=N$. Using $m_{k} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(y_{i}-x_{i}^{0}\right)=$ $F_{0}(y)=0$ and (9), we get also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{v}{2 N}\left\{y_{i}>v\right\} & \leq m_{k} v\left\{y_{i}>v\right\} \leq m_{k} \sum_{i \mid y_{i}>v} y_{i} \\
& \leq m_{k} \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{i}=m_{k} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i}^{0}=\rho \leq 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Notation. For each $k \geq 1$, let $y=y(k)$ be a solution of the maximum problem solved by Lemma 8 , given by some numbers $\lambda_{\alpha}=\lambda_{\alpha}(k)$ as in (10).

Lemma 11. There exist constants $c_{9}, c_{10}, c_{11}$ depending only on $T, a, f$ such that for any $y=\left(y_{i}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, N}$ given by Lemma 8

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{-1}\left\{c_{9} \leq y_{i} \leq c_{10}\right\} \geq c_{11} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Set $c^{\prime}=2^{-1} \min \left\{1 / e, \min _{T} f\right\}$ and $c^{\prime \prime}=\max \left\{1 / e, \max _{T} f\right\}$. Let $i \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$; we consider the following cases concerning the index $i$ :

1. $c^{\prime} \leq y_{i} \leq c^{\prime \prime}$. Then we have an estimate of the form $\left|\left(\ln y_{i}+1\right)\left(x_{i}^{0}-y_{i}\right)\right| \leq c$ with $c=c(T, a, f)$. (Recall that $x_{i}^{0}=f(t(i)) \in\left[c_{8}, c_{8}^{\prime}\right]$.) Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{i \mid c^{\prime} \leq y_{i} \leq c^{\prime \prime}}\left(\ln y_{i}+1\right)\left(x_{i}^{0}-y_{i}\right)\right| \leq c\left\{c^{\prime} \leq y_{i} \leq c^{\prime \prime}\right\} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. $y_{i}>c^{\prime \prime}$. Then $\left(\ln y_{i}+1\right)\left(x_{i}^{0}-y_{i}\right) \leq 0$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i \mid y_{i}>c^{\prime \prime}}\left(\ln y_{i}+1\right)\left(x_{i}^{0}-y_{i}\right) \leq 0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. $y_{i}<c^{\prime}$. Then $\ln y_{i}+1 \leq \ln c^{\prime}+1<0, x_{i}^{0}-y_{i} \geq \min _{T} f-c^{\prime} \geq c^{\prime}$ and $-\left(\ln y_{i}+1\right)\left(x_{i}^{0}-y_{i}\right) \geq-\left(\ln c^{\prime}+1\right) c^{\prime}\left(=: c^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sum_{i \mid y_{i}<c^{\prime}}\left(\ln y_{i}+1\right)\left(x_{i}^{0}-y_{i}\right) \geq c^{\prime \prime \prime}\left\{y_{i}<c^{\prime}\right\} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the inequalities $(15),(16),(17)$ and the equation (12), we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
c^{\prime \prime \prime}\left\{y_{i}<c^{\prime}\right\} \leq-\sum_{i \mid y_{i}<c^{\prime}}\left(\ln y_{i}+1\right)\left(x_{i}^{0}-y_{i}\right)= \\
\sum_{i \mid c^{\prime} \leq y_{i} \leq c^{\prime \prime}}\left(\ln y_{i}+1\right)\left(x_{i}^{0}-y_{i}\right)+\sum_{i \mid y_{i}>c^{\prime \prime}}\left(\ln y_{i}+1\right)\left(x_{i}^{0}-y_{i}\right) \leq c\left\{c^{\prime} \leq y_{i} \leq c^{\prime \prime}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $\left\{y_{i}<c^{\prime}\right\} \leq c^{\prime} / c^{\prime \prime \prime}\left\{c^{\prime} \leq y_{i} \leq c^{\prime \prime}\right\}$. Also, $\left\{y_{i}<c^{\prime}\right\}+\left\{c^{\prime} \leq y_{i} \leq c^{\prime \prime}\right\} \leq N$. Hence $\left\{y_{i}<c^{\prime}\right\} \leq c / c^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(N-\left\{y_{i}<c^{\prime}\right\}\right)$, and so $\left(c^{\prime} / c^{\prime \prime \prime}+1\right)\left\{y_{i}<c^{\prime}\right\} \leq c / c^{\prime \prime \prime} N$. Then $N^{-1}\left\{y_{i}<c^{\prime}\right\} \leq c /\left(c+c^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{-1}\left\{y_{i} \geq c^{\prime}\right\} \geq c^{\prime \prime \prime} /\left(c+c^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $E:=\left\{i=1, \ldots, N \mid y_{i} \geq c^{\prime}\right\}$ and $F=F_{v}:=\left\{i=1, \ldots, N \mid y_{i} \leq v\right\}$ for $v>0$. By (13), we have $P(F) \geq 1-4 / v$. By (18), $P(E) \geq c^{\prime \prime \prime} /\left(c+c^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$. Now

$$
P(E)+P(F)-P(E \cap F)=P(E \cup F) \leq 1
$$

Consequently

$$
P(E \cap F) \geq P(E)+P(F)-1 \geq c^{\prime \prime \prime} /\left(c+c^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)+1-4 / v-1
$$

Then take for instance $v:=8\left(c / c^{\prime \prime \prime}+1\right)$. We obtain $P(E \cap F) \geq 1 /\left(2 c c^{\prime \prime \prime}+2\right)$. The desired conclusion follows for $c_{9}=c^{\prime}, c_{10}=v$ and $c_{11}=1 /\left(2 c^{\prime} / c^{\prime \prime \prime}+\right.$ $2)$.

Theorem 12. Let $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in A}$ be a finite set of power moments of a measure $\mu=f m+\nu \geq 0$, with $f \in L^{1}(T) \backslash\{0\}$ and $\nu$ singular with respect to $m$. Namely, $\int_{T} t^{\alpha} d \mu(t)=\gamma_{\alpha}(\alpha \in A)$. Then there exist $x_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}(\alpha \in A)$ such that

$$
\int_{T} t^{\alpha} \exp \left(\sum_{\beta \in A} x_{\beta} t^{\beta}\right) d m(t)=\gamma_{\alpha} \quad(\alpha \in A)
$$

Proof. By Theorem 3, we can assume $\mu=f m$ with $f$ continuous and $\min _{T} f>0$. For any $x=\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, define the function $g_{\alpha}(\cdot, x)$ on $T$ by $g_{\alpha}(t, x)=t^{\alpha} \exp \left(\sum_{\beta \in A} x_{\beta} t^{\beta}\right)$. We apply Lemma 4 for $\epsilon:=1 / k(k \geq 1)$. Consider then a sequence of approximations of $T$ by unions of cubes $\cup_{i=1}^{N} K_{i}$, with $N=N_{k}$ and $K_{i}=K_{i}(k)$ and a sequence $\tau=\tau_{k}$ of sets $\tau=(t(i))_{i=1}^{N}$ of points $t(i)=t(i, k) \in K_{i}, i \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$ satisfying the inequalities (3) etc. For each $k \geq 1$, let $\sigma_{\alpha k}(x)$ denote the corresponding Riemann sum of $g_{\alpha}(\cdot, x)$ $\left(x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Namely,

$$
\sigma_{\alpha k}(x):=\sum_{i=1}^{N_{k}} g_{\alpha}(t(i, k), x) m_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} t(i)^{\alpha} \exp \left(\sum_{\beta \in A} x_{\beta} t(i)^{\beta}\right) m_{k}
$$

By Lemma 8 , for each $k \geq 1$ there are $\lambda_{\alpha}=\lambda_{\alpha}(k)(\alpha \in A)$ such that the vector $y=\left(y_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{N}$ with $y_{i}=\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha \in A} \lambda_{\alpha} a_{i \alpha}\right)$ is in $K$, see (10). Take $x_{\alpha}=x_{\alpha k}:=\lambda_{\alpha}(k) m_{k}$. Set $x=x(k)=\left(x_{\alpha k}\right)_{\alpha \in A}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}=\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha \in A} x_{\alpha} t(i)^{\alpha}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, N \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $y \in K, y \in Z_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in A$. Using (19) and $F_{\alpha}(y)=0$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i \alpha} x_{i}^{0}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i \alpha} y_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} t(i)^{\alpha} m_{k} \exp \left(\sum_{\beta \in A} x_{\beta} t(i)^{\beta}\right)=\sigma_{\alpha k}(x(k)) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (8) and (20), we have then sets $x(k)=\left(x_{\alpha k}\right)_{\alpha \in A}(k \geq 1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sigma_{\alpha k}(x(k))-\gamma_{\alpha}\right| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty, \quad(\alpha \in A) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We apply Lemma 11. Set

$$
T^{\prime}=T_{k}^{\prime}:=\left\{t(i) \mid i=1, \ldots, N, c_{9} \leq y_{i} \leq c_{10}\right\}
$$

Hence $P\left(T^{\prime}\right) \geq c_{11}$, see (14) and the definition (4) of $P$. There is $k_{0} \geq 1$ such that $c_{11}>c_{6} N_{k}^{-1}$ for all $k \geq k_{0}$. By the definition of $T^{\prime}$ and (10), we obtain $c_{9} \leq \exp \sum_{\alpha \in A} \lambda_{\alpha} a_{i \alpha} \leq c_{10}$ for every $i=\overline{1, N}$ such that $t(i) \in T^{\prime}$. Since $a_{i \alpha}=t(i, k)^{\alpha} m_{k}$ and $\lambda_{\alpha}(k) m_{k}=x_{\alpha k}$, we get $\sum_{\alpha \in A} x_{\alpha k} t(i, k)^{\alpha} \in\left[\ln c_{9}, \ln c_{10}\right]$. Define $q_{k} \in P_{A}$ by $q_{k}(t)=\sum_{\alpha \in A} x_{\alpha k} t^{\alpha}(t \in T)$. Thus $\left|q_{k}(t)\right| \leq C$ for all $t \in T_{k}^{\prime}$, where $C=\max \left(\left|\ln c_{9}\right|,\left|\ln c_{10}\right|\right)$. By Lemma 6 , in each $T_{k}^{\prime}\left(k \geq k_{0}\right)$ there exist some points $t^{1}=t^{1}(k), \ldots, t^{a}=t^{a}(k)$ such that the estimates (6) hold. Let $L$ be the Lagrange-type interpolation polynomial defined in Lemma 7 for $v_{i}:=q_{k}\left(t^{i}\right)$. Then $L\left(t^{i}\right)=q_{k}\left(t^{i}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, a$. Since $\delta_{t^{i}}, i=1, \ldots, a$ is a basis of $P_{A}^{*}\left(\right.$ see Lemma 4, (2)), then $L=q_{k}$. By (7), we obtain the estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|q_{k}\right|_{\infty} \leq c_{7} P\left(T^{\prime}\right)^{(1-a) / n} C \leq c_{7} c_{11}^{(1-a) / n} C=: C^{\prime} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $C^{\prime}=C^{\prime}(T, a, f)$ is independent of $k \geq k_{0}$. Now define $\nu$ on $P_{A}$ by

$$
\nu(p)=\max _{\alpha \in A}\left|x_{\alpha}\right| \quad\left(p=p(t)=\sum_{\alpha \in A} x_{\alpha} t^{\alpha}\right)
$$

Since $T$ has nonempty interior, $\operatorname{dim} P_{A}=a$ and $\nu$ is a well-defined norm, see Remark 2. The norms $|\cdot|_{\infty}$ and $\nu(\cdot)$ are equivalent modulo some constants of type $c$. Then (22) implies that all the sequences $\left(x_{\alpha k}\right)_{k \geq 1}, \alpha \in A$ are bounded. Hence by successively considering subsequences we can assume them convergent. Take $x_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}(\alpha \in A)$ such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{\alpha k}=x_{\alpha}$. Set $x=\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in A}$.

Hence $g_{\alpha}(t, x(k)) \rightarrow g_{\alpha}(t, x)(k \rightarrow \infty)$ for any $\alpha \in A$, uniformly with respect to $t \in T$ (= bounded). Since the convergence is uniform on $T$, we easily conclude $\sigma_{\alpha k}(x(k))-\sigma_{\alpha k}(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ (see (9), too). Together with (21), this implies $\sigma_{\alpha k}(x)-\gamma_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$. Now for each $\alpha \in A, \sigma_{\alpha k}(x)$ is the Riemann sum of the function $g_{\alpha}(\cdot, x)$. Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $\int_{T} g_{\alpha}(t, x) d m(t)=\gamma_{\alpha}$ for any $\alpha \in A$. Then $\int_{T} t^{\alpha} \exp \left(\sum_{\beta \in A} x_{\beta} t^{\beta}\right) d m(t)=\gamma_{\alpha}(\alpha \in A)$.

Notation. For $f \in L_{+}^{1}(T)$ with $\int_{T} f d m=1$, set $H(f)=-\int_{T} f \ln f d m \in$ $[-\infty,+\infty)$ if either $\max (f \ln f, 0)$ or $\min (f \ln f, 0)$ has finite integral.

Lemma 13. [20] Let $f, g \in L_{+}^{1}(T), T_{+}=\{t \in T \mid f(t)>0\}$. If $\int_{T_{+}}(f-g) d m \geq$ 0 , then

$$
\int_{T_{+}} f \ln (f / g) d m \geq 0
$$

with equality if and only if $f=g$ almost everywhere with respect to $m$.
Theorem 14. The following statements are equivalent:
(1) there are representing densities $f \in L_{+}^{1}(T)$ of $\gamma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T} t^{\alpha} f(t) d t=\gamma_{\alpha} \quad(\alpha \in A) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) there exists a representing density $f_{*} \geq 0$ such that

$$
H\left(f_{*}\right)=\max \{H(f) \mid f=\text { solution of }(23)\}
$$

(3) there exist some real numbers $x_{\beta}, \beta \in A$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T} t^{\alpha} \exp \left(\sum_{\beta \in A} x_{\beta} t^{\beta}\right) d t=\gamma_{\alpha} \quad(\alpha \in A) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case:
(1') the maximum entropy representing density $f_{*}$ is unique;
(2') the set $x:=\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in A}$ is uniquely determined by the equations (24);
(3') we have the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{*}(t)=\exp \left(\sum_{\beta \in A} x_{\beta} t^{\beta}\right) \quad(t \in T) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The main implication $(1) \Rightarrow(3)$ holds by Theorem 12. To prove $(3) \Rightarrow(2)$ assume the existence of a solution $x$ of $(24)$. We use the idea of the corresponding result from [20] concerning the case when $T$ is an interval. Let $f_{*}$
be defined by the equality (25). By (24), we have $\int_{T} t^{\alpha} f_{*}(t) d m=\gamma_{\alpha}(\alpha \in A)$. Let $f$ be an arbitrary nonnegative representing density for $\gamma$, such that $f$ is Lebesgue integrable with respect to $m$. By Lemma 13 and the above equalities,

$$
-\int_{T} f \ln f d m \leq-\int_{T} f \ln f_{*} d m=-\int_{T} f(t) \sum_{\alpha \in A} x_{\alpha} t^{\alpha} d m(t)=-\sum_{\alpha \in A} x_{\alpha} \gamma_{\alpha}
$$

Then $-\sum_{\alpha \in A} x_{\alpha} \gamma_{\alpha}$ is a fixed upper bound for $H(f)$ over all $f$ which satisfy the equalities (23). This upper bound is attained at least for $f=f_{*}$; namely, we have (2). Now $(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ is trivial. Therefore (1), (2) and (3) are equivalent. The uniqueness of the maximum entropy solution follows from the strict convexity of $H$ [20]. This provides the equality (25) also. Now $x=\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in A}$ is uniquely determined by $\gamma$, see (25) and (1') (or Proposition 15 from below).

Notation. Let $\Gamma$ (resp. $\Gamma^{\prime}$ ) denote the subset of all $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in A} \in \mathbb{R}^{a}$ that have representing densities in $L_{+}^{1}(T)$ (resp. nonnegative representing measures). Assume $\gamma_{0}>0$. (The hypothesis $\gamma_{0}=1$ was not essential in Theorem 14.)

Proposition 15. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}$ and $x=\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in A} \in \mathbb{R}^{a}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\alpha}(x):=\int_{T} u_{\alpha}(t) \exp \left(\sum_{\beta \in A} x_{\beta} u_{\beta}(t)\right) d m(t) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and set $g:=\left(g_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in A}$. The function $g$ is a real-analytic diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^{a}$ onto the open convex cone $\Gamma$, and $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is the closure of $\Gamma$ in $\mathbb{R}^{a}$.

Proof. By Theorem 14, $g: \mathbb{R}^{a} \rightarrow \Gamma$ is surjective. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial g_{\alpha} / \partial x_{\beta}=g_{\alpha+\beta}, \quad u_{\alpha} u_{\beta}=u_{\alpha+\beta} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{a}$ we have $g(y)-g(x)=\left(\int_{0}^{1} g^{\prime}(s y+(1-s) x) d s\right)(y-x) d s$, where $g^{\prime}$ is the differential of $g$. If $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^{a}$, then
$\langle g(y)-g(x), y-x\rangle=\int_{0}^{1} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in A} \partial g_{\alpha} / \partial x_{\beta}(s x+(1-s) y)\left(y_{\beta}-x_{\beta}\right)\left(y_{\alpha}-x_{\alpha}\right) d s$.
By using also (26) and (27), the previous equality provides

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle g(y)-g(x), y-x\rangle= \\
& \int_{0}^{1} \int_{T} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in A} u_{\alpha}(t) u_{\beta}(t) \exp \left(\sum_{\delta \in A}\left(s y_{\delta}+(1-s) x_{\delta}\right) u_{\delta}(t)\right)\left(y_{\beta}-x_{\beta}\right)\left(y_{\alpha}-x_{\alpha}\right) d m(t) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{T}\left(\sum_{\alpha \in A} u_{\alpha}(t)\left(y_{\alpha}-x_{\alpha}\right)\right)^{2} \exp \left(\sum_{\delta \in A}\left(s y_{\delta}+(1-s) x_{\delta}\right) u_{\delta}(t)\right) d m(t) d s \geq 0
$$

If $g(y)=g(x)$, the right hand side above is null. Hence the polynomial $p(t):=\sum_{\alpha \in A} t^{\alpha}\left(y_{\alpha}-x_{\alpha}\right)$ vanishes on $T$. Since $p(t) \equiv 0, y=x$. Thus $g$ is injective. Let $v=\left(v_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in A}$ with $g^{\prime}(x) v=0$. Then $\left\langle g^{\prime}(x) v, v\right\rangle=0$; namely, $\sum_{\alpha, \beta \in A} \partial g_{\alpha} / \partial x_{\beta}(x) v_{\alpha} v_{\beta}=0$. Using (26) and (27) again, this implies

$$
\int_{T}\left(\sum_{\alpha \in A} u_{\alpha}(t) v_{\alpha}\right)^{2} \exp \left(\sum_{\delta \in A} x_{\delta} u_{\delta}(t)\right) d m(t)=0
$$

This is possible only if the polynomial $\sum_{\alpha \in A} t^{\alpha} v_{\alpha}$ is null. Hence $v=0$. Therefore $g^{\prime}(x)$ is injective for any $x$. Then we can apply the implicit function theorem to derive that $g$ is a parametrization of $\Gamma$ as claimed. By Alaoglu's theorem, $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is closed. Then $\bar{\Gamma} \subset \Gamma^{\prime}$. Let $\gamma^{\prime} \in \Gamma^{\prime}$ be arbitrary. Then $\gamma^{\prime}=$ $\left(\gamma_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)_{\alpha \in A}$ with $\gamma_{\alpha}^{\prime}=\int_{T} u_{\alpha} d \mu^{\prime}$ for a nonnegative measure $\mu^{\prime}$. To prove that $\gamma^{\prime} \in \bar{\Gamma}$, let $\epsilon>0$ be arbitrary. By the Krein-Milman's theorem there exist $t^{\prime}(k) \in T$ and $\lambda(k)>0, k=1, \ldots, K$ such that $\left|\sum_{k=1}^{K} \lambda(k) u_{\alpha}\left(t^{\prime}(k)\right)-\gamma_{\alpha}\right|<\epsilon$ $(\alpha \in A)$. In particular for $\alpha:=0$ we obtain $\left|\sum_{k=1}^{K} \lambda(k)\right|<\gamma_{0}+\epsilon$. There are $t(k) \in T \backslash \partial T$ such that $\left|t(k)-t^{\prime}(k)\right|<\epsilon$ for $k=1, \ldots, K$. We have also

$$
\left|u_{\alpha}(t(k))-u_{\alpha}\left(t^{\prime}(k)\right)\right| \leq C\left|t(k)-t^{\prime}(k)\right|
$$

for a finite constant $C=C(T, A)$. The previous inequalities give

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\sum_{k=1}^{K} \lambda(k) u_{\alpha}(t(k))-\gamma_{\alpha}\right| \leq \mid \sum_{k=1}^{K} \lambda(k)\left(u_{\alpha}(t(k))-u_{\alpha}\left(t^{\prime}(k)\right) \mid+\right. \\
\left|\sum_{k=1}^{K} \lambda(k) u_{\alpha}\left(t^{\prime}(k)\right)-\gamma_{\alpha}\right|<\left(\gamma_{0}+\epsilon\right) C \epsilon+\epsilon
\end{gathered}
$$

By convoluting the measure $\sum_{k=1}^{K} \lambda(k) \delta_{t(k)}$ with a test function $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ sufficiently close to $\delta$ in $P_{A}^{*}$ and with enough small support, we obtain an absolutely continuous measure $\mu$ of support contained in $T \backslash \partial T$ and whose moments $\gamma_{\alpha}=\int_{T} u_{\alpha} d \mu$ satisfy an estimate of the form $\left|\gamma_{\alpha}-\gamma_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right| \leq C \epsilon$. Set $\gamma:=\left(\gamma_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in A}(\in \Gamma)$ and note that $\epsilon$ was arbitrary.
Notation. Let $\mathbb{T}$ denote the unit circle. Let $\left(e^{i \theta_{1}}, \ldots, e^{i \theta_{n}}\right)$ be the variable in $\mathbb{T}^{n}$. Let $\mathbb{T}^{n}$ be endowed with the measure $m:=d \theta_{1} \ldots d \theta_{n}$. Take $T \subset \mathbb{T}^{n}$ closed, with nonempty interior, such that $m(\partial T)=0$. Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ be a finite subset such that $0 \in A$ and $A=-A$. Let $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in A}$ with $\gamma_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that
$\gamma_{-\alpha}=\bar{\gamma}_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in A$. Assume $\gamma_{0}>0$. Set $u_{\alpha}(z):=z^{\alpha}=z_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots z_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}$ for $z=\left(e^{i \theta_{1}}, \ldots, e^{i \theta_{n}}\right)$ and $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$.
Following word-for-word the lines of the case $T \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, one easily proves analogous of Theorems 12, 14 and Proposition 15 for trigonometric moments on $\mathbb{T}^{n}$, like Theorem 16 from below. We refer to [2] for Theorem 3 on $\mathbb{T}^{n}$. To deal with real moment functions, we take a partition $A=A \cup(-A.) \cup\{0\}$. Then use in proofs the sine and cosine $u_{\alpha}$-type functions $1,\left(z^{\alpha}+z^{-\alpha}\right) / 2$, $\left(z^{\alpha}-z^{-\alpha}\right) / 2 i\left(\alpha \in A\right.$.). When $T \subset \mathbb{T}^{n}$, the notation $g(x)=\gamma$ for $x, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{a}$ of Proposition 15 means that $x, \gamma$ belong to the $a$-dimensional $\mathbb{R}$-subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{a}$ defined by $x_{-\beta}=\bar{x}_{\beta}, \beta \in A$. Set $u_{\alpha}\left(e^{i \theta_{1}}, \ldots, e^{i \theta_{n}}\right)=e^{i\left(\alpha_{1} \theta_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n} \theta_{n}\right)}$.

Theorem 16. The following statements are equivalent:
(1) there exists a representing density $f \in L_{+}^{1}(T)$ of $\gamma$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T} e^{i\left(\alpha_{1} \theta_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n} \theta_{n}\right)} f\left(e^{i \theta_{1}}, \ldots, e^{i \theta_{n}}\right) d \theta_{1} \ldots d \theta_{n}=\gamma_{\alpha} \quad(\alpha \in A) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) there exists a representing density $f_{*} \geq 0$ such that

$$
H\left(f_{*}\right)=\max \{H(f) \mid f=\text { solution of }(28)\}
$$

(3) there are some complex numbers $x_{\beta}$ with $x_{-\beta}=\bar{x}_{\beta}(\beta \in A)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T} u_{\alpha} \exp \left(\sum_{\beta \in A} x_{\beta} u_{\beta}\right) d \theta_{1} \ldots d \theta_{n}=\gamma_{\alpha} \quad(\alpha \in A) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case:
(1') the maximum entropy representing density $f_{*}$ is unique;
(2') the set $x:=\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in A}$ is uniquely determined by (29) and $x_{-\beta}=\bar{x}_{\beta}$;
(3') we have the equality $f_{*}=\exp \left(\sum_{\beta \in A} x_{\beta} u_{\beta}\right)$.
Since the previous results were proved only for $T$ compact, the existence of the representing density $f_{*}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ or $\mathbb{T}^{n}$ is assumed below by hypotheses.

Theorem 17. Let $T$ be $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, resp. $\mathbb{T}^{n}$. Let $\gamma_{\alpha}\left(\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}\right.$, resp. $\left.\mathbb{Z}^{n}\right)$ have a representing density $f_{*}=f_{*}(t)$ of the form $f_{*}=f_{*, x}=\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha \in A} x_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}\right)$ with $A$ finite $\left(u_{\alpha}(t)=t^{\alpha}\right)$. If $T=\mathbb{T}^{n}$, suppose that $x_{-\alpha}=\bar{x}_{\alpha}(\alpha \in A)$. If $T=\mathbb{R}^{n}$, assume $f_{*, x}$ rapidly decreasing. Set $x_{\alpha}=0$ for $\alpha \notin A$. Then for any $A^{\prime}$ finite $\supset A$, the numbers $x_{\alpha}(\alpha \neq 0)$ can be uniquely determined from the linear system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\beta \in A^{\prime} \backslash\{0\}} \beta_{j} \gamma_{\alpha+\beta} x_{\beta}=v_{j \alpha} \quad\left(\alpha \in A^{\prime} \backslash\{0\}, 1 \leq j \leq n\right), \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{j \alpha}=-\left(\alpha_{j}+1\right) \gamma_{\alpha}$ if $T=\mathbb{R}^{n}$, while $v_{j \alpha}=-\alpha_{j} \gamma_{\alpha}$ and $A^{\prime}=-A^{\prime}$ if $T=\mathbb{T}^{n}$. Also, $x_{0}=\ln \left(\gamma_{0} / \int_{T} \exp \left(\sum_{\alpha \in A^{\prime} \backslash\{0\}} x_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}\right) d m\right)$.
Proof. Let $T=\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let $e_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}, j=1, \ldots, n$ denote the canonical basis. Set $x=\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}}$. Since $f_{*, x}(t):=\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha \in A^{\prime}} x_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}(t)\right)$ is a representing density of $\left(\gamma_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}}$ and $f_{*, x} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then by the Leibniz-Newton formula

$$
\int_{T} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{j}}\left[u_{\delta}(t) \exp \left(\sum_{\beta \in A^{\prime}} x_{\beta} u_{\beta}(t)\right] d t=0 \quad\left(\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}, 1 \leq j \leq n\right)\right.
$$

Compute the derivatives under the integral using $\partial u_{\delta} / \partial t_{j}=\delta_{j} u_{\delta-e_{j}}$. Then integrate term by term and use the notation $\alpha=\delta-e_{j} \in A^{\prime} \backslash\{0\}$ to obtain (30), that therefore must be compatible. To prove that (30) is determined, it suffices to show that its only solution is 0 . Let $y=\left(y_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in A^{\prime} \backslash\{0\}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\beta \in A^{\prime} \backslash\{0\}} \beta_{j} \gamma_{\alpha+\beta} y_{\beta}=v_{j \alpha} \quad\left(\alpha \in A^{\prime} \backslash\{0\}, 1 \leq j \leq n\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $j$ and $\alpha$ multiply (31) by $\beta_{j} y_{\alpha}$ and sum over $\alpha \in A^{\prime} \backslash\{0\}$. Hence

$$
\sum_{\alpha, \beta \in A^{\prime} \backslash\{0\}} \gamma_{\alpha+\beta} \beta_{j}^{2} y_{\alpha} y_{\beta}=0 \quad(1 \leq j \leq n)
$$

Using $\gamma_{\alpha}=\int_{T} u_{\alpha} f_{*, x} d m$ and $u_{\alpha+\beta}=u_{\alpha} u_{\beta}$, we get

$$
\int_{T}\left(\sum_{\beta \in A^{\prime} \backslash\{0\}} \beta_{j} y_{\beta} u_{\beta}(t)\right)^{2} \exp \left(\sum_{\epsilon \in A^{\prime}} x_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}(t)\right) d m(t)=0 .
$$

Hence $\sum_{\beta \in A^{\prime} \backslash\{0\}} \beta_{j} y_{\beta} u_{\beta}(t)=0$ for all $t \in T$. Then $\beta_{j} y_{\beta}=0$ for all $j$ and $\beta$. For any $\beta \neq 0$ there is $j$ with $\beta_{j} \neq 0$, and so $y_{\beta}=0$. Thus $y=0$. The same proof works as well for $T=\mathbb{T}^{n}$. Namely, use $\partial u_{\delta} / \partial \theta_{j}=i \delta_{j} u_{\delta}$ to obtain the corresponding system (30). We have to prove that the only set $y=\left(y_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in A^{\prime} \backslash\{0\}}$ satisfying the system (31) and the conditions $y_{-\beta}=\bar{y}_{\beta}$, $\beta \in A^{\prime}=-A^{\prime}$ is $y=0$. Multiply (31) by $\beta_{j} y_{\alpha}$ and sum over $\alpha \in A^{\prime} \backslash\{0\}$ to obtain

$$
\int_{T}\left|\sum_{\beta \in A^{\prime} \backslash\{0\}} \beta_{j} y_{\beta} u_{\beta}\right|^{2} \exp \left(\sum_{\epsilon \in A^{\prime}} x_{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right) d m=0 \quad(1 \leq j \leq n)
$$

Use the linear independence of $u_{\beta}$ in $P_{A^{\prime}}$ and proceed as in the first part.

Remark 18. Suppose that we want to identify the joint repartition $\mathrm{fm}:=$ $P \circ\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}\right)^{-1}$ of $n$ random variables $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}$ with values in $\mathbb{R}($ resp. $\mathbb{T})$, where $m$ is the Lebesgue measure on $T:=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (resp. $\mathbb{T}^{n}$ ). We assume them to have an unknown degree of correlation which in some sense is finite. Namely, suppose that the density $f \in L^{1}(T)$ has the form $f=\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha \in A} x_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}\right)$ for a finite set of parameters $x_{\alpha}(\alpha \in A)$, where $u_{\alpha}(t)=t^{\alpha}(t \in T)$. More precisely, $x_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}$ when $T=\mathbb{R}^{n}$, while $x_{-\alpha}=\bar{x}_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ when $T=\mathbb{T}^{n}$. We can identify $A$ (and so $f$ ) if we know sufficiently many moments

$$
\gamma_{\alpha}:=\int u_{\alpha} \circ\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}\right) d P \quad\left(=\int_{T} u_{\alpha}(t) f(t) d m\right)
$$

of $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}$, by solving the (compatible and determined) linear system (30) corresponding to $\alpha, \beta \in A^{\prime} \backslash\{0\}$ for a set $A^{\prime}$ enough large (so that $A^{\prime} \supset A$ ) and letting $x=\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in A^{\prime}}$ (due to the uniqueness we will get $x_{\alpha}=0$ for all $\alpha \notin A)$.
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