# A NOTE ON A PAPER OF L. GUTTMAN 

A. C. Mewborn

In a recent paper L. Guttman [2] obtained, using a result of von Neumann on the theory of games, lower bounds for the largest characteristic root of the matrix $A A^{\prime}$ where $A$ is a real matrix of order $m \times n$. As Guttman points out his bounds are non-trivial only if some row or column of $A$ has only positive or only negative elements. I wish to show that Guttman's results, and even a better result, are an immediate corollary of a well known theorem on Hermitian matrices : that each diagonal element lies between the smallest and largest characteristic roots (see e.g. [1]). Moreover, if $A A^{\prime}$ be replaced by $A A^{*}$ then $A$ can be real or complex and a non-trivial result is always obtained.

Theorem 1. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ be an $m \times n$ matrix with real or complex elements. Let $\lambda$ be the largest characteristic root of the $m \times m$ nonnegative definite Hermitian matrix $B=A A^{*}=\left(b_{i j}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda \geqq \max _{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right|^{2}  \tag{1}\\
& \lambda \geqq \max _{j} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|a_{i j}\right|^{2} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $b_{r r}$ be the largest diagonal element of $B$. Then

$$
\lambda \geqq b_{r r}=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|a_{r j}\right|^{2}=\max _{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right|^{2},
$$

and (1) is proved. Now the non-zero characteristic roots of $A A^{*}$ are the same as those of $A^{*} A$. Then (2) follows as above if we consider $A^{*} A$ instead of $A A^{*}$.

The bounds in (1) and (2) can be replaced by the weaker bounds

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda \geqq n \cdot \max _{i}\left(\min _{j}\left|a_{i j}\right|^{2}\right)  \tag{3}\\
& \lambda \geqq m \cdot \max _{j}\left(\min _{i}\left|a_{i j}\right|^{2}\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively, and even these bounds are obviously better than Guttman's.
Theorem 1 can be improved further.
Theorem 2. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1 we have
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$$
2 \lambda \geqq \max _{i, j}\left[\sum_{\nu=1}^{n}\left(\left|a_{i v}\right|^{2}+\mid a_{j \nu} \nu^{2}\right)+\left\{\left[\sum_{\nu v=1}^{n}\left(\left|a_{i \nu}\right|^{2}-\left|a_{j \nu}\right|^{2}\right)\right]^{2}+4\left|\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} a_{i \nu} \bar{a}_{j \nu}\right|^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2}\right]
$$

(6) $\quad 2 \lambda \geqq \max _{i, j}\left[\sum_{\nu=1}^{m}\left(\left|a_{v i}\right|^{2}+\left|a_{v j}\right|^{2}\right)+\left\{\left[\sum_{\nu=1}^{m}\left(\left|a_{v i}\right|^{2}-\left|a_{\nu j}\right|^{2}\right)\right]^{2}+4\left|\sum_{\nu=1}^{m} \bar{a}_{v i} a_{\nu j}\right|^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2}\right]$

Proof. It was shown in [1] that the largest root of an Hermitian matrix is greater than or equal to the larger of the two roots of any principal minor of order two of the matrix. Suppose the principal minor or order two of $B$ having the largest root lies in the $r, s$ rows and columns of $B$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \lambda \geqq b_{r r}+b_{s s}+\left[\left(b_{r r}-b_{s s}\right)^{2}+4 \mid b_{r s}{ }^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad=\sum_{v=1}^{n}\left(\left|a_{r v}\right|^{2}+\left|a_{s v}\right|^{2}\right)+\left\{\left[\sum_{\nu=1}^{n}\left(\left|a_{r v}\right|^{2}-\left|a_{s v}\right|^{2}\right)\right]^{2}+\left.4\left|\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} a_{r v} \bar{a}_{s \nu}\right|^{2}\right|^{1 / 2}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and (3) follows. (4) is proved similarly by considering $A^{*} A$ instead of B.
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