ARCS IN PARTIALLY ORDERED SPACES

R. J. KOCH

We present here a theorem on the existence of arcs in partially ordered spaces, and several applications to topological semigroups. The hypotheses are motivated by the structure of the partially ordered set of principal ideals of a compact connected topological semigroup with unit. Noteworthy among the applications are $(1)^1$ a compact, connected topological semigroup with unit contains an arc. (2) A compact, connected topological semigroup with zero, each of whose elements is idempotent, is arc-wise connected. Throughout the paper, arc is used in the sense of "continuum irreducibly connected between two points". We do not assume metricity of the spaces, but all spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff. Simple non-metric examples of the theorems are furnished by the "long line", i.e. the ordinals up to and including Ω , filled in with intervals, the operation being $a \cdot b = \min(a, b)$. The author is indebted to R. D. Anderson, R. P. Hunter, and W. Strother for useful suggestions.

We recall the following definitions: [10] (X, \leq) is a partially ordered space if X is a space, and \leq is a reflexive, antisymmetric, transitive binary relation on X. A chain in X is an ordered subset of (X, \leq) . We denote by Graph (\leq) the set of pairs (x, y) with $x \leq y$. We denote by $A \setminus B$ the complement of B in A; closure is denoted by^{*}, F(A) denote the boundary of A, and \Box denotes the empty set.

The following result of the author [3] is presented here in detail because of its relation with Theorem 2.

THEOREM 1. Let (X, \leq) be a compact partially ordered space and let W be an open set in X. If

- (1) For each $x \in X$, $\{y | y \le x\}$ is closed, and
- (2) For any $x \in W$, each open set about x contains an element y with y < x,

then if C is any component of $W, C^* \cap F(W) \neq \square$.

Proof. We show first that if V is open and $V \subset W$, then $F(V) \neq \Box$. Let M be a maximal chain in V^* . Then M is compact [10], hence M has a minimal element $m \in V^*$. If $m \in V$, then by hypothesis (2) above, the chain M can be extended, contrary to the maximality. Now

Received September 4, 1958. This research was supported by the United States Air Force through the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Air Research and Development Command, under Contract AF 18 (603)-89.

 $^{^{\}ast}$ 1This settles a question raised by D. Montogomery. The author learned of the question through A. D. Wallace.

let C be a component of W and suppose $C^* \cap F(W) = \square$. Then by standard arguments [5; p. 110] there is an open and closed set N with $C \subset N \subset W$. Hence N is an open subset of W with $F(N) = \square$, a contradiction.

In the next theorem we use the following topology for the space S(X) of non empty closed subsets of a compact space X, which is an extension of the Hausdorff metric topology [1]. For open sets U and V of X, let $N(U, V) = \{A | A \in S(X), A \subset U, A \cap V \neq \Box\}$. Take $\{N(U, V) | U, V \text{ open}\}$ for a subbasis for the open sets of S(X). It is known that if X is compact Hausdorff, so is S(X).

THEOREM 2. Let (X, \leq) be a compact partially ordered space, and let W be an open set in X. If

- (1) Graph (\leq) is closed and
- (2) For any $x \in W$, each open set about x contains an element y with y < x,

then any element x of W belongs to a (compact) connected chain C with $C \cap F(W) \neq \square$ and $x = \sup C$.

Proof. Let W be as above, and fix $a \in W$. Since $W^* \cap \{y | y \le a\}$ is a compact partially ordered space and contains the relatively open set $W \cap \{y | y \le a\}$ satisfying the above hypotheses, we may assume that $X = \{y | y \le a\}$ and that W is an open set in X with $a \in W \subset W^* = X$. Let \mathscr{C} denote the collection of all closed chains in X with $a \in C$ and $C \cap F(W) \neq \Box$. By Theorem 1, $F(W) \neq \Box$; hence if $z \in F(W)$, the elements a and z constitute an element of \mathscr{C} , so that $\mathscr{C} \neq \Box$.

(i) \mathscr{C} is closed in S(X). We show that $S(X)\backslash\mathscr{C}$ is open. Let A be closed in X, with $A \notin \mathscr{C}$. If A is not a chain, then there are elements x and y of A with $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$. By hypothesis (1) there exist open sets U and V about x and y with the property that $x' \in U$, $y' \in V$ imply $x' \leq y'$ and $y' \leq x'$. Then $N(X, U) \cap N(X, V)$ is an open set about A, and misses \mathscr{C} . If A is a chain but $a \notin A$, then $(X \setminus a, X \setminus a)$ is an open set about A which misses \mathscr{C} , and the case $F(W) \cap A = \Box$ goes in a similar way.

Define $L(x) = \{y | y \le x\}$ and $M(x) = \{y | x \le y\}$. Also define $(x, y) = \{z | x < z < y\}$. Let δ be an open cover of X, and define a subset M_{δ} of S(X) by: $C \in M_{\delta}$ iff C is a closed chain in X, and for any x and y in C with x < y and $(x, y) \cap C = \Box$, there exists $V \in \delta$ such that V^* meets both $L(x) \cap C$ and $M(y) \cap C$.

(ii) $M_{\delta} \cap \mathscr{C} \neq \Box$, for any open cover δ . Let δ be an open cover of X, let \mathscr{D} be the collection of all closed chains C with $C \subset W, C \in M_{\delta}$,

724

and $a \in C$. Let \mathcal{J} be a maximal tower in \mathcal{D} , and let $T = \bigcup \mathcal{J}$. Note that T is a chain containing a, hence by hypothesis (1), T^* is again a chain. Also it is easily seen that $\inf T = \inf T^*$. We will show that $T^* \in M_{\delta}$. Let $x, y \in T^*$ with x < y and $(x, y) \cap T^* = \Box$. Suppose $x = \inf T$; then if $\inf T \notin T$ it follows that $T \subset M(y)$, hence $x \in T^* \subset M(y)$, a contradiction. Hence $x = \inf T \in T$, so there exists $T_1 \in \mathscr{J}$ such that $x \in T_1$. Since $T_1 \in M_{\delta}$, there is $V \in \delta$ with V^* meeting both $L(x) \cap T_1$ and $M(y) \cap T_1$. Hence V^* meets both $L(x) \cap T^*$ and $M(y) \cap T^*$, and it follows that $T^* \in M_{\delta}$. Therefore we may suppose $\inf T < x < y$, so there exists $t_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$ with $\inf T < t_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \le x < y$, $t_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \in \mathcal{J}_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \in \mathcal{J}_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$ Since $T_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \in M_{\scriptscriptstyle 8}$, it again follows that $T^* \in M_{\delta}$. This establishes that $T^* \in M_{\delta}$ and it remains to show $T^* \in \mathscr{C}$. If $T^* \subset W$, then $T^* \in \mathscr{D}$, so by the maximality of T, $T^* = T$. Hence $\inf T \in T \subset W$, so there exists $V \in \delta$ with inf $T \in V$. There is an element $y \in V \cap W$ with y < x. By an easy argument, $T \cup y \in M_{\delta}$, so $T \cup y \in \mathscr{D}$, $T \cup y = T$, and $y \in T$, a contradiction. We conclude that $T^* \cap F(W) \neq \Box$, and $T^* \in M_{\delta} \cap \mathscr{C}$.

(iii) $M_{\delta} \cap \mathscr{C}$ is closed for each finite open cover δ of X. Let δ be a finite open cover of X. We show that $S(X) \setminus M_{\delta} \cap \mathscr{C}$ is open. Let A be a closed set, $A \notin M_{\delta} \cap \mathscr{C}$. Then either $A \notin \mathscr{C}$, or $A \in \mathscr{C}$ but $A \notin M_{\delta}$. If $A \notin C$, then by part (i) there is an open set about A which misses \mathscr{C} . Suppose $A \in \mathscr{C}$ and $A \notin M_{\delta}$. Then for some $x, y \in A$ we have $(x, y) \cap A = \Box$, and for each $V \in \delta$, V^* misses either $L(x) \cap A$ or $M(y) \cap A$. By an easy argument which makes use of hypothesis (1) and compactness, there are open sets U_1 and W_1 about $L(x) \cap A$ and $M(y) \cap A$ resp. with the property that $x' \in U_1$ and $y' \in W_1$ imply $y' \leq x'$. Let $U_2 = \bigcap \{X \setminus V^* \mid V \in \delta, V^* \cap L(x) \cap A = \Box \}, W_2 = \bigcap \{X \setminus V^* \mid V \in \delta, V^* \cap L(x) \cap A = \Box \}$ $V^* \cap M(y) \cap A = \Box$. Then, since δ is finite, U_2 is open and contains $L(x) \cap A$, W_2 is open and contains $M(y) \cap A$, and for each $V \in \delta$, V^* misses either U_2 or V_2 . Let $U' = U_1 \cap U_2$, $W' = W_1 \cap W_2$. Now $N(U' \cup W', U' \cup W')$ is open, contains A, and as we next show, misses $M_{\delta} \cap \mathscr{C}$. Suppose $C \in \mathscr{C} \cap N(U' \cup W', U' \cup W')$. Let $x' = \sup(C \cap U'), y' = y'$ $\inf(C \cap W')$; then $(x', y') \cap C = \Box$. Also $L(x') \cap C \subset U'$ and $M(y') \cap C \subset W'$, and since for each $V \in \delta$, V^* misses either U' or W', we conclude that $C \notin M_{\delta}$. This completes (iii).

For any finite open cover δ , put $P_{\delta} = M_{\delta} \cap \mathcal{C}$, and let $\mathscr{P} = \{P_{\delta}\}$. Note that if $P_{\alpha}, P_{\beta} \in \mathscr{P}$, then there is a finite open cover γ which refines both α and β , and hence $P_{\gamma} \subset P_{\alpha} \cap P_{\beta}$. Therefore $\bigcap \mathscr{P} \neq \Box$. Let $C \in \bigcap \mathscr{P}$, and we show next that C is order dense. Let $x, y \in C$ with x < y. Then $L(x) \cap M(y) \cap C = \Box$, so by normality there are open sets U and V about $L(x) \cap C$ and $M(y) \cap C$ resp., with $U^* \cap V^* = \Box$.

Let α be the finite open cover consisting of $\{X \setminus U^*, X \setminus V^*\}$. Since $C \in P_{\alpha}$ and it is false that the closure of each member of α meets both

L(x) and M(y), we conclude that $(x, y) \cap C \neq \Box$, and C is order-dense. Hence C is a compact order dense chain from a to F(W), and is therefore an arc. The proof is complete.

COROLLARY 1. Let (X, \leq) be a compact partially ordered space with unique minimal element 0. If

- (1) Graph (\leq) is closed in $X \times X$ and
- (2) L(x) is connected for each $x \in X$, then X is arcwise connected.

Proof. Let $W = X \setminus 0$; then from the connectedness of each L(x), we see that W satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2. Hence each element of X can be joined to 0 by a compact connected chain.

We note that Corollary 1 contains a result of Wallace [8].

Let S be a compact topological semigroup, and for $a \in S$, let $J(a) = a \cup Sa \cup aS \cup SaS$, and let $J = \{(x, y) | J(x) = J(y)\}$. Endow $\frac{S}{J}$ with the quotient topology, and let $\varphi : S \to \frac{S}{J}$ be the natural mapping. From the compactness of S it follows that $\frac{S}{J}$ is compact Hausdorff.

We denote by E the set of idempotents of S.

COROLLARY 2. Let S be a compact connected topological semigroup, with $S = ES \cup SE$: then $\frac{S}{J}$ is arcwise connected.

Proof. We define a partial order in $\frac{S}{J}$ by: $\varphi(a) \leq \varphi(b)$ iff $J(a) \subset J(b)$. From the compactness of S it follows that Graph (\leq) is closed in $S \times S$. Further, if K denotes the minimal ideal of S, then $\varphi(K)$ is the unique minimal element of $\frac{S}{J}$. Note that $L(\varphi(x)) = J(x)$; since $S = ES \cup SE$, it follows that J(x) is connected. Hence Corollary 1 applies, and the proof is complete.

REMARK. By a similar argument it can be seen that if L is a continuous monotone reflexive struct [4.7] on a continuum X, all of whose minimal elements are related, then $\frac{X}{L}$ is arcwise connected.

COROLLARY. 3. Let S be a compact connected topological semigroup with unit u, and let V be an open set about u; then V contains an arc.

Proof. If S is a group, the result is known [2], hence we may suppose that $u \notin K$, where K is the minimal ideal of S [9]. Let E denote

726

the set of idempotents of S, and partially order E by: $e \leq f$ iff $e \in fSf$. It follows from the compactness of S that Graph (\leq) is closed in $E \times E$. If there exists an open set W about u such that $W \cap E$ satisfies (2) of Theorem 2 (taking X = E), then $W \cap V \cap E$ also satisfies (2), so there is an arc in V. If for each open set W about $u, W \cap E$ fails to satisfy (2), then there exists $e \in E \cap V \cap (S \setminus K)$ such that $eSe \cap E \cap V = \{e\}$. Since $e \notin K$, eSe is non-degenerate. Hence eSe is a compact connected semigroup with unit e in which there is an open set containing e but no other idempotent. By a theorem of Mostert and Shields [6] there is a local one-parameter semigroup in $eSe \cap V$ and the proof is complete.

A compact connected semigroup with unit may fail to contain an arc which contains the unit. This is illustrated in Example 2 below, and is due to R. P. Hunter (unpublished).

EXAMPLE 1. Let R_+ denote the non-negative reals under addition, and let C by the unit disc in the complex plane: $C = \{z : |z| \le 1\}$ Let $W = \{(z, t); z = \exp(2\pi i s), t = e^{-s}, s \in R_+\}$, and set $S = (C \times \{0\}) \cup W$. Then S is a compact connected semigroup with zero and unit, but does not contain a standard thread joining the two. We may describe S by saying it is a two-cell with an arc winding on its boundary.

EXAMPLE 2. Let D_i be the graph of $x^2 + y^2 \leq \frac{1}{i}; z = 1 - \frac{1}{i}; i =$

1, 2, 3, The D_i then converge to a point u. From the center of D_{i+1} we start an arc A_i which winds upon the boundary of D_i as in Example 1. Let $S_i = A_i \cup D_i$; S_i is then a compact connected semigroup with zero 0_i and unit u_i . Repeat this construction for each positive integer i, and let $S = \bigcup_i S_i$. We define multiplication in S as follows: if $x, y \in S$ and both x and y belong to the same S_i , let xy be the product given in S_i . If $x \in S_i$ and $y \in S_j$ with i < j, define xy = yx = x. It is easy to see that S^* becomes a compact connected semigroup with zero 0_i and unit u. Moreover, no arc in S^* contains u.

COROLLARY 4. Let S be a locally compact connected topological semigroup with zero (0), each of whose elements is idempotent; then S is arcwise connected.

Proof. Note that $e \leq f$ iff $e \in fSf$ defines a partial order on S, and that Graph (\leq) is closed in $S \times S$. The conclusion is now immediate from Corollary 1.

We conjecture that compactness can be replaced by local compactness in Corollary 4, and further, that a locally compact connected semilattice is arcwise connected.

R. J. KOCH

References

1. O. Frink, Topology in lattices, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 51 (1942), 569-582.

2. A. M. Gleason, Arcs in locally compact groups, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., **36** (1950), 663-667.

3. R. J. Koch, Note on weak cutpoints in clans, Duke Math. J., 24 (1957), 611-616.

4. I. S. Krule, Structs on the 1-sphere, Duke Math. J., 24 (1957), 405-414.

5. C. Kuratowski, Topologie, II, Warsaw, 1950.

6. P. S. Mostert and A. L. Shields, One parmeter semigroups in a semigroup, (to appear).

7. A. D. Wallace, Struct ideals, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 6 (1955), 634-638.

8. ____, Partial order and indecomposability, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 5 (1954), 780-781.

9. ____, The structure of topological semigroups, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **61** (1955), 95-112.

10. L. E. Ward, Jr. Partially ordered topological spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 5 (1954), 144-161.

LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY