# ON THE STABILITY OF BOUNDARY COMPONENTS 
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## I. Presentation of the Problem

## 1. Definitions.

1. A boundary component of a plane region $D \subset(|z| \leqq \infty)$ is a component of the boundary $\partial D$ of $D$, i.e., a connected subset of $\partial D$ which is not a proper subset of any connected subset of $\partial D$.

There is an alternate definition. Let $\left\{\Omega_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of subregions of $D$ such that
(i) $\Omega_{1} \supset \Omega_{2} \supset \cdots$,
(ii) the relative boundary $\partial \Omega_{n} \cap D$ consists of one closed analytic curve in $D$,
(iii) $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_{n}=\phi$. Two sequences $\left\{\Omega_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\Omega_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ are said to be equivalent if, for any $n$, there exists $m$ such that $\Omega_{m} \subset \Omega_{n}^{\prime}$ and $\Omega_{m}^{\prime} \subset \Omega_{n}$. A boundary component of $D$ is an equivalence class of $\left\{\Omega_{n}\right\}$.

These two definitions are equivalent in the following sense:
(i) Given a sequence $\left\{\Omega_{n}\right\}$, the set $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{\Omega}_{n}$ is a component of $\partial D$ and, for two sequences, these sets coincide if and only if the sequences are equivalent.
(ii) Given a component $\Gamma$ of $\partial D$, there exists a sequence such that $\Gamma=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{\Omega}_{n}$.

For a boundary component $\Gamma$, the sequence $\left\{\Omega_{n}\right\}$ such that $\Gamma=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{\Omega}_{n}$ is called a defining sequence of $\Gamma$.

Let $w=f(z)$ be a topological mapping of $D$ onto a plane region $D^{\prime}$. Then we can immediately see from the second definition that $f$ gives a one-to-one correspondence between the boundary components of $D$ and $D^{\prime}$. We shall speak of the image of a boundary component $\Gamma$ under $f$ in this sense and denote it by $f(\Gamma)$.
2. Let $D^{c}$ denote the complement of $D$ with respect to the extended plane $|z| \leqq \infty$. For a boundary component $\Gamma$, there exists a uniquely determined component of $D^{c}$ whose boundary coincides with $\Gamma$. We call it the component of $D^{c}$ corresponding to $\Gamma$ and denote it by $\Gamma^{*}$.

If $D$ does not contain the point $z=\infty$, the boundary component $\Gamma$

[^0]such that $\infty \in \Gamma^{*}$ is called the outer boundary of $D$.
3. We call a region $D$ a circular (or radial) slit disk if $0 \in D$, $D \subset(|z|<R<\infty)$, the outer boundary is $|z|=R$, and every other boundary component is either a point or an arc on $|z|=$ const. (or a line segment on $\arg z=$ const.).
2. The stability problem of boundary components.
4. Let $D$ be a plane region and let $\Gamma$ be a boundary component. Sario [16, 17] gave the following classification:
(a) If $f(\Gamma)$ is a point for every univalent function $w=f(z)$ on $D$, then $\Gamma$ is said to be weak.
(b) If $f(\Gamma)$ is a continuum, i.e., a connected closed set containing more than one point, for every $f$, then $\Gamma$ is said to be strong.
( c) If $\Gamma$ is neither weak nor strong, it is said to be unstable.
Weak boundary components were first investigated by Grötzsch in connection with the so-called "Kreisnormierungsproblem" (Grötzsch [7]; see also Denneberg [5] and Strebel [21]). He called them vollkommen punktförmig. Regions of class $O_{S B}=O_{S D}$ introduced by Ahlfors and Beurling [2] coincide with those possessing merely weak boundary components. Sario [16] has generalized the concept weak boundary components for open Riemann surfaces. It has been discussed also by Savage [19] and Jurchescu [10].

We are now lead to the following natural problems:

Problem A. Given a boundary component consisting of a single point, determine whether it is weak or unstable.

Problem B. Given a boundary component consisting of a continuum, determine whether it is strong or unstable.

We shall attempt to obtain concrete tests with practical applicability.

## 3. Related extremal problems.

5. Let $D$ be a region containing the point $z=0$. Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be the family consisting of all functions $w=\varphi(z)$ which are regular and univalent in $D-\{0\}$, and have the expansion $1 / z+c z+\cdots$ near $z=0$.

Consider, with Grötzsch [6], the diameter of the image $\varphi(\Gamma)$ of the boundary component $\Gamma$. It is quite easy to see that $\Gamma$ is weak if and only if $\sup _{\varphi \in \mathfrak{B}} \operatorname{diam} \varphi(\Gamma)=0$, and $\Gamma$ is strong if $\inf _{\varphi \in \mathfrak{B}} \operatorname{diam} \varphi(\Gamma)>0$.
6. Let $\mathfrak{F}_{r}$ be the family consisting of functions $w=f(z)$ such that
(i) regular and univalent in $D$,
(ii) $f(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(0)=1$,
(iii) $f(\Gamma)$ is the outer boundary of $f(D)$.

Rengel [14] introduced the following functionals on $\mathfrak{F}_{\Gamma}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M(f)=\max _{w \in f\left(F^{\prime}\right)}|w|=\sup _{z \in D}|f(z)|, \\
& m(f)=\min _{w \in f(\Gamma)}|w|
\end{aligned}
$$

and considered the quantities

$$
R(\Gamma)=R(\Gamma ; D)=\sup _{f \in \mathfrak{F} r} m(f)
$$

and

$$
r(\Gamma)=r(\Gamma ; D)=\inf _{f \in \mathfrak{F}_{\Gamma}} M(f)
$$

From the definition we have immediately the basic
Theorem 1. $\Gamma$ is strong if $R(\Gamma)<\infty . \quad \Gamma$ is weak if and only if $r(\Gamma)=\infty$.

These criteria are equivalent to those in No. 5, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(\Gamma) & =2 / \inf _{\varphi \in \mathfrak{B}} \operatorname{diam} \varphi(\Gamma) \\
r(\Gamma) & =4 / \text { sup }_{\varphi \in \mathfrak{B}} \operatorname{diam} \varphi(\Gamma) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In fact, for an arbitrary function $f(z) \equiv \mathfrak{F}_{\Gamma}$, the functions

$$
\varphi_{f}(z)=\frac{1}{f(z)}+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(0)}{2}
$$

and

$$
\psi_{j}(z)=\varphi_{j}(z)+\frac{1}{M(f)^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\varphi_{j}(z)}
$$

belong to $\mathfrak{B}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m(f) \leqq 2 / \operatorname{diam} \varphi_{f}(\Gamma) \\
& M(f) \geqq 4 / \operatorname{diam} \varphi_{f}(\Gamma) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, for $\varphi(z) \in \mathfrak{B}$, let $F(w)$ be the function which maps $\left(\varphi(\Gamma)^{*}\right)^{c}$ conformally onto the exterior of a disk with the center at the origin. Assume further that $F(w)=w+c+c^{\prime} / w+\cdots$ near $w=\infty$. Then $f_{\varphi}(z)=1 / F \circ \varphi(z) \in \mathfrak{F}_{r}$ and

$$
2 / \operatorname{diam} \varphi(\Gamma) \leqq M\left(f_{\varphi}\right)=m\left(f_{\varphi}\right) \leqq 4 / \operatorname{diam} \varphi(\Gamma)
$$

The proof of the above equalities is hereby complete.
7. Whether or not $R(\Gamma)<\infty$ is necessary for strength is still an open problem. We shall discuss this problem in No. 24.

We shall see in No. 17 that $1 / r(\Gamma)$ equals the "capacity" of the boundary component $\Gamma$ introduced by Sario [16] (it is not necessarily equal to the logarithmic capacity of the closed set $\Gamma$ ), and, therefore, that the latter half of Theorem 1 is equivalent to Sario's result ([17], Theorem 6). Jurchescu [10] showed that the "capacity" coincides with the "perimeter" introduced by Ahlfors and Beurling [2].

It will be shown in No. 22 that $R(\Gamma)$ coincides with the quantity which Strebel [22] called 'extremal Durchmesser". Finally, Theorem 4 in No. 21 shows that the first half of the above theorem coincides with Sario's result ([17], Theorem 4).

## II. Preliminaries

In this chapter, we collect a number of known results which will be needed later.

## 4. Extremal length.

8. A curve $\gamma$ considered here is either a closed rectifiable curve or a curve of the form $z=z(t) \quad(0<t<1)$ every subarc of which is rectifiable. If $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} z(t)$ or $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} z(t)$ exists, it is called an end point.

Let $D$ be a reginon and let $\{\gamma\}$ be a family of curves $\gamma \subset D$. Let $\{\rho\}$ be the collection of functions $\rho$ which are $\geqq 0$ and lower semi-continuous in $D$. With the understanding that $0 / 0=\infty / \infty=0$, take

$$
\lambda\{\gamma\}=\sup _{\rho} \frac{\left(\inf _{\gamma} \int_{\gamma} \rho d s\right)^{2}}{\iint_{D} \rho^{2} d x d y} .
$$

It is called the extremal length of $\{\gamma\}$ (Ahlfors and Beurling [2], Ahlfors and Sario [3]).
9. The following properties (I)-(V) are well known; for the proofs the reader is referred to, e.g., Hersch [8] ${ }^{1}$ :
( I ) $\lambda\{\gamma\}$ is independent of the choice of $D$.
(II) $\lambda\{\gamma\}$ is conformally invariant.
(III) $\lambda\left\{\gamma^{\prime}\right\} \leqq \lambda\{\gamma\}$ if every $\gamma$ contains a $\gamma^{\prime}$.
(IV) For $\left\{\gamma_{1}\right\}$ and $\left\{\gamma_{2}\right\}$, assume the existence of disjoint regions $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ such that $\gamma_{\nu} \subset D_{\nu}(\nu=1,2)$. If, for any $\gamma$ of the third family

[^1]$\{\gamma\}$, there exist $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ such that $\gamma_{1} \cup \gamma_{2} \subset \gamma$, then
$$
\lambda\left\{\gamma_{1}\right\}+\lambda\left\{\gamma_{2}\right\} \leqq \lambda\{\gamma\} .
$$
(V) Let $\left\{\gamma_{1}\right\}$ and $\left\{\gamma_{2}\right\}$ be the same as above. If $\left\{\gamma_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{\gamma_{2}\right\} \subset\{\gamma\}$, then
$$
\frac{1}{\lambda\left\{\gamma_{1}\right\}}+\frac{1}{\lambda\left\{\gamma_{2}\right\}} \leqq \frac{1}{\lambda\{\gamma\}} .
$$
(VI) (Hersch [8] ${ }^{1}$ ). For three families with $\{\gamma\}=\left\{\gamma_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{\gamma_{2}\right\}$,
$$
\frac{1}{\lambda\{\gamma\}} \leqq \frac{1}{\lambda\left\{\gamma_{1}\right\}}+\frac{1}{\lambda\left\{\gamma_{2}\right\}} .
$$
(VIII) Let $\left\{\gamma_{1}\right\}$ be the subfamily of $\{\gamma\}$ consisting of $\gamma$ having both end points and such that $z(t)(0 \leqq t \leqq 1)$ is rectifiable. Then $\lambda\{\gamma\}=\lambda\left\{\gamma_{1}\right\}$.

In fact, since the extremal length of $\left\{\gamma_{2}\right\}=\{\gamma\}-\left\{\gamma_{1}\right\}$ is infinite, (VI) shows that $\lambda\left\{\gamma_{1}\right\} \leqq \lambda\{\gamma\}$, and $\lambda\{\gamma\} \leqq \lambda\left\{\gamma_{1}\right\}$ by (III).
(VIII) For a curve $\gamma: z=z(t)(0<t<1)$, let $\bar{\gamma}$ be the curve $z=\overline{z(t)}(0<t<1)$. If $z(0)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} z(t)$ exists and is real, put $\hat{\gamma}=$ $\gamma \cup \bar{\gamma} \cup\{z(0)\}$. Let $\left\{\gamma_{0}\right\}$ be a family of curves which are contained in the upper half-plane and have the end points $z(0)$ on the real axis. Let $\{\gamma\}$ be a family which contains all $\hat{\gamma}_{0}$ and $\bar{\gamma}$. Furthermore it is assumed that, for any $\gamma$, there exist $\gamma_{0}$ and $\gamma_{0}^{\prime}$ in $\left\{\gamma_{0}\right\}$ such that $\bar{\gamma}_{0} \cup \gamma_{0}^{\prime} \subset \gamma$. Then

$$
\lambda\{\gamma\}=2 \lambda\left\{\gamma_{0}\right\}
$$

In fact, to define $\lambda\{\gamma\}$, we may restrict $\{\rho\}$ to the subfamily consisting of functions symmetric about the real axis. Since $2 \inf _{\gamma_{0}} \int_{\gamma_{0}} \rho d s=$ $\inf _{\gamma} \int_{\gamma} \rho d s$ for such $\rho$, we conclude that $\lambda\{\gamma\}=2 \lambda\left\{\gamma_{0}\right\}$.
(IX) Let $A$ be the annulus $1<|z|<q$ or a region obtained by deleting a finite number of circular slits from this annulus. Let $\{\gamma\}$ be the family of all closed rectifiable curves in $A$ separating $|z|=1$ from $|z|=q$. Then $\lambda\{\gamma\}=2 \pi / \log q$. This is true even if each $\gamma$ is restricted to a concentric circle in $A$.

The proof is found, e.g., in Hersch [8] ${ }^{1}$.
10. Let $D$ be a region, and let $E_{0}$ and $E_{1}$ be compact sets such that $E, \cap \bar{D} \neq \phi(\nu=0,1)$. Let $\{\gamma\}$ be the family consisting of $\gamma$ : $z=z(t)(0<t<1)$ such that $\gamma \subset D, \bigcap_{\varepsilon>0} \overline{\{z(t) ; 0<t<\varepsilon\}} \subset E_{0}$, and $\left.\bigcap_{\varepsilon>0} \overline{\{z(t) ; 1-\varepsilon<t<1}\right\} \subset E_{1}$. Then $\lambda\{\gamma\}$ is called the extremal distance $\delta_{D}\left(E_{0}, E_{1}\right)$ between $E_{0}$ and $E_{1}$ with respect to D.

By (VII), $\delta_{D}\left(E_{0}, E_{1}\right)$ coincides with the extremal length of the family
of rectifiable curves in $D$ whose end points are on $E_{0}$ and $E_{1}$ respectively. Under a certain restriction of the configuration, it is also equal to that of a subfamily consisting of analytic curves (Wolontis [25]).

From this consideration, we get
(X) If no point of $E_{1}$ is accessible from $D$ by a rectifiable curve, then $\delta_{D}\left(E_{0}, E_{1}\right)=\infty$.
(XI) (Pfluger [12] ${ }^{1}$ ). If cap $E_{1}=0$, then $\delta_{D}\left(E_{0}, E_{1}\right)=\infty$. For $D=(|z|=1), \quad E_{0}=(|z|=\varepsilon<1)$, and $E_{1} \subset(|z|=1), \quad \delta_{D}\left(E_{0}, E_{1}\right)=\infty$ if and only if cap $E_{1}=0$.

Combining (VI), (X), and (XI), we get
( $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ ) If no point on $E_{1}$, except for a set of capacity zero, is accessible from $D$ by a rectifiable curve, then $\delta_{D}\left(E_{0}, E_{1}\right)=\infty$.
(XII) Let $D, E_{0}$, and $E_{1}$ be contained in the closed upper half-plane. Let $\hat{D}$ be the region which is the union of $D$, the reflection of $D$ across the real axis, and the part of $\partial D$ on the real axis. Let $\hat{E}_{0}$ and $\hat{E}_{1}$ have analogous meanings. If $\delta_{\hat{D}}\left(\hat{E}_{0}, \hat{E}_{1}\right)$ is expressed in terms of the extremal length of a family consisting of analytic curves ${ }^{2}$, then

$$
\delta_{\hat{D}}\left(\hat{E}_{0}, \hat{E}_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \delta_{D}\left(E_{0}, E_{1}\right) .
$$

Proof. Let $\delta_{\hat{D}}\left(\hat{E}_{0}, \hat{E}_{1}\right)=\lambda\{\gamma\}$ where $\gamma$ is an analytic curve and let $\delta_{\nu}\left(E_{0}, E_{1}\right)=\lambda\left\{\gamma^{\prime}\right\}$. Using the notation in (VII), we see immediately that $\left\{\gamma^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\left\{\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}\right\}$ are contained in $\{\gamma\}$. Since $\lambda\left\{\gamma^{\prime}\right\}=\lambda\left\{\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}\right\}$, we find, on applying (V), that $\lambda\{\gamma\} \leqq \lambda\left\{\gamma^{\prime}\right\} / 2$.

In order to prove the inequality in the opposite direction, we first remark that, to define $\lambda\{\gamma\}$, we may restrict $\rho$ to a function symmetric about the real axis. For a curve $\gamma: z=z(t)(0<t<1)$, let $\gamma^{*}$ be

$$
z= \begin{cases}z(t) & \text { if } \mathfrak{F} z(t) \geqq 0 \\ z(t) & \text { if } \Im z(t) \leqq 0\end{cases}
$$

Evidently $\int_{\gamma} \rho d s=\int_{\gamma^{*}} \rho d s$ for a symmetric $\rho$.
Since it is assumed that $\gamma$ is an analytic curve, $\gamma^{*}$ intersects the real axis at only a finite number of points $z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{k}$. Let $\Delta_{\nu}$ be the punctured disk $0<\left|z-z_{\nu}\right|<r(\nu=1,2, \cdots, k)$, where $r$ is taken so small that the $\Delta_{\nu}$ are mutually disjoint. The extremal length of the family of curves in $\Delta_{\nu}$ separating $z_{\nu}$ from $\left|z-z_{\nu}\right|=r$ is, by (IX), equal to infinite. Therefore, for arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$ and $\rho$, there exists a closed curve $\gamma_{\nu} \subset \Delta_{\nu}$ encircling $z_{\nu}$ and such that $\int_{\gamma_{\nu}} \rho d s<\varepsilon / k$. On replacing a part of $\gamma^{*} \cap \Delta$, by a part of $\gamma_{\nu}(\nu=1,2, \cdots, k)$, we obtain from $\gamma^{*}$ a

[^2]curve $\gamma^{\prime}$ belonging to the family $\left\{\gamma^{\prime}\right\}$ and such that $\int_{\gamma^{\prime}} \rho d s-\varepsilon<\int_{\gamma} \rho d s$. Since $\gamma$ and $\varepsilon$ are arbitrary, we get $\inf _{\gamma^{\prime}} \int_{\gamma^{\prime}} \rho d s \leqq \inf _{\gamma} \int_{\gamma} \rho d s$ for every symmetric $\rho$. Since $\iint_{\hat{D}} \rho^{2} d x d y=2 \iint_{D} \rho^{2} d x d y$, we conclude that $\lambda\left\{\gamma^{\prime}\right\} \leqq$ $2 \lambda\{\gamma\}$.
(XIII) Let $A$ be the annulus $1<|z|<q$ or a region obtained by deleting a finite number of radial slits from this annulus. Let $E_{0}=$ $(|z|=1)$ and $E_{1}=(|z|=q)$. Then $\delta_{A}\left(E_{0}, E_{1}\right)=(\log q) / 2 \pi$, and it is also equal to the extremal length of the family of all radials from $E_{0}$ to $E_{1}$ in $A$.

For the proof, the reader is referred to, e.g., Strebel [20].

## 5. Teichmüller's extremal region.

11. Let $D$ be a doubly connected region and let $\{\gamma\}$ be the family of all closed rectifiable curves in $D$ separating the boundary components. The quantity $2 \pi / \lambda\{\gamma\}$ is called the modulus of $D$ and is denoted by $\bmod D$. As is well known, $D$ can be mapped conformally onto an annulus $1<|z|<q$ where $\log q=\bmod D$.

For $P>0$, the doubly connected region

$$
D_{P}=\{[-1,0] \cup[P, \infty]\}^{c}
$$

where the brackets express a closed interval on the real axis, is called Teichmüller's extremal region. It has the following extremal property (Teichmüller [23]): Let $D$ be a doubly connected region such that one component of $D^{c}$ contains the point $z=0$ as well as a point on $|z|=1$ and the other contains the point $z=\infty$ as well as a point on $|z|=P$. Then $\bmod D \leqq \bmod D_{P}$ and the equality holds if and only if $D$ is a region obtained by rotating $D_{P}$ about the origin.
12. It was proved by Teichmüller [23] that $\Psi(P)=\exp \left(\bmod D_{P}\right)$ is a continuous function of $P$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{P \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Psi(P)}{P}=16 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \Psi\left(\frac{1}{P}\right)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{\log \Psi(P)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On combining (1) and (2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \Psi(P) \sim \frac{\pi^{2}}{\log \frac{1}{P}} \quad \text { for } P \rightarrow 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

13. The following result will be used later:

Lemma 1. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=(1<|z|<q) \\
& \Gamma=(|z|=1)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
E_{\theta}=\{z ;|z|=q,|\arg z| \leqq \theta\}
$$

Then

$$
\delta_{A}\left(\Gamma, E_{\theta}\right) \sim \frac{1}{\pi} \log \frac{1}{\theta} \quad \text { for } \theta \rightarrow 0
$$

Proof. $\delta_{A}(\Gamma, E)$ is equal to the extremal length $\lambda\{\gamma\}$ where $\{\gamma\}$ is the family of all analytic curves in $A$ connecting $\Gamma$ with $E_{\theta}$ (cf. Wolontis [25]). By (VIII) and (XIII), it is equal to $\delta_{Q}\left(E_{\theta}^{\prime \prime}, E_{\theta}^{\prime \prime}\right) / 4$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q & =(1 / q<|z|<q) \cap(\Im z>0), \\
E_{\theta}^{\prime} & =\{z ;|z|=1 / q, 0 \leqq \arg z \leqq \theta\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
E_{\theta}^{\prime \prime}=\{z ;|z|=q, 0 \leqq \arg z \leqq \theta\}
$$

Map $Q$ onto the upper half-plane in such a way that $1 / q$ and $q$ correspond to 0 and 1 , respectively. Let $-\alpha$ and $1+\beta(\alpha, \beta>0)$ be the images of $e^{i \theta} / q$ and $q e^{i \xi}$, respectively. It is not difficult to see that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\alpha \sim c \frac{\theta^{2}}{q} & \text { for } \theta \rightarrow 0 \\
\beta \sim c^{\prime} q \theta^{2} &
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $c$ and $c^{\prime}$ are constants independent of $\theta$. The region obtained by deleting the intervals $[-\infty,-\alpha],[0,1]$, and $[1+\beta, \infty]$ from the extended plane is conformally equivalent to Teichmüller's extremal region with

$$
P=\frac{\alpha \beta}{1+\alpha+\beta} \sim c^{\prime \prime} \theta^{4} \quad(\theta \rightarrow 0)
$$

Therefore, on applying (VIII) again, we get $\delta_{A}\left(\Gamma, E_{\theta}\right)=\pi /(4 \log \Psi(P))$ and, by (3),

$$
\delta_{A}\left(\Gamma, E_{\theta}\right) \sim \frac{1}{4 \pi} \log \frac{1}{P} \sim \frac{1}{\pi} \log \frac{1}{\theta} \quad \text { for } \theta \rightarrow 0
$$

## 6. Koebe's distortion theorem.

14. The following is a slight modification of the original form of Koebe's well-known distortion theorem, which will be used frequently:

Let $\varphi(z)$ be a function which is univalent and regular in $|z|<\varepsilon_{0}$ with $\varphi(0)=0$ and $\varphi^{\prime}(0)=1$. Then there are numbers $a(\varepsilon)$ and $b(\varepsilon)$ which are independent of $P$ and have the properties that

$$
a(\varepsilon) \leqq|\varphi(z)| \leqq b(\varepsilon) \quad \text { on }|z|=\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{a(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{b(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}=1
$$

In fact, we may take $a(\varepsilon)=\varepsilon \varepsilon_{0}^{2} /\left(\varepsilon+\varepsilon_{0}\right)^{2}$ and $b(\varepsilon)=\varepsilon \varepsilon_{0}^{2} /\left(\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{0}\right)^{2}$.

## 7. Quasi-conformal mappings.

15. In Chapters IV and V, we shall make use of quasi-conformal mappings to illustrate our results by examples. As in the type problem of Riemann surfaces, they are utilized to replace a given region by a simpler one.

A sense-preserving topological mapping $w=T(z)$ of a region $D$ onto another is said to be quasi-conformal if there exists a finite number $K$ such that $\bmod T(Q) \leqq K \bmod Q$ for any quadrilateral $Q \subset D$ (Ahlfors [1]). Here, $\bmod Q$ of a quadrilateral $Q$ means the extremal distance between two opposite sides of $Q$. The minimum value of $K$ is called the maximal dilatation of $T$.

For the proofs of the following properties (I)-(III), the reader is referred to Ahlfors [1]:
( I ) If $T$ is quasi-conformal of maximal dilatation $K$, then $\bmod T(A) \leqq K \bmod A$ for any doubly connected region $A \subset D$.
(II) Let $E$ be a set which is contained in a finite number of analytic arcs. Let $D$ be a region containing $E$, and let $T$ be a topological mapping of $D$ which is quasi-conformal in $D-E$. Then it is quasiconformal in $D$ with the same maximal dilatation.
(III) If $T$ is a topological mapping of class $C^{1}$, then the maximal dilatation is given by $K=\sup _{z \in D}\left(\left|T_{z}\right|+\left|T_{\bar{z}}\right|\right) /\left(\left|T_{z}\right|-\left|T_{\bar{z}}\right|\right)$ where $T_{z}$ and $T_{\bar{z}}$ are complex derivatives.
(IV) Let $\{\gamma\}$ be a family of curves in $D$. Let $T$ be a quasiconformal mapping of class $C^{1}$ with the maximal dilatation $K$. Then

$$
\lambda\{T(\gamma)\} \leqq K \lambda\{\gamma\}
$$

The proof is found in Hersch [9] ${ }^{1}$.

Remark. Even if $T$ is not of class $C^{1}$ throughout $D$, this inequality holds under, e.g., the following restriction: $T$ is of $C^{1}$ in $D$ except for a countable number of analytic arcs clustering nowhere in $D$, i.e., every point of $D$ has a neighborhood intersecting at most a finite number of the arcs, and every $\gamma$ is the union of a countable number of analytic arcs clustering nowhere in $D$. This generalization will be needed in No. 35.

## III. Circular and Radial Slit Disks

## 8. Circular slit disks.

16. Let $D$ be a plane region containing the point $z=0$, and let $\Gamma$ be a boundary component. The problem of minimizing $M(f)$ in $\mathfrak{F}_{F}$ for a region of finite connectivity has been discussed by Rengel [14]. To consider it for a region of arbitrary connectivity, in particular to show the uniqueness of the minimizing function, Sario [16] introduced the functional

$$
J(f)=\int_{\partial D} \log |f| \cdot d \arg f \quad\left(f \in \mathfrak{F}_{\Gamma}\right)
$$

Here the line integral means $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial D_{n}} \log |f| \cdot d \arg f$ for an exhaustion $D_{n} \uparrow D$; the limiting value exists and is independent of the exhaustion. He proved the existence of a function $g_{0}$ such that

$$
M\left(g_{0}\right)=m\left(g_{0}\right)
$$

and

$$
2 \pi \log M\left(g_{0}\right)=J(f)-D\left(\log |f|-\log \left|g_{0}\right|\right)
$$

for all $f \in \mathfrak{F}_{F}$, where the second term means the Dirichlet integral over $D$. Evidently $g_{0}$ is the unique function which minimizes $J(f)$.

From these relations we can derive the following facts (Sario [16]):
( I ) There exists a function $g_{0} \in \mathfrak{F}_{F}$ such that $M\left(g_{0}\right)=\min _{f \in \mathfrak{F} r} M(f)=$ $r(\Gamma)$. If $r(\Gamma)<\infty$, the minimizing function is determined uniquely. It maps $D$ onto a circular slit disk $|w|<r(\Gamma)$, where the area of slits, i.e., $g_{0}(\partial D-\Gamma)^{*}$, vanishes,
(II) Let $0 \in D_{n} \uparrow D$ be an exhaustion and let $\Gamma_{n}$ be the component of $\partial D_{n}$ separating $D_{n}$ from $\Gamma$. Then

$$
r(\Gamma)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r\left(\Gamma_{n}\right) .
$$

If $r(\Gamma)<\infty$, the sequence $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ of the minimizing functions on $D_{n}$ converges to $g_{0}$ uniformly on each compact set in $D$.
17. By making use of this result, we can express $r(\Gamma)$ in terms of extremal length. Let $\varepsilon_{0}$ be a small number such that $|z| \leqq \varepsilon_{0}$ is contained in $D$. For $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$, the numbers $a(\varepsilon)$ and $b(\varepsilon)$ were defined in No. 14. The following theorem has been proved, in essence, by Jurchescu [10]:

Theorem 2. Let $\{\gamma\}_{\varepsilon}$ be the family of all closed curves in $D_{\varepsilon}=$ $D-(|z| \leqq \varepsilon)$ which separate $\Gamma$ from the point $z=0$. Then

$$
\log \frac{r(\Gamma)}{b(\varepsilon)} \leqq \frac{2 \pi}{\lambda\{\gamma\}_{\varepsilon}} \leqq \log \frac{r(\Gamma)}{a(\varepsilon)}
$$

and, therefore,

$$
\log r(\Gamma)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(\log \varepsilon+\frac{2 \pi}{\lambda\{\gamma\}_{\varepsilon}}\right)
$$

The result remains valid if the $\gamma$ are restricted to analytic curves.
Proof. Consider the metric given by $\rho=\left|g_{0}^{\prime}\right| /\left|g_{0}\right|$. Since the area of the circular slits is zero, $\iint_{D_{\varepsilon}} \rho^{2} d x d y \leqq 2 \pi \log \left(r\left(I^{\prime}\right) / a(\varepsilon)\right)$. Therefore,

$$
\lambda\{\gamma\}_{\varepsilon} \geqq(2 \pi)^{2} / 2 \pi \log (r(\Gamma) / a(\varepsilon))
$$

To prove the left inequality, take an exhaustion $D_{n} \uparrow D$ and consider the family $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{\varepsilon}$ of all closed curves $\gamma_{n}$ in $D_{n}-(|z| \leqq \varepsilon)$ separating $\Gamma_{n}$ from $z=0$. Since $D_{n}$ is of finite connectivity, the proposition (IX), No. 9 , shows that $2 \pi / \lambda\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{\varepsilon} \geqq \log \left(r\left(\Gamma_{n}\right) / b(\varepsilon)\right)$. When we take the limit for $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have by virtue of the relation $\lambda\{\gamma\}_{\varepsilon} \leqq \lambda\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{\varepsilon}$ that

$$
2 \pi / \lambda\{\gamma\}_{\varepsilon} \geqq \log (r(\Gamma) / b(\varepsilon))
$$

18. The following criterion for weakness due to Grötzsch [7] will be useful in the next chapter:

Theorem 3. In order that $\Gamma$ be weak, it is necessary and sufficient that, for an arbitrary positive number $l$, there exist a finite number of doubly connected regions $A_{1}, A_{2}, \cdots A_{k}$ in $D-(|z| \leqq \varepsilon)$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) The $A_{\nu}$ are mutually disjoint,
(ii) $A$, separates $\Gamma$ from $(|z| \leqq \varepsilon)(\nu=1,2, \cdots, k)$ and separates $A_{-1}$ from $A_{*_{1}}(\nu=2,3, \cdots, k-1)$,
(iii)

$$
\sum_{\nu=1}^{k} \bmod A_{\nu} \geqq l
$$

Proof. Sufficiency: By (V), No. 9, and by Theorem 2, $l \leqq$ $\sum_{v=1}^{k} \bmod A_{\nu} \leqq 2 \pi / \lambda\{\gamma\}_{\varepsilon} \leqq \log (r(\Gamma) /(\varepsilon))$. Therefore, $r(\Gamma)=\infty$ and, by Theorem 1, $\Gamma$ is weak.

Necessity: Take an exhaustion $(|z| \leqq \varepsilon) \subset D_{1} \subset D_{2} \subset \cdots \subset D_{n} \subset$ $\cdots \uparrow D$ and consider the extremal function $\mathrm{g}_{n}$ on $D_{n}$. By Koebe's distortion theorem, No. 14, the image of $|z|=\varepsilon$ is contained in $a(\varepsilon) \leqq|w| \leqq b(\varepsilon)$, so that the set $b(\varepsilon)<|w|<r\left(\Gamma_{n}\right)$ minus the circular slits is contained in the image of $D_{n}-(|z| \leqq \varepsilon)$. From the annulus $b(\varepsilon)<|w|<r\left(\Gamma_{n}\right)$, delete all the concentric circles containing the circular slits. Then we get a finite number of concentric annuli $A_{1}^{\prime}, A_{2}^{\prime}, \cdots, A_{k}^{\prime}$ such that $\sum_{v=1}^{k} \bmod A_{\nu}^{\prime}=\log \left(r\left(\Gamma_{n}\right) / b(\varepsilon)\right)$. Since $r(\Gamma)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r\left(\Gamma_{n}\right)=\infty$, we can take $n$ so large that the right hand side is greater than the given $l$. The inverse images $A_{1}, A_{2}, \cdots, A_{k}$ of $A_{1}^{\prime}, A_{2}^{\prime}, \cdots, A_{k}^{\prime}$ are what we desired.

Remark. We see from this theorem that the weakness of $\Gamma$ depends merely on the configuration of $\partial D$ near $l$. Furthermore, by (I), No. 15, the weakness is invariant under quasi-conformal mappings.

## 9. Radial slit disks for special regions.

19. Unlike the case of the functional $M(f)$, the function maximizing $m(f)$ does not exist in general; by slightly modifying the example given by Strebel [20], we get a region on which $m(f)<R(\Gamma)=\sup _{f \in \mathscr{F} r}$ $m(f)$ for all $f \in \mathfrak{F}_{r}$.

Under a restriction, however, we get a result analogous to that of No. 15. Let $G$ be a region containing the point $z=0$ and such that a component $\Gamma$ of $\partial G$ consists of a closed analytic curve which is isolated, i.e., $\overline{\partial G-\Gamma} \cap \Gamma=\phi$. Let $\mathfrak{U}_{\Gamma}$ be the subfamily of $\mathfrak{F}_{\Gamma}$ consisting of all functions with $M(f)=m(f)$. On this family Sario [17, 18] introduced the functional

$$
l(f)=2 \pi \log m(f)-\int_{\partial D-r} \log |f| \cdot d \arg f
$$

and proved the existence of a function $f_{0} \in \mathfrak{U}_{\Gamma}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \pi \log m\left(f_{0}\right)=I(f)+D\left(\log |f|-\log \left|f_{0}\right|\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f \in \mathfrak{A}_{r}$. Evidently $f_{0}$ is the unique maximizing function of $I(f)$ in $\mathfrak{A}_{r}$.

We can derive from this relation the following facts (Sario [18]), which have been obtained by Rengel [14] for a region $G$ of finite connectivity:
(I) $R(\Gamma)$ is finite. $f_{0}$ is the unique function maximizing $m(f)$ in $\mathfrak{U}_{r}$. It maps $G$ onto a radial slit disc $|w|<R(\Gamma)$, where the area of slits, i.e., $f_{0}(\partial G-\Gamma)^{*}$, vanishes.
(II) Let $\left\{G_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of regions such that $0 \in G_{n} \uparrow G$ and $\partial G_{n}$ consists of $\Gamma$ and a finite number of closed analytic curves. Then

$$
R(\Gamma ; G)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} R\left(\Gamma_{n} ; G_{n}\right)
$$

and the sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ of the maximizing functions on $G_{n}$ converges to $f_{0}$ uniformly on each compact set in $G \cup I$.
20. Let $\{\gamma\}_{\varepsilon}$ be the family of rectifiable curves which connect $|z|=\varepsilon$ with $I^{\prime}$ in $G-(|z| \leqq \varepsilon)$. In a method similar to the proof of Theorem 2 we can obtain the following relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\left(\log \frac{R(\Gamma)}{b(\varepsilon)}\right)^{2}}{\log \frac{R(\Gamma)}{a(\varepsilon)}} \leqq 2 \pi \lambda\{\gamma\}_{\varepsilon} \leqq \log \frac{R(\Gamma)}{a(\varepsilon)}  \tag{5}\\
& \log R(\Gamma)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(\log \varepsilon+2 \pi \lambda\{\gamma\}_{\varepsilon}\right) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\{\gamma\}_{\text {: }}$ can be replaced by the subfamily of analytic curves.
10. Characterizations of $R(\Gamma)$.
21. Let $D$ be an arbitrary region containing the point $z=0$. Let $\left\{\Omega_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a defining sequence of $\Gamma$ such that $0 \notin \Omega_{n}(n=1,2, \cdots)$. Then $G_{n}=D-\Omega_{n}$ is a region and its boundary component $\Gamma_{n}-\partial G_{n} \cap \partial \Omega_{n}$ satisfies the condition of No. 19.

Theorem 4. $\left\{R\left(\Gamma_{n}, G_{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is an increasing sequence and $R(\Gamma)=$ $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} R\left(\Gamma_{n} ; G_{n}\right)$.

Proof. $\left\{R\left(\Gamma_{n} ; G_{n}\right)\right\}$ is an increasing sequence by (6).
For an arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$, there exists an $f(z) \in \mathfrak{F}_{r}$ such that $m(f)>$ $R(\Gamma)-\varepsilon / 2$. Then there exists an $n_{0}$ such that the $m$ of this $f(z)$ on $G_{n}$ (we denote it by $m_{n}(f)$ ) has the property that $m_{n}(f)>m(f)-\varepsilon / 2$ whenever $\quad n \geqq n_{0}$. Therefore, $\quad R\left(\Gamma_{n} ; \quad G_{n}\right) \geqq m_{n}(f)>R(\Gamma)-\varepsilon \quad$ and $\underline{\lim }_{n \rightarrow \infty} R\left(\Gamma_{n} ; G_{n}\right) \geqq R(\Gamma)$.

Next, let $A_{n}$ be the doubly connected region bounded by $\Gamma_{n}$ and $\Gamma$. Then $\Gamma$ is an isolated boundary component of the region $\widetilde{G}_{n}=G_{n} \cup A_{n} \cup \Gamma_{n}$. $\Gamma$ is not necessarily a closed analytic curve, but from the result of No. 19 we can see the existence of the function $\tilde{f}_{n}(z)$ in $\mathfrak{F}_{F}$ of $\tilde{G}_{n}$ such that $m\left(\tilde{f}_{n}\right)=R\left(\Gamma ; \tilde{G}_{n}\right)$. Evidently $\tilde{f_{n}}(z)$ belongs to $\tilde{F}_{r^{\prime}}$ of $D$. By (6),
$R\left(\Gamma_{n} ; G_{n}\right) \leqq R\left(\Gamma ; \widetilde{G}_{n}\right)$. Consequently, $R\left(\Gamma_{n} ; G_{n}\right) \leqq R\left(\Gamma ; \widetilde{G}_{n}\right)=m\left(\tilde{f}_{n}\right) \leqq$ $R(\Gamma)$ and $\overline{\lim }_{n \rightarrow \infty} R\left(\Gamma ; G_{n}\right) \leqq R(\Gamma)$.

This reasoning remains valid for the case where $R(\Gamma)=\infty$.
Remark. Combining Theorem 4 with Theorem 1, we see that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} R\left(\Gamma_{n} ; G_{n}\right)<\infty$ implies the strength of $\Gamma$. This fact was proved by Sario [17].
22. Let $\{\gamma\}_{\varepsilon}$ be the family of curves $\gamma: z=z(t)(0<t<1)$ in $D-(|z| \leqq \varepsilon)$ such that $\bigcap_{\varepsilon>0} \overline{\{z(t) ; 0<t<\varepsilon\}} \subset(|z|=\varepsilon)$ and $\bigcap_{\varepsilon>0}$ $\{z(t) ; 1-\varepsilon<t<1\} \subset \Gamma$. Let $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{\varepsilon}$ be the corresponding family in $G_{n}$. Strebel [22] has proved the relation $\lambda\{\gamma\}_{\varepsilon}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{\varepsilon}$. On combining this with (5), (6), and Theorem 4, we have

## Theorem 5.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left(\log \frac{R(\Gamma)}{b(\varepsilon)}\right)^{2}}{\log \frac{R(\Gamma)}{a(\varepsilon)}} \leqq 2 \pi \lambda\{\gamma\}_{\varepsilon} \leqq \log \frac{R(\Gamma)}{a(\varepsilon)} \\
& \log R(\Gamma)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(\log \varepsilon+2 \pi \lambda\{\gamma\}_{\varepsilon}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\gamma$ can be restricted to the curve which is the union of a countable number of analytic arcs which cluster nowhere in $D$ (cf. No. 15, Remark).

Remark. The exponential of the right hand side of the second relation was called "extremal Durchmesser"' by Strebel [22]. On combining Theorem 5 with Theorem 1, or directly from (XI), No. 10, we see that $\lambda\{\gamma\}_{\varepsilon}<\infty$ implies the strength of $\Gamma$. This result was generalized for open Riemann surfaces by Constantinescu [4].
23. For an exhaustion $D_{n} \uparrow D$ in the ordinary sense, it has not been proved whether $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} R\left(\Gamma_{n} ; D_{n}\right)$ exists or not. We obtain merely the following

Theorem 6. Let $\Delta$ be a region such that $0 \in \Delta, \bar{\Delta} \subset D$, and bounded by a finite number of closed analytic curves. Denote by $\Gamma_{\Delta}$ the component of $\partial \Delta$ which separates $\Delta$ from $\Gamma$. Then

$$
R(\Gamma)=\lim _{\Delta \rightarrow D} R\left(\Gamma_{\Delta} ; \Delta\right)
$$

where the right hand side is a directed limit.
Proof. For $\varepsilon>0$, there exists by Theorem 4 an $n$ such that
$R(\Gamma)-\varepsilon<R\left(\Gamma_{n} ; G_{n}\right)$. By Theorem $5 R\left(\Gamma_{n} ; G_{n}\right) \leqq R\left(\Gamma_{\Delta} ; \Delta\right)$ for any $\Delta \supset \Gamma_{n} \cup\{0\}$. Therefore, $R(\Gamma) \leqq \lim _{\Delta \rightarrow D} R\left(\Gamma_{\Delta} ; 4\right)$. On the other hand, for $\varepsilon>0$ and a compact set $K \subset D$, take an $n_{0}$ such that $K \subset G_{n_{0}}$. There exists, by (II), No. 19, a $\Delta \subset G_{n_{0}}$ such that $R\left(\Gamma_{\Delta} ; \Lambda\right) \subset R\left(\Gamma_{n_{0}} ; G_{n_{0}}\right)+\varepsilon$, and, therefore, $R\left(\Gamma_{\Delta} ; \Delta\right)<R(\Gamma)+\varepsilon$. Consequently $\underline{\lim }_{\Delta \rightarrow D} R\left(\Gamma_{\Delta} ; \Delta\right) \leqq R(\Gamma)$.

Remark. On combining Theorem 6 with Theorem 1 we see that $\lim _{\Delta \rightarrow D} R\left(\Gamma_{\Delta} ; \Delta\right)<\infty$ implies the strength of $\Gamma$. Sario [18] has shown that $\Gamma$ is strong if $\varlimsup_{\Delta \rightarrow D} R\left(\Gamma_{\Delta} ; \Delta\right)<\infty$.

## 11. Unsolved problems.

24. As we pointed out in No. 7, the following problem has not been solved:
(1) Is $R(\Gamma)<\infty$ necessary for the strength of $\Gamma$ ?

Since the maximizing function of $m(f)$ in $\mathfrak{F}_{F}$, or equivalently the minimizing function of diam $\varphi(\Gamma)$ in $\mathfrak{B}$, does not exist in general, the case is different from that of a weak boundary component. The example of Strebel [20] stated in No. 19 is for $R(\Gamma)>\infty$, and it does not answer this question.

Let $\left\{G_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the sequence introduced in No. 21 and let $f_{n}(z)$ be the extremal function on $G_{n}$. Since $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a normal family, we may assume that $f_{n}$ converges to a univalent function $f(z)$. One can imagine that, if $R(\Gamma)=\infty$, then $f(\Gamma)$ would be a point. However, we can only prove that $f(\Gamma)$ consists of the point $w=\infty$ and possibly of radial segments emanating from it whose arguments form a set of measure zero (Strebel [22]). Such line segments appear in our Example 10, Nos. 39,40 . Nevertheless the boundary component of this example is unstable, because we can map it onto a region such that $f(\Gamma)$ is a point and $f(\partial D-\Gamma)$ consists of circles (No. 39).

We have several other unsolved problems as follows:
(2) Is strength a boundary property?
(3) Is $\varlimsup_{\Delta \rightarrow D} R\left(\Gamma_{\Delta} ; \Delta\right)$ equal to $\lim _{\Delta \rightarrow D} R\left(\Gamma_{\Delta} ; \Delta\right)$ ?
(4) Is strength preserved under quasi-conformal mappings?

## IV. Criteria for Weakness and Instability

In this chapter we consider Problem A presented in No. 4. Several sufficient conditions for weakness have been obtained by Savage [19]. Here we shall consider some special regions and attempt to get more concrete necessary or sufficient conditions.
12. Boundary on the positive real axis.
25. Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be sequences of positive numbers such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
1<b_{n-1} & \leqq a_{n}<b_{n} \quad(n=1,2, \cdots), \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n} & =\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote by $[a, b]$ the closed interval on the real axis. Then

$$
D=(|z|<\infty)-\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[b_{n-1}, a_{n}\right]
$$

is a region and $\Gamma=\{\infty\}$ is its boundary component. The present section is devoted to discussing the following problem: When is $\Gamma$ weak and when is it unstable?
26. Theorem 7. (i) $I f$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{b_{n}}{a_{n}}-1\right)=\infty \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\Gamma$ is weak.
(ii) $I f$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_{n}}{a_{n}}=1^{3} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\left(b_{n} / a_{n}\right)-1}}<\infty \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\Gamma$ is unstable.
Proof. (i) Consider the annuli $A_{n}=\left(a_{n}<|z|<b_{n}\right)(n=1,2, \cdots)$. Since $\sum \bmod A_{n}=\sum \log \left(b_{n} \mid a_{n}\right)=\infty$, Theorem 3 shows that $\Gamma$ is weak.
(ii) Let $A_{1}, A_{2}, \cdots, A_{k}$ be doubly connected regions satisfying the conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3. For any $A_{\nu}$, there exists an $n$ such that $A_{\nu}$ passes through the open interval $\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)$ and a component of $A_{\nu}$ contains 0 as well as $a_{n}$. The region

$$
D^{(n)}=\left\{\left[0, a_{n}\right] \cup\left[b_{n}, \infty\right]\right\}^{c}
$$

is conformally equivalent to Teichmüller's extremal region with $P=$ $\left(b_{n} / a_{n}\right)-1$. By the extremal property of $D^{(n)}$, No. 11, the sum of the
${ }^{3}$ If $\overline{\lim }_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n} / a_{n}>1$, then $\Gamma$ is weak by (i), Theorem 7
moduli of all such $A_{\nu}$ does not exceed $\bmod D^{(n)}=\log \Psi\left(\left(b_{n} / a_{n}\right)-1\right)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\nu=1}^{k} \bmod A_{\nu} \leqq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log \Psi\left(\frac{b_{n}}{a_{n}}-1\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3), No. 12,

$$
\log \Psi\left(\frac{b_{n}}{a_{n}}-1\right) \sim \frac{\pi^{2}}{\log \frac{1}{\left(b_{n} / a_{n}\right)-1}}
$$

Therefore, the right hand side of (10) converges and, by Theorem 3, $\Gamma$ is unstable.

Example 1. $a_{n}=2 n+1, b_{n}=2 n+2$. Evidently (7) diverges so that $\Gamma$ is weak.

Example 2. $a_{n}=n^{k}, b_{n}=n^{k}+1(k>1)$. Since (7) converges and (9) diverges, we cannot decide by Theorem 7 (see also No. 27).

Example 3. $a_{n}=e^{n}, b_{n}=e^{n}+1$. Similarly, we cannot decide (see also No. 27).

Example 4. $a_{n}=e^{n^{\alpha}}, b_{n}=e^{n^{\alpha}}+1(\alpha>1) . \quad \Gamma$ is unstable by (ii).
27. We derive another criterion applicable to Examples 2 and 3. To this end, we first prove

Lemma 2. For the doubly connected region

$$
A_{h}=(1<|z|<q)-[1+h, q)
$$

where $h>0$ and $q$ is fixed,

$$
\bmod A_{h} \sim \frac{\pi^{2}}{2 \log \frac{1}{h}} \quad \text { for } h \rightarrow 0
$$

Proof. By (VIII), No. 9, $\bmod A_{n}=4 \pi / \lambda\{\gamma\}$ where $\{\gamma\}$ is the family of rectifiable curves in $Q=A_{l} \cap(\Im z>0)$ joining $[-q,-1]$ with $[1,1+h]$. Map $Q$ conformally onto the upper half-plane in such a manner that $-q,-1,1$ correspond to $-\infty,-1,0$, respectively. The image $P$ of $1+h$ has the property that

$$
P \sim c h^{2} \quad \text { for } h \rightarrow 0
$$

where $c$ is a constant independent of $h$. From (VIII), No. 9, we conclude that

$$
\bmod A_{h}=\log \Psi(P) \sim \frac{\pi^{2}}{\log \frac{1}{P}} \sim \frac{\pi^{2}}{2 \log \frac{1}{h}} \quad(h \rightarrow 0) .
$$

Theorem 8. Suppose that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n} / a_{n}=1$. If $a_{n+1} / a_{n}$ is bounded away from 1, then $\Gamma$ is weak if and only if

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\left(b_{n} \mid a_{n}\right)-1}}=\infty .
$$

Proof. If the series converges, $\Gamma$ is unstable by (ii) of Theorem 7.
Conversely, suppose that the series diverges. The doubly connected region $A_{n}=\left(a_{n}<|z|<a_{n+1}\right)-\left[b_{n}, a_{n+1}\right)$ is conformally equivalent to the region $A_{n}^{\prime}=\left(1<|z|<a_{n+1} \mid a_{n}\right)-\left[b_{n}\left|a_{n}, a_{n+1}\right| a_{n}\right)$. By the assumption $1<1+\delta<a_{n+1} / a_{n}$ and, therefore, $A_{n}^{\prime \prime}=(1<|z|<1+\delta)-\left[b_{n} \mid a_{n}, 1+\delta\right) \subset A_{n}^{\prime}$ so that $\bmod A_{n}^{\prime \prime} \leqq \bmod A_{n}$. By Lemma 2

$$
\bmod A_{n}^{\prime \prime} \sim \frac{\pi^{2}}{2 \log \frac{1}{\left(b_{n} / a_{n}\right)-1}} \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)
$$

Consequently, the assumption implies that $\sum \bmod A_{n}=\infty$, and we infer from Theorem 3 that $\Gamma$ is weak.

Example 3 (No. 26). $a_{n}=e^{n}, b_{n}=e^{n}+1$. By Theorem 8, $\Gamma$ is weak.

Example 2 (No. 26). $a_{n}=n^{k}, b_{n}=n^{k}+1(k>1)$. Since $a_{n+1} / a_{n}=$ $(n+1)^{k} / n^{k}$ is not bounded away from 1, the above theorem is not applicable. However, we can see as follows that $\Gamma$ is weak. For simplicity, we consider the case $k=2$; the general case can be treated in a similar fashion. Consider the region $A_{n}=\left(a_{2^{n}}<|z|<a_{2^{n+1}}\right)-$ [ $b_{2^{n}}, a_{2^{n+1}}$ ), which is conformally equivalent to $(1<|z|<4)-\left[1+2^{-2 n}, 4\right)$. By Lemma 2, $\bmod A_{n} \sim \pi^{2} /(4 n \log 2)$ for $n \leftarrow \infty$ and $\sum \bmod A_{n}=\infty$. It follows from Theorem 3 that $\Gamma$ is weak.

More generally, this result can be stated as follows:
Theorem 8'. Suppose that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n} / a_{n}=1$ and that there exists a subsequence $\left\{n_{i}\right\} \subset\{n\}$ such that $a_{n_{i+1}} \mid a_{n_{i}}$ is bounded away from 1 and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\left(b_{n_{i}} / a_{n_{i}}\right)-1}}=\infty . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $r$ is weak.
28. When $a_{n+1} / a_{n}$ is not bounded away from 1 , we may also apply the following criterion:

Theorem 9. Suppose $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n} / a_{n}=1$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n+1} / a_{n}=1$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left(b_{n} / a_{n}\right)}{\log \left(a_{n+1} / a_{n}\right)} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log \left(a_{n+1} / a_{n}\right)}{\log \frac{1}{\left(\frac{b_{n}}{a_{n}}\right)^{1 / \log \left(a_{n+1} / a_{n}\right)}-1}}=\infty \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies that $\Gamma$ is weak.
Proof. Consider the doubly connected region $A_{n}^{\prime}=\left(1<|z|<q_{n}\right)-$ $\left[1+h_{n}, q_{n}\right)(n=1,2, \cdots)$, where $0<h_{n}<q_{n}-1$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q_{n}=1$. Map the annulus $1<|z|<q_{n}$ onto $1<|w|<e$ by the quasi-conformal mapping

$$
w=T_{n}(z)=r^{1 / \log q_{n} e^{i \theta}} \quad\left(z=r e^{i v}\right)
$$

Its dilatation equals $1 / \log q_{n}$ provided $n$ is so large that $q_{n}<e$. The image of $A_{n}^{\prime}$ is $A_{n}^{\prime \prime}=(1<|w|<e)-\left[\left(1+h_{n}\right)^{1 / \log q_{n}}, e\right)$. From (I), No. 15 , we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log q_{n} \cdot \bmod A_{n}^{\prime \prime} \leqq \bmod A_{n}^{\prime} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now suppose that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\log \left(1+h_{n}\right)\right) / \log q_{n}$ exists. If

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1+h_{n}\right)^{1 / \log q_{n}}>1
$$

then $\bmod A_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\log \left\{1 /\left[\left(1+h_{n}\right)^{1 / \log q_{n}}-1\right]\right\}$ are bounded and bounded away from zero. Hence the divergence of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log q_{n}}{\log \frac{1}{\left(1+h_{n}\right)^{1 / \log q_{n}}-1}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log q_{n} \cdot \bmod A_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\infty$ and, by (14), that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bmod A_{n}^{\prime}=\infty$. If $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1+h_{n}\right)^{1 / \log q_{n}}=1$ we obtain by Lemma 2

$$
\log A_{n}^{\prime \prime} \sim \frac{\pi^{2}}{2 \log \frac{1}{\left(1+h_{n}\right)^{1 / \log q_{n}}-1}} \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)
$$

Therefore, the divergence of (16) again implies that of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bmod A_{n}^{\prime}$.

In the given region, consider $A_{n}=\left(a_{n}<|z|<a_{n+1}\right)-\left[b_{n}, a_{n+1}\right)$. It is conformally equivalent to the above $A_{n}^{\prime}$ for $1+h_{n}=b_{n} / a_{n}$ and $q_{n}=$ $a_{n+1} / a_{n}$. Therefore, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bmod A_{n}=\infty$ and $\Gamma$ is weak.

This criterion is applicable to Example 2.
Example 5. $a_{n}=n, b_{n}=n+e^{-n}$. In this case (7) converges and (9) diverges, so that we cannot decide by Theorem 7. Since $a_{n+1} / a_{n}$ is not bounded away from zero, we cannot apply Theorem 8. ${ }^{4}$ For every subsequence such that $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} a_{n_{i+1}} / a_{n_{i}}>1$, (12) converges, and we cannot use Theorem $8^{\prime}$. (14) also converges and, therefore 9 is inapplicable. We have not been able to decide whether $\Gamma$ is weak or unstable. In general, for $a_{n}=n, b_{n}=n+e^{-n^{\alpha}}(\alpha>0), \Gamma$ is unstable for $\alpha>1$ but it is unknown if it remains true for $0<\alpha \leqq 1$.

## 13. A generalization.

29. Consider the case where the intervals are distributed on the whole real axis. We treat again the simplest case.

Problem. Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the sequence of positive numbers such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0<b_{n-1} & \leqq a_{n}<b_{n} \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n} & =\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the region

$$
\tilde{D}=(|z|<\infty)-\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[b_{n-1}, a_{n}\right]-\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[-a_{n},-b_{n-1}\right]
$$

Under what condition is $\tilde{\Gamma}=\{\infty\}$ a weak boundary component of $\tilde{D}$ ?
This problem can be reduced to the case which we discussed in the previous section. More precisely, let $\Gamma=\{\infty\}$ be a boundary component of

$$
D=(|z|<\infty)-\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[b_{n-1}, a_{n}\right] ;
$$

then we have
Theorem 10. $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is weak if and only if $\Gamma$ weak.
Proof. If $\Gamma$ is unstable, then, since $\tilde{D} \subset D, \tilde{\Gamma}$ is unstable by the definition.

[^3]Suppose that $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is unstable. Since weakness is a boundary property (No. 18), we may assume without loss of generality that $b_{0}>1$. By Theorem $2, \lambda\{\gamma\}>0$ where $\{\gamma\}$ is the family of curves in $\tilde{D}-(|z| \leqq 1)$ separating $\tilde{\Gamma}$ from $|z|=1$. Let $\left\{\gamma_{1}\right\}$ be the family consisting of curves in the upper half of $\tilde{D}-(|z| \leqq 1)$ connecting $(1, \infty)-\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[b_{n-1}, a_{n}\right]$ with $(-\infty,-1)-\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[-a_{n},-b_{n-1}\right]$. Let $\left\{\gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right\}$ be its subfamily consisting of curves whose end points are symmetric with respect to the origin. Then, by (VIII), No. 9,

$$
\lambda\left\{\gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right\} \geqq \lambda\left(\gamma_{1}\right\}=\lambda\{\gamma\} / 2>0
$$

Consider the region $\Delta=(|\zeta|<\infty)-\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[b_{n=1}^{2}, a_{n}^{2}\right]$ and its boundary component $(\zeta=\infty)$. Let $\left\{\gamma^{*}\right\}$ be the family of curves in $\Delta-(|\zeta| \leqq 1)$ separating $\infty$ from $|\zeta| \leqq 1$. By making use of the mapping $\zeta=z^{2}$, we can immediately see that $\lambda\left\{\gamma^{*}\right\}=\lambda\left\{\gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right\}$ and, therefore, $(\zeta=\infty)$ is an unstable boundary component of $\Delta$.

The mapping

$$
\zeta=T(z)=r^{2} e^{i \theta} \quad\left(z=r e^{i \theta}\right)
$$

is quasi-conformal and maps $D$ onto $\Delta, z=\infty$ onto $\zeta=\infty$. Since weakness is preserved under quasi-conformal mappings (No. 18), $\Gamma$ is unstable.

Remark. Using the same method, we can also prove Theorem 10 when the intervals are distributed on $k$ half-lines $r e^{i 2 \pi \nu / k}(0 \leqq r<\infty)$, $\nu=0,1, \cdots, k$.

## 14. Criteria for arbitrary regions.

30. Let $D$ be a plane region such that $\Gamma=\{\infty\}$ is a boundary component. If $D$ is contained in another region discussed in preceding sections and $\{\infty\}$ is its unstable boundary component, then, by the definition of instability, $\Gamma$ is an unstable boundary component of $D$.

If such a condition is not satisfied, the following criterion may be applicable. It is a simple generalization of (ii) of Theorem 7, and we omit the proof.

Theorem 11. Let $D$ be a region such that $0 \in D$ and $\Gamma=\{\infty\}$ is a boundary component. $\Gamma$ is unstable if there exists a sequence $\left\{C_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of components of $\partial D-\Gamma$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) For a doubly connected region $A \subset D$ separating 0 from $\infty$, there exists a number $n$ such that $A$ separates $C_{n}$ from $C_{n+1}$.
(ii) For every $n$, there exist points $a_{n} \in C_{n}$ and $b_{n} \in C_{n+1}$ such that $\left|a_{n}-b_{n}\right|=\operatorname{dist}\left(C_{n}, C_{n+1}\right)$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_{n}}{a_{n}}=1
$$

and

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\left|\left(b_{n} \mid a_{n}\right)-1\right|}}<\infty .
$$

31. This criterion is not a necessary condition for instability. This is apparent from the following

Example 6. Consider the closed sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{n}=\left\{z ; n^{2}+1 \leqq|z| \leqq(n+1)^{2},\right. & \left.|\arg z| \leqq \pi-\varepsilon_{n}\right\} \\
0<\varepsilon_{n}<\pi, & n=1,2, \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\varepsilon_{n}(n=1,2, \cdots)$ are taken sufficiently small, then $\Gamma=\{\infty\}$ is an unstable boundary component of $D=(|z|<\infty)-\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n}$. It does not satisfy the assumption of Theorem 11.

Proof. For an arbitrary subsequence $\left\{C_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of $\left\{E_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and every choice of $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$,

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\log \frac{1}{\left|\left(b_{n} \mid a_{n}\right)-1\right|}} \geqq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\log n}=\infty
$$

Therefore, the assumption of Theorem 11 is not satisfied.
In order to show the instability of $\Gamma$, consider the following cross cuts of $D$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{n}: \Re z=0,(n+1)^{2} \leqq \Im z \leqq(n+1)^{2}+1 \\
& \beta_{n}:|z|=(n+1)^{2},|\arg z| \leqq \pi-\varepsilon_{n} \\
& \beta_{n}^{\prime}:|z|=(n+1)^{2}+1,|\arg z| \leqq \pi-\varepsilon_{n+1} \\
& \quad(n=1,2, \cdots)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\delta_{n}$ be the extremal distance between $\alpha_{n}$ and $\beta_{n} \cup \beta_{n}^{\prime}$ with respect to the region $(n+1)^{2}<|z|<(n+1)^{2}+1$. It is possible to take $\varepsilon_{n}$ and $\varepsilon_{n+1}$ so small that $\delta_{n}>n^{2}(n=1,2, \cdots)$. Let $\{\gamma\}_{n}$ be the family consisting of closed curves in $D-(|z| \leqq 1)$ separating $\Gamma$ from $|z| \leqq 1$ and passing through $\alpha_{n}$. Let $\left\{\gamma_{1}\right\}_{n} \subset\{\gamma\}_{n}$ be the subfamily of curves contained in $(n+1)^{2}<|z|<(n+1)^{2}+1$ and put $\left\{\gamma_{2}\right\}_{n}=\{\gamma\}_{n}-\left\{\gamma_{1}\right\}_{n}$. By (VI), No. 9,

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda\{\gamma\}_{n}} \leqq \frac{1}{\lambda\left\{\gamma_{1}\right\}_{n}}+\frac{1}{\lambda\left\{\gamma_{2}\right\}_{n}}
$$

Since $n^{2}<\delta_{n} \leqq \lambda\left\{\gamma_{2}\right\}_{n}$ and $2 \pi / \lambda\left\{\gamma_{1}\right\}_{n}=\log \left(1+1 /(n+1)^{2}\right)$, we get

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda\{\gamma\}_{n}} \leqq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \log \left(1+\frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{n^{2}}
$$

if $n$ is sufficiently large, and, therefore, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1 / \lambda\{\gamma\}_{n}$ converges.
To apply Theorem 3 , take $A_{1}, A_{2}, \cdots, A_{k}$. Then evidently

$$
\sum_{\nu=1}^{k} \bmod A_{\nu} \leqq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1 / \lambda\{\gamma\}_{n}<\infty
$$

and we conclude that $\Gamma$ is unstable.
32. Finally, for the sake of completeness, we shall present a wellknown sufficient condition for weakness. For a bounded doubly connected region $A$, we have that $\bmod A \geqq \log (1+(\pi d / 4 l))$. Here $d$ is the distance between the components of $\partial A$ and $l$ is the infimum of the lengths of closed curves which separate the components of $\partial A$ and whose distance from $\partial A$ is $\geqq d / 2$ (Sario [15], Meschkowsky [11]). Therefore we get immediately from Theorem 3 the following result (Meschkowsky [11], Savage [19]):

Theorem 12. Let $D$ be a plane region containing the point $z=0$ and such that $\Gamma=\{\infty\}$ is a boundary component. Suppose there exists $a$ sequence of doubly connected regions $A_{n} \subset D-(|z| \leqq \varepsilon)(n=1,2, \cdots)$ with the following properties:
(i) The $A_{n}$ are mutually disjoint,
(ii) $A_{n}$ separates $\Gamma$ from $|z| \leqq \varepsilon(n=1,2, \cdots)$ and also separates $A_{n-1}$ from $A_{n+1}(n=2,3, \cdots)$,
(iii)

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{n} / l_{n}=\infty
$$

Then $\Gamma$ is a weak boundary component of $D$.
On applying this theorem, we obtain
Example 7 (Denneberg [5]). Let $D$ be a region such that $\Gamma=\{\infty\}$ is the only accumulating boundary component. If there exist numbers $\alpha>0$ and $\beta<\infty$ such that the distance between every pair components of $\partial D-\Gamma$ is $\geqq \alpha$ and the diameter of every component of $\partial D-\Gamma$ is $\leqq \beta$, then $\Gamma$ is weak.

Example 8 (Cf. Wagner [24]). Let © (3) be group of transforma-
tions $z^{\prime}=z+m \omega+n \omega^{\prime}(m, n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots)$ and let $E_{0}$ be a closed set contained in the interior of the fundamental parallelogram of © Then $\Gamma=\{\infty\}$ is a weak boundary component of the region $D=$ $(|z|<\infty)-\bigcup_{T \in \mathscr{S}} T\left(E_{0}\right)$.

## V. Criteria for Strength and Instability

In this chapter we shall discuss Problem $B$, No. 4. For simplicity we mean by a boundary continuum a boundary component of a region which is a continuum containing more than one point.

## 15. Strong boundary components.

33. If $\Gamma$ is an isolated boundary continuum of $D$, i.e., if there exists an open set $U$ such that $\Gamma \subset U$ and $U \cap(\partial D-\Gamma)=\phi$, then $\Gamma$ is evidently strong. More generally,

Theorem 13. A boundary continuum $\Gamma$ of a region $D$ is strong if there exists $a$ disk $U$ such that $U \cap \Gamma \neq \phi$ and $U \cap(\partial D-\Gamma)=\phi$.

This theorem is also almost trivial. To prove it rigorously, we shall use the following

Lemma 3. Let $\Delta$ be a simply connected region which is a proper subset of $(|\zeta|<1)$. Map $\Delta$ conformally onto the upper half-plane. Then the image $E$ of $\overline{\partial \Delta \cap(|\zeta|<1)}$ is a set which does not belong to the class $N_{D} .{ }^{5)}$

The proof is easy and we omit it. It may appear plausible that $E$ contains an interval. That this is however not so has been remarked by Koebe (see Radó [13], p. 2, Bemerkung). We can even see that the condition of Lemma 3 is necessary and sufficient.

Proof of Theorem 13. Map a component $\Delta$ of $U \cap D$ onto the upper half-plane by $\varphi$ and let $E$ be the image of $\Gamma \cap \bar{\Delta}$. By Lemma $3 E \notin N_{D}$ and, therefore, $E$ is of positive measure (Ahlfors and Beurling [2]). If $\Gamma$ is unstable, a univalent function $f(z)$ transforms $\Gamma$ to a point. Therefore, the univalent function $f \circ \rho$ on the upper half-plane takes a constant boundary value on $E$, contrary to the well-known theorem of F . and M. Riesz.

Remark 1. In this case, $R(\Gamma)<\infty$ and we can also use Theorem 1 to conclude that $\Gamma$ is strong. To prove the finiteness of $R(\Gamma)$, we apply Theorem 5. Take a component $V$ of $U \cap D$. It is easy to find

[^4]a simply connected region $\Delta$ such that $\Delta \subset D, V \subset \Lambda$ and $(|z| \leqq \varepsilon) \subset \Delta$. Since the set $E \notin N_{D}$ is of positive capacity (Ahlfors and Beurling [2]), $\lambda\{\gamma\}_{\varepsilon}<\infty$ by Lemma 3 and (XI), No. 10.

Remark 2. Because of this theorem, we may consider from now on only the case where every point of $\Gamma$ is an accumulation point of $\partial D-\Gamma$.
34. We shall now give two other kinds of examples of strong boundary components which do not satisfy the condition of Theorem 13.

Example 7. Let $D$ be a radial slit disc $|z|<a$ in the sense of No. 3 and let $\Gamma=(|z|=a)$. If the arguments of the slits form a set of measure $\mu$ less than $2 \pi$, then $R(\Gamma)<\infty$ and, consequently, $\Gamma$ is strong.

In fact, we can easily obtain the estimate

$$
\lambda\{\gamma\}_{\varepsilon} \leqq\{\log (\alpha / \varepsilon)\} /(2 \pi-\mu)<\infty .
$$

35. Example 8. Let $\left\{c_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of numbers such that $0<c_{n} \leqq \pi / 2^{n+1}$. Put $r_{n}=1-1 /(n+1)$ and let

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{n}^{k}=\left\{z ;|z|=r_{n}, \frac{\pi(k-1)}{2^{n}}+c_{n} \leqq \arg z \leqq \frac{\pi k}{2^{n}}-c_{n}\right\} \\
\left(k=1,2, \cdots, 2^{n+1} ; n=1,2, \cdots\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

$\Gamma=(|z|=1)$ is a boundary continuum of the circular slit disc $D=$ $(|z|<1)-\bigcup_{n, k} s_{n}^{k}$. If $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{n} 2^{n}>0$, then $R(\Gamma)<\infty$ and therefore, $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is strong.

Proof. Clearly it is sufficient to give the proof for $c_{n} 2^{n}=\delta>0$. For simplicity, we choose $\delta=\pi / 4$, i.e., $c_{n}=\pi / 2^{n+2}$. In order to show the finiteness of $R(\Gamma)$, we map $D$ quasi-conformally onto the radial slit $\operatorname{disc} \Delta=(|w|<1)-\bigcup_{n, k} \sigma_{n}^{k}$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{n}^{k}=\left\{w ; r_{n} e^{-c_{n} / 2} \leqq|w| \leqq r_{n} e^{c_{n} / 2}, \text { arg } w=\frac{\pi(2 k-1)}{2^{n+1}}\right\} \\
\left(k=1,2, \cdots, 2^{n+1} ; n=1,2, \cdots\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Consider the doubly connected regions

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{z}=\{z & \left.-1<\Re z<1,-\frac{1}{2}<\mathfrak{J} z<\frac{1}{2}\right\} \\
& -\left\{z ;-\frac{1}{2} \leqq \Re z \leqq \frac{1}{2}, \mathfrak{J} z=0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{w}=\{w ; & \left.-1<\mathfrak{R} w<1,-\frac{1}{2}<\Im w<\frac{1}{2}\right\} \\
& -\left\{w ; \mathfrak{R} w=0,-\frac{1}{4} \leqq \Im w \leqq \frac{1}{4}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is not difficult to map $A_{z}$ quasi-conformally onto $A_{w}$ by a function which is of class $C^{1}$ in $A_{z}$ and is the identity mapping on the outer periphery of $A_{z}$.

In our region $D$, consider the quadrilaterals

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q_{n}^{k}=\left\{z ; r_{n} e^{-c_{n}}<|z|<r_{n} e^{c_{n}}, \frac{\pi(k-1)}{2^{n}}<\arg z<\frac{\pi k}{2^{n}}\right\} \\
\left(k=1,2, \cdots, 2^{n+1} ; n=1,2, \cdots\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

They are mutually disjoint and all $Q_{n}^{k}-s_{n}^{k}$ and $Q_{n}^{k}-\sigma_{n}^{k}$ are conformally equivalent to $A_{z}$ and $A_{w}$, respectively. Therefore, we can contruct the mapping $w=T_{n}^{k}(z)$ of $Q_{n}^{k}-s_{n}^{k}$ onto $Q_{n}^{k}-\sigma_{n}^{k}$ which is the identity mapping on $\partial Q_{n}^{k}$ and whose maximal dilatation $K$ depends neither on $k$ nor on $n$. Then

$$
w=T(z)= \begin{cases}T_{n}^{k}(z) & \text { in } Q_{n}^{k}-s_{n}^{k}\left(k=1,2, \cdots, 2^{n+1} ; n=1,2, \cdots\right) \\ z & \text { in } D-\bigcup_{n, k} Q_{n}^{k}\end{cases}
$$

is a qussi-conformal mapping of $D$ onto $\Delta$ such that $T(T)=(|w|=1)=\Gamma^{\prime}$.
Since $\Delta$ belongs to the case of Example 7, $R\left(\Gamma^{\prime} ; \Delta\right)<\infty$, and, by Theorem 5, $\lambda\left\{\gamma^{\prime}\right\}_{\varepsilon}<\infty$. Here $\gamma^{\prime}$ is a rectifiable curve in $\Delta-(|w| \leqq \varepsilon)$ connecting $|w|=\varepsilon$ with $\Gamma^{\prime}$. It is furthermore assumed that $\gamma^{\prime}$ is a union of a countable number of analytic arcs clustering nowhere in $\Delta$ (cf. Remark, No. 15). On $D$, we have the corresponding family $\{\gamma\}$ : and, by (IV), No. 15, $\lambda\{\gamma\}_{\mathrm{\varepsilon}} \leqq K \lambda\left\{\gamma^{\prime}\right\}_{\varepsilon}<\infty$. Therefore, by Theorem 5, $R(\Gamma)<\infty$ and $\Gamma$ is strong.
35. We continue to consider Example 8. If $c_{n}$ decreases sufficiently fast, then $R(\Gamma)=\infty$. In fact, let $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{\varepsilon}$ be the subfamily of $\{\gamma\}_{\varepsilon}$ which consists of curves passing through the arc $\left\{z ; z=r_{n},|\arg z| \leqq c_{n}\right\}$. By (VI), No. 9, $\lambda\{\gamma\}_{\varepsilon} \geqq \lambda\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{\varepsilon} / 2^{n+1}$ and, By Lemma 1, No. 13,

$$
\lambda\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}_{\varepsilon} \sim \frac{1}{2 \pi} \log \frac{1}{c_{n}} \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)
$$

For this reason $R\left(I^{\prime}\right)=\infty$ if, for instance, $c_{n}=\exp \left(-2^{2 n}\right)$. However, it is unknown in this case whether $\Gamma$ is strong or unstable.

## 16. Unstable boundary continua.

37. As in No. 21, let $\left\{\Omega_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a defining sequence of $\Gamma$ and let $0 \in G_{n}=D-\Omega_{n} \uparrow D$. Consider the function $w=f_{n}(z)$ maximizing the functional $m(f)$ in $\mathfrak{F}_{F_{n}}$ on $G_{n}$ (No. 19). We may assume that $\left\{f_{n}(z)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to a univalent function $w=f(z)$.

In the following case, $R(\Gamma)=\infty$ implies that $f(\Gamma)=\{\infty\}$ :

Theorem 14. Let $D$ be a region containing $z=0$ and let $\Gamma$ be a boundary continum. Suppose that
(i) $D$ is symmetric with respect to the lines

$$
l_{\nu}: r e^{\nu \pi / 2 k}(-\infty<r<\infty), \nu=1,2, \cdots, 2^{k}
$$

for some integer $k \geqq 0$, and
(ii) every component of $\partial D-\Gamma$ intersects at least one $l_{\nu}$.

Then $\Gamma$ is strong if and only if $R(\Gamma)<\infty$.
Proof. We may assume that each $G_{n}$ is symmetric with respect to all the $l_{\nu}$. By the uniqueness of $f_{n}(z)$ (No. 19), we can immediately see that $f_{n}(z)$ and, a fortiori, $f(D)$ are symmetric about these lines. As has been shown by Strebel [22], $f(\partial D-\Gamma)$ consists of radial segments. By the assumption $f(\partial D-\Gamma)$ is contained in $\bigcup_{\nu=1}^{2 k} l_{2}$.

Now assume that $f(\Gamma) \neq\{\infty\}$. If $f(\Gamma) \subset \bigcup_{\nu=1}^{2^{k}} l_{\nu} \cup\{\infty\}$, then $f(\Gamma) \cap l_{\nu}$ is a line segment which does not meet $\bar{f}(\partial D-\Gamma)$, so that $R(\Gamma)<\infty$ by Remark 1, No. 33. If $f(\Gamma) \not \subset \bigcup_{v=1}^{2 k} l_{\nu} \cup\{\infty\}$ there exists a sector $S$ bounded by two neighboring $l_{\nu}$ 's such that $S \cap f(\Gamma)$ does not intersect $f(\partial D-\Gamma)$ and we have $R(\Gamma)<\infty$. Consequently, the strength of $\Gamma$ implies that $R(\Gamma)<\infty$.
38. We can find many examples of unstable boundary continua belonging to this category, e.g., as follows:

Example 9. Consider the region

$$
D=(|z| \leqq \infty)-\Gamma-\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(s_{k}^{+} \cup s_{k}^{-} \cup_{\sigma_{k}^{+}}^{+} \cup \overline{\sigma_{k}}\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma & =\{z ;-1 \leqq \Re z \leqq 1, \Im z=0\}, \\
s_{k}^{+} & =\left\{z ; 1+\frac{1}{2 k+1} \leqq \Re z \leqq 1+\frac{1}{2 k}, \Im z=0\right\}, \\
s_{k}^{-} & =\left\{z ;-1-\frac{1}{2 k} \leqq \Re z \leqq-1-\frac{1}{2 k+1}, \Im z=0\right\}, \\
\sigma_{k}^{ \pm} & =\left\{z ;-1 \leqq \Re z \leqq 1, \Im z=\frac{ \pm 1}{k}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since every point on $\Gamma$, except $\pm 1$, is inaccessible, $R(\Gamma)=\infty$ by ( $X^{\prime}$ ), No. 10. From this and from Theorem 14, we infer that $\Gamma$ is an unstable boundary continuum of $D$.
39. Meschkowsky [11] has proved that a region satisfying certain
metric conditions can be mapped conformally onto a region bounded by circles or points in such a way that the image of a preassigned boundary continuum is a point. This case is also an example of an unstable boundary continuum.
40. The following example belongs to this category but does not necessarily satisfy Meschkowsky's conditions. Moreover, the function $f(z)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}(z)$ of No. 37 does not transform $\Gamma$ to a point.

Example 10. Let $I=\{z ;-1 \leqq \Re z \leqq 1, \Im z=0\}$ and let

$$
I^{\prime}=\{z ; \Re z=0,-1 \leqq \Im z \leqq 1\}
$$

Choose a sequence $\left\{c_{k} ; k= \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots\right\}$ such that

$$
c_{-k}=-c_{k}, c_{1}>c_{2}>\cdots \downarrow 0
$$

and let

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
s_{k}^{0}: z & =r e^{i c_{k}} & & (1 /|k|!\leqq r \leqq 1), \\
s_{k}^{\pi / 2} & z & =r e^{i\left(c_{k}+\pi / 2\right)} & \\
s_{k}^{\pi}: z & =r e^{i\left(c_{k}+\pi\right)} & & (1 /|k|!\leqq \leqq \leqq \\
s_{k}^{-\pi / 2}: z & =r e^{i\left(c_{k}-\pi / 2\right)} & & (1 /|k|!\leqq r \leqq 1),
\end{array}
$$

where $k= \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$. Then $\Gamma=I \cup I^{\prime}$ is an unstable boundary continuum of the region

$$
D=(|z| \leqq \infty)-\Gamma-\bigcup_{\substack{k=-\infty \\ k \neq 0}}^{\infty}\left(s_{k}^{0} \cup s_{k}^{\pi / 2} \cup s_{k}^{\pi} \cup s_{k}^{-\pi / 2}\right)
$$

In fact, $D$ can be mapped onto a region such that $f(\Gamma)$ is a point and every component of $f(\partial D-\Gamma)$ is a circle. For the proof, map the region

$$
(|z|) \leqq \infty)-\bigcup_{\substack{k=-=\\ k \neq 0}}^{\infty}\left(s_{k}^{0} \cup s_{k}^{\pi / 2} \cup s_{k}^{\pi} \cup s_{k}^{-\pi / 2}\right)
$$

conformally onto a region bounded by $8 n$ circles; we may require that the mapping function $w=f^{(n)}(z)$ has the expansion $z+b_{n} / z+\cdots$ near $z=\infty(n=1,2, \cdots)$. The existence and the uniquess of such a mapping are well known. A suitable subsequence of $\left\{f^{(n)}(z)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to a univalent function $w=f(z)$. We can easily prove that every component of $f(\partial D-\Gamma)$ is a circle (see, e.g., Meschkowsky [11]). In what follows we shall show that $f(\Gamma)=\{0\}$.

First we remark that $R(\Gamma)=\infty$, because every point on $\Gamma$, except $0, \pm 1, \pm i$, is inaccessible (cf. ( $X^{\prime}$ ), No. 10). Second, $D$ and, therefore,
$f(D)$ are symmetric with respect to the following four lines: $l_{0}=$ (real axis), $l_{\pi / 4}=(\Re z=\Im z), l_{\pi / 2}=$ (imaginary axis), and $l_{-\pi / 4}=(\Re z=-\Im z)$.

The component $f(\Gamma)^{*}$ of $f(D)^{c}$ corresponding to $f(\Gamma)$ is a compact connected set which contains the point $w=0$ and is symmetric about these four lines.

The component $f\left(s_{k}^{\beta}\right)^{*}$ of $D^{c}(\beta=0, \pm \pi / 2, \pi ; k= \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots)$ is a disk, which we denote by

$$
\Delta_{k}^{\beta}:\left|w-a_{k}^{\beta}\right| \leqq \rho_{k} .
$$

The radius $\rho_{k}$ does not depend on $\beta$ because of the symmetry. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{k}=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

in fact, all the $\Delta_{k}^{\beta}$ cluster to $f(\Gamma)^{*}$, so that the sum $8 \pi \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_{k}^{2}$ of their areas converges.

Consider a quadrilateral

$$
Q_{k}=\left\{z ; \frac{1}{k!}<|z|<\frac{1}{(k-1)!}, c_{k}<\arg z<\frac{\pi}{2}-c_{k}\right\},
$$

which connects $s_{k}^{0}$ with $s_{-k}^{\pi / 2}(k=1,2, \cdots)$. The extremal distance between $s_{k}^{0}$ and $s_{-k}^{\pi / 2}$ with respect to $D$ does not exceed

$$
\bmod Q_{k}=\frac{(\pi / 2)-2 c_{k}}{\log k}
$$

Let $L_{k}$ be the infimum of lengths of curves in $f(D)$ connecting $\Delta_{k}^{0}$ with $\Delta_{-k}^{\pi / 2}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{L_{k}^{2}}{\mu U} \leqq \frac{(\pi / 2)-2 c_{k}}{\log k} \rightarrow 0 \quad(k \rightarrow \infty) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu U$ expresses the area of a bounded open set $U$ containing $f\left(\Gamma^{\circ}\right)^{*}$. For this reason and by virtue of (17) and (18), we have

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left|a_{k}^{0}-a_{-k}^{\pi / 2}\right| \leqq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(L_{k}+2 \rho_{k}\right)=0
$$

It follows, by symmetry, that $\left\{a_{k}^{0}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{a_{-k}^{\pi / 2}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ cluster to $l_{\pi / 4}$ in the first quadrant. From this and again from the symmetry, we see that the set $H$ of all accumulation points of $a_{k}^{\beta}(\beta=0, \pm \pi / 2, \pi ; k= \pm 1$, $\pm 2, \cdots)$ is contained in $l_{\pi / 4} \cup l_{-\pi / 4}$. Evidently it is symmetric about $l_{0}$ and $l_{\pi / 2}$, and $H \subset f(\Gamma)^{*}$.

Next we shall show that $H=\{0\}$. Suppose that $H$ contains a point $w_{0}=p e^{i \pi / 4}(p>0)$. Then there must exist a point $q e^{i \pi / 4} \in H(0 \leqq q<p)$. For otherwise $H$ would consist of four points : $H=\left\{p e^{i \theta} ; \theta= \pm \pi / 4, \pm 3 \pi / 4\right\}$.

Then all but a finite number of components of $f(\partial D-\Gamma)$ in the first quadrant would be contained in $\left|w-p e^{i \pi / 4}\right|<p / 4$. Since $w_{0}$ and 0 are contained in $f(\Gamma)^{*}$ and $f(\Gamma)^{*}$ is a continuum, $f(\Gamma)$ would have a "free" subset as in Theorem 13. But the reasoning of Remark 1, No. 33, shows that this property of $f(\Gamma)$ contradicts the fact that $R(\Gamma)=\infty$ and, therefore, $q e^{i \pi / 4} \in H$ exists. Take a subsequence $\left\{k_{j}\right\} \subset\{k\}$ such that

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} a_{k_{j}}^{0}=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} a_{-k_{j}}^{\pi / 2}=q e^{i \pi / 4} .
$$

Then

$$
L_{k_{j}}+2 \rho_{k_{j}} \geqq \frac{p-q}{2}>0
$$

for sufficiently great $j$, contrary to (17) and (18). Consequently, $w_{0}$ does not exist and $H=\{0\}$.

Finally, if $f(\Gamma)^{*} \supsetneqq H$, then $f(\Gamma)$ would again have a "free" subset, contrary to the fact that $R(\Gamma)=\infty$. We conclude that $f(\Gamma)^{*}=\{0\}$.
41. Transform the region $D$ by $\zeta=1 / z$ and, for simplicity, denote the image again by $D$. For the sequence $G_{n} \uparrow D$ of No. 37, we take

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{n}=\left(|z|<n!+c_{n+1}\right) & \cap D \\
-\bigcup_{n=1}^{3}\left\{z ; 1-c_{n+1}\right. & \leqq|z|, \frac{h \pi}{2}-\frac{c_{n}+c_{n+1}}{2} \leqq \arg z \\
& \left.\leqq \frac{h \pi}{2}+\frac{c_{n}+c_{n+1}}{2}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

$n=1,2, \cdots$, and consider the extremal function $f_{n}(z)$. We shall show:
If $c_{k}=-c_{-k}$ decreases sufficiently fast (e. g., $c_{k}=e^{-k!}$ ), then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}(z)=z$ uniformly on every compact set in $D$.

In order to prove this, we estimate the Dirichlet integral of $\log \left|f_{n}(z) / z\right|$ over $\Delta=(|z| \leqq 1 / 2)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{\Lambda}\left(\log \left|f_{n}(z)\right|-\log |z|\right) \leqq D_{G_{n}}\left(\log \left|f_{n}(z)\right|-\log |z|\right) \\
= & \int_{\partial G_{n}}\left(\log \left|f_{n}\right| \cdot d \arg f_{n}-\log |z| \cdot d \arg f_{n}\right. \\
& \left.-\log \left|f_{n}\right| \cdot d \arg z+\log |z| \cdot d \arg z\right) \\
= & \int_{\partial \sigma_{n}}\left(\log \left|f_{n}\right| \cdot d \arg f_{n}-2 \log \left|f_{n}\right| \cdot d \arg z\right. \\
& +\log |z| \cdot d \arg z) \\
= & 2 \pi \log R\left(\Gamma_{n} ; G_{n}\right)-2 \log R\left(\Gamma_{n} ; G_{n}\right) \int_{F_{n}} d \arg z \\
& +\int_{\Gamma_{n}} \log |z| d \arg z \leqq 2 \pi\left\{\log n!-\log R\left(\Gamma_{n} ; G_{n}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To estimate the last term, we shall use the relation $\log R\left(\Gamma_{n} ; G_{n}\right)=$ $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(\log \varepsilon+2 \pi \lambda\{\gamma\}_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}\right)$, where the sequence is increasing (No. 22). Here $\{\gamma\}_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}$ is the family of curves in $G_{n}-(|z| \leqq \varepsilon)$ connecting $\Gamma_{n}$ with $|z|=\varepsilon$. We take the closed disks

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{n}^{h}:\left|z-e^{i \pi \hbar / 2}\right| \leqq c_{n} \\
& \Delta_{n}^{\prime h}:\left|z-n!e^{i \pi h / 2}\right| \leqq n!c_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

$h=0,1,2,3 ; n=1,2, \cdots$. Let $\left\{\gamma_{1}\right\}_{\varepsilon}^{(n)} \subset\{\gamma\}_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}$ be the family of curves connecting $|z|=\varepsilon$ with $\bigcup_{n, n} \Delta_{n}^{h} \cup \Delta_{n}^{\prime h}$ and put $\left\{\gamma_{2}\right\}_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}=\{\gamma\}_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}--\left\{\gamma_{1}\right\}_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}$. By (VI), No. 9,

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda\{\gamma\}_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}} \leqq \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}+\frac{1}{\lambda_{2}} \quad\left(\lambda_{\nu}=\lambda\left\{\gamma_{\nu}\right\}_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}, \nu=1,2\right)
$$

or

$$
\lambda\{\gamma\}_{\varepsilon}^{(n)} \geqq \lambda_{2}-\frac{\lambda_{2}^{2}}{\lambda_{1}}
$$

It is evident that

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi-8 c_{n}} \log \frac{n!+c_{n}}{\varepsilon} \geqq \lambda_{2} \geqq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \log \frac{n!}{\varepsilon}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\log R\left(\Gamma_{n} ; G_{n}\right) \geqq \log \varepsilon+2 \pi \lambda\{\gamma\}_{\varepsilon}^{(n)} \geqq \log n!-2 \frac{\lambda_{2}^{2}}{\lambda_{1}},
$$

whence

$$
D_{\Delta}\left(\log \left|f_{n}(z)\right|-\log |z|\right) \leqq 4 \pi^{2} \frac{\lambda_{2}^{2}}{\lambda_{1}}
$$

If $c_{n}$ is taken sufficiently small, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{2}^{2} / \lambda_{1}=0$. For instance, if $c_{n}=e^{-n!}$, we have $\lambda_{1} \sim(8 \cdot n!) / \pi(n \rightarrow \infty)$ by Lemma 1 , No. 13, and $\lambda_{2}^{2} / \lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0$. In such a case, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{\Delta}\left(\log \left|f_{n}(z)\right|-\log |z|\right)=0$ and we conclude that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}(z)=z$ uniformly on each compact set in $D$.

Consequently $R(\Gamma)=\infty$ for our region, but $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}(z)$ does not transform $\Gamma$ to a point.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ His definition is different from ours, but his proofs remain valid.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ This restriction is satisfied in our subsequent applications. It is perhaps superfluous. However, the author has not succeeded in furnishing the proof without it.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ The author is indebted to Professor R. Redheffer for the argument that follows in this example.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ A compact set $E$ is said to belong to the class $N_{D}$ if $E^{c}$ does not admit a function with a finite Dirichlet integral.

