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1. Introduction. Let $X$ be a non-empty set and $\mathscr{S}$ be a $\sigma$-algebra of subsets of $X$. Consider the infinite product space $\Omega=\prod_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} X_{n}$ where $X_{n}=X$ for $n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$ and the infinite product $\sigma$-algebra $\mathscr{F}=\prod_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathscr{S}_{n}$ where $\mathscr{S}_{n}=\mathscr{S}^{\prime}$ for $n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$. Elements of $\Omega$ are bilateral infinite sequences $\left\{\cdots, x_{-1}, x_{0}, x_{1}, \cdots\right\}$ with $x_{n} \in X$. Let us denote the elements of $\Omega$ by $\omega$. If $\omega=\left\{\cdots, x_{-1}, x_{0}, x_{1}, \cdots\right\} x_{n}$ is called the $n$th coordinate of $\omega$ and shall be considered as a function on $\Omega$ to $X$. Let $T$ be the shift transformation on $\Omega$ to $\Omega$ : the $n$th coordinate of $T \omega$ is equal to the $n+1$ th coordinate of $\omega$. For any function $g$ on $\Omega, T g$ is the function defined by $T g(\omega)=g(T \omega)$ so that $T x_{n}=x_{n+1}$. We shall consider two probability measures $\mu, \nu$ defined on $\mathscr{F}_{\text {. }}$ Let $\Omega_{n}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$ where $X_{i}=X, \quad i=1,2, \cdots, n$ and $\mathscr{F}_{n}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathscr{S}_{i}$ where $\mathscr{S}_{i}=\mathscr{S}_{,}, i=$ $1,2, \cdots, n$. Then $\Omega_{1}=X$ and $\mathscr{F}_{1}=\mathscr{S}$. Let $\mathscr{F}_{m, n}, m \leqq n, n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$, be the $\sigma$-algebra of subsets of $\Omega$ consisting of sets of the form

$$
\left[\omega=\left\{\cdots, x_{-1}, x_{0}, x_{1}, \cdots\right\}:\left(x_{m}, x_{m+1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \in E\right]
$$

where $E \in \mathscr{F}_{n-m+1}$. Let $\mathscr{F}_{-\infty, n}$ be the $\sigma$-algebra generated by $\bigcup_{m=-1}^{-\infty} \mathscr{F}_{m, n}$. Let $\mu_{m, n}, \nu_{m, n}$ be the contractions of $\mu, \nu$, respectively, to $\mathscr{F}_{m, n}$ and $\mu_{-\infty, n}$, $\nu_{-\infty, n}$ be the contractions of $\mu, \nu$, respectively, to $\mathscr{F}_{-\infty, n}$. Throughout this paper $\nu_{m, n}$ is assumed to be absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu_{m, n}, \nu_{m, n} \ll \mu_{m, n}$, for $m<n, n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$. Let $f_{m, n}$ be the derivative of $\nu_{m, n}$ with respect to $\mu_{m, n}, f_{m, n}=d \nu_{m, n} / d \mu_{m, n} . f_{m, n}$ is $\mathscr{F}_{m, n}$ measurable and nonnegative. $f_{m, n}$ is also positive with $\nu$ probability one. Hence $1 / f_{m, n}$ is well defined with $\nu$ probability one. A fundamental theorem of Information Theory by Shannon and McMillan may be considered as a theorem concerning the asymptotic properties of $f_{m, n}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The theorem may be stated as follows: Let $X$ be a finite set of $K$ points and $\mathscr{S}$ be the $\sigma$-algebra of all subsets of $X$. Let $\nu$ be any stationary ( $T$ invariant) probability measure on $\mathscr{F}$ and $\mu$ be the equally distributed independent (product) measure. Then $n^{-1} \log f_{1, n}$ converges in $L_{1}(\nu)$. In particular, if $\nu$ is ergodic, the limit function is equal to $\log K-H$ with $\nu$ probability one where $H$ is the entropy of $\nu$ measure [3] [8]. Generalizations to arbitrary $X, \mathscr{S}$ were first studied by A. Pérez. He introduced an $A_{\mu}$ condition on $\nu$ as follows. $\nu$ is said to satisfy $A_{\mu}$ condition if $\nu_{-\infty, n}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\nu_{-\infty, 0}, \mu_{1, n}$ for $n=1,2, \cdots$. He proved the following theorem. If $\nu, \mu$ are stationary and $\mu$ is the product (independent) measure on $\mathscr{F}$ and if

[^0](a) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \int \log f_{1, n} d \nu$ exists and is finite,
(b) $\nu$ satisfies condition $A_{\mu}$, then $\left\{n^{-1} \log f_{1, n}\right\}$ converges in $L_{1}(\nu)$ [6]. Later Pérez announced that the theorem remains to be true for any stationary measures $\mu, \nu$ [8]. The present writer proved that for Markovian $\mu, \nu$ with $\nu$ being stationary and $\mu$ having stationary transition probabilities the $\nu$-integrability of $\log f_{1,2}$ implies the $L_{1}(\nu)$ convergence of $\left\{n^{-1} \log f_{1, n}\right\}$. The proof is based on an iteration formula for $f_{1, n}[4]$. In this paper we shall study the case that $\nu$ is stationary and $\mu$ is Markovian with stationary transition probabilities. It shall be proved that the condition
(c) $\int\left(\log f_{1, n}-\log f_{1, n-1}\right) d \nu \leqq M<\infty$ for $n=1,2,3, \cdots$ implies the $L_{1}(\nu)$ convergence of $\left\{n^{-1} \log f_{1, n}\right\}$. In fact the conditions (c) and (a) are equivalent for this case, so that the theorem is a generalization of the theorem of Pérez given in [6]. The proof is conducted along similar lines used by McMillan. The crucial step is proving the $L_{1}(\nu)$ convergence of $\left\{\log f_{-n, 0}-\log f_{-n,-1}\right\}$. The condition (c) is shown to be necessary and sufficient for this convegence.
2. Generalizations of Shannon-McMillan theorem. Let $x, \mathscr{S}, \Omega$, $\mathscr{F}, \Omega_{n}, \mathscr{F}_{n}, \mathscr{F}_{m, n}, \mu_{m, n}, \nu_{m, n}, f_{m, n}$ be as in $I$. Notations for conditional probabilities and conditional expectations relative to one or several random variables will be as in [2], Chapter 1, §7. A probability measure on $\mathscr{F}$ is Markovian if, for any $A \in \mathscr{S}, m<n n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$
$$
P\left[x_{n} \in A \mid x_{m}, \cdots, x_{n-1}\right]=P\left[x_{n} \in A \mid x_{n-1}\right]
$$
with probability one. A Markovian measure is said to have stationary transition probabilities if for any $A \in \mathscr{S}$ and any integer $n$
$$
P\left[x_{n} \in A \mid x_{n-1}\right]=T^{n} P\left[x_{0} \in A \mid x_{-1}\right]
$$
with probability one. In this paper, since we have two probability measures $\mu, \nu$, we need to use subscripts $\mu, \nu$ to indicate conditional probabilities and conditional expectations taken under $\mu, \nu$ respectively. For any $E \subset \Omega, I_{E}$, the indicator of $E$, is the real valued function on $\Omega$ defined by
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{E}(\omega)=1 \quad \text { if } \quad \omega \in E \\
&=0 \quad \text { if } \quad \omega \notin E .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

The log in this paper is the logarithm with base 2.
Lemma 1. Define $\nu_{m, n}^{\prime}$ on $\mathscr{F}_{m, n}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{m, n}^{\prime}(E)=\int P_{\mu}\left[E \mid x_{m}, \cdots, x_{n-1}\right] d \nu \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\nu_{m, n}^{\prime}$ is a probability measure on $\mathscr{F}_{m, n}$ with $\nu_{m, n}^{\prime}(E)=\nu_{m, n}(E)$ for $E \in \mathscr{F}_{m, n-1}$. Furthermore $\nu_{m, n} \ll \nu_{m, n}^{\prime}$ with

$$
d \nu_{m, n} / d \nu_{m, n}^{\prime}=f_{m, n} \mid f_{m, n-1}
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{m, n}^{\prime}(E) & =\int P_{\mu}\left[E \mid x_{m}, \cdots, x_{n-1}\right] d \nu \\
& =\int P_{\mu}\left[E \mid x_{m}, \cdots, x_{n-1}\right] f_{m, n-1} d \mu \\
& =\int E_{\mu}\left[I_{E} f_{m, n-1} \mid x_{m}, \cdots, x_{n-1}\right] d \mu \\
& =\int_{E} f_{m, n-1} d \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\nu_{m, n}^{\prime}$ is a probability measure on $\mathscr{F}_{m, n}$. Furthermore, for $E \in \mathscr{F}_{m, n}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{m, n}(E) & =\int_{E} f_{m, n} d \mu=\int_{E}\left(f_{m, n} \mid f_{m, n-1}\right) f_{m, n-1} d \mu \\
& =\int_{E}\left(f_{m, n} \mid f_{m, n-1}\right) d \nu_{m, n}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\nu_{m, n}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\nu_{m, n}^{\prime}$ and $d \nu_{m, n} / d \nu_{m, n}^{\prime}=$ $f_{m, n} / f_{m, n-1}$.

Theorem 1. If $\nu$ is stationary and $\mu$ is Markovian with stationary transition probabilities then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{m, n} \mid f_{m, n-1}=T^{n}\left(f_{m-n, 0} \mid f_{m-n,-1}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\nu$ probability one for all $m<n, n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$.
Proof. If $\mu$ is Markovian and has stationary transition probabilities then for any $A \in \mathscr{S}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{\mu}\left[x_{n} \in A \mid x_{m}, \cdots, x_{n-1}\right] & =P_{\mu}\left[x_{n} \in A \mid x_{n-1}\right] \\
& =T^{n} P_{\mu}\left[x_{0} \in A \mid x_{-1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\mu$ probability one and, therefore, also with $\nu$ probability one. Hence for any $A \in \mathscr{S}, B \in \mathscr{F}_{n-m}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{m, n}^{\prime}\left[x_{n}\right. & \left.\in A,\left(x_{m}, \cdots, x_{n-1}\right) \in B\right] \\
& =\int_{\left[\left(x_{m}, \cdots, x_{n-1}\right) \in B\right]} P_{\mu}\left[x_{n} \in A \mid x_{m}, \cdots, x_{n-1}\right] d \nu \\
& =\int_{\left[\left(x_{m}, \cdots, x_{n-1} \in B\right]\right.} P_{\mu}\left[x_{n} \in A \mid x_{n-1}\right] d \nu
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int_{\left[\left(x_{m}, \cdots, x_{n-1}\right) \in B\right]} T^{n} P_{\mu}\left[x_{0} \in A \mid x_{-1}\right] d \nu \\
& =\int_{\left[\left(x_{m-1}, \cdots, x_{-1}\right) \in B\right]} P_{\mu}\left[x_{0} \in A \mid x_{-1}\right] d \nu \\
& =\int_{\left[\left(x_{m-n}, \cdots, x_{-1}\right) \in B\right]} P_{\mu}\left[x_{0} \in A \mid x_{m-n}, \cdots, x_{-1}\right] d \nu \\
& =\nu_{m-n, 0}^{\prime}\left[x_{0} \in A,\left(x_{m-n}, \cdots, x_{-1}\right) \in B\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\nu_{m, n}^{\prime}\left[\left(x_{m}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \in C\right]_{a}^{\prime \prime}=\nu_{m-n, 0}^{\prime}\left[\left(x_{m-n}, \cdots, x_{0}\right) \in C\right]
$$

for every $C \in \mathscr{F}_{n-m+1}$. Since by Lemma 1

$$
d \nu_{m, n} / d \nu_{m, n}^{\prime}=f_{m, n}\left|f_{m, n-1}, d \nu_{m-n, 0} / d \nu_{m-n, 0}^{\prime}=f_{m-n, 0}\right| f_{m-n,-1}
$$

(2) follows easily.

Lemma 2. If $\mu$ is Markovain and $m_{1}<m_{2}<0$ then $\nu_{m_{1}, 0}^{\prime}$ is an extension of $\nu_{m_{2}, 0}^{\prime}$ to $\mathscr{F}_{m_{1}, 0}$.

Proof. For any $A \in \mathscr{S}, \beta \in \mathscr{F}_{-m_{2}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{m_{1}, 0}^{\prime}\left[x_{0}\right. & \left.\in A,\left(x_{m_{2}}, \cdots, x_{-1}\right) \in B\right] \\
& =\int_{\left[\left(x_{m_{2}}, \cdots, x_{-1}\right) \in B\right]} P_{\mu}\left[x_{0} \in A \mid x_{m_{1}}, \cdots, x_{-1}\right] d \nu \\
& =\int_{\left[\left(x_{m_{2}}, \cdots, x_{-1}\right) \in B\right]} P_{\mu}\left[x_{0} \in A \mid x_{-1}\right] d \nu \\
& =\int_{\left[\left(x_{m_{2}}, \cdots, x_{-1}\right) \in B\right]} P_{\mu}\left[x_{0} \in A \mid x_{m_{2}}, \cdots, x_{-1}\right] d \nu \\
& =\nu_{m_{2}, 0}^{\prime}\left[x_{0} \in A,\left(x_{m_{2}}, \cdots, x_{-1}\right) \in B\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\nu_{m_{1}, 0}(E)=\nu_{m_{2}, 0}(E)
$$

for every $E \in \mathscr{F}_{m_{2}, 0}$.
Theorem 2. If $\mu$ is Markovian and $m_{1}<m_{2}<0$ then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int\left(\log f_{m_{1}, 0}-\log f_{m_{1},-1}\right) d \nu  \tag{3}\\
& \quad \geqq \int\left(\log f_{m_{2}, 0}-\log f_{m_{2},-1}\right) d \nu \geqq 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. By Lemma $2 \nu_{m_{1}, 0}^{\prime}$ is an extension of $\nu_{m_{2}, 0}^{\prime}$ to $\mathscr{F}_{m_{1}, 0}$. Since $\nu_{m_{1}, 0} \ll \nu_{m_{1}, 0}^{\prime}, \nu_{m_{2}, 0} \ll \nu_{m_{2}, 0}^{\prime}$ by Lemma 1, $d \nu_{m_{2}, 0} / d \nu_{m_{2}, 0}^{\prime}$ is the conditional expectation of $d \nu_{m_{1}, 0} / d \nu_{m_{1}, 0}^{\prime}$ relative to $\mathscr{F}_{m_{2}, 0}$ under the measure $\nu_{m_{1}, 0}^{\prime}$. Jensen's
inequality for conditional expectation implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leqq \int\left(d \nu_{m_{2}, 0} d \nu_{m_{2}, 0}^{\prime}\right) \log \left(d \nu_{m_{2}, 0} d d \nu_{m_{2}, 0}^{\prime}\right) d \nu_{m_{1}, 0}^{\prime} \\
& \leqq \int\left(d \nu_{m_{1}, 0} / d \nu_{m_{1}, 0}^{\prime}\right) \log \left(d \nu_{m_{1}, 0} / d \nu_{m_{1}, 0}^{\prime}\right) d \nu_{m_{1}, 0}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqq \int \log \left(d \nu_{m_{2}, 0} / d \nu_{m_{2}, 0}^{\prime}\right) d \nu \leqq \int \log \left(d \nu_{m_{1}, 0} / d \nu_{m_{1}, 0}\right) d \nu \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (3) follows from (4) and Lemma 1.
Theorem 3. If $\mu$ is Markovian then $\left\{\log f_{m, 0}-\log f_{m,-1}\right\}$ converges with $\nu$ probability one as $m \rightarrow-\infty$. The limit function may take $\pm \infty$ as its values.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that $\left\{f_{m,-1} \mid f_{m, 0}\right\}$ converges with $\nu$ probability one as $m \rightarrow-\infty$. Since $\nu_{m, 0}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\nu_{m, 0}^{\prime}$ and $d \nu_{m, 0} / d \nu_{m, 0}^{\prime}=f_{m, 0} / f_{m,-1}$ by Lemma $1, f_{m,-1} / f_{m, 0}$ is the derivative of $\nu_{m, 0}$ continuous part of $\nu_{m, 0}^{\prime}$ with respect to $\nu_{m, 0}$. Since, by Lemma 2, $\nu_{m_{1}, 0}^{\prime}$ is an extension of $\nu_{m_{1}, 0}^{\prime}$ if $m_{1}<m_{2},\left\{-f_{-k,-1} / f_{-k, 0}, \mathscr{F}_{-k, 0}\right.$, $k \geqq 1\}$ is a $\nu$ semimartingale ([2] pp. 632). Since

$$
\int\left|-f_{-k,-1}\right| f_{-k, 0}\left|d \nu=\int f_{-k,-1}\right| f_{-k, 0} d \nu \leqq 1
$$

the semimartingale convergence theorem implies that $\left\{f_{-k-1} / f_{-k, 0}\right\}$ converges with $\nu$ probability one as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

The following lemma may be considered as an improvement of a theorem by A. Pérez ([6] Theorem 7; pp. 194).

Lemma 3. Let $\beta_{1} \subset \beta_{2} \subset \cdots$ be a sequence of $\sigma$-algebras of subsets of $\Omega$ and $\beta$ be the $\sigma$-algebra generated by $\bigcup_{k} \beta_{k}$. Let $\phi, \lambda$ be two probability measures defined on $\beta$ and $\phi_{k}, \lambda_{k}$ be the contractions of $\phi, \lambda$, respectively, to $\beta_{k}$. If $\phi_{k}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\lambda_{k}$ for $k=1,2, \cdots$ and if there is a finite number $M$ such that

$$
\int \log \left(d \phi_{k} / d \lambda_{k}\right) d \phi \leqq M
$$

for $k=1,2, \cdots$ then
(i) $\phi$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\lambda$,
(ii) $\log (d \phi \mid d \lambda)$ is $\phi$ integrable and there exists

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int \log \left(d \phi_{k} / d \lambda_{k}\right) d \phi=\int \log (d \phi / d \lambda) d \phi
$$

(iii) $\left\{\log \left(d \phi_{k} / d \lambda_{k}\right)\right\}$ converges in $L_{1}(\phi)$ to $\log (d \phi / d \lambda)$.

Proof.
(i) Let $h_{k}=d \phi_{k} / d \lambda_{k}$. Then $\left\{h_{k}, \beta_{k}, k \geqq 1\right\}$ is a martingale under $\lambda$ measure. Now

$$
M \geqq \int \log \left(d \phi_{k} / d \lambda_{k}\right) d \phi=\int\left(\log h_{k}\right) h_{k} d \lambda
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M+\frac{1}{2} \geqq \int\left(h_{k} \log h_{k}+\frac{1}{2}\right) d \lambda \geqq(\log n) \int_{\left(h_{n} \leqq n\right)} h_{k} d \lambda \cdot{ }^{1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\int_{\left(n_{k} \leqq n\right)} h_{k} d \lambda \leqq(\log n)^{-1}\left(M+\frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

so that $\int_{\left(h_{k} \geqq n\right)} h_{k} d \lambda \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in $k$. Hence $\left\{h_{k}\right\}$ converges with $\lambda$ probability one and also in $L_{1}(\lambda)$ ([2] Theorem 4.1, pp. 319). Let the limit function be $h$. Then $\int_{A} h d \lambda=\phi(A)$ for all $A \in \bigcup_{k} \beta_{k}$ and so for all $A \in \beta$. This proves that ${ }_{\phi}^{A}$ is absolutely continuous and that $h=(d \phi \mid d \lambda)$.
(ii) The sequence $\left\{h_{k} \log h_{k}\right\}$ converges with $\lambda$ probability one to $h \log h$. Since the functions $h_{k} \log h_{k}$ are bounded below uniformly by the number $\frac{1}{2}$,

$$
\int h \log h d \lambda \leqq \underline{\lim } \int h_{k} \log h_{k} d \lambda=\underline{\lim } \int \log h_{k} d \phi \leqq M .
$$

Hence $h \log h$ is $\lambda$ integrable. Since the real valued function $\xi \log \xi$ is continuous and convex, $h_{1} \log h_{1}, h_{2} \log h_{2}, \cdots, h \log h$ constitute a semimartingale under the measure $\lambda([2]$, Theorem 1.1, pp. 295). Hence

$$
\int h_{1} \log h_{1} d \lambda \leqq \int h_{2} \log h_{2} d \lambda \leqq \cdots \leqq h \log h d \lambda
$$

so that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int h_{k} \log h_{k} d \lambda$ exists and is equal to $\int h \log h d \lambda$. Now

$$
\int|\log h| d \phi=\int h|\log h| d \lambda=\int|h \log h| d \lambda
$$

hence $\log h$ is $\phi$ integrable and
(6) $\int \log h d \phi=\int h \log h d \lambda=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int h_{k} \log h_{k} d \lambda=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int \log h_{k} d \phi$.

[^1](iii) Since $h_{1} \log h_{1}, h_{2} \log h_{2}, \cdots, h \log h$ constitute a semimartingale under the measure $\lambda$, we have, for $E \in \beta_{k}$,
$$
\int_{E} h_{k} \log h_{k} d \lambda \leqq \int_{E} h_{k+1} \log h_{k+1} d \lambda \leqq \int_{E} h \log h d \lambda
$$

Hence

$$
\int_{E} \log h_{k} d \phi \leqq \int_{E} \log h_{k+1} d \phi \leqq \int_{E} \log h d \phi,
$$

so that $\log h_{1}, \log h_{2}, \cdots, \log h$ constitute a semimartingale under the measure $\phi$. Hence (ii) implies that $\log h_{k}$ are uniformly $\phi$ integrable and $\left\{\log h_{k}\right\}$ converges to $\log h$ in $L_{1}(\phi)$ ([2], Theorem 4.1s, pp. 324).

Theorem 4. If $\mu$ is Markvian and there is a finite number $M$ such that

$$
\int\left[\log f_{m, 0}-\log f_{m,-1}\right] d \nu \leqq M
$$

for $m=-1,-2, \cdots$ then $\left\{\log f_{m, 0}-\log f_{m,-1}\right\}$ converges in $L_{1}(\nu)$ as $m \rightarrow-\infty$.

Proof. By Lemma $2 \nu_{m_{1}, 0}^{\prime}$ is an extension of $\nu_{m_{2}, 0}^{\prime}$ if $m_{1}<m_{2}<0$ and

$$
d \nu_{m, 0} / d \nu_{m, 0}^{\prime}=f_{m, 0} / f_{m,-1}
$$

If there is a probability measure $\nu^{\prime}$ defined on the $\sigma$-algebra generated by $\bigcup_{m=-1}^{-\infty} \mathscr{F}_{m, 0}$ which is an extension of $\nu_{m, 0}^{\prime}$ for $m=-1,-2, \cdots$, then the conclusion of the theorem follows easily from Lemma 3. If $X$ is the real line and if $\mathscr{S}$ is the $\sigma$-algebra of Borel sets then the existence of $\nu^{\prime}$ follows from the Consistency Theorem of Kolmogorov. For the general case we shall proceed by using the usual representation by space $\Omega^{\prime}$ of sequences of real numbers as follows:
Let

$$
g_{k}=f_{-k, 0} \mid f_{-k,-1} .
$$

Let $G$ be the map of $\Omega$ into the space $\Omega^{\prime}$ of real sequences $\left\{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \cdots\right\}$ defined by

$$
G(\omega)=\left\{g_{1}(\omega), g_{2}(\omega), \cdots\right\}
$$

Considering $\xi_{k}$ as functions on $\Omega^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\xi_{k}(G(\omega))=g_{k}(\omega)
$$

Let $\beta_{k}$ be the collection of Borel subsets of $\Omega^{\prime}$ which are determined by conditions on $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \cdots, \xi_{k}$ and $\beta$ be the collection of all Borel subsets
of $\Omega^{\prime}$. Let $\phi$ be the probability measure on $\beta$ and $\phi_{k}, \lambda_{k}$ be the probability measures on $\beta_{k}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(E) & =\nu\left(G^{-1} E\right) \\
\phi_{k}(E) & =\nu_{-k, 0}\left(G^{-1} E\right) \\
\lambda_{k}(E) & =\nu_{-k, 0}^{\prime}\left(G^{-1} E\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left\{g_{k}\right\}$ converges in $L_{1}(\nu)$ if and only if $\left\{\xi_{k}\right\}$ converges in $L_{1}(\phi)$. Now $\lambda_{k}$ are consistent; Kolmogorov's Consistency Theorem implies the existence of a probability measure $\lambda$ on $\beta$ which is an extension of every $\lambda_{k}$ and $d \phi_{k} / d \lambda_{k}=\xi_{k}$. Hence Lemma 3 is applicable and the $L_{1}(\phi)$ convergence of $\left\{\xi_{k}\right\}$ is obtained.

Theorem 5. If $\nu$ is stationary and $\mu$ is Markovian with stationary transition probabilities and if

$$
\int \log f_{0,0} d \nu<\infty
$$

and if there is a finite number $M$ such that

$$
\int\left(\log f_{0, n}-\log f_{0, n-1}\right) d \nu \leqq M
$$

for $n=1,2, \cdots$ then $n^{-1} \log f_{0, n}$ converges in $L_{1}(\nu)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, if $\nu$ is ergodic, the limit is equal to a nonnegative constant with $\nu$ probability one.

Proof. By Theorem $4\left\{\log f_{m, 0}-\log f_{m,-1}\right\}$ converges in $L_{1}(\nu)$ as $m \rightarrow-\infty$. Let $h$ be the $L_{1}(\nu)$ limit of the sequence. Let $\bar{h}$ be the $L_{1}(\nu)$ limit of the sequence $\left\{n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} T^{i} h\right\}$. By Theorem $1 f_{0, n} \mid f_{0, n-1}=$ $T^{n}\left(f_{-n, 0} / f_{-n,-1}\right)$, hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n^{-1} \log f_{0, n}=n^{-1} \log f_{0,0}+n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} T^{i} \log \left(f_{-i, 0} / f_{-i,-1}\right) \\
& \int\left|n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} T^{i} \log \left(f_{-i, 0} \mid f_{-i,-1}\right)-\bar{h}\right| d \nu \\
& \leqq n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int\left|T^{i} \log \left(f_{-i, 0} \mid f_{-i,-1}\right)-T^{i} h\right| d \nu \\
& \quad+\int\left|n^{-1} \sum T^{i} h-\bar{h}\right| d \nu \\
&= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int\left|\log \left(f_{-i, 0} \mid f_{-i,-1}\right)-h\right| d \nu \\
&+\int\left|n^{-1} \sum T^{i} h-\bar{h}\right| d \nu \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the $L_{1}(\nu)$ convergence of $\left\{n^{-1} \log f_{0, n}\right\}$ is proved. The limit is $\bar{h}$
which is the $L_{1}(\nu)$ limit of $\left\{n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} T^{i} h\right\}$. If $\nu$ is ergodic

$$
\bar{h}=\int h d \nu
$$

with $\nu$ probability one and

$$
\int h d \nu=\lim _{m \rightarrow-\infty} \int\left[\log f_{m, 0}-\log f_{m,-1}\right] d \nu \geqq 0 .
$$

Corollary 1. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 5 if $\nu$ is stationary and ergodic but not Markovian then $\nu$ is singular to $\mu$.

Proof. If $\mu$ is Markovian but $\nu$ is not Markovian then there is a positive integer $n_{0}$ such that

$$
\mu\left[f_{0, n_{0}-1} \neq f_{0, n_{0}}\right]>0 .
$$

For, if for every positive integer $n$

$$
\mu\left[f_{0, n-1} \neq f_{0, n}\right]=0
$$

then

$$
P_{\nu}\left[x_{n} \in A \mid x_{0}, \cdots, x_{n-1}\right]=P_{\mu}\left[x_{n} \in A \mid x_{n-1}\right]
$$

with $\nu$ probability one for every $A \in \mathscr{S}$ and $\nu$ is Markovian instead. Now since

$$
f_{0, n_{0}-1}=E_{\mu}\left[f_{0, n_{0}} \mid x_{0}, \cdots, x_{n_{0}-1}\right]
$$

and the function $\xi \log \xi$ is strictly convex, hence

$$
\int f_{0, n_{0}} \log f_{0, n_{0}} d \mu-\int f_{0, n_{0}-1} \log f_{0, n_{0}-1} d \mu>0
$$

so that

$$
\int\left[\log f_{0, n_{0}}-\log f_{0, n_{0}-1}\right] d \nu>0 .
$$

Since $\int\left[\log f_{0, n}-\log f_{0, n-1}\right] d \nu$ is non-decreasing in $n$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int\left[\log f_{0, n}-\log f_{0, n-1}\right] d \nu=a>0
$$

Now $\nu$ is ergodic; the $L_{1}(\nu)$ limit $\bar{h}$ of $\left\{n^{-1} \log f_{0, n}\right\}$ is equal to $a$ with $\nu$ probability one. Let $n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots$ be a sequence of positive integers for which $\left\{n_{k}^{-1} \log f_{0, n_{k}}\right\}$ converges with $\nu$ probability one to $a$ so that $\left\{1 / f_{0, n_{k}}\right\}$ converges to 0 as $n_{k} \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}$ be the $\sigma$-algebra generated by $\mathrm{U}_{n} \mathscr{F}_{0, n}$ and let $\mu_{\mathscr{H}^{\prime}}, \mu_{\mathscr{S}^{\prime}}$ be the contractions of $\mu, \nu$, respectively, to $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}$. Since $1 / f_{0, n}$ is the derivative of $\nu$-continuous part of $\mu_{0, n}$ with respect
to $\nu_{0, n},\left\{1 / f_{0, n}\right\}$ converges with $\nu$ probability one to the derivative of $\nu$-continuous part of $\mu^{\prime}$ with respect to $\nu^{\prime}$ by a theorem of Anderson and Jessen [1]. Now we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 / f_{1, n}=0
$$

with $\nu$ probability one and $\mu^{\prime}$ is singular to $\nu^{\prime}$. Hence $\mu, \nu$ are singular to each other.

Extensions of Theorem 5 and Corollary 1 to $K$-Markovian $\mu$ are immediate.
3. Discussion. As was mentioned in the introduction the crucial step in establishing Theorem 5 is to prove the $L_{1}(\nu)$ convergence of $\left\{\log f_{-n, 0}-\log f_{-n,-1}\right\}$. If $\mu$ is the product (independent) measure on $\mathscr{F}$ the measure $\nu^{\prime}$ in the proof of Theorem 4 is actually $\nu_{-\infty-1} \times \mu_{0,0}$. Thus condition (c) or, equivalently, condition (a) implies condition (b) in the introduction. In [7] it is stated that the condition (b) is necessary for the $L_{1}(\nu)$ convergence of $\left\{\log f_{-n, 0}-\log f_{-n,-1}\right\}$ ([7] Theorem $2(\mathrm{~b})$ ). A simple is as follows. Let $X$ be the real line and $\mathscr{S}$ be the collection of all Borel sets. Let $\nu=\mu$ and distribution of $x_{0}$ be Gaussian. Let $\nu\left(x_{0}=x_{1}\right)=\mu\left(x_{0}=x_{1}\right)=1$. Then $\nu_{-1,0}$ is singular to $\nu_{-1,-1} \times \nu_{0,0}$, however the $L_{1}(\nu)$ convergence of $\left\{\log f_{-n, 0}-\log f_{-n-1}\right\}$ is trivially true since $f_{m, n} \equiv 1$.

## References

1. Erik Sparre Anderson and Borge Jessen, Some limit theorems in an abstract set, Danske Vic. Selsk. Nat.-ys. Medd. 22, No. 14 (1946).
2. J. L. Doob, Stochastic Processes, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York.
3. B. McMillan, The basic theorems of information theory, Annals of Math. Statistics, 24 (1953), 196-219.
4. Shu-Teh C. Moy, Asymptotic properties of derivatives of stationary measures, Pacific J. Math., 10 (1960), 1371-1383.
5. A. Pérez, Sur la théorie de l'information dans le cas d'un alphabet abstrait, Transactions of the First Prague Conference on information theory, statistical decision functions, random processes, Publishing House of the Czechoslavak Academy of Sciences, Prague, (1957) 183208.
6. ——, Sur la convergence des incertitudes, entropies et informations échantillon (sample) vers leurs vraies, Transactions of the First Prague Conference on information theory, statistical decisions functions, random processes, Publishing House of Czechoslavak Academy of Sciences, Prague (1957), 209-243.
7 —, Information theory with an abstract alphabet, generalized forms of McMillan's limit theorem for the case of discrete and continuous times, Theory of Probability and its Applications, (An English translation of the Soviet Journal Teoriya Veryoatnostei i ee Primeneniya) Vol. 4, No. 1 (1959), 99-102.
7. C. E. Shannon, The mathematical theory of communication, Bell System Technical Journal, 27 (1948), 379-423, 623-656.

[^0]:    Received May 31, 1960. This work was supported in part by a summer research grant from Wayne State University.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Inequality (5) was pointed out by the referee. The proof of Lemma 3 was much shortened by following his suggestions.

