INVERSION AND REPRESENTATION THEOREMS FOR A GENERALIZED LAPLACE TRANSFORM

J. M. C. Joshi

1. Introduction. In a series of recent papers I have discussed various properties and inversion theorems etc. for the transform

$$F(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta + \eta + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + \eta + 1)} \int_0^\infty (xy)^{\beta} {}_1F_1(\beta + \eta + 1; \alpha + \beta + \eta + 1; -xy) f(y) dy.$$

where $f(y) \in L0, \infty), \beta \ge 0, \eta > 0$.

$$=A\int_0^\infty (xy)^{eta}\psi(x,y)f(y)dy$$

where for convenience we denote $\Gamma(\beta + \eta + 1)/\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + \eta + 1)$ by A and ${}_{1}F_{1}(a;b;-xy)$ by $\psi(xy)$; a and b standing respectively for $\beta + \eta + 1$ and $a + \alpha$. For $\alpha = \beta = 0$ (1.1) reduces to the wellknown Laplace transform

$$(1.2) F(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-xy} f(y) dy.$$

The transform (1.1), which may be called a generalization of the Laplace transform, arises if we apply Kober's operators of fractional integration [2] to the function $x^{\beta}e^{-x}[1]$.

The object of the present paper is to obtain an inversion and a representation theorem for the transform (1.1) by using properties of Kober's operators defined below.

2. Definition of operations. The operators given by Kober are defined as follows.

$$egin{aligned} I_{\eta,lpha}^+[f(x)] &= rac{1}{\Gamma(lpha)} \, x^{-\eta-lpha} \int_0^x (x-u)^{lpha-1} u^{\eta} f(u) du \ K_{\zeta-lpha}^-[f(x)] &= rac{1}{\Gamma(lpha)} \, x^{\zeta} \int_n^\infty (u-x)^{lpha-1} u^{-\zeta-lpha} f(u) du \end{aligned}$$

where $f(x) \in L_p(0, \infty)$, 1/p + 1/q = 1, if 1 and <math>1/p or 1/q 0 if p or $q=1, \alpha > 0, \zeta > -(1/p), \gamma > -(1/q)$.

The Mellin transform $\overline{M}f(x)$ of a function $f(x) \in L_p(0, \infty)$ is defined as

Received November 4, 1963.

$$ar{M}f(x) = \int_0^\infty f(x)x^{it}du$$
 $(p=1)$

and

$$=\lim_{x\to\infty}\int_{1/x}^x f(x)^{it-1/q}dn \qquad (p>1).$$

The inverse Mellin transform $M^{-1}\phi(t)$ of a function $\phi(t) \in L_q(-\infty, \infty)$ is defined by

(2.1)
$$M^{-1}\phi(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t) x^{-it} dt \qquad (q=1)$$

and

$$=rac{1}{2\pi}\lim_{T o\infty}^{ ext{index}p}\int_{-T}^{T}\phi(t)x^{-it-1/p}dt \qquad \qquad (q>1)$$
 .

If Mellin transform is applied to Kober's operators and the orders of integrations are interchanged we obtain, under certain conditions

$$egin{aligned} ar{M}\{I_{\eta}^{+}{}_{lpha}f(x)\} &= rac{ar{\Gamma}\!\left(\eta + rac{1}{q} - it
ight)}{ar{\Gamma}\!\left(lpha + \left\{\eta + rac{1}{q} - it
ight\}
ight]}ar{M}f(x) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$ar{M}\{K^-_{\zeta^-lpha}f(x)\} = rac{ar{\Gamma}ig(\zeta+rac{1}{p}+itig)}{ar{\Gamma}ig[lpha+ig(\zeta+rac{1}{p}+itig)ig]}ar{M}f(x)\;.$$

But

$$ar{M}(e^{-x}\cdot x^eta)=\int_0^\infty e^{-x}x^{eta+it-1/q}dx=arGamma\left(eta+it+rac{1}{n}
ight)$$
 , if $extit{Re}ig(eta+rac{1}{n}ig)>0$.

Therefore

$$ar{M}\{I_{\eta,lpha}^{+}(x^{eta}e^{-x})\}=rac{arGamma\Big[\Big(\eta+rac{1}{q}-it\Big)\Big]arGamma\Big[eta+rac{1}{p}+it\Big)}{arGamma\Big[lpha+\Big\{\eta+rac{1}{q}-it\Big\}\Big]}$$

and

$$ar{M}\{K^-_{\zeta,a}(x^eta e^{-x})\} = rac{iggriant \left(eta + it + rac{1}{p}
ight)Pigg(ar{arsigma} + it + rac{1}{p}igg)}{iggriant \left[lpha + \left\{ar{arsigma} + rac{1}{p} + it
ight\}
ight]} \; .$$

By (2.1) we then have

$$I_{\eta,lpha}^{+}(x^{eta}e^{-x})=rac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}rac{iggrid}{iggrid}rac{iggrid}{iggrid}rac{iggrid}{iggrid}rac{iggrid}{iggrid}rac{\Gamma\Big(\eta+rac{1}{q}-it\Big)iggr)iggrid}{iggrid}T\Big[lpha+\Big(\eta+rac{1}{q}-it\Big)\Big]$$

and

$$K^-_{arsigma,lpha}(x^eta e^{-x}) = rac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} rac{ \Gamma\Bigl(\zeta + rac{1}{p} + it \Bigr) \Gamma\Bigl(eta + rac{1}{p} + it \Bigr)}{ \Gamma\Bigl[lpha + \Bigl(\zeta + rac{1}{p} + it \Bigr) \Bigr]} \, x^{-it-1/p} dt \, \, ,$$

provided that 1/p>0, $\eta+1/q>0$ and $\zeta+1/p>0$.

3. Inversion theorem. We now define an inversion operator which will serve to invert (1.1).

An operator is defined for integral values of n by the relations

$$egin{align} W_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}[G(x)] &= G(x) \;, \ W_{\scriptscriptstyle n}[G(x)] &= (-)^n n^{eta+n+1} \!\! \left(rac{d}{dx}
ight)^n \!\! \left[x^{-eta} G(x)
ight], \, (n=1,\,2,\,\cdots) \ Q_{n,\,t}[G(x)] &= rac{1}{\Gamma(n+1+eta-lpha)} \!\! \left[\, W_n[G(x)]
ight]_{n=n/t} \!\! (n=1,\,2,\,\cdots) \;. \end{split}$$

THEOREM 3.1. If f(t) is bounded in $(0 < t < \infty)$ then, provided that the integral (1.1) converges, $\gamma > 0$, $\beta \ge 0$

$$f(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} Q_{n,t}[F(x)]$$

for almost all positive t.

Proof. Let x be any number greater than zero. Then, since the integral (1.1) converges, we can differentiate under the integral sign. Also (2.2) gives

$$(3.1) \qquad \qquad \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right) [x^{-\beta}I_{n,\alpha}(x^{\beta}e^{-x})] = -x^{-\beta}I_{\eta+1,\alpha}[x^{\beta}e^{-x}] \; .$$

Using this relation we get

$$egin{align} W_n[F(n)] &= (-)^n n^{eta+n+1}\!\!\int_0^\infty\!\! x^{-eta}y^n I_{\eta+n,lpha}\!\{(xy)^eta e^{-xy}\!\}f(y)dy \ &= rac{\Gamma(eta+\eta+n+1)}{\Gamma(lpha+eta+\eta+n+1)}\!\int_0^\infty\!\! y^{eta+n}_{_1}\!F_1\!(eta+\eta+n+1; \ &= lpha+eta+\eta+n+1-xy)f(y)dy \;. \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$egin{aligned} Q_{n,t} &\{F(x)\} \ &= rac{\Gamma(eta + \eta + 1)}{\Gamma(lpha + eta + \eta + 1)} \Big(rac{n}{t}\Big)^{eta + n + 1} rac{1}{\Gamma(n + eta + 1 - lpha)} \ & imes \int_0^\infty y^{eta + n} {}_1F_1(n + eta + \eta + 1; lpha + eta + \eta + 1 + n; -xy)f(y)dy \ &= rac{1}{\Gamma(n + eta + 1 - lpha)} \Big(rac{n}{t}\Big)^{eta + n + 1} rac{\Gamma(a)}{\Gamma(b)} \ & imes \int_0^\infty y^{eta + n} {}_1F_1(a + n; b + n; -xy)f(y)dy \end{aligned}$$

in the notation of §1.

$$egin{aligned} &=rac{arGamma(a+n)}{arGamma(b+n)arGamma(n+eta+1-lpha)} \left(rac{n}{t}
ight)^{n+eta+1} \ & imes \int_0^\infty (tv)^{n+eta_1} F_1(a+n;b+n;-nv) f(tv) dt \ &=rac{arGamma(a+n)}{arGamma(b+n)arGamma(n+eta+1-lpha)} \left(rac{n}{t}
ight)^{n+eta+1} \ & imes \int_0^\infty v^{n+eta_1} F_1(eta+\eta+n+1;lpha+eta+\eta+n+1;-nv) f(tv) dt \end{aligned}$$

by a simple change of variable. Now by using a result of Slater [4] we have

$$\frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(b+n)} {}_{1}F_{1}(a+n;b+n;-v) \sim (nv)^{a-b}e^{-nv} \qquad (n\to\infty).$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} Q_{n,i}\{F(n)\} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n^{\beta+n+1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(n+\beta+1-\alpha)} \int_0^\infty v^{n+\beta-\alpha} e^{-nv} f(tv) dv.$$

But [3] we have for almost all positive t

$$\lim_{n o\infty}rac{n^{eta+n+1-lpha}}{\Gamma(n+eta+1-lpha)}\int_0^\infty y^{n+eta-lpha}e^{-ny}\{f(ty)-f(t)\}dy=0$$

and so we have our theorem.

5. Representation theorem. In this section we propose to give a set of necessary and sufficient conditions for the representation of a function as an integral of the form (1.1). We shall need a lemma which we now prove.

LEMMA 4.1. If n is a positive integer and x and t are positive variables then

$$\Big(rac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big)^n \! \Big[t^{eta+n-1} I_{\eta,lpha} \! \left\{\! \Big(rac{x}{t}\Big)^{\!eta} e^{-x/t}
ight\} = rac{n^n}{t^{n+1-eta}} I_{\eta+n,lpha} \! \left\{\! \Big(rac{x}{t}\Big)^{\!eta} e^{-x/t}
ight\}$$
 .

Proof. It is plain that

$$\left(rac{t}{x}
ight)^{eta+n-1}I_{\eta,lpha}\!\left\{\!\left(rac{x}{t}
ight)^{\!eta}\!e^{-x/t}
ight\}$$

is a homogeneous function of zero order. Therefore applying Euler's theorem we get

$$t\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big)\!\Big[\Big(\frac{t}{x}\Big)^{\beta+n-1}I_{\eta,\alpha}\!\Big\{\!\Big(\frac{x}{t}\Big)^{\beta}e^{-x/t}\Big\}\Big] + n\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big)\!\Big[\Big(\frac{t}{x}\Big)^{\beta+n-1}I_{\eta,\alpha}\!\Big\{\!\Big(\frac{x}{t}\Big)^{\!\beta}e^{-x/t}\Big\}\Big] = 0$$

 \mathbf{or}

$$\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big)\!\Big[\frac{t^{\beta+n-1}}{x^{\beta+n}}I_{\eta,\omega}\!\left\{\!\Big(\frac{x}{t}\Big)^{\!\beta}\!e^{-x/t}\right\} = -\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big)\!\Big[\frac{t^{\beta+n-2}}{x^{\beta+n-1}}I_{\eta,\omega}\!\left\{\!\Big(\frac{x}{t}\Big)^{\!\beta}\!e^{-x/t}\right\}\Big]$$

or

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \Big[\frac{t^{\beta+n-1}}{x^{\beta+n}} I_{\eta,\omega} \Big\{ \Big(\frac{x}{t} \Big)^{\beta} e^{-x/t} \Big\} \Big] &= -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x} \Big[\frac{t^{\beta+n-2}}{x^{\beta+n-1}} I_{\eta,\omega} \Big\{ \Big(\frac{x}{t} \Big)^{\beta} e^{-x/t} \Big\} \Big] \\ &= -\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Big) \Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big\{ \frac{t^{\beta+n-2}}{x^{\beta+n-1}} I_{\eta,\omega} \Big\{ \Big(\frac{x}{t} \Big)^{\beta} e^{-x/t} \Big\} \Big\} \Big] \\ &= (-)^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \Big[\frac{t^{\beta+n-3}}{x^{\beta+n-2}} I_{\eta,\omega} \Big\{ \Big(\frac{x}{t} \Big)^{\beta} e^{-x/t} \Big\} \Big] \,. \end{split}$$

Proceeding in the same manner we have

$$\frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n} \bigg[\frac{t^{\beta+n-1}}{x^{\beta+n}} \, I_{\eta,\omega} \Big\{ \Big(\frac{x}{t} \Big)^{\beta} e^{-n/t} \Big\} \bigg] = \frac{t^{\beta-n-1}}{x^{\beta}} \, I_{\eta+n,\omega} \Big\{ \Big(\frac{x}{t} \Big)^{\beta} e^{-x/t} \Big\} \bigg]$$

using (3.1).

Theorem 4.1. The necessary and sufficient conditions that a given function F(x) may have the representation (1.1) with f(y) bounded and $\operatorname{Re} \eta > 0$ $\operatorname{Re} \beta \geq 0$ are that

(i) F(x) has derivatives of all orders in $0 < x < \infty$.

- (ii) F(x) tends to zero as x tends to infinity and
- (iii) $|Q_{n,t}\{F(x)\}| < M$ for all integral n $(0 < t < \infty)$.

Proof. First let us suppose that F(x) has the representation (1.1). Under the conditions of the theorem it is obvious that all the derivatives of F(x) exist. Also

$$egin{aligned} F(x) & \leq M' rac{\Gamma(eta + \eta + 1)}{\Gamma(lpha + eta + \eta + 1)} \ & imes \int_0^\infty (xy)^eta_1 F_1(eta + \eta + 1; \ lpha + eta + \eta + 1; \ -xy) dy \ & = rac{M' \Gamma(\eta) \Gamma(eta + 1)}{x \Gamma(lpha + \eta)} \end{aligned}$$

since f(y) is bounded. So F(x) tends to zero as x tends to infinity. To prove the necessity of (iii) we see, as in Theorem 3.1, that

$$||Q_{n,t}\!\{F(x)\}| \leq \left\{rac{n^{eta+n+1-lpha}}{\Gamma(n+eta+1-lpha)}\int_0^\infty v^{n+eta-lpha}e^{-nv}dv
ight\}\!\left\{\lim_{0\leq t<\infty}|f(tv)|
ight\}\!=M\;.$$

To prove the sufficiency let us suppose that the conditions are satisfied. If we now set

$$J_n = \int_0^\infty I_{\eta,\alpha} \{(xy)^{eta} e^{-xy}\} Q_{n,y} \{F(x)\} dy$$

we have

$$J_n = rac{1}{\Gamma(n+1+eta-lpha)} \int_0^\infty rac{n}{t^2} I_{\eta,lpha} \Big\{ \Big(rac{nx}{t}\Big)^eta e^{-nx/t} \Big\} W_n \{F(x)\} dn \ = (-)^n \int_0^\infty nt^{n+eta-1} I_{\eta,lpha} \Big\{ \Big(rac{nx}{t}\Big)^eta e^{-nx/t} \Big\} \Big(rac{d}{dt}\Big)^n \{t^{-eta} F(t)\} dt \; .$$

It will be seen in the course of the arguement that this integral exists. Integrating by parts we have

$$egin{aligned} J_n &= rac{(-)^n n}{\Gamma(n+eta+1-lpha)} iggl[\, t^{n+eta-1} I_{\eta,lpha} \Big\{ \Big(rac{nn}{t}\Big)^{\!eta} \, e^{-nn/t} \Big\} \Big(rac{d}{dt}\Big)^{\!n-1} \{t^{-eta} F(t)\} \, iggr]_{\!\scriptscriptstyle 0}^\infty \ &+ rac{(-)^{n-1} n}{\Gamma(n+1+eta-lpha)} \int_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^\infty \Big(rac{d}{dt}\Big)^{n-1} \{t^{-eta} F(t)\} \Big(rac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big) \{t^{n+eta-1} I_{\eta,lpha} \phi\} dt \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\phi \equiv \left(\frac{nx}{t}\right)^{\beta} e^{-nx/t}$$
.

Now

$$egin{aligned} I_{\eta lpha} \phi &= 0(t^{\eta+1}) & (t
ightarrow 0) \ &= 0(1) & eta &= 0(t
ightarrow \infty) \ &= 0(1) & eta &> 0(t
ightarrow \infty) \end{aligned}$$

for [1]

$$I_{\eta,lpha}(\phi) = rac{arGamma(eta+\eta+1)}{arGamma(lpha+eta+\eta+1)} \Big(rac{nx}{t}\Big)^{eta}{}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}F_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}\Big(eta+\eta+1;lpha+eta+\eta+1;-rac{nx}{t}\Big)\,.$$

Also the hypotheses of the theorem by implications mean that

$$F(x) = 0(x^{-1})$$

and in general

$$F^{(n)}(x) = 0(x^{-n-1})$$

and

$$ig(rac{d}{dt}ig)^{n-1}[t^{-eta}F(t)] \ = \{(-)^{n-1}eta(eta+1)\cdots(eta+n-2)t^{-eta-n+1}F(t)+\cdots t^{-eta}F^{(n-1)}(t)\}$$
 .

Therefore the integrated part

$$=0[t^{\eta+1}\{A_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}F(t)+\cdots t^{{\scriptscriptstyle n-1}}F^{{\scriptscriptstyle (n-)}}(t)\}] o 0 \quad {\rm as} \quad t o 0$$
 .

Also it is

$$=0[A_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}F(t)+\cdots tF^{\scriptscriptstyle(n-1)}(t)]\!
ightarrow 0$$
 as $t
ightarrow\infty$.

Therefore the integrated part is zero and integrating by parts again

$$egin{aligned} J_n &= rac{(-)^{n-1}n}{\Gamma(n+eta+1-lpha)} iggl[rac{\partial}{\partial t}(t^{n+eta-1}I_{\etalpha}\phi) \Big(rac{d}{dt}\Big)^{n-2}\{t^{-eta}F(t)\}iggr]_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^{\infty} \ &+ rac{(-)^{n-2}n}{\Gamma(n+eta+1-lpha)} \int_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^{\infty} \Big(rac{d}{dt}\Big)^{n-2}\{t^{-eta}F(t)\}rac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}(t^{n+eta-1}I_{\eta,lpha}\phi)dt \;. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\left(rac{\partial}{\partial t}
ight)\!\{t^{eta+n-1}I_{\eta,lpha}\phi\}=\left[(n-1)t^{eta+n-2}I_{\eta,lpha}\phi\,+\,\cdots\,+\,nnt^{eta+n-3}I_{\eta+1,lpha(arphi)}
ight]$$

and

$$egin{aligned} \left(rac{d}{dt}
ight)^{n-2} &\{t^{-eta}F(t)\} \ &= \{(-)^{n-2}eta(eta+1)\,\cdots(eta+n-3)t^{-eta-n+2}F(t)+\cdots t^{-eta}F^{(n-2)}(t)\} \;. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore as before the integrated part again approaches zero when t tends to zero and t tends to infinity. Proceeding in the same manner we obtain

$$egin{aligned} J_n &= rac{n}{\Gamma(n+eta+1-lpha)} \int_{_0}^{\infty} t^{-eta} F(t) rac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n} \{t^{eta+n-1} I_{\eta,lpha} \phi\} dt \ &= rac{n}{\Gamma(n+eta+1-lpha)} \int_{_0}^{\infty} t^{-eta} F(t) rac{(nx)^n}{t^{n+1}} t^{eta} I_{\eta+n,lpha} (\phi) dt \end{aligned}$$

by the Lemma 4.1. Hence

$$J_n=rac{n^{n+eta+1}n^{n+eta}arGamma(a)}{arGamma(n+eta+1-lpha)arGamma(b)}\int_0^\infty t^{-eta-n-1}{}_1F_1\!\Big(a;b;-rac{nx}{t}\Big)F(t)dt\;.$$

It is clear that this integral exists under the hypotheses of the theorem and therefore all the previous integrals exist. By a simple substitution this gives on using the asymptotic expansion of ${}_{1}F_{1}(a;b;x)$ [4]

$$J_{\scriptscriptstyle n} \sim rac{n^{eta+n+1} n^{n+eta}}{\Gamma(n+eta+1-lpha)} \int_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^{\scriptscriptstyle \infty} u^{eta+n-1} e^{-nxu} F\Bigl(rac{1}{u}\Bigr) \! du$$
 .

Let

$$(1/u)F\left(\frac{1}{u}\right) \equiv \psi(u)$$
.

Now

$$(1/u)F(1/u)=0$$
(1) $(u o\infty)$ and $F\Big(rac{1}{u}\Big)=0$ (1) $(u o0)$.

Hence it is easily seen

- (i) $\psi(u) \in L$ $(1/R \le t < R)$ for every R > 1. (ii) $\int_{1}^{\infty} \psi(u)e^{-cu}du$ converges for any fixed c > 0, and (iii) $\int_{0}^{1} u\psi(u)du$ also converges. Therefore [3]

$$\lim_{n o\infty}J_n=rac{1}{u}\psi\Bigl(rac{1}{u}\Bigr)=F(u)$$
 .

Now if

$$\chi(x, y) = \frac{\Gamma(a)}{\Gamma(b)} (xy)^{\beta_1} F_1(a; b; -xy) .$$

Then $\chi(xy) \in L$ in $0 \le y < \infty$ under the conditions assumed for the convergence of (1.1). Therefore by a theorem on weak compactness of a set of functions [5] the inequalities in the hypothesis (iii) of the theorem imply the existence of a subset $\{n_i\}$ of the positive integers

and a bounded function f(y) such that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\int_0^\infty [Q_{n_i,y}\{F(x)\}]\chi(x,y)=\int_0^\infty \chi(x,y)f(y)dy.$$

Hence

$$F(x) = \int_0^\infty \chi(x, y) f(y) dy$$

and the theorem is established.

I am indebted to Dr. K. M. Saksena for guidance and help in the preparation of the paper.

REFERENCES

- 1. A. Erdelyi, On some functional transformations, Rend. del Semin. Matematico. 10 (1950-51), 217-234.
- 2. H. Kober, On fractional integrals and derivatives, Quart. Jour. Math. 11 (1940), 193-211.
- 3. J. M. C. Joshi, A singular integral and a real inversion theorem for a generalized Laplace transform, to appear.
- 4. L. J. Slater, Confluent Hypergeometric Functions, Cambridge University Press, 1960.
- 5. D. V. Widder, The Laplace Transform, Princeton University Press 1946.