# DOUBLY STOCHASTIC OPERATORS OBTAINED FROM POSITIVE OPERATORS 
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A recent result of Sinkhorn [3] states that for any square matrix $A$ of positive elements, there exist diagonal matrices $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ with positive diagonal elements for which $D_{1} A D_{2}$ is doubly stochastic. In the present paper, this result is generalized to a wide class of positive operators as follows.

Let ( $\Omega, \mathfrak{N}, \lambda$ ) be the product space of two probability measure spaces ( $\Omega_{i}, \mathfrak{H}_{i}, \lambda_{i}$ ). Let $f$ denote a measurable function on $(\Omega, \mathfrak{X})$ for which there exist constants $c, C$ such that $0<c \leqq f \leqq C<\infty$. Let $K$ be any nonnegative, twodimensional real valued continuous function defined on the open unit square, $(0,1) \times(0,1)$, for which the functions $K(u, \cdot)$ and $K(\cdot, v)$ are strictly increasing functions with strict ranges $(0, \infty)$ for each $u$ or $v$ in ( 0,1 ). Then there exist functions $h: \Omega_{1} \rightarrow E_{1}$ and $g: \Omega_{2} \rightarrow E_{1}$ such that
$\int_{\Omega_{2}} f(x, v) K(h(x), g(v)) d \lambda_{2}(v)=1=\int_{\Omega_{1}} f(u, y) K(h(u), g(y)) d \lambda_{1}(u)$,
almost everywhere - ( $\lambda$ ).

Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{N}, \lambda)$ be the product space of two probability measure spaces $\left(\Omega_{i}, \mathfrak{A}_{i}, \lambda_{i}\right)$. Let $f$ denote a measurable function on $(\Omega, \mathfrak{Y})$ for which there exist constants $c, C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<c \leqq f \leqq C<\infty \tag{array}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $K$ be any nonnegative, real valued continuous function defined on the open unit square, $(0,1) \times(0,1)$, for which the functions $K(u, \cdot)$ and $K(\cdot, v)$ are strictly increasing functions with strict ranges $(0, \infty)$ for each $u$ or $v$ in $(0,1)$.

In what follows, $h$ and $g$ will denote measurable, real valued, functions defined on $\Omega_{1}$, and $\Omega_{2}$, respectively. Whenever well defined, set

$$
\begin{align*}
& R(x: h, g)=\int_{\Omega_{2}} f(x, v) K(h(x), g(v)) d \lambda_{2}(v) \\
& C(y: h, g)=\int_{\Omega_{1}} f(u, y) K(h(u), g(y)) d \lambda_{1}(u) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

for $(x, y) \in \Omega$.

[^0]For a fixed choice of $h, g$ we can think of $R$ and $C$ as defining positive operators. The main result of this paper is that $R$ and $C$ can be made doubly stochastic by choosing $h$ and $g$ appropriately. One immediate consequence of this result is a recent theorem of Sinkhorn [3] on doubly stochastic matrices.

Theorem. There exist functions $h: \Omega_{1} \rightarrow(0,1)$ and $g: \Omega_{2} \rightarrow(0,1)$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(x: h, g)=1=C(y: h, g), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

almost everywhere $-(\lambda)$.
Proof. We shall obtain $h$ and $g$ as the limits of two sequences of functions, $\left\{h_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{g_{n}\right\}$. The $h_{n}$ and $g_{n}$ are defined recursively as follows.

Set $h_{0}(x)=\alpha$ for all $x \in \Omega_{1}$, where $\alpha$ is any number in $(0,1)$. If $h_{n}$ has been defined, let $g_{n}$ be the function defined by the equation $C\left(y: h_{n}, g_{n}\right)=1$. That is, $g_{n}(y)$ is the solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\int_{\Omega_{1}} f(x, y) K\left(h_{n}(x), g_{n}(y)\right) d \lambda_{1}(x) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This solution exists and is unique since $C\left(y: h_{n}, t\right)$ is a strictly increasing continuous function of $t$ with range $(0, \infty)$. Furthermore, $g_{n}$ is easily seen to be measurable if $h_{n}$ is measurable (certainly the case for $h_{0}$ ), since $\left\{y \in \Omega_{2}: g_{n}(y) \leqq t\right\}=\left\{y \in \Omega_{2}: C\left(y: h_{n}, t\right) \geqq 1\right\}$ and since $C\left(y: h_{n}, t\right)$ is a measurable function of $y$ for each fixed $t$. By Fubini's theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{1}} R\left(x: h_{n}, g_{n}\right) d \lambda_{1}(x)=\int_{\Omega_{2}} C\left(y: h_{n}, g_{n}\right) d \lambda_{2}(y)=1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus if $R\left(x: h_{n}, g_{n}\right) \geqq 1$ for all $x$ in $\Omega_{1}$, then $R\left(x: h_{n}, g_{n}\right)=1$ almost everywhere - $\lambda_{1}$, and the proof is complete. If for some $x \in \Omega_{1}$, $R\left(x: h_{n}, g_{n}\right)<1$, we define $h_{n+1}(x)$ to be the numbert for $t$ which $R\left(x: t, g_{n}\right)=1$. The existence and uniqueness of $h_{n+1}(x)$ follow from our assumptions on $K$. We set $h_{n+1}(x)=h_{n}(x)$ at every $x$ where $R\left(x: h_{n}, g_{n}\right) \geqq 1$. Just as for $g_{n}$, we see that $h_{n+1}$ is measurable (since $g_{n}$ is measurable).

Let $A_{n}=\left\{x \in \Omega_{1} \mid R\left(x: h_{n}, g_{n}\right) \leqq 1\right\}$. If for some $n \geqq 0, \lambda_{1}\left(A_{n}\right)=1$ we stop our iteration since this implies that $R\left(x: h_{n}, g_{n}\right)=1$ a.e. $-\lambda_{1}$, so we can take $h_{n}$ and $g_{n}$ to be $h$ and $g$ of the theorem. We shall assume henceforth that $\lambda_{1}\left(A_{n}\right)<1$ for every $n$.

Observe that $h_{n+1}(x) \geqq h_{n}(x)$ for every $x$, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=C\left(y: h_{n}, g_{n}\right) \leqq C\left(y: h_{n+1}, g_{n}\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently $g_{n+1}(y) \leqq g_{n}(y)$ for every $y$. It follows from this mono-
tonicity that the limits $h=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{n}$ and $g=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} g_{n}$ exist. We shall now show that this choice of $h$ and $g$ satisfies the theorem.

By our construction, $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence of sets. Set $A=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{n}$. Since $\lambda_{1}\left(A_{n}\right)<1$, the complementary set $A_{n}^{c}$ is a set of positive measure for each $n$. For $x \in A_{n}^{c}, h_{n}(x)=\alpha$ whence

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 \leqq R\left(x: h_{n}, g_{n}\right)= & \int_{\Omega_{2}} f(x, y) K\left(\alpha, g_{n}(y)\right) d \lambda_{2}(y) \\
& \leqq C \int_{\Omega_{2}} K\left(\alpha, g_{n}(y)\right) d \lambda_{2}(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

This inequality holds for each $n$, so one may take limits to obtain

$$
1 \leqq C \int_{\Omega_{2}} K(\alpha, g(y)) d \lambda_{2}(y)
$$

Thus there are positive numbers $r$ and $\sigma$ such that $\lambda_{2}\left\{y \in \Omega_{2}: g(y) \geqq r\right\}>\sigma$. Then for arbitrary $n$ and $x \in A_{n}$,

$$
1 \geqq c \int_{\Omega_{2}} K\left(h_{n}, g_{n}\right) d \lambda_{2}(y) \geqq c \sigma K\left(h_{n}(x), r\right)
$$

Hence, by taking limits on $n$, one obtains $1 \geqq c \sigma K(h(x), r)$ for each $x \in A$. Let $t$ be a number for which $1=c \sigma K(t, r)$. Then $h(x) \leqq t$ for $x \in A$, and $h(x)=\alpha$ for $x \in A^{c}$, whence $h(x) \leqq \beta=\max (\alpha, t)<1$ for all $x \in \Omega_{1}$. But for all $y \in \Omega_{2}$ and all $n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
1= & \int_{\Omega_{1}} f(x, y) K\left(h_{n}(x), g_{n}(y)\right) d \lambda_{1}(x) \\
& \leqq C K\left(\beta, g_{n}(y)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

thus $g(y) \geqq \gamma>0$ where $\gamma$ satisfies $C^{-1}=K(\beta, \gamma)$.
The import of the above is that the set $\left\{\left(h_{n}(x), g_{n}(y)\right):(x, y) \in \Omega\right.$, $n \geqq 0\}$ is contained in a compact subset of the interior of $[0,1] \times[0,1]$, on which $K$ is continuous, and hence bounded. Therefore, by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem

$$
1=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} C\left(y: h_{n}, g_{n}\right)=\int_{\Omega_{1}} f(x, y) K(h(x), g(y)) d \lambda_{1}(x)
$$

and

$$
1=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} R\left(x: h_{n+\frac{1}{1}}, g_{n}\right)=\int_{\Omega_{2}} f(x, y) K(h(x), g(y)) d \lambda_{2}(y)
$$

for $x \in A$. Moreover

$$
1 \leqq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} R\left(x: h_{n}, g_{n}\right)=\int_{\Omega_{2}} f(x, y) K(h(x), g(y)) d \lambda_{2}(y),
$$

for $x \notin A$. But an inequality here on a set of positive $\lambda_{1}$-measure is
impossible by (5), thereby completing the proof.
Corollary (Sinkhorn [3]). Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ be an $m$ by matrix of positive elements. There exist diagonal matrices $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ of positive diagonal elements for which the matrix $D_{1} A D_{2}$ is doubly stochastic.

Proof. In the above theorem let $\Omega_{1}=\Omega_{2}=\{1,2, \cdots, m\}$ and let $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}$ be the uniform measure, $\lambda_{1}(\{j\})=1 / m$. Set $K(u, v)=$ $u v(1-u)^{-1}(1-v)^{-1}$ and $f(i, j)=a_{i j}$. By the theorem there exist functions $h$ and $g$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
m^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i j} h(i) g(j) & {[1-h(i)]^{-1}[1-g(j)]^{-1}=1 } \\
& =m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{i j} h(i) g(j)[1-h(i)]^{-1}[1-g(j)]^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The corollary is then proved if one lets $d_{1 i}=m^{-1 / 2}[1-h(i)]^{-1} h(i)$ and $d_{2 i}=m^{-1 / 2}[1-g(i)]^{-1} g(i)$ be the diagonal elements of $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ respectively.

The above result for symmetric matrices has also been obtained by Marcus and Newman [1] and Maxfield and Minc [2].

The application which motivated Sinkhorn's theorem was the case in which $A$ is the matrix of maximum likelihood estimates of a stochastic transition matrix $P$ of a Markov Chain. When it is further known that $P$ is actually doubly stochastic, then Sinkhorn's result shows that numbers $\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n} ; y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right\}$ exist such that $A$ can be renormalized by dividing the $i$ th row by $x_{i}$ and the $j$ th column by $y_{j}$ to obtain a doubly stochastic matrix. However, if one considers the maximum likelihood equations for the restricted case in which $P$ is known to be doubly stochastic one observes that the proper normalized form of $A$ (relative to the maximum likelihood approach) is a doubly stochastic matrix $B=\left(b_{i j}\right)$ with $b_{i j}=a_{i j}\left(x_{i}+y_{j}\right)^{-1}$. The existence of such a normalization follows straightforwardly from the proof of the above theorem. To see this, consider the function $K(u, v)=\left[v^{-1}-\right.$ $\left.(1-u)^{-1}\right]^{-1}$ defined on the triangular region $u>0, v>0, u+v<1$. This function is nonnegative and continuous on this triangle. Moreover, both $K(u, \cdot)$ and $K(\cdot, v)$ are strictly increasing functions wherever defined and the ranges of $K(u, \cdot)$ and $K(\cdot, v)$ are respectively $(0, \infty)$ and $\left(v[1-v]^{-1}, \infty\right)$ for each fixed $u$ and $v$. Let $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ be the same discrete measures as used in the proof of the above corollary. The functions $R\left(x: h_{n}, g_{n}\right)$ and $C\left(y: h_{n}, g_{n}\right)$ then become finite sums. The only change required in the proof is that one must show that the points $\left(h_{n}(x), g_{n}(y)\right.$ ), for all $n \geqq 1$ and all $x$ and $y$, are well defined and contained in a compact subset of the domain of $K$. That this is
true follows from the assumptions on the monotonicity, continuity and range of $K$, combined with the fact that the integrals are finite sums. Actually, because of these properties, it is clear that $K\left(h_{n}(x), g_{n}(y)\right)$ is bounded by $m c^{-1}$ for all $n$ and $y$.
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