

# ON ARITHMETIC PROPERTIES OF COEFFICIENTS OF RATIONAL FUNCTIONS

DAVID G. CANTOR

**The purpose of this note is to prove the following generalization of a result of Polya:**

**THEOREM.** Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence of algebraic integers, and  $f$  a nonzero polynomial with complex coefficients. If  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n)a_n z^n$  is a rational function, then so is  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ .

Polya [3] has proved that if  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} na_n z^n$  is a rational function, then so is  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ . It follows immediately from Polya's result that if  $k$  is a rational integer and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n-k)a_n z^n$  is a rational function, then so is  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ . It is then easy to prove inductively, that if  $f$  is a polynomial with complex coefficients, all of whose roots are rational integers, and if  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n)a_n z^n$  is a rational function, then so is  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ .

Suppose  $K$  is an algebraic number field and  $A \subset K$  is an ideal. If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are algebraic numbers in  $K$ , we say, as usual, that  $\alpha \equiv \beta(A)$ , if there exists a rational integer  $r$ , relatively prime to  $A$ , such that  $r\alpha$  and  $r\beta$  are algebraic integers and  $(r\alpha - r\beta) \in A$ . We say that  $A$  divides the numerator (denominator) of  $\alpha$  if  $\alpha \equiv 0(A)$  ( $(1/\alpha) \equiv 0(A)$ ). We denote the norm of the ideal  $A$  by  $NmA$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field and  $\alpha \in K$  an algebraic number. Then the set of those prime ideals of  $K$  which divide the numerator of some element of the sequence  $\{k - \alpha : k = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  is infinite.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $n$  is a rational integer such that  $n\alpha$  is an algebraic integer, and suppose  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_r$  are the only prime ideal divisors of the sequence  $\{nk - n\alpha : k = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ . Now  $Nm(nk - n\alpha)$  is a non-constant polynomial  $g(k)$  with rational integral coefficients. Hence for each rational integer  $k$ , there exist rational integers  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r$  such that  $g(k) = \mp \prod_{i=1}^r (NmP_i)^{s_i}$ . Thus there are only finitely many rational primes which divide some element of the sequence  $\{g(k) : k = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ . But this is false [2, p. 82].

**REMARK.** A less elementary proof of Lemma 1 is obtained by observing that if  $P$  is a prime ideal with residue class degree 1, and not dividing the denominator of  $\alpha$ , then there exists a rational integer

---

Received August 13, 1963.

$n$  such that  $n \equiv \alpha(P)$ ; since the set of such prime ideals has Dirichlet density 1, among all prime ideals, there are infinitely many of them.

**LEMMA 2.** *Suppose  $\{a_n\}$  is a sequence of algebraic integers and  $\alpha$  is an algebraic number. If  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n - \alpha)a_n z^n$  is a rational function then so is  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n - \alpha)a_n z^n$  is a rational function, there exist distinct nonzero algebraic numbers  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_m$  and polynomials with algebraic coefficients  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$  such that

$$(1) \quad (n - \alpha)a_n = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i(n) \theta_i^n,$$

for all  $n \geq n_0$ , where  $n_0$  is a rational integer. By replacing the sequence  $\{a_n\}$  by the sequence  $\{a_{n+n_0}\}$  if necessary, we may assume that (1) holds for all  $n \geq 0$ . Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field which contains  $\alpha$ , the coefficients of the  $\lambda_i$ , and the  $\theta_i$ . Choose a rational integer  $k$  and a prime ideal  $P \subset K$  such that  $P$  divides the numerator of  $k - \alpha$  and does not divide the numerator or denominator of  $\alpha$ , the  $\theta_i$ , the differences  $(\theta_i - \theta_j)$  ( $i \neq j$ ), and the coefficients of the  $\lambda_i$ ; by Lemma 1, there are infinitely many choices for the prime ideal  $P$ . Suppose that  $NmP = p^f$  where  $p$  is a rational prime. We substitute  $n = k + jp^f$  in (1), where  $j$  is a rational integer:

$$(k + jp^f - \alpha)a_n = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i(k + jp^f) \theta_i^{k+jp^f}.$$

Since  $p^f \equiv 0(P)$  and  $k \equiv \alpha(P)$ , we obtain

$$0 \equiv \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i(\alpha) \theta_i^k \theta_i^{jp^f}(P).$$

But  $\theta_i^{jp^f} \equiv \theta_i^j(P)$ , hence

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i(\alpha) \theta_i^{k+j} \equiv 0(P).$$

The  $m$  equations obtained from (2) by successively substituting  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1$  are linear in the  $\lambda_i(\alpha)$  and have as determinant  $\prod_{i=1}^m \theta_i^k$  times the Vandermonde determinant  $\det \|\theta_i^j\|$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq m-1$ , which is not  $\equiv 0(P)$ , since  $P$  does not divide any of the  $\theta_i$  or the differences  $(\theta_i - \theta_j)$  ( $i \neq j$ ). Hence

$$(3) \quad \lambda_i(\alpha) \equiv 0(P), 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

By Lemma 1, (3) is true for infinitely many prime ideals  $P$ , hence  $\lambda_i(\alpha) = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . It follows that the polynomials  $\lambda_i(n)$  are divis-

ible by  $n - \alpha$ . Put  $\mu_i(n) = \lambda_i(n)/(n - \alpha)$ ;  $\mu_i(n)$  is a polynomial with algebraic coefficients. By (1)

$$a_n = \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i(n) \theta_i^n.$$

Thus  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  is a rational function.

LEMMA 3. *Suppose  $\{a_n\}$  is a sequence of algebraic numbers and  $f$  is a nonzero polynomial with complex coefficients. If  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n) a_n z^n$  is a rational function, then there exists a nonzero polynomial  $g$  with algebraic coefficients such that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(n) a_n z^n$  is a rational function.*

*Proof.* There exist distinct nonzero complex numbers  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_m$  and nonzero polynomials with complex coefficients  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$  such that

$$(4) \quad f(n) a_n = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i(n) \theta_i^n,$$

for all large  $n$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that (4) holds for all  $n \geq 0$ . In what follows, all fields are considered as subfields of the field of complex numbers. Denote by  $\Omega$  the field of algebraic numbers, and by  $L$  the smallest field which contains  $\Omega$ , the  $\theta_i$ , and all of the coefficients of the polynomials  $f, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$ .

Since  $L$  is finitely generated over  $\Omega$ , it has a finite transcendence basis  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r$ . Each of the  $\theta_i$ , the coefficients of the  $\lambda_i$ , and the coefficients of  $f$  satisfies an irreducible polynomial equation whose coefficients are elements of  $\Omega[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r]$ . Let  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_s$  be all of the nonzero coefficients of these polynomials;  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_s$  are polynomials in  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r$  with coefficients in  $\Omega$ . Since there are only finitely many such polynomials, there exist algebraic numbers  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_r$  such that  $h(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_r) \neq 0, 1 \leq i \leq s$ . The map  $x_i \rightarrow \xi_i$  gives rise to a homomorphism of the ring  $\Omega[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r]$  onto  $\Omega$ , which is the identity on  $\Omega$ . By the extension of place theorem [1, p. 8], this homomorphism can be extended to a place  $\varphi: L \rightarrow \Omega$ , which is the identity on  $\Omega$ . If  $\alpha \in L$ , we denote by  $\bar{\alpha}$  the image of  $\alpha$  under  $\varphi$  and if  $b$  is a polynomial,  $b(n) = \sum_{i=1}^t b_i n^i$  with coefficients  $b_i \in L$ , we denote by  $\bar{b}$  the polynomial with  $\bar{b}(n) = \sum_{i=1}^t \bar{b}_i n^i$ . The  $\theta_i$  and the coefficients of  $f, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$  satisfy nonconstant polynomials  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_v$  with nonzero constant term; the nonzero coefficients of these polynomials are the  $h_j$ . Under the place  $\varphi$  the  $h_j$  go into finite nonzero algebraic numbers  $\bar{h}_j$ . Hence the polynomial  $\bar{g}_k$  has the same degree as  $g_k$ , all of its terms are finite, and its constant term is not zero ( $1 \leq k \leq v$ ). The  $\bar{\theta}_i$  and the coefficients of  $\bar{f}, \bar{\lambda}_1, \bar{\lambda}_2, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_m$  are roots of these poly-

nomials; hence the  $\bar{\theta}_i$  are finite, nonzero algebraic numbers, and the  $\bar{f}$ ,  $\bar{\lambda}_1$ ,  $\bar{\lambda}_2$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\bar{\lambda}_m$  are nonzero polynomials, with finite, algebraic coefficients. Applying the place  $\varphi$  to both terms in (4), and putting  $\bar{f} = g$ , yields, since  $\bar{a}_n = a_n$

$$g(n)a_n = \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{\lambda}_i(n)\bar{\theta}_i^n.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(n)a_n z^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{\lambda}_i(n)\bar{\theta}_i^n z^n$$

is a rational function, and  $g$  is a nonzero polynomial with algebraic coefficients.

*Proof of theorem.* By Lemma 3, we may assume that  $f$  has algebraic integer coefficients. Let  $\alpha$  be a root of  $f$  and  $g(n) = f(n)/(n - \alpha)$ ; by the lemma of Gause,  $g(n)$  is a polynomial with algebraically integral coefficients. Put  $b_n = g(n)a_n$ ;  $\{b_n\}$  is a sequence of algebraic integers and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n - \alpha)b_n z^n$  is a rational function. By Lemma 2, so is  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ . Proceeding inductively, on the degree of  $f$ , we see that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  is a rational function.

REMARK. By the Remark following Lemma 1, one can replace, in the theorem, the requirement that the  $a_n$  be integers, by the requirement that the set of prime ideal divisors of the denominators of the  $a_n$  has Dirichlet density less than 1 among all prime ideals.

Let  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ , where the  $a_n$  are rational integers. Polya's theorem then asserts that if  $f'(z)$  is a rational function, so is  $f(z)$ . The corresponding assertion of our generalization of Polya's theorem is: Let  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  be a power series with algebraically integral coefficients. If there exists a nonzero differential operator  $L$ , of the form  $L = \sum_{i=0}^r c_i (z d/dz)^i$  ( $c_i$  complex numbers), such that  $Lf$  is a rational function, then so is  $f(z)$ .

## REFERENCES

1. S. Lang, *Introduction to algebraic geometry*, New York, 1958.
2. T. Nagell, *Introduction to number theory*, New York, 1958.
3. G. Polya, *Arithmetische Eigenschaften der Reihenentwicklungen*, J. Reine u. angew. Math., **151** (1921), 1-31.

INSTITUTE FOR DEFENSE ANALYSES  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON