

ON THE STABILITY OF THE SET OF EXPONENTS OF A CAUCHY EXPONENTIAL SERIES

S. VERBLUNSKY

If $f \in L(-D, D)$ and $Q(z)$ is a meromorphic function whose poles, all simple, forms a sub-set of the set $\{\lambda_\nu\}$ ($\nu = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$), then the C.E.S. (Cauchy exponential series) of f with respect to $Q(z)$ is $\sum c_\nu e^{\lambda_\nu z}$, where

$$c_\nu e^{\lambda_\nu z} = \operatorname{res}_{\lambda_\nu} Q(z) \int_{-D}^D f(t) e^{z(x-t)} dt.$$

Suppose we are given a class A of functions f each of which can be 'represented' in $(-D, D)$ by its C.E.S. with respect to $Q(z)$. We define a set of neighbourhoods U of $\{\lambda_\nu\}$. Then $\{\lambda_\nu\}$ is *stable* if there is a U such that to each $\{\kappa_\nu\} \in U$ there corresponds a meromorphic function $q(z)$ whose poles, all simple, form a sub-set of $\{\kappa_\nu\}$ and which is such that each $f \in A$ can be represented in $(-D, D)$ by its C.E.S. with respect to $q(z)$; and $\{\lambda_\nu\}$ is *unstable* if there is no such neighbourhood.

The case in which $\lambda_\nu = i\nu$, A is $BV[-D, D]$, 'representation of f in $(-D, D)$ ' means ' $\sum_{|\nu| \leq n} c_\nu e^{\lambda_\nu z} \rightarrow 1/2 (f(x+) + f(x-))$ boundedly within (D, D) ' is considered. It is shown, in particular, that with reasonable conditions on the set of neighbourhoods U , $\{i\nu\}$ is *unstable* if $D > 1/2 \pi$, and *stable* if $D = 1/2 \pi$.

Let $D > 0$ and $f \in L(-D, D)$. Let $Q(z)$ be a meromorphic function whose poles, all simple, form a sub-set of the set $\{\lambda_\nu\}$ ($\nu = 0, \pm 1, \dots$). Here, and in what follows, the use of the symbol $\{\lambda_\nu\}$ implies that $\lambda_\nu \neq \lambda_{\nu'}$ if $\nu \neq \nu'$. The C. E. S. (Cauchy exponential series) of f with respect to Q is $\sum c_\nu e^{\lambda_\nu z}$ where

$$c_\nu e^{\lambda_\nu z} = \operatorname{res}_{\lambda_\nu} Q(z) \int_{-D}^D f(t) e^{z(x-t)} dt.$$

Suppose that the set $\{\lambda_\nu\}$ is such that, for a class A of functions f , the C.E.S. of f 'represents' f in $(-D, D)$. Then we may consider the question of the stability of the set $\{\lambda_\nu\}$. We define, in some way, a set of neighbourhoods U of $\{\lambda_\nu\}$. Then $\{\lambda_\nu\}$ is *stable* if there is a neighbourhood U such that to each $\{\kappa_\nu\} \in U$, there corresponds a meromorphic function $q(z)$ whose poles, all simple, form a sub-set of $\{\kappa_\nu\}$, and which is such that each $f \in A$ can be represented in $(-D, D)$ by its C.E.S. with respect to $q(z)$; and $\{\lambda_\nu\}$ is *unstable* if there is no such neighbourhood. The stability of $\{\lambda_\nu\}$ depends on the value of D , the class A , the, particular meaning we give to the 'representation' of f ,

Received July 27, 1964.

and finally on the definition of the set of neighbourhoods U . In this note, we confine our attention to the simplest case: $\lambda_\nu = i\nu$, A is the class of functions f which are $BV[-D, D]$ and satisfy $2f(x) = f(x+) + f(x-)$ in $(-D, D)$, ‘representation’ of f means ‘bounded convergence to $f(x)$ within $(-D, D)$ ’, i.e., for each δ satisfying $0 < \delta < D$, $\sum_{|\nu| \leq n} c_\nu e^{\lambda_\nu z} \rightarrow f(x)$ boundedly in the segment $|x| \leq D - \delta$. We recall that if $D = \pi$, then each $f \in A$ can be represented by its C.E.S. with respect to $Q_0(z) = 1/2 \coth \pi z$, since, in this case, the C.E.S. is the Fourier series of f . Let us suppose that to each neighbourhood U there corresponds an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\{\mu_\nu\} \in U$ if $\sum |\mu_\nu - \lambda_\nu| < \varepsilon$; and to each $\delta > 0$ there corresponds a neighbourhood U_δ such that if $\{\mu_\nu\} \in U_\delta$ then $\sup |\mu_\nu - \lambda_\nu| < \delta$. What we prove, implies that $\{i\nu\}$ is *unstable* if $D > \pi/2$, and *stable* if $D = \pi/2$. We shall, however, prove more than this, viz.

THEOREM 1. *Let $\{l_\nu\}$ be a real set not containing every integer, such that l_ν is an integer for $|\nu| \geq N$. If $D > \pi/2$, then there is no meromorphic function $q(z)$ whose poles, all simple, form a sub-set of $\{il_\nu\}$ and which is such that each $f \in A$ can be represented by its C.E.S. with respect to q .*

THEOREM 2. *Let $l_\nu = \nu + \alpha_\nu + i\beta_\nu$, where α_ν, β_ν are real numbers which satisfy*

$$\overline{\lim}_{|\nu| \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_\nu| < \frac{1}{8}, \quad \overline{\lim}_{|\nu| \rightarrow \infty} |\beta_\nu| < \infty .$$

If $D = \pi/2$, there exists a meromorphic function $q(z)$ whose poles, all simple, form a sub-set of $\{il_\nu\}$ and which is such that each $f \in A$ can be represented by its C.E.S. with respect to q .

THEOREM 3. *The conclusion of Theorem 2 holds if the condition on α_ν is replaced by $\sup |\alpha_\nu| < 1/4$.*

The relation between Theorem 2 and the work of Korovs [1] is explained in § 6. The relation between Theorem 3 and the work of Levinson [2] is explained in § 7.

2. Let $0 < D \leq \pi$, and let A have the meaning specified in § 1.

LEMMA 1. *If $H_n(t) \in L(-2D, 2D)$ for $n \geq n_0$, then, in order that for each $f \in A$,*

$$\int_{-D}^D f(t)H_n(t-x)dt \rightarrow f(x)$$

boundedly within $(-D, D)$, it is necessary and sufficient that

$$\int_0^t H_n(u) du \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn} t$$

boundedly within $(-2D, 2D)$.

Proof. Let

$$J_n(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\sin\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)u}{\sin \frac{1}{2}u}.$$

Then for each $f \in A$,

$$\int_{-D}^D f(t) J_n(t-x) dt \rightarrow f(x)$$

boundedly within $(-D, D)$, and

$$\int_0^t J_n(u) du \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn} t$$

boundedly within $(-2D, 2D)$. Let $K_n(u) = H_n(u) - J_n(u)$. It suffices to prove: in order that for each $f \in A$,

$$\int_{-D}^D f(t) K_n(t-x) dt \rightarrow 0$$

boundedly within $(-D, D)$, it is necessary and sufficient that

$$k_n(t) = \int_0^t K_n(u) du \rightarrow 0$$

boundedly within $(-2D, 2D)$.

Sufficiency. We have

$$(1) \quad \int_{-D}^D f(t) K_n(t-x) dt = f(D) k_n(D-x) - f(-D) k_n(-D-x) \\ - \int_{-D}^D k_n(t-x) df(t)$$

and the second member tends to zero boundedly within $(-D, D)$.

Necessity. In the first place, it is necessary that for each $\tau \in (-2D, 2D)$, $k_n(\tau) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For let $\alpha, \beta \in (-D, D)$ and let $x = \alpha$. Let $f(t) = 1$ in the open interval, and let $f(t) = 0$ outside the closed interval, whose end points are α, β . Then

$$k_n(\beta - \alpha) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} K_n(t - \alpha) dt \rightarrow 0 .$$

Since α, β can be chosen so that $\beta - \alpha$ has any assigned value in $(-2D, 2D)$, this proves our assertion.

By (1), for each $x \in (-D, D)$, the functions $k_n(t - x)$ of t , for $n \geq n_0$, form a sequence of elements of $C[-D, D]$ such that

$$\int_{-D}^D k_n(t - x) df(t)$$

is convergent for each $f \in A$. By the principle of uniform boundedness, it follows that

$$\sup_{t \in [-D, D]} |k_n(t - x)| < \infty .$$

Choose $x = D - \delta$. Then $k_n(t)$ is uniformly bounded in $[-2D + \delta, \delta]$. Choose $x = -D + \delta$. Then $k_n(t)$ is uniformly bounded in $[-\delta, 2D - \delta]$. Hence $k_n(t)$ is uniformly bounded within $(-2D, 2D)$ as required.

3. *Proof of Theorem 1.* We may suppose that $D \leq \pi$. Let ω be chosen to satisfy $\pi < \omega < 2D$. We choose the notation so that if $0 \in \{l_\nu\}$ then $0 = l_0$. If a meromorphic function $q(z)$, with the properties mentioned in the enunciation, exists, let C_n denote a contour which contains in its interior precisely those il_ν for which $|\nu| \leq n$, and which does not pass through any of the il_ν . Let

$$(2) \quad H_n(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_n} q(z) e^{-zu} dz .$$

If $\sum c_\nu e^{il_\nu x}$ is the C.E.S. of f with respect to $q(z)$, then

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{|\nu| \leq n} c_\nu e^{il_\nu x} &= \sum_{|\nu| \leq n} \operatorname{res}_{il_\nu} q(z) \int_{-D}^D f(t) e^{x(x-t)} dt \\ &= \int_{-D}^D f(t) H_n(t - x) dt . \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^x H_n(u) du &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_n} q(z) \frac{1 - e^{-zx}}{z} dz \\ &= \sum_{|\nu| \leq n} \frac{r_\nu}{il_\nu} (1 - e^{-il_\nu x}) \end{aligned}$$

where r_ν is the residue of $q(z)$ at il_ν and where, if $l_0 = 0$, we use the convention

$$(5) \quad \frac{1 - e^{-il_0 t}}{il_0} = \lim_{l \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - e^{-ilt}}{il} = t .$$

By Lemma 1, it is necessary that

$$(6) \quad \sum_{|\nu| \leq n} \frac{r_\nu}{il_\nu} (1 - e^{-il_\nu x}) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn} x$$

boundedly within $(-2D, 2D)$, and hence in $[-\omega, \omega]$. Let $x \in (-\omega, \omega - 2\pi)$. Then for $|\nu| \geq N$, the terms on the left are unaltered on replacing x by $x + 2\pi$. By subtraction, it follows that

$$(7) \quad \sum_{|\nu| < N} \frac{r_\nu}{il_\nu} e^{-il_\nu x} (e^{-il_\nu 2\pi} - 1) = -1$$

for such x , and hence for all x . We note that if $l_0 = 0$, the term with $\nu = 0$ is $-r_0 2\pi$. At this point, we distinguish to cases, (a) $l_0 \neq 0$, (b) $l_0 = 0$.

In case (a), we integrate (7) over $(-X, X)$, divide by $2X$, and let $X \rightarrow \infty$. We obtain a contradiction. In case (b), we take mean values as in case (a), and deduce that the term with $\nu = 0$ is -1 . Then (7) implies that

$$\sum_{0 < |\nu| < N} \frac{r_\nu}{il_\nu} e^{-il_\nu x} (e^{-il_\nu 2\pi} - 1) = 0$$

for all x . If we multiply this by its conjugate, and take mean values, we deduce that

$$(8) \quad \sum_{0 < |\nu| < N} \frac{|r_\nu|^2}{l_\nu^2} \sin^2 \pi l_\nu = 0.$$

By (6),

$$\sum_{0 < |\nu| \leq n} \frac{r_\nu}{il_\nu} (1 - e^{-il_\nu x}) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \sin x - \frac{x}{2\pi}$$

boundedly within $(-2D, 2D)$. Considering odd parts, it follows that

$$(9) \quad \sum_{0 < |\nu| \leq n} \frac{r_\nu}{l_\nu} \sin l_\nu x \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn} x - \frac{x}{2\pi}$$

boundedly within $(-2D, 2D)$. By hypothesis, there is an integer μ say, which is not one of the l_ν ; and $\mu \neq 0$ since $l_0 = 0$. By (8), $r_\nu = 0$ if l_ν is not an integer. Hence, on multiplying both sides of (9) by $\mu \sin \mu x$ and integrating over $(-\pi, \pi)$, we obtain $0 = 1$, a contradiction.

4. *Proof of Theorem 2.* For all sufficiently large n , the circle $\Gamma_n : |z| = n + 1/2$, contains in its interior the points il_ν for $|\nu| \leq n$, and every point on Γ_n is at a distance greater than $3/8$ from all the points il_ν . Let $q(z)$ be a meromorphic function whose poles, all simple,

form a sub-set of $\{il_\nu\}$, and define $H_n(u)$ by (2) with C_n replaced by Γ_n . Using the notation of §§ 1, 2, we have

$$J_n(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} Q_0(z) e^{-zu} dz,$$

and therefore, as in § 2, it suffices to prove that we can choose $q(z)$ so that

$$\int_0^x K_n(u) du = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} (q(z) - Q_0(z)) \frac{1 - e^{-zx}}{z} dz \rightarrow 0$$

boundedly within $(-\pi, \pi)$.

Write

$$P(z) = (z - il_0) \prod_1^\infty \left(1 - \frac{z}{il_\nu}\right) \left(1 - \frac{z}{il_{-\nu}}\right).$$

In § 5, we shall prove

LEMMA 2. *As $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, $P(z) = o(|z|^{1/2} e^{\pi|r \operatorname{Re} z|})$. On Γ_n , $|P(z)|^{-1} = o(n^{1/2} e^{-\pi|r \operatorname{Re} z|})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

The meromorphic function $Q_0(z)P(z)$ is regular, except possibly at the points $i\nu$, which are at most simple poles of residue $P(i\nu)/2\pi$. By Lemma 2, $P(i\nu) = o(|\nu|^{1/2})$. Hence we can define the meromorphic function

$$R(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\frac{P(0)}{z} + \sum' P(i\nu) \left(\frac{1}{z - i\nu} + \frac{1}{i\nu} \right) \right]$$

which has the same principal parts as $Q_0(z)P(z)$. Thus

$$Q_0(z)P(z) = R(z) + S(z)$$

where $S(z)$ is an integral function. We can write $q(z)P(z) = F(z)$, where $F(z)$ is an integral function. Then

$$(10) \quad q(z) - Q_0(z) = \frac{F(z) - S(z) - R(z)}{P(z)}.$$

In § 5, we shall prove

LEMMA 3. *On Γ_n , $R(z) = o(n^{1/2})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

We choose $F(z)$ so that the numerator in (10) will not be of a greater order of magnitude than $R(z)$. This means, since F and S are integral functions, that $F = S + c$ where c is a constant. Theorem 2 will follow if we show that

$$I_n(x) = \int_{r_n} \frac{c - R(z)}{P(z)} \cdot \frac{1 - e^{-zx}}{z} dz$$

tends to zero boundedly within $(-\pi, \pi)$. Write $z = (n + 1/2)e^{i\theta}$. By Lemmas 2 and 3,

$$\frac{c - R(z)}{P(z)} = o(ne^{-n\pi|\cos \theta|}).$$

If then $|x| \leq \pi - \delta$, $\delta > 0$, we have

$$I_n(x) = o\left(n \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-n\delta|\cos \theta|} d\theta\right) = o(1).$$

5. In order to prove Lemmas 2 and 3, it will be convenient to write

$$P(iz) = ip(z),$$

so that

$$p(z) = (z - l_0) \prod_1^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{l_\nu}\right) \left(1 - \frac{z}{l_{-\nu}}\right),$$

and

$$(11) \quad R(iz) = r(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\frac{p(0)}{z} + \sum' p(\nu) \left(\frac{1}{z - \nu} + \frac{1}{\nu} \right) \right].$$

We need the following result, which is a special case ($a = 0$) of [3] Theorem 1 (with a change of notation).

LEMMA 4. *Let L, M be positive numbers. Let $s_\nu = \nu + \sigma_\nu + i\tau_\nu$, where σ_ν, τ_ν are real numbers which satisfy $|\sigma_\nu| \leq L, |\tau_\nu| \leq M$ for all ν . Suppose that there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $|s_\nu| \geq \delta$ for all ν . Let*

$$\psi(z) = \left(1 - \frac{z}{s_0}\right) \prod_1^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{s_\nu}\right) \left(1 - \frac{z}{s_{-\nu}}\right).$$

Then there is a positive constant C (depending only on L, M, δ) such that,

- (i) *for all z , $|\psi(z)| < C(1 + |z|)^{4L} e^{\pi|imz|}$;*
- (ii) *if $|z - s_\nu| \geq \delta$ for all ν , then $|\psi(z)|^{-1} < C(1 + |z|)^{4L} e^{-\pi|imz|}$.*

Proof of Lemma 2. We can find a positive number $L < 1/8$ such that $|\alpha_\nu| \leq L$ for $|\nu| > N$ say; and a positive number M such that $|\beta_\nu| \leq M$ for all ν . In Lemma 4, choose $s_\nu = l_\nu$ for $|\nu| > N$; $= \nu$ for

$0 < |\nu| \leq N; = 3/8$ for $\nu = 0$. Then $p(z)/\psi(z)$ tends to a nonzero constant as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 4 (with $\delta = 3/8$), there is a positive constant D such that

- (i) $|p(z)| < D|z|^{4L}e^{\pi|imsz|}$ if $|z|$ is sufficiently large;
- (ii) if z is on Γ_n and n is sufficiently large then $|p(z)|^{-1} < Dn^{4L}e^{-\pi|imsz|}$ (the condition $|z - s_\nu| \geq 3/8$ for all ν being satisfied). Since $P(z) = ip(-iz)$, and $4L < 1/2$, the lemma follows.

Proof of Lemma 3. By (i) above, $p(\nu) = O(|\nu|^{4L})$. By (11), it will suffice to prove that if z is on Γ_n , then

$$\sum' \frac{zp(\nu)}{\nu(z - \nu)} = o(n^{1/2}).$$

The left hand side is

$$O \left[\sum_{0 < \nu \leq n} \frac{n\nu^{4L}}{\nu \left(n + \frac{1}{2} - \nu \right)} + \sum_{n < \nu \leq 2n} \frac{n\nu^{4L}}{\nu \left(\nu - n - \frac{1}{2} \right)} + \sum_{\nu > 2n} n\nu^{4L-2} \right].$$

The first and second sums are $O(n^{4L} \log n)$. The third sum is $O(n^{4L})$. This proves the lemma.

In Lemma 4, we could replace $4L$ by $2L$, if the σ_ν satisfy the further condition

$$\sum_{|\nu| \leq n} \frac{\sigma_\nu}{\nu + \frac{1}{2}} = O(1).$$

This follows from [3] Theorem 2. Hence, as the preceding proof shows, we can replace $1/8$ by $1/4$ in Theorem 2 if we add the condition

$$\sum_{|\nu| \leq n} \frac{\alpha_\nu}{\nu + \frac{1}{2}} = O(1).$$

6. The function $q(z)$ of § 4 is given by

$$q(z) = \frac{1}{2} \coth \pi z + \frac{c - R(z)}{P(z)}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} q_0(z) &= iq(iz) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cot \pi z + \frac{c - r(z)}{p(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\sum c_\nu e^{i\nu z}$ is the C.E.S. of f with respect to $q(z)$, then, for all sufficiently large n ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (12) \quad \sum_{|\nu| \leq n} c_\nu e^{il_\nu x} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{r_n} q(z) dz \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} f(t) e^{s(x-t)} dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{r_n} q_0(z) dz \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} f(t) e^{iz(x-t)} dt .
 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that $\beta_\nu = 0$ for all ν , and that c is real. Then $q_0(z)$ is real for real z , so that $q_0(\bar{z}) = \overline{q_0(z)}$. If

$$r_\nu = \operatorname{res}_{i l_\nu} q(z) = \operatorname{res}_{i l_\nu} q_0(z) ,$$

then r_ν is real. Let f be real. Write

$$a_\nu - ib_\nu = c_\nu = r_\nu \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} f(t) e^{-i l_\nu t} dt .$$

Equating real parts in (12), we get

$$(13) \quad \sum_{|\nu| \leq n} a_\nu \cos l_\nu x + b_\nu \sin l_\nu x = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{r_n} q_0(z) dz \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} f(t) \cos z(x-t) dt$$

We thus obtain the class of trigonometric series investigated by Korovs [1]. Theorem 2 shows, in this special case, not only that (13) converges boundedly to $f(x)$ within $(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$, but also that

$$\sum_{|\nu| \leq n} a_\nu \sin l_\nu x - b_\nu \cos l_\nu x$$

converges boundedly to zero.

7. We now turn to the proof of Theorem 3. We again suppose that the notation has been chosen so that if $0 \in \{l_\nu\}$, then $0 = l_0$. It will suffice to prove

LEMMA 5. *Under the conditions of Theorem 3, there are complex numbers w_ν such that*

$$\sum_{|\nu| \leq n} w_\nu e^{i l_\nu x} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn} x$$

boundedly within $(-\pi, \pi)$.

For then, by the classical theorem of Mittag-Leffler, there is a meromorphic function $q(z)$ whose poles form a sub-set of $\{i l_\nu\}$, the principal part at $i l_\nu$ being $i l_\nu w_\nu / (z - i l_\nu)$ if $l_\nu \neq 0$. If $l_0 = 0$, we allow the origin to be a regular point. Defining $H_n(u)$ by (2), we have

$$\int_0^x H_n(u) du = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma_n} q(z) \frac{1 - e^{-zx}}{z} dz$$

$$= \sum_{|\nu| \leq n} w_\nu (1 - e^{-i\nu x}) .$$

By Lemma 5,

$$\sum_{|\nu| \leq n} w_\nu \rightarrow 0 , \quad \sum_{|\nu| \leq n} w_\nu e^{-i\nu x} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn} x$$

boundedly within $(-\pi, \pi)$. Thus, Theorem 3 will follow from Lemma 1.

One way of proving Lemma 5 is to generalize the following theorem of Levinson [2, 48]: *if the real numbers λ_ν satisfy $|\lambda_\nu| \leq P < 1/4$, then there are numbers w_ν such that*

$$\sum_{|\nu| \leq n} \left[w_\nu e^{i\lambda_\nu x} - \frac{e^{-i\nu x}}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) e^{-i\nu t} dt \right]$$

converges uniformly to zero within $(-\pi, \pi)$ if $f \in L^2(-\pi, \pi)$. The generalization consists in showing that we can replace the real λ_ν by $\nu + \alpha_\nu + i\beta_\nu$, where $|\alpha_\nu| \leq P$ and $\overline{\lim}_{|\nu| \rightarrow \infty} |\beta_\nu| < \infty$. However, we only need the result for the function $f(t) = 1/2 \operatorname{sgn} t$. It seems worthwhile to prove this special case, for which the argument of Levinson can be given a rather simple form. This is done in § 9.

8. We need the following deduction from Lemma 4.

LEMMA 6. *Let $S_\nu = \nu + \sigma_\nu + i\tau_\nu$, where σ_ν, τ_ν are real numbers which satisfy $|\sigma_\nu| \leq P, |\tau_\nu| \leq Q$ for all ν , where $0 < P < 1/4$ and $Q > 0$. Let*

$$\Psi(z) = (z - S_0) \prod_1^\infty \left(1 - \frac{z}{S_\nu}\right) \left(1 - \frac{z}{S_{-\nu}}\right) .$$

Then there is a constant K (depending only on P and Q) such that

$$(14) \quad |\Psi(z)| < K(1 + |z|)^{4P} e^{\pi|z \operatorname{Im} z|} .$$

and there is a constant K_ε (depending only on P, Q and ε) such that

$$(15) \quad |\Psi(z)|^{-1} < K_\varepsilon(1 + |z|)^{4P} e^{-\pi|z \operatorname{Im} z|}$$

if $|z - S_\nu| \geq \varepsilon$ for all ν .

Proof. In the following proof, and in § 9, the symbols K, K_ε do not necessarily denote the same constants at each occurrence. In Lemma 4, choose $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}P, s_\nu = S_\nu$ for $\nu \neq 0$. For $|\nu| \geq 1$, we have

$$|s_\nu| > \frac{3}{4} . \quad \text{By Lemma 4 (with } \delta = \min(1/2P, 3/4)\text{),}$$

$$(16) \quad |\psi(z)| < K(1 + |z|)^{4P} e^{\pi|z \operatorname{Im} z|} .$$

Now

$$(17) \quad \Psi(z) = -\frac{P}{2} \left(\frac{z - S_0}{z - s_0} \right) \psi(z)$$

and $|(z - S_0)/(z - s_0)| < K$ for $|z - s_0| \geq 1/4$. For such z , (14) follows from (16). Finally, $|\Psi(z)| \leq K$ inside $|z - s_0| \leq 1/4$ since this is true on the boundary. This proves (14).

Let $|z - S_\nu| \geq \epsilon$ for all ν . If $|z - s_0| \geq \epsilon$ then

$$(18) \quad |\psi(z)|^{-1} < K_\epsilon (1 + |z|)^{4P} e^{-\pi |Imz|}$$

by Lemma 4, and $|(z - s_0)/(z - S_0)| < K_\epsilon$ so that (15) follows from (17) and (18). If, however, $|z - s_0| < \epsilon$, then for small ϵ the disc $\Delta: |z - s_0| < \epsilon$ is outside each disc $|z - S_\nu| < \epsilon$ ($\nu = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$). If it is outside the disc $\Delta': |z - S_0| < \epsilon$, then $(\Psi(z))^{-1}$ is regular in Δ and so $|\Psi(z)|^{-1} \leq K_\epsilon$ in Δ since this is true on the boundary. If Δ meets Δ' we apply this argument to the portion of Δ which is outside Δ' .

9. *Proof of Lemma 5.* By the hypothesis (of Theorem 3), there are positive numbers P, Q such that $|\alpha_\nu| \leq P < 1/4, |\beta_\nu| \leq Q$, for all ν . Let C_n denote the rectangular contour whose vertices are $\pm(n + 1/2) \pm ni$. Let

$$G(z) = (z - l_0) \prod_1^\infty \left(1 - \frac{z}{l_\nu} \right) \left(1 - \frac{z}{l_{-\nu}} \right).$$

We define

$$w_\nu = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{G(u)\varphi(u)}{G'(l_\nu)(u - l_\nu)} du$$

where

$$\varphi(u) = \frac{1 - \cos \pi u}{u}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\nu| \leq n} w_\nu e^{i\nu x} &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty G(u)\varphi(u) du \int_{\sigma_n} \frac{e^{i\xi x}}{G(\zeta)(u - \zeta)} d\zeta \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \varphi(u)e^{iux} du \int_{\sigma_n} \frac{d\zeta}{u - \zeta}. \end{aligned}$$

The last term is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-(n+1/2)}^{n+1/2} \varphi(u)e^{iux} du &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-(n+1/2)}^{n+1/2} \frac{1 - \cos \pi u}{u} \sin ux du \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn} x \end{aligned}$$

boundedly within $(-\pi, \pi)$. Hence it suffices to prove that $I_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ boundedly within $(-\pi, \pi)$, where

$$I_n(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(u)\varphi(u)du \int_{\sigma_n} \frac{e^{i\xi x}}{G(\zeta)(u-\zeta)} d\zeta.$$

Since $G(z)$ is a function $\Psi(z)$, we have by (15), $|G(\zeta)|^{-1} < Kn^{4P}e^{-\pi n}$ on the horizontal sides of C_n . Further,

$$|e^{i\xi x}| \leq e^{n|x|}, \quad |u-\zeta|^{-1} < K(1+|u|)^{-1}, \quad |\varphi(u)| < K(1+|u|)^{-1}.$$

Since $|G(u)| < K(1+|u|)^{4P}$ by (14), the contribution to I_n of a horizontal side of C_n does not exceed in absolute value

$$Kn^{1+4P}e^{-n(\pi-|x|)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{du}{(1+|u|)^{2-4P}},$$

and tends to zero uniformly within $(-\pi, \pi)$. It remains to consider the contribution to I_n of a vertical side of C_n , say the right side. This contribution is

$$\begin{aligned} J_n(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(u)\varphi(u)du \int_{-in}^{in} \frac{e^{ix(n+1/2+\zeta)}}{G\left(n+\frac{1}{2}+\zeta\right)(u-n-\frac{1}{2}-\zeta)} d\zeta \\ (19) \quad &= e^{ix(n+1/2)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G\left(u+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\varphi\left(u+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)du \\ &\quad \times \int_{-in}^{in} \frac{e^{ix\zeta}}{G\left(n+\frac{1}{2}+\zeta\right)(u-\zeta)} d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

For all ν , we define $l'_\nu = -n + l_{\nu+n}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G(z)}{G(w)} &= \frac{(z-l_0)}{(w-l_0)} \prod_1^{\infty} \frac{(z-l_\nu)(z-l_{-\nu})}{(w-l_\nu)(w-l_{-\nu})} \\ &= \frac{z-n-l'_0}{w-n-l'_0} \prod_1^{\infty} \frac{(z-n-l'_{\nu-n})(z-n-l'_{-\nu-n})}{(w-n-l'_{\nu-n})(w-n-l'_{-\nu-n})} \\ &= \frac{G_n(z-n)}{G_n(w-n)} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G_n(z) = (z-l'_0) \prod_1^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{l'_\nu}\right) \left(1 - \frac{z}{l'_{-\nu}}\right)$$

and $l'_\nu = \nu + \alpha'_\nu + i\beta'_\nu$, $\alpha'_\nu = \alpha_{\nu+n}$, $\beta'_\nu = \beta_{\nu+n}$. Then $|\alpha'_\nu| \leq P$, $|\beta'_\nu| \leq Q$. Hence $G_n(z)$ is a function $\Psi(z)$ (of Lemma 6) and satisfies the inequalities (14), (15) with constants K, K_s independent of n . In (19), we use the equation

$$\frac{G\left(u + n + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{G\left(\zeta + n + \frac{1}{2}\right)} = \frac{G_n\left(u + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{G_n\left(\zeta + \frac{1}{2}\right)}.$$

It follows that

$$|J_n(x)| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left|G_n\left(u + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right| \varphi\left(u + n + \frac{1}{2}\right) |J| du$$

where

$$J = \int_{\gamma} \frac{e^{ix\zeta}}{G_n\left(\zeta + \frac{1}{2}\right)(u - \zeta)} d\zeta$$

and γ denotes the path from $-in$ to in modified by replacing the segment $(-i/8, i/8)$ by the right half or the left half of the circle $|\zeta| = 1/8$, according as $u < 0$ or $u > 0$. On γ , $re(\zeta + 1/2)$ is between $3/8$ and $5/8$, and therefore $\zeta + 1/2$ is at a distance greater than $1/8$ from all the zeros of $G_n(z)$. By Lemma 6, $|G_n(\zeta + 1/2)|^{-1} < Ke^{-\pi|\eta|}(1 + |\eta|)$, where $\eta = im \zeta$. Further $|u - \zeta|^{-1} < K(1 + |u|)^{-1}$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} |J| &< \frac{K}{1 + |u|} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-|\eta|(\pi - |x|)}(1 + |\eta|) d\eta \\ &< \frac{K}{(1 + |u|)(\pi - |x|)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|G_n(u + 1/2)| < K(1 + |u|)^{4P}$, it remains to prove that $H_n \rightarrow 0$ where

$$H_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{du}{(1 + |u|)^d \left(1 + \left|u + n + \frac{1}{2}\right|\right)}$$

and $d = 1 - 4P > 0$.

If m is a positive integer, then

$$H_n = \int_{|u| \leq m} + \int_{|u| > m}$$

and the first integral tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Choose p so that $pd > 1$ and let $q^{-1} + p^{-1} = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|u| > m} &\leq \left(\int_{|u| > m} \frac{du}{(1 + |u|)^{pd}}\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{du}{\left(1 + \left|u + n + \frac{1}{2}\right|\right)^q}\right)^{1/q} \\ &< Km^{1/p-d}, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\overline{\lim} H_n = 0$, as required.

Added in proof. A result similar to Theorem 2 was proved in a Ph. D thesis by J. A. Anderson.

REFERENCES

1. J. Korous, *On a generalization of Fourier series*, Časopis Pěst. Mat. **71** (1946), 1-15.
2. N. Levinson, *Gap and density theorems*, (New York 1940).
3. S. Verblunsky, *On a class of infinite products*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **60** (1964), 847-854.