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An arc $C$ is a collection of parameters $b^{\rho}(\rho=1, \cdots, r)$ on an open set $B$ and sets of functions $y^{i}(x), a^{h( }(x)(i=1, \cdots, n$; $h=1, \cdots, m)$ defined on an interval $x^{1} \leqq x \leqq x^{2}$ with $y^{i}(x)$ continuous and $\dot{y}^{i}(x), a^{h}(x)$ piecewise continuous. The arc is admissible if it satisfies the differential equations

$$
\dot{y}^{i}=P^{i}(x, y, a)
$$

$$
(i=1, \cdots, n)
$$

on $x^{1} \leqq x \leqq x^{2}$ and the end conditions

$$
x^{s}=X^{s}(b), y^{i}\left(x^{s}\right)=Y^{i s}(b) \quad(s=1,2) .
$$

The dot denotes differentiation with respect to $x$. The problem at hand is to find in a class of admissible arcs $C$, an arc $C_{0}$, which minimizes the integral

$$
I(C)=g(b)+\int_{x^{1}}^{x^{2}} f(x, y, a) d x
$$

where $P(x, y, a)$ and $f(x, y, a)$ are assumed to be class $C^{\prime \prime}$ for $(x, y, a)$ in an open set $R$ while $g(b), X^{s}(b), Y^{i s}(b)$ are of class $C^{\prime \prime}$ on $B$. Under the added assumption that $P(x, y, a)$ is Lipschitzian in $y$ and $a$, the indirect method of Hestenes is used to prove that the necessary conditions for relative minima of the problem above, strengthened in the usual manner, yield a set of sufficient conditions. This problem differs from that of Pontryagin in the choice of $(x, y, a)$ to lie in an open set.

Definitions and Notation. The arc $C$ will be denoted by

$$
C: b, y(x), a(x)
$$

and the minimizing arc will be called $C_{0}$. A set of parameters $\beta^{\rho}$ and functions $\eta^{i}(x), \alpha^{h}(x)$ is called a variation $\gamma$ and denoted by

$$
\gamma: \beta, \eta(x), \alpha(x)
$$

if $\eta^{i}(x)$ are continuous and $\dot{\eta}^{i}(x), \alpha^{h}(x)$ are in $L_{2}$ on $x^{1} \leqq x \leqq x^{2}$. The variation $\gamma$ is differentially admissible if

$$
\dot{\eta}=P_{y^{y}} \eta^{j}+P_{a^{h}} \alpha^{h}
$$

along $C_{0}$ for almost all $x$ on $x^{1} \leqq x \leqq x^{2}$. Repeated indices indicate summation. It is admissible if in addition to being differentially admissible
it also satisfies the variational end conditions

$$
\eta^{i}\left(x^{s}\right)=\left\{Y_{\rho}^{i s}-\dot{y}^{i}\left(x^{s}\right) X_{\rho}^{s}\right\} \beta^{\rho}=C_{\rho}^{i s} \beta^{\rho} \quad(s=1,2)
$$

where the subscript $\rho$ denotes the derivative with respect to $b^{p}$.
2. Condition $S$. An admissible are

$$
C_{0}: b_{0}, y_{0}(x), a_{0}(x)
$$

will be said to satisfy condition $S$ if the following are true.
( a ) $a_{0}(x)$ is continuous on $X^{1}\left(b_{0}\right) \leqq x \leqq X^{2}\left(b_{0}\right)$.
(b) $C_{0}$ satisfies the first necessary conditions, i.e., the Euler equations,

$$
\dot{z}^{i}(x)=-H_{y^{i}}, \dot{y}^{i}(x)=H_{z^{i}}, H_{a^{k}}=0
$$

and the transversality condition

$$
g_{\rho}-\left[H\left(x_{0}^{s}\right) X_{\rho}^{s}-z^{i}\left(x_{0}^{s}\right) Y_{\rho}^{i s}\right]_{s=1}^{s=2}=0
$$

with $z^{i}(x)$ being continuous and having continuous derivatives on a neighborhood of $C_{0}$. The symbol $\left[f\left(x^{s}\right)\right]_{s=1}^{s=2}$ means $f\left(x^{2}\right)-f\left(x^{1}\right)$.
(c) $C_{0}$ is nonsingular, i.e., the determinant $\left|H_{a^{h} a^{k}}\right|$ is nonzero along $C_{0}$ where

$$
H(x, y, \alpha, z)=z^{i}(x) P^{i}(x, y, \alpha)-f(x, y, \alpha)
$$

(d) $C_{0}$ with $z^{i}(x)$ satisfies the strengthened condition $I I_{N}$ of Weierstrass, $E_{H}(x, y, p, q, z) \geqq 0$ whenever $(x, y, p, z)$ is near those on $C_{0}$ and $(x, y, p) \neq(x, y, q)$ in $R$. The $E$-function is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{H}(x, y, p, q, z)= & -H(x, y, q, z)+H(x, y, p, z) \\
& +\left(q^{h}-p^{h}\right) H_{p^{h}}(x, y, p, z)
\end{aligned}
$$

(e) For every nonnull admissible variation $\gamma$, the second variation $I_{2}(\gamma)$ along $C_{0}$ is greater than zero where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{2}(\gamma)=\left\{g_{\rho \sigma}-\left[H X_{\rho \sigma}^{s}-z^{i} Y_{\rho \sigma}^{i s}\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.\quad+\left\{H_{x}-\dot{y}^{i} H_{y i}\right\} X_{\rho}^{s} X_{\sigma}^{s}+H_{y^{i}}\left(Y_{\rho}^{i s} X_{\sigma}^{s}+Y_{\sigma}^{i s} X_{\rho}^{s}\right)\right]_{s=1}^{s=2}\right\} \beta^{\rho} \beta^{\sigma} \\
&-\int_{x^{1}}^{x^{2}} 2 \omega(x, \eta, \alpha) d x \\
& 2 \omega(x, \eta, \alpha)=H_{y^{i} y^{j}} \eta^{i} \eta^{j}+2 H_{y^{i} a_{a} \eta^{i}} \alpha^{h}+H_{a^{h} a^{k}} \alpha^{h} \alpha^{l c}
\end{aligned}
$$

(f) There is a neighborhood of $C_{0}$ in $x y$-space such that

$$
|P(x, y, a)-P(x, Y, A)|<c\left\{|y-Y|^{2}+|a-A|^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2}, c>0
$$

holds for all elements $(x, y, a),(x, Y, A)$ of $R$ which have $(x, y)$ in that neighborhood.

Unless otherwise specified it will be assumed that the arc denoted by $C_{0}$ will satisfy condition $S$. The principal theorem of this paper can now be stated and its proof will be given in § 7, using the results of the intervening sections.

Theorem 2.1. Let $C_{0}$ be an admissible arc on $x^{1} \leqq x \leqq x^{2}$ satisfying condition $S$. There is a neighborhood $N$ of $C_{0}$ in $b y$-space such that $I(C)>I\left(C_{0}\right)$ for all admissible arcs $C$ with $(b, y)$ in $N$ and $(x, y, a)$ in $R$.

For future use it is convenient to state a theorem of Hestenes [8, Theorem 5.1] as

THEOREM 2.2. Let $C_{0}$ be a nonsingular admissible minimizing arc satisfying condition $I I_{N}$. There is a neighborhood $N_{0}$ of $C_{0}$ in by a-space and a constant $h>0$ such that

$$
E_{H}(x, y, p, q, z) \geqq h l(q-p)
$$

for $(x, y, p)$ in $N_{0}$ and $(x, y, q)$ in $R$ where

$$
l(q-p)=\sqrt{1+|q-p|^{2}}-1
$$

and $|q-p|=$ the length of the vector $q-p$.
3. $I^{*}(C)$. Let $C_{0}$ be a nonsingular minimizing arc and define

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{H}^{*}(C) & =\int_{x^{1}}^{x^{2}} E_{H}(C) d x \\
& =-\int_{x^{1}}^{x^{2}}\left\{-H(a)+H\left(a_{0}\right)+\left(a^{h}-a_{0}^{h}\right) H_{a^{h}}\left(a_{0}\right)\right\} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where the missing arguments are $(x, y(x), z(x))$. Choose a function $I^{*}(C)$ so that

$$
I(C)=I^{*}(C)+E_{H}^{*}(C)
$$

It follows from the definitions of $I(C)$ and $E_{H}^{*}(C)$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I^{*}(C)= & g(b)+\left[z^{i}\left(x^{s}\right) y^{i}\left(x^{s}\right)\right]_{s=1}^{s=2} \\
& -\int_{X^{1}(b)}^{x^{2}(b)}\left\{\dot{z}^{i}(x) y^{i}(x)+H\left(x, y, a_{0}, z\right)+\left\{a^{h}-a_{0}^{h}\right\} H_{a^{h}}\left(x, y, a_{0}, z\right)\right\} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $E_{H}^{*}\left(C_{0}\right)=0$,

$$
I(C)-I\left(C_{0}\right)=I^{*}(C)-I^{*}\left(C_{0}\right)+E_{H}^{*}(C)
$$

From the definition of $I^{*}(C)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
I^{*}(C)-I^{*}\left(C_{0}\right)= & \left\{g(b)-g\left(b_{0}\right)\right\} \\
& \quad+\left[z^{i}\left(x^{s}\right) y^{i}\left(x^{s}\right)-z^{i}\left(x_{0}^{s}\right) y_{0}^{i}\left(x_{0}^{s}\right)\right]_{s=1}^{s=2} \\
& -\int_{X^{1}(b)}^{X^{2}(b)}\left\{\dot{z}^{i}\left\{y^{i}-y_{0}^{i}\right\}+H(y)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-H\left(y_{0}\right)+\left\{a^{h}-a_{0}^{h}\right\} H_{a^{h}}(y)\right\} d x  \tag{3.1}\\
& -\int_{X^{2}\left(b_{0}\right)}^{X^{2}(b)}\left\{\dot{z}^{i} y_{0}^{i}+H\left(y_{0}\right)\right\} d x \\
& \quad+\int_{X^{1}\left(b_{0}\right)}^{X^{1}(b)}\left\{\dot{z}^{i} y_{0}^{i}+H\left(y_{0}\right)\right\} d x
\end{align*}
$$

where the missing arguments in $H$ are $\left(x, \alpha_{0}, z\right)$. The following result can now be proved.

Theorem 3.1. Let $C_{0}$ be a nonsingular admissible minimizing arc satisfying condition $I I_{N}$. For every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a constant $\delta>0$ and $a$ neighborhood $F$ of $C_{0}$ in by-space such that

$$
\left|I^{*}(C)-I^{*}\left(C_{0}\right)\right|<\varepsilon\left\{1+E_{H}^{*}(C)\right\},
$$

for every admissible arc $C$ in $F$ whose endpoints are in a o-neighborhood of these on $C_{0}$.

Given $\varepsilon>0, \delta$ and a neighborhood $N_{1}$ of $C_{0}$ in $b y$-space can be chosen such that from equation (3.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I^{*}(C)-I^{*}\left(C_{0}\right)\right|<\left|\int_{X^{1}(b)}^{X^{2}(b)}\left\{a^{h}-a_{0}^{h}\right\} H_{g^{k}}\left(x, y, a_{0}, z\right) d x\right|+\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all arcs $C$ with $(b, y)$ in $N_{1}$. Since $H_{a^{h}}\left(x, y_{0}, a_{0}, z\right)=0$, it follows that for $\varepsilon>0$ a neighborhood $N_{2}$ of $C_{0}$ in $b y$-space can be chosen so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|H_{a^{h}}\left(x, y, a_{0}, z\right)\right|<\varepsilon_{1} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\operatorname{arcs} C$ with $(b, y)$ in $N_{2}$. From Theorem 2.2,

$$
E_{H}(C) \geqq h l(q-p)>h\left\{\left|a-a_{0}\right|-1\right\}
$$

and

$$
\left|a-a_{0}\right| \leqq \frac{1}{h}\left\{E_{H}(C)+h\right\}
$$

This together with inequality (3.3) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{x^{1}}^{x^{2}}\left\{a^{h}-a_{0}^{h}\right\} H_{a^{h}}\left(x, y, a_{0}, z\right) d x\right| & <\varepsilon_{1} \int_{X^{1}}^{x^{2}}\left|a-a_{0}\right| d x \\
& <\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{h}\left\{E_{H}^{*}(C)+h\left(x^{2}-x^{1}\right)\right\} . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Choose $\varepsilon_{1}$ such that $\varepsilon_{1}\left(x^{2}-x^{1}\right)<\varepsilon / 2$ and $\varepsilon_{1} / h<\varepsilon$. If in addition $F$ is taken to be the smaller of the neighborhoods $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$, the theorem follows readily from inequalities (3.2) and (3.4).

Theorem 3.2. Given a constant $\sigma>0$ there are positive constants $\delta, \rho$ and a neighborhood $F$ of $C_{0}$ in $b y$-space such that for every admissible arc $C$ in $F$ satisfying theorem $3.1, I(C)>I\left(C_{0}\right)-\sigma$. If $E_{H}^{*}(C) \leqq \rho$, then $I(C)<I\left(C_{0}\right)+\sigma$. If $E_{H}^{*}(C) \geqq 2 \sigma$, then $I(C)>$ $I\left(C_{0}\right)+\sigma$.

The definition of $I(C)$ and Theorem 3.1 yield

$$
-\varepsilon+\{1-\varepsilon\} E_{H}^{*}(C)<I(C)-I\left(C_{0}\right)<\varepsilon+\{1+\varepsilon\} E_{H}^{*}(C)
$$

for all admissible arcs $C$ with $(b, y)$ in $F$. The theorem follows immediately from the proper choice of $\varepsilon$ and $\rho$.
4. Extension of the arcs $C_{0}$ and $C$. We shall extend the arcs $C_{0}, C$ to lie on a fixed interval $e^{1} \leqq x \leqq e^{2}$ containing $X^{1}\left(b_{0}\right) \leqq x \leqq X^{2}\left(b_{0}\right)$ and $X^{1}(b) \leqq x \leqq X^{2}(b)$. The equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{a^{h}}(x, y, a, z)=0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a solution $y=y_{0}(x), a=a_{0}(x)$ corresponding to the minimizing are $C_{0}$. By the nonsingularity of $C_{0}$, there is a solution $a=a(x, y, z)$ of equation (4.1) which is continuous and has continuous derivatives in a neighborhood of $C_{0}$. Further, on $X^{1}\left(b_{0}\right) \leqq x \leqq X^{2}\left(b_{0}\right), a\left(x, y_{0}, z\right)=a_{0}(x)$. By an imbedding theorem [2, pp. 196] the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{y}=H_{z}(x, y, a(x, y, z)) \\
& \dot{z}=-H_{y}(x, y, a(x, y, z))
\end{aligned}
$$

have a solution $y=\bar{y}(x), z=\bar{z}(x)$ on $e^{1} \leqq x \leqq e^{2}$ such that $e^{1}<X^{1}\left(b_{0}\right)<$ $X^{2}\left(b_{0}\right)<e^{2}$ and $\bar{y}(x)=y_{0}(x), \bar{z}(x)=z_{0}(x)$ on $X^{1}\left(b_{0}\right) \leqq x \leqq X^{2}\left(b_{0}\right)$. The $\operatorname{arc} \bar{C}_{0}$,

$$
\bar{C}_{0}: b_{0}, \bar{y}(x), \bar{a}(x)=a(x, \bar{y}(x), \bar{z}(x))
$$

coincides with $C_{0}$ on $x^{1} \leqq x \leqq x^{2}$, is defined on the larger interval $e^{1} \leqq x \leqq e^{2}$ and is therefore an extension of the arc $C_{0}$. Since this extension is unique, the extended arc will be denoted by $C_{0}$,

$$
C_{0}: b_{0}, y_{0}(x)=\bar{y}(x), a_{0}(x)=\bar{a}(x)
$$

If an admissible arc $C$ lies in a sufficiently small neighborhood of $C_{0}$ then $e^{1} \leqq X^{1}(b)<X^{2}(b) \leqq e^{2}$ and the arc $C$ may be extended uniquely to the interval $e^{1} \leqq x \leqq e^{2}$ by requiring that $a(x)=a_{0}(x)$ where it is undefined and that $\dot{y}=P(x, y, a(x))$ also holds on the extension. The extended arc will also be denoted by $C$.

This method of extension will be used throughout the rest of the paper. In the formulas for $I(C)$ and $I^{*}(C)$ it will be understood that the integrals will be evaluated on the interval $x^{1} \leqq x \leqq x^{2}$ and not on the extended interval. An exception to this convention is made in the formula for $K\left(C, C_{0}\right)$ which is discussed in the next session.
5. The function $K\left(C, C_{0}\right)$. To measure the deviation of comparison ares from the minimizing arc, we shall define a function $K\left(C, C_{0}\right)$ where $C, C_{0}$ are the unique extensions of admissible arcs given in the last section as

$$
K\left(C, C_{0}\right)=\left|b-b_{0}\right|^{2}+\max _{e^{1} \leqq x \leq e^{2}}\left|y(x)-y_{0}(x)\right|^{2}+\int_{e^{1}}^{e^{2}} l\left(\alpha-a_{0}\right) d x
$$

with

$$
l\left(a-a_{0}\right)=\sqrt{1+\left|a-a_{0}\right|^{2}}-1 .
$$

Since $a(x)=a_{0}(x)$ on the extension,

$$
\int_{e^{1}}^{e^{2}} l\left(a-a_{0}\right) d x=\int_{x^{1}}^{x^{2}} l\left(a-a_{0}\right) d x
$$

and $E_{H}(C)$ is not changed by extending the interval.
ThEOREM 5.1. Let $C, C_{0}$ be extensions to $e^{1} \leqq x \leqq e^{2}$ of an admissible arc and a nonsingular minimizing arc respectively. For every $\varepsilon>0$ there is a b y-neighborhood of $C_{0}$ such that $K\left(C, C_{0}\right)<\varepsilon$ for all arcs $C$ in that neighborhood satisfying $E_{H}^{*}(C)<\varepsilon / 2$.

By Theorem 2.2 and the hypothesis,

$$
\frac{\varepsilon}{2}>E_{H}^{*}(C)>h \int_{x^{1}}^{x^{2}} l\left(a-a_{0}\right) d x
$$

Choose a neighborhood of $C_{0}$ in $b y$-space such that

$$
\left|b-b_{0}\right|^{2}+\max _{e^{1} \leq x \leq e^{2}}\left|y(x)-y_{0}(x)\right|^{2}<\frac{(2 h-1) \varepsilon}{2 h} .
$$

In that neighborhood,

$$
K\left(C, C_{0}\right)<\frac{(2 h-1) \varepsilon}{2 h}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2 h}=\varepsilon
$$

and the theorem is proved.
THEOREM 5.2. Let $C_{q}$ be the extension of an admissible arc and let the sequence $\left\{C_{q}\right\}$ of such extended arcs have the property that given
a neighborhbood $F$ of $C_{0}$ in $b y$-space there is an integer $q_{0}$ such that $C_{q}$ is in $F$ for $q>q_{0}$. If $\lim \sup _{q=\infty} I\left(C_{q}\right) \leqq I\left(C_{0}\right)$, then $\lim _{q=\infty} K\left(C_{q}, C_{0}\right)=0$.

If $F$ is the neighborhood in Theorem 3.2 and $E_{H}^{*}\left(C_{q}\right) \geqq 2 \sigma$ for $q>q_{0}, \sigma>0, I\left(C_{q}\right)>I\left(C_{0}\right)+\sigma$ which contradicts the hypothesis that $\lim \sup _{q=\infty} I\left(C_{q}\right) \leqq I\left(C_{0}\right)$. Hence, $E_{H}^{*}\left(C_{q}\right) \leqq 2 \sigma<\varepsilon / 4$. Theorem 5.1 asserts that $K\left(C_{q}, C_{0}\right)<\varepsilon$ for arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$ and the theorem is proved.

Theorem 5.3. The sequence of arcs $\left\{C_{q}\right\}$ in Theorem 5.2 has the property that $\left\{b_{q}\right\}$ converges to $b_{0},\left\{y_{q}(x)\right\}$ converges uniformly to $y_{0}(x)$ and $\left\{a_{q}(x)\right\}$ converges almost uniformly in subsequence to $a_{0}(x)$.

Since $\lim _{q=\infty} K\left(C_{q}, C_{0}\right)=0$, it follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{q=\infty}\left|b_{q}-b_{0}\right|^{2}=0 \\
\lim _{q=\infty} \max _{\varepsilon^{1} \leq x \leq \Omega^{2}}\left|y_{q}(x)-y_{0}(x)\right|^{2}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{q=\infty} \int_{e^{1}}^{e^{2}} l\left(a_{q}-a_{0}\right) d x=0 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first two of these equalities give the convergence properties of the sequences $\left\{b_{q}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{q}(x)\right\}$ respectively. Suppose now that there is a subset $S$ of $e^{1} \leqq x \leqq e^{2}$ of positive measure, $m(S)>0$, such that for any integer $q_{0}$ there is a $q>q_{0}$ for which $\left|a_{q}(x)-a_{0}(x)\right|>\sigma>0$ for all $x$ in $S$. Then, since $l\left(a_{q}-a_{0}\right) \geqq 0$ for all $q$, it follows that

$$
\int_{e^{1}}^{e^{2}} l\left(\alpha_{q}-a_{0}\right) d x \geqq \int_{S} l\left(\alpha_{q}-a_{0}\right) d x>\left\{\sqrt{1+\sigma^{2}}-1\right\} m(S)>0
$$

for infinitely many $q$ 's. This contradicts equation (5.1) and the sequence $\left\{a_{q}(x)\right\}$ must converge in measure to $a_{0}(x)$ on $e^{1} \leqq x \leqq e^{2}$. There is then a subsequence, call it $\left\{a_{q}(x)\right\}$, which converges almost uniformly to $a_{0}(x)$ on $e^{1} \leqq x \leqq e^{2}$ and the theorem is proved.

Theorem 5.4. Let $\left\{C_{q}\right\}$ be a sequence of extended arcs having the convergence properties of the last theorem. Given a constant $\rho>0$ there is a constant $\delta>0$ and an integer $q_{0}$ such that if $M$ is a subset of $e^{1} \leqq x \leqq e^{2}$ of measure at most $\delta$ and $q \geqq q_{0}$ then

$$
0 \leqq \int_{M} l_{q}(x) d x<\rho
$$

where $l_{q}(x)=l\left(a_{q}-a_{0}\right)+2=1+\sqrt{1+\left|a_{q}-a_{0}\right|^{2}}$.
By the definition of $l_{q}(x)$,

$$
\int_{M} l_{q}(x) d x \leqq 2 \delta+\int_{M} l\left(\alpha_{q}-a_{0}\right) d x .
$$

If $q_{0}$ is chosen so that $K\left(C_{q}, C_{0}\right)<\rho / 2$ for all $q>q_{0}$ and $\delta$ is chosen to be $\rho / 4$, the right side of the desired inequality is proved. The proof is completed by noting that $l_{q}(x) \geqq 0$. We have just proved that $\int_{M} l_{q}(x) d x$ is an absolutely continuous function of $M$ uniformly with respect to $q$.
6. Variations $\gamma_{q}, \gamma_{0}$. Let $k_{q}$ be the positive square root of $K\left(C_{q}, C_{0}\right)$ and define a variation $\gamma_{q}$ as follows.

$$
\gamma_{q}: \beta_{q}=\frac{b_{q}-b_{0}}{k_{q}}, \quad \eta_{q}(x)=\frac{y_{q}(x)-y_{0}(x)}{k_{q}}, \quad \alpha_{q}(x)=\frac{a_{q}(x)-\alpha_{0}(x)}{k_{q}} .
$$

For a sequence of ares $C_{q}$ with the property that $\lim _{q=\infty} K\left(C_{q}, C_{0}\right)=0$ it will be shown that the sequence of variations $\left\{\gamma_{q}\right\}$ converges in subsequence to a variation $\gamma_{0}$ which is admissible on $x^{1} \leqq x \leqq x^{2}$. From the definitions of $\gamma_{q}$ and $K\left(C_{q}, C_{0}\right)$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta_{q}\right|^{2}+\max _{e^{1 \leq} \leq x \leq e^{2}}\left|\eta_{q}(x)\right|^{2}+\int_{e^{1}}^{e^{2}} \frac{\left|\alpha_{q}(x)\right|^{2}}{l_{q}(x)} d x=1 . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since each term is nonnegative.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\beta_{q}\right|^{2} \leqq 1  \tag{6.2}\\
\max _{e^{1} \leqq x \leq e^{2}}\left|\eta_{q}(x)\right|^{2} \leqq 1 \tag{6.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{e^{1}}^{e^{2}} \frac{\left|\alpha_{q}(x)\right|^{2}}{l_{q}(x)} d x \leqq 1 \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using these inequalities we shall obtain several theorems, the first of which is

Theorem 6.1. Let $\left\{C_{q}\right\}$ be a sequence of extended arcs for which $\lim _{q=\infty} K\left(C_{q}, C_{0}\right)=0$ and $\beta_{q}=\left(b_{q}-b_{0}\right) / k_{q}$. The sequence $\left\{\beta_{q}\right\}$ converges in subsequence to a parameter $\beta_{0}$.

This follows immediately from inequality (6.2) and the BolzanoWeierstrass theorem.

Theorem 6.2. Let $\left\{C_{q}\right\}$ be the sequence of arcs in the previous theorem and $\alpha_{q}(x)=\left(\alpha_{q}(x)-\alpha_{0}(x)\right) / k_{q}$. There is a function $\alpha_{0}(x)$ in $L_{2}$ on $e^{1} \leqq x \leqq e^{2}$ such that the sequence $\left\{\alpha_{q}(x)\right\}$ converges weakly in
subsequence to $\alpha_{0}(x)$ in $L_{2}$ on every measurable set $M$ on which $a_{q}(x)$ converges uniformly to $a_{0}$. Moreover, for every bounded integrable function $g(x)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{q=\infty} \int_{e^{1}}^{e^{2}} g(x) \alpha_{q}(x) d x=\int_{e^{1}}^{e^{2}} g(x) \alpha_{0}(x) d x . \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From inequality (6.4) and the inequality of Schwarz,

$$
\left|\int_{M} \alpha_{q}(x) d x\right|^{2} \leqq \int_{M} \frac{\left|\alpha_{q}(x)\right|^{2}}{l_{q}(x)} d x \int_{M} l_{q}(x) d x \leqq \int_{M} l_{q}(x) d x
$$

for all measurable subsets $M$ of $e^{1} \leqq x \leqq e^{2}$. Hence

$$
\lim _{m(\mathbb{M})=0} \int_{M} \alpha_{q}(x) d x=0
$$

by Theorem 5.4 and $\int_{M} \alpha_{q}(x) d x$ is absolutely continuous in $M$ uniformly with respect to $q$. In addition, equation (5.1) and the definition of $l_{q}(x)$ imply that there is an integer $q_{0}$ such that for $q>q_{0}, \int_{e^{2}}^{e^{2}} l_{q}(x)$ is bounded. Hence $\int_{e^{1}}^{e^{2}}\left|\alpha_{q}(x)\right| d x$ is bounded. Banach [1] proved that there is an integrable function $\alpha_{0}(x)$ such that the sequence $\left\{\alpha_{q}(x)\right\}$ satisfies equation (6.5) for all bounded integrable functions $g(x)$.

Now let $M$ be a subset of $e^{1} \leqq x \leqq e^{2}$ on which $\left\{a_{q}(x)\right\}$ converges uniformly to $a_{0}(x)$. For $x$ in $M$ there is an integer $q_{1}$ such that for $q>q_{1}, l_{q}(x)<3$. Thus $\int_{M}\left|\alpha_{q}(x)\right|^{2} d x<3$ for all $q>q_{1}$. Banach [1, p. 130] showed that for a sequence of functions $\left\{\alpha_{q}(x)\right\}$ in $L_{2}$ satisfying this last inequality, there is a function $\alpha_{0}(x)$ in $L_{2}$ to which $\left\{\alpha_{q}(x)\right\}$ converges weakly in $L_{2}$ in subsequence on $M$. Consequently,

$$
3 \geqq \liminf _{q=\infty} \int_{M}\left|\alpha_{q}(x)\right|^{2} d x \geqq \int_{M}\left|\alpha_{0}(x)\right|^{2} d x .
$$

Since this holds for every set $M$ as above, we have $\int_{e^{1}}^{e^{2}}\left|\alpha_{0}(x)\right|^{2} d x \leqq 3$ and $\alpha_{0}(x)$ is in $L_{2}$ on $e^{1} \leqq x \leqq e^{2}$. The theorem is thus proved.

Theorem 6.3. Let $\left\{C_{q}\right\}$ be the sequence of arcs in the previous theorem and let $\eta_{q}(x)=\left(y_{q}(x)-y_{0}(x)\right) / k_{q}$. There exists a function $\eta_{0}(x)$ whose derivative $\dot{\eta}_{0}(x)$ is in $L_{2}$ such that the sequence $\left\{\eta_{q}(x)\right\}$ converges uniformly to $\eta_{0}(x)$ on $e^{1} \leqq x \leqq e^{2}$ and $\left\{\dot{\eta}_{q}(x)\right\}$ converges weakly in $L_{2}$ to $\dot{\eta}_{0}(x)$ on every measurable set $M$ on which $\left\{a_{q}(x)\right\}$ converges uniformly to $a_{0}(x)$. Moreover,

$$
\lim _{q=\infty} \int_{e^{1}}^{e^{2}} g(x) \dot{\eta}_{q}(x) d x=\int_{e^{1}}^{e^{2}} g(x) \dot{\eta}_{0}(x) d x
$$

for every bounded measurable function $g$.

Applying the Lipschitz condition of condition $S$ to equation (6.1), we get

$$
\left|\beta_{q}\right|^{2}+\max _{e \leq x \leq e^{2}}\left|\eta_{q}(x)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{c^{2}} \int_{e^{1}}^{e^{2}} \frac{\left|\dot{\eta}_{q}(x)\right|^{2}}{l_{q}(x)} d x \leqq 1+\int_{e^{1}}^{e^{2}} \frac{\left|\eta_{q}(x)\right|^{2}}{l_{q}(x)} d x
$$

Since $\max _{e^{1} \leqq x \leqq e^{2}}\left|\eta_{q}(x)\right|^{2} \leqq 1$ and $l_{q}(x) \geqq 2$,

$$
\int_{e^{1}}^{e^{2}}\left|\eta_{q}(x)\right|^{2} d x<\frac{1}{2} \int_{e^{1}}^{e^{2}} d x=\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{2}-e^{1}\right)=c_{1},
$$

a constant. Hence,

$$
\left|\beta_{q}\right|^{2}+\max _{e^{1} \leqq x \leqq e^{2}}\left|\eta_{q}(x)\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{c^{2}} \int_{e^{1}}^{e^{2}} \frac{\left.\dot{\eta}_{q}(x)\right|^{2}}{l_{q}(x)} d x \leqq 1+c_{1} .
$$

By an argument similar to that for the sequence $\left\{\alpha_{q}(x)\right\}$ it follows that there is a function $\dot{\eta}_{0}(x)$ in $L_{2}$ to which the sequence $\left\{\dot{\eta}_{q}(x)\right\}$ converges weakly. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{q=\infty} \int_{e^{1}}^{x} \dot{\eta}_{q}(t) d t=\int_{e^{1}}^{x} \dot{\eta}_{0}(t) d t \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on $e^{1} \leqq x \leqq e^{2}$. Let

$$
\eta_{0}^{i}(x)=C_{\rho}^{i 1} \beta_{0}^{o}+\int_{x^{1}}^{x} \dot{\eta}_{0}(t) d t
$$

Since $\lim _{q=\infty} \eta_{q}\left(X^{1}\left(b_{q}\right)\right)=\eta_{0}\left(x^{1}\right)$, it follows from (6.6) that the sequence $\left\{\eta_{q}(x)\right\}$ converges uniformly to $\eta_{0}(x)$ on $e^{1} \leqq x \leqq e^{2}$ and the theorem is proved.

Theorem 6.4. Let $\left\{C_{q}\right\}$ be the sequence of extended arcs for which $\lim _{q=\infty} K\left(C_{q}, C_{0}\right)=0$ and define the variation $\gamma_{q}$ as above. The sequence of variations $\left\{\gamma_{q}\right\}$ converges in subsequence to a variation $\gamma_{0}$ which is admissible on $x^{1} \leqq x \leqq x^{2}$.

Let $\gamma_{0}$ consist of the parameters $\beta_{0}$ and the functions $\eta_{0}(x), \alpha_{0}(x)$ of the preceding three theorems. That $\gamma_{0}$ is a variation follows directly from the definition of a variation and the properties of $\beta_{0}, \eta_{0}(x)$, and $\alpha_{0}(x)$. The variation $\gamma_{0}$ will be admissible if it is differentially admissible and satisfies the endpoint equations in $\S 1$. Let $M_{\delta}$ be a subset of $x^{1} \leqq x \leqq x^{2}$ on which $\left\{a_{q}(x)\right\}$ converges uniformly to $a_{0}(x)$ and whose complement relative to $x^{1} \leqq x \leqq x^{2}$ has measure less than $\delta, \delta>0$. By Taylor's theorem,

$$
\dot{y}_{q}-\dot{y}_{0}=P_{y^{j}}\left\{y_{q}^{j}-y_{0}^{j}\right\}+P_{a^{h}}\left\{a_{q}^{h}-a_{0}^{h}\right\}+R_{q}
$$

the arguments of $P_{y^{j}}, P_{a^{k}}$ being ( $x, y_{0}, a_{0}$ ) and

$$
\left|R_{q}\right| \leqq \varepsilon_{q}\left\{\left|y_{q}-y_{0}\right|+\left|a_{q}-a_{0}\right|\right\}
$$

on $M$ where $\varepsilon_{q} \rightarrow 0$ as $q \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$
\lim _{q=\infty} \int_{M_{\delta}} \dot{\eta}_{q}(x) d x=\lim _{q=\infty} \int_{M_{\delta}}\left\{P_{y^{j}} \eta_{q}^{j}+P_{a^{k}} X_{q}^{h}\right\} d x+\lim _{q=\infty} \int_{M_{\delta}} \frac{R_{q}}{k_{q}} d x .
$$

Since the last integral on the right is bounded and $\varepsilon_{q} \rightarrow 0$ as $q \rightarrow \infty$, it follows from Theorems 6.2 and 6.3 that

$$
\int_{M_{\delta}} \dot{\eta}_{0}(x) d x=\int_{M_{\delta}}\left\{P_{y^{j}} \eta_{0}^{j}+P_{a h} \alpha_{0}^{h}\right\} d x
$$

and $\gamma_{0}$ is differentially admissible. The endpoint conditions on an admissible arc yield

$$
y_{q}^{i}\left(x^{s}\right)-y_{0}^{i}\left(x_{0}^{s}\right)=Y^{i s}\left(b_{q}\right)-Y^{i s}\left(b_{0}\right)
$$

Expressing the left side as $y_{q}\left(x^{s}\right)-y_{0}\left(x^{s}\right)+y_{0}\left(x^{s}\right)-y_{0}\left(x_{0}^{s}\right)$ and dividing by $k_{q}$ we get

$$
\eta_{q}^{i}\left(x^{s}\right)+\dot{y}_{0}^{i}\left(x_{0}^{\prime s}\right) X_{\rho}^{s}\left(b_{0}^{\prime}\right) \beta_{q}^{\rho}=Y_{\rho}^{i s}\left(b_{0}^{\prime}\right) \beta_{q}^{\rho}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{0}^{\prime s} & =x_{0}^{s}+\theta_{1}\left(x^{s}-x_{0}^{s}\right), 0<\theta_{1}<1 \\
b_{0}^{\prime} & =b_{0}+\theta_{2}\left(b_{q}-b_{0}\right), 0<\theta_{2}<1
\end{aligned}
$$

When $q \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\eta_{0}^{2}\left(x_{0}^{s}\right)=\left\{Y_{\rho}^{i s}-\dot{y}_{0}^{i} X_{\rho}^{s}\right\} \beta_{0}^{\rho}=C_{\rho}^{i s} \beta_{0}^{\rho}
$$

and $\gamma_{0}$ is admissible.
7. Proof of the sufficiency theorem. Two theorems involving $I^{*}\left(C_{q}\right)$ and $E_{H}^{*}\left(C_{q}\right)$ will be proved, then they will be used to obtain a proof of the sufficiency theorem of $\S 2$.

Theorem 7.1. Let $C_{0}$ be an admissible arc on $x^{1} \leqq x \leqq x^{2}$ satisfying condition $S$. If for any integer $q$ there is an admissible arc $C_{q} \neq C_{0}$ in the $1 / q$-neighborhood of $C_{0}$ such that $I\left(C_{q}\right) \leqq I\left(C_{0}\right)$ then

$$
\lim _{q=\infty} \frac{I^{*}\left(C_{q}\right)-I^{*}\left(C_{0}\right)}{k_{q}^{2}}=\frac{1}{2} I_{2}\left(\gamma_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{x^{1}}^{x^{2}} H_{a^{h} a^{k}} \alpha_{0}^{h} \alpha_{0}^{k} d x
$$

Applying Taylor's theorem to the right side of equation (3.1) for $I^{*}(C)-I^{*}\left(C_{0}\right)$ and dividing by $k_{q}^{2}$ we get equations (7.1) to (7.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{g\left(b_{q}\right)-g\left(b_{0}\right)}{k_{q}^{2}}=\frac{1}{k_{q}} g_{\rho} \beta_{q}^{\rho}+\frac{1}{2} g_{\rho \sigma} \beta_{q}^{\sigma}+R_{1 q} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|R_{1 q}\right|<\varepsilon_{1 q}\left|\beta_{q}\right|^{2}$ and $\lim _{q=\infty} \varepsilon_{1 q}=0$. The derivatives are evaluated at $b=b_{0}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{z^{i}\left(x^{s}\right) Y^{i s}\left(b_{q}\right)-z^{i}\left(x_{0}^{s}\right) Y^{i s}\left(b_{0}\right)}{k_{q}^{2}}=\frac{1}{k_{q}}\left[\dot{z}^{i} Y^{i s} X^{s}+z^{i} Y_{\rho}^{i s}\right]_{s=1}^{s=2} \beta_{q}^{\rho} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left[\ddot{z}^{i} Y^{i s} X_{\rho}^{s} X_{\sigma}^{s}+\dot{z}^{2}\left\{Y_{\sigma}^{\imath s} X_{\rho}^{s}+Y_{\rho}^{i s} X_{\sigma}^{s}\right\}\right.  \tag{7.2}\\
& \\
& \left.\quad+\dot{z}^{i} Y^{\imath s} X_{\rho \sigma}^{s}+z^{i} Y_{\rho \sigma}^{i s}\right]_{s=1}^{s=2} \beta_{q}^{s} \beta_{q}^{\sigma}+R_{2 q}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left|R_{2 q}\right|<\varepsilon_{2 q}\left|\beta_{q}\right|^{2}$ and $\lim _{q=\infty} \varepsilon_{2 q}=0$. Again the derivatives are evaluated at $b=b_{0}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{k_{q}^{2}} \int_{x^{1}}^{x^{2}}\left\{\dot{z}^{i}\left(y_{q}^{2}-y_{0}^{i}\right)\right. & +\left\{H\left(x, y_{q}, a_{0}, z\right)-H\left(x, y_{0}, a_{0}, z\right)\right\} \\
& \left.+\left(a^{h}-a_{0}^{h}\right) H_{a} h\left(x, y_{q}, a_{0}, z\right)\right\} d x  \tag{7.3}\\
= & \int_{x^{1}}^{x^{2}}\left\{\frac{1}{2} H_{y^{i} y^{3}} \eta_{q}^{i} \eta_{q}^{j}+H_{y^{2} a} \eta_{q}^{i} \alpha_{q}^{h}\right\} d x+\int_{x^{1}}^{x^{2}} R_{3 q} d x
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left|R_{3 q}\right|<\varepsilon_{3 q}\left|\eta_{q}\right|^{2}$ and $\lim _{q=\infty} \varepsilon_{3 q}=0$. The derivatives $H_{y^{i} y^{j},} H_{y^{i}{ }_{a} k}$ are evaluated along $C_{0}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{k_{q}^{2}} \int_{x^{1}\left(0_{0}\right)}^{x^{1}(b)}\left\{\dot{z}^{i} y_{0}^{i}+H\left(x, y_{0}, a_{0}, z\right)\right\} d x \\
&= \frac{1}{k_{q}}\left\{\dot{z}^{i} y_{0}^{i}+H\left(x, y_{0}, a_{0}, z\right)\right\} X_{\rho}^{1} \beta_{q}^{\rho} \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2}\left\{\ddot{z}^{i} y_{0}^{i}+H_{x}+H_{a^{h}} \dot{a}_{0}^{h}+H_{z^{2}} \dot{z}^{i}\right\} X_{\rho}^{1} X_{\sigma}^{1} \beta_{q}^{\rho} \beta_{q}^{\sigma}  \tag{7.4}\\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2}\left\{\dot{z}^{i} y_{0}^{i}+H\right\} X_{\rho \sigma}^{1} \beta_{\square}^{\Omega} \beta_{q}^{\sigma}+R_{4 \eta}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left|R_{4 q}\right|<\varepsilon_{4 q}\left|\beta_{q}\right|^{2}$ and $\lim _{q=\infty} \varepsilon_{4 q}=0$. All the terms on the right are evaluated along $C_{0}$ at $x=X^{1}\left(b_{0}\right)$. A result similar to this also holds for the integral remaining in the expression for $\left(I^{*}\left(C_{q}\right)-I^{*}\left(C_{0}\right)\right) / k_{q}^{2}$ with $R_{5 q}$ as the error in place of $R_{4 q}$. The definition of $R_{3 q}$ and the boundedness of $\left|\eta_{q}\right|^{2}$ yield the fact that $\lim _{q=\infty} \int_{x^{2}}^{x^{2}} R_{3 q} d x=0$. Substituting equations (7.1) to (7.4) into equation (3.1), applying condition $S$ and a theorem of Hestenes [7, Lemma 6.3] we get the desired result.

TheOrem 7.2. Let $C_{0}$ be an admissible are satisfying condition S. Let $\left\{C_{q}\right\}$ be admissible arcs related to $C_{0}$ as described in the last theorem and chosen so that the corresponding variation $\gamma_{q}$ defined previously converge to a variation $\gamma_{0}$ as described. Then

$$
\liminf _{q=\infty} \frac{E_{H}^{*}\left(C_{q}\right)}{k_{q}^{2}}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{x^{1}\left(b_{0}\right)}^{x^{2}\left(b_{0}\right)} H_{a^{k} a^{k}} \alpha_{0}^{k} \alpha_{0}^{k} d x \geqq 0
$$

For large $q, E_{H}\left(C_{q}\right)>0$ for $C_{q} \neq C_{0}$. Applying Taylor's theorem to $E_{H}\left(C_{q}\right)$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E_{H}^{*}\left(C_{q}\right)}{k_{q}^{2}} \geqq-\frac{1}{2} \int_{M} H_{a^{k_{a} k}}\left(x, y_{q}, a_{0}, z\right) \alpha_{q}^{h} \alpha_{q}^{k} d x+\int_{M} R_{6 q} d x \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is a subset of $x^{1} \leqq x \leqq x^{2}$ on which $\left\{a_{q}(x)\right\}$ converges uniformly to $a_{0}(x)$. Since $\left|R_{x^{2}}\right|<\varepsilon_{6 q}\left|\alpha_{q}\right|^{2}$ and $\lim _{q=\infty} \varepsilon_{6 q}=0$ it follows from the boundedness of $\int_{x^{1}}^{x^{2}}\left|\alpha_{q}\right|^{2} d x$ that $\lim _{q=\infty} \int_{M} R_{6 q} d x=0$. Now

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{M} H_{a h_{a} k}\left(x, y_{q}, a_{0}, z\right) \alpha_{q}^{h} \alpha_{q}^{k} d x \\
= & -\frac{1}{2} \int_{M} H_{a h_{a} k}\left(x, y_{0}, a_{0}, z\right) \alpha_{0}^{h} \alpha_{0}^{k} d x \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{M}\left\{H_{a h_{a} k}\left(x, y_{q}, a_{0}, z\right)-H_{a h_{a} k}\left(x, y_{0}, a_{0}, z\right)\right\} \alpha_{q}^{h} \alpha_{q}^{k} d x  \tag{7.7}\\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{M r} H_{a h_{a} k}\left(x, y_{0}, a_{0}, z\right)\left\{\alpha_{q}^{h} \alpha_{q}^{k}-\alpha_{0}^{h} \alpha_{0}^{k}\right\} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

From the continuity of $H_{a^{h_{a} k}}$ and the boundedness of $\int_{x^{1}}^{x^{2}}\left|\alpha_{q}\right|^{2} d x$ we get

$$
\lim _{q=\infty} \int_{M}\left\{H_{a^{h} a^{k} k}\left(x, y_{q}, a_{0}, z\right)-H_{a^{h_{a} k}}\left(x, y_{0}, a_{0}, z\right)\right\} \alpha_{q}^{h} \alpha_{q}^{k} d x=0
$$

The last integral in equation (7.7) can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{M} H_{a^{h_{a} k}} \alpha_{q}^{h} \alpha_{q}^{k} d x=\int_{M} H_{a^{h_{a} k}}\left\{\alpha_{q}^{h}-\alpha_{0}^{k}\right\}\left\{\alpha_{q}^{k}-\alpha_{0}^{k}\right\} d x \\
& \quad+\int_{M} H_{a h_{a} k}\left\{\alpha_{q}^{h} \alpha_{0}^{k}+\alpha_{0}^{h} \alpha_{q}^{k}\right\} d x-\int_{M} H_{a h_{a} k} \alpha_{0}^{h} \alpha_{0}^{k} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left\{\alpha_{q}(x)\right\}$ converges weakly to $\alpha_{0}(x)$ on $M$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \liminf _{q=\infty} \int_{M}-\frac{1}{2} H_{a^{h_{a} k}} \alpha_{q}^{h} \alpha_{q}^{k} d x=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{M} H_{a^{h_{a} k}} \alpha_{0}^{h} \alpha_{0}^{k} d x \\
& \quad+\liminf _{q=\infty} \int_{M}-\frac{1}{2} H_{a^{h_{a} k}}\left\{\alpha_{q}^{h}-\alpha_{0}^{h}\right\}\left\{\alpha_{q}^{k}-\alpha_{0}^{k}\right\} d x \tag{7.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, from (7.6), (7.7) and (7.8),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \liminf _{q=\infty} \frac{E_{H}^{*}\left(C_{q}\right)}{k_{2}^{q}}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{M} H_{a h_{a} k} \alpha_{0}^{h} \alpha_{0}^{k} d x  \tag{7.9}\\
& \quad \geqq \liminf _{q=\infty} \int_{M}-\frac{1}{2} H_{a^{h_{a} k}}\left\{\alpha_{q}^{h}-\alpha_{0}^{h}\right\}\left\{\alpha_{q}^{k}-\alpha_{0}^{k}\right\} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $C_{0}$ satisfies condition $\mathrm{II}_{N}$ with multipliers $z^{i}(x)$ it also satisfies the strengthened condition of Clebsch,

$$
H_{a^{h} a_{a}} \pi^{h} \pi^{k} \leqq 0
$$

in a neighborhood of $C_{0}$ for all $(\pi) \neq(0)$. Hence the last integral in (7.9) is nonnegative and the theorem is proved for every subset $M$ on which $\left\{a_{q}(x)\right\}$ converges uniformly to $a_{0}(x)$. Let $M_{1}$ be the complement of $M$ on $x^{1} \leqq x \leqq x^{2}$. Then

$$
\int_{x^{1}}^{x_{x}} H_{a^{h} a^{k}} \alpha_{0}^{h} \alpha_{0}^{k} d x=\int_{M} H_{a^{h_{a} k}} \alpha_{0}^{h} \alpha_{0}^{k} d x+\int_{M_{1}} H_{a^{h_{a} k}} \alpha_{0}^{h} \alpha_{0}^{k} d x
$$

Since the integrand $H_{a^{h} k} \alpha_{0}^{h} \alpha_{0}^{k}$ is integrable on $x^{1} \leqq x \leqq x^{2}$, the last integral of the preceding equation must go to zero as the measure of $M_{1}$ tends to zero. Thus the theorem is proved over $x^{1} \leqq x \leqq x^{2}$. We now turn to the proof of Theorem 2.1. Suppose it is false. For any integer $q$ there is an admissible arc $C_{q} \neq C_{0}$ in the $1 / q$-neighborhood of $C_{0}$ such that $I\left(C_{q}\right) \leqq I\left(C_{0}\right)$. From equation (3.2) and Theorem 7.1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \geqq I_{2}\left(\gamma_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{x^{1}}^{x^{2}} H_{a^{k_{a}} k} \alpha_{0}^{k} \alpha_{0}^{k} d x+\liminf _{q=\infty} \frac{E_{\square}^{*}\left(C_{q}\right)}{k_{q}^{2}} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies, by virtue of Theorem 7.2, that $I_{2}\left(\gamma_{0}\right) \leqq 0$. Statement (e) of condition $S$ requires that $\gamma_{0}$ must be null. Consequently $I_{2}\left(\gamma_{0}\right)=0$ and

$$
\int_{x^{1}}^{x^{2}} H_{a^{h_{a}}} \alpha_{0}^{k} \alpha_{0}^{k} d x=0 .
$$

By Theorem 2.2 and the inequality (7.10),

$$
0 \geqq \liminf _{q=\infty} \frac{E_{\square}^{*}\left(C_{q}\right)}{k_{q}^{2}}=h \liminf _{q=\infty} \int^{x^{2}} \frac{\left|\alpha_{q}\right|^{2}}{l_{q}(x)} d x
$$

which is impossible because of equation (6.1). Hence $\gamma_{0} \neq 0$ and the assumption that $I\left(C_{q}\right) \leqq I\left(C_{0}\right)$ is false. This proves the sufficiency theorem.
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