

## $p$ -AUTOMORPHIC $p$ -GROUPS AND HOMOGENEOUS ALGEBRAS

LARRY DORNHOFF

A  $p$ -group was called  $p$ -automorphic by Boen, if its automorphism group is transitive on elements of order  $p$ . Boen conjectured that if  $p$  is odd, then such a  $p$ -group is abelian. Let  $P$  be a nonabelian  $p$ -automorphic  $p$ -group,  $p$  odd, generated by  $n$  elements. Boen proved that  $n > 3$ , and in joint work with Rothaus and Thompson proved that  $n > 5$ . Kostrikin then showed that  $n > p + 6$ , as a corollary of results on homogeneous algebras. In this paper it is shown that  $n > 2p + 3$ , using Kostrikin's methods, and his proof is somewhat simplified by eliminating special case considerations for small values of  $p$ .

The above results and the following terminology may be found in [1], [2], and [4]. Let  $A$  be a finite-dimensional algebra over the field  $K$ , where if  $x, y \in A$  and  $\lambda \in K$ , we assume bilinearity and the law  $(\lambda x) \circ y = \lambda(x \circ y) = x \circ (\lambda y)$ , but associativity is not assumed. Following [4],  $A$  is said to be *homogeneous* if the automorphism group  $\Gamma$  of  $A$  is transitive on  $A^* = A - \{0\}$ , *anticommutative* if  $x \circ y + y \circ x = 0$ , and *nil* if all endomorphisms  $K_a: x \rightarrow x \circ a$  are nilpotent.

For a fixed odd prime  $p$ , suppose that  $P$  is a nonabelian  $p$ -automorphic  $p$ -group with minimal number  $n$  of generators. It is shown in [1] that  $P$  has a  $p$ -automorphic quotient group  $\bar{P}$  with the same number of generators, where the Frattini subgroup  $\Phi(\bar{P})$  is central and is the direct product of  $n$  cyclic groups of equal order  $p^m$ . If we consider  $A = \bar{P}/\Phi(\bar{P})$  as a vector space over  $GF(p)$ , we define a multiplication in  $A$  as follows: for  $x = a\Phi(\bar{P}), y = b\Phi(\bar{P})$  in  $A$ , a coset  $z = c\Phi(\bar{P})$  is uniquely determined, such that  $[a, b] = c^{p^m}$ . Define  $x \circ y = z$ . Then it is clear that  $A$  becomes an anticommutative homogeneous algebra, and Theorem 1 of [2] asserts that  $A$  is nil.

It is proved in [4] that if  $A$  is a finite-dimensional homogeneous algebra with nontrivial multiplication over a field  $K$  of characteristic not 2, then  $A$  is an anticommutative nil algebra and  $K$  is a finite field of  $q$  elements, where  $q < \dim A - 6$ . In this paper we shall prove:

**THEOREM.** *Let  $A$  be a homogeneous anticommutative nil algebra with nontrivial multiplication of dimension  $n$  over the field  $K$  of  $q$  elements,  $q$  odd. Then  $n > 2q + 3$ .*

This result immediately implies the corresponding result for  $p$ -

automorphic  $p$ -groups.

2. In proving the theorem, we use the following notation.  $A$  is a homogeneous anticommutative nil algebra of dimension  $n$  over the field  $K$  of  $q$  elements,  $q$  odd, and  $\Gamma$  its automorphism group. We choose integers  $m$  and  $r$  such that

$$\dim AR_x = m, R_x^r = 0, R_x^{r-1} \neq 0, \text{ all } x \neq 0 \text{ in } A .$$

Of course  $r \leq m + 1$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is transitive on  $A - \{0\}$ ,  $q^n - 1$  divides the order of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $s$  be a prime dividing  $q^n - 1$ , but not dividing  $q^t - 1$  for any  $t < n$ ; the existence of  $s$  is proved in [3]. (We may assume  $n > 2$ ; for the case  $n = 2$ , the theorem follows from the relation  $r > q$ , soon to be proved.) Let  $\sigma \in \Gamma$  have order  $s$ ; then  $\sigma$  is irreducible on the vector space  $A$ . Fix a nonzero element  $e \in A$ . Then  $A$  is spanned by  $e, e\sigma, \dots, e\sigma^{n-1}$ ; let

$$e\sigma^n = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j e\sigma^{n-j}, a_j \in K ,$$

where  $\sigma$  satisfies the irreducible polynomial  $P(X) = X^n - \sum_{j=1}^n a_j X^{n-j}$ .

Consider the vectors  $e\sigma^i \circ e, 0 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . We see that

$$\begin{aligned} (e\sigma^i \circ e)\sigma^{n-i} &= e\sigma^n \circ e\sigma^{n-i} = \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_j e\sigma^{n-j} \right) \circ e\sigma^{n-i} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_j (e\sigma^{n-j} \circ e\sigma^{n-i}) = \sum_{j \leq i} a_j (e\sigma^{i-j} \circ e)\sigma^{n-i} \\ &\quad - \sum_{j > i} a_j (e\sigma^{j-i} \circ e)\sigma^{n-j} = \sum_{0 \leq k < i} a_{i-k} (e\sigma^k \circ e)\sigma^{n-i} \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^{n-i} a_{i+k} (e\sigma^k \circ e)\sigma^{n-i-k} . \end{aligned}$$

Transferring all terms to the right-hand side, we have a relation

$$AR_e B = 0 ,$$

where  $B = (b_{ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1}$ , as a matrix over  $\bar{K} = K(\sigma)$ , say, with row index  $j$  and column index  $i$ , is given as follows: Define  $a_0 = -1, a_k = 0$  if  $k < 0$  or  $k > n$ . Then

$$b_{ij} = a_{i-j}\sigma^{n-i} - a_{i+j}\sigma^{n-i-j} .$$

We look at this matrix  $B$  quite closely. If  $n$  is even, let  $B_1$  be the lower right-hand  $(n/2) \times (n/2)$  minor.  $B_1$  is a triangular matrix with

$$\text{Det } B_1 = (-1)^{n/2} \sigma^{1+2+\dots+(n-2)/2} (\sigma^{n/2} + a_n) \neq 0 ,$$

so  $\text{rank } B \geq n/2$ . If  $n$  is odd, let  $B_1$  be the lower right-hand

$(n + 1)/2 \times (n + 1)/2$  minor.  $B_1$  is no longer triangular, but we easily compute

$$\text{Det } B_1 = (-1)^{(n-3)/2} \sigma^{1+2+\dots+((n-3)/2)} (\sigma^n + a_{n-1} \sigma^{(n+1)/2} + a_1 a_n \sigma^{(n-1)/2} - a_n^2) .$$

If this is 0 and  $n > 3$ , we see that  $P(X)$  reduces to  $P(X) = X^n - 1$ , so  $\sigma^{2n} = 1$ , a contradiction to the fact  $s \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  (see [3]). If  $n = 3$ , then  $P(X) = X^3 - aX^2 + aX - 1$  and  $P(X)$  is reducible. Hence  $\text{rank } B \geq (n + 1)/2$ . We conclude that in any case

$$\text{rank } R_e = \dim AR_e = m \leq \frac{n}{2} .$$

The next step in the proof is to show that  $r > q + 1$ ; this is done in [4], but we repeat it here, as the final case simplifies.

First suppose  $r \leq q$ . Then we can linearize the identity

$$(R_x + \alpha R_z)^r = R_{x+\alpha z}^r = 0 ,$$

all  $\alpha \in K$ , obtaining

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} R_x^i R_z R_x^{r-1-i} = 0 .$$

Applying to  $y \in A$  and using anticommutativity,

$$y \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} R_x^i R_z R_x^{r-1-i} = - \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} z R_{yR_x} i R_x^{r-1-i} = 0 ,$$

and hence

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} R_{yR_x} i R_x^{r-1-i} = 0 .$$

The equation  $e = a \circ e$  is not possible, since otherwise  $eR_a^k = (-1)^k e \neq 0$ , and  $R_a$  is not nilpotent. Hence  $a \notin AR_e$ . We choose a basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{r_1}; e_{r_1+1}, \dots, e_{r_1+r_2}; \dots e_n\}$ ,  $e = e_n$ , such that the nilpotent transformation  $R_e$  is in Jordan canonical form. Thus we have

$$r = r_1 \geq r_2 \geq \dots; e_i R_{e_n} = e_{i+1} \text{ if}$$

$$r_1 + \dots + r_{k-1} + 1 \leq i < r_1 + \dots + r_k, \text{ some } k; e_{r_1+\dots+r_k} R_{e_n} = 0 .$$

Setting  $y = e_1, x = e_n$  in the last identity, we have

$$R_{e_r} + \left( \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} R_{e_{i+1}} R_{e_n}^{r-2-i} \right) R_{e_n} = 0 .$$

Hence  $AR_{e_r} \subseteq AR_{e_n}$ ; but  $\dim AR_{e_r} = \dim AR_{e_n}$ , so  $AR_{e_r} = AR_{e_n}$ . Thus  $e_r = e_1 R_{e_n}^{r-1} \in AR_{e_n} = AR_{e_r}$ , a contradiction. We conclude  $r > q$ .

Now suppose  $r = q + 1$ . The identity  $R_x^r = 0$  cannot be linearized, but the linearization process does enable us to prove

$$R_y R_x^{q-1} R_z + R_z R_x^{q-1} R_y + f(R_x, R_y, R_z) R_x + R_x g(R_x, R_y, R_z) = 0 ,$$

where  $f$  and  $g$  are homogeneous polynomials, linear in  $R_y$  and  $R_z$ . (Expand  $(R_x + \alpha R_y + \beta R_z)^{q+1} = 0$ , use  $\alpha = \alpha^q, \beta = \beta^q$  to combine two terms, and then use van der Monde determinants as in the usual linearization to show all terms are 0. The coefficient of  $\alpha\beta$  is the left side of the desired equation.) Applying this to  $x$  and using anti-commutativity,

$$0 = z R_y R_x^q - z R_x^q R_y - z \bar{f}(R_x, R_y) R_x, \text{ some } \bar{f},$$

showing that

$$R_y R_x^q - R_x^q R_y - \bar{f}(R_x, R_y) R_x = 0 .$$

We choose a canonical basis for  $R_{e_n}$  as before and set  $x = e_n, y = e_1$  in the last identity, obtaining

$$R_{e_r} = R_{e_n}^q R_{e_1} + f(R_{e_n}, R_{e_1}) R_{e_n} .$$

For  $i \notin \{1, r_1 + 1, r_1 + r_2 + 1, \dots\}$ , we see

$$e_i R_{e_r} = e_i \bar{f}(R_{e_n}, R_{e_1}) R_{e_n} \in AR_{e_n} .$$

Also,

$$e_1 R_{e_r} = e_r R_{e_1} + e_1 \bar{f}(R_{e_n}, R_{e_1}) R_{e_n} ,$$

so since the characteristic is odd,  $e_1 R_{e_r} \in AR_{e_n}$ . If  $r_2 < r_1$ , then  $e_i R_{e_n}^q = 0$  for  $i \geq r$ , and we conclude that  $AR_{e_r} = AR_{e_n}$ , which we know to be impossible. Hence  $r_2 = r_1 = r$ . Then  $n \geq 2r + 1 = 2q + 3$ . If we have equality, then the canonical form shows  $m = \dim AR_{e_n} = 2r - 2 = 2q > (n/2)$ , a contradiction. Hence  $n > 2q + 3$ , and we are done in this case.

Thus we now may assume  $r \geq q + 2, r \leq m + 1, m \leq n/2$ . If  $n$  is even, we have  $q + 2 \leq r \leq m + 1 \leq (n/2) + 1$ , or  $n \geq 2q + 2$ , so we may assume  $n = 2q + 2$ ; then equality holds everywhere, and  $r = q + 2, m = q + 1$ . If  $n$  is odd, we have

$$q + 2 \leq r \leq m + 1 \leq \frac{n - 1}{2} + 1, \text{ or } n \geq 2q + 3 ,$$

so we may assume  $n = 2q + 3$ ; then equality holds everywhere, and  $r = q + 2, m = q + 1$ . In either case, we note  $n \leq 2m + 1$ .

Since  $q$  is odd and  $q^n - 1$  divides the order of  $\Gamma$ , we can choose an element  $\tau \in \Gamma$  of order 2. Define

$$B = \{a \in A \mid \tau(a) = a\}, C = \{a \in A \mid \tau(a) = -a\} .$$

Then  $A$  is a direct sum  $A = B \oplus C$  of its subspaces  $B$  and  $C$ . Certainly

$C \neq 0$ . If  $B = 0$ , choose  $C_1, C_2 \in C$  with  $c_1 \circ c_2 \neq 0$ . Then  $c_1 \circ c_2 = (-c_1) \circ (-c_2) = \tau(c_1) \circ \tau(c_2) = \tau(c_1 \circ c_2) = -c_1 \circ c_2$ , a contradiction. Define  $\dim B = k > 0, \dim C = n - k$ . It is clear that  $B \circ B \subseteq B, C \circ C \subseteq B, B \circ C \subseteq C$ . Hence if  $b \in B$ , then  $BR_b \subseteq B, CR_b \subseteq C$ ; of course the nilpotency index  $r$  of  $R_b$  is the maximum of its nilpotency indexes on the subspaces  $B$  and  $C$ .

Suppose first  $B \circ C = 0$ . Then for any  $b \in B^{\#}, AR_b = BR_b$  has dimension  $m; b \notin BR_b$ , so  $\dim B \geq m + 1$ , proving  $\dim C \leq m$ . For any  $c \in C^{\#}, c \circ c = 0$ , so since  $AR_c = CR_c$ , we have

$$\dim AR_c = \dim CR_c < \dim C \leq m ,$$

a contradiction.

We have thus proved  $B \circ C \neq 0$ . Pick  $b \in B$  with  $CR_b \neq 0; CR_b \subseteq C$ , so  $AR_b = BR_b \oplus CR_b$ , and  $\dim BR_b \leq m - 1$ . We look at the canonical form of  $R_b$  on  $B$  and on  $C$ , and use the fact

$$r = m + 1; \dim BR_b \leq m - 1$$

implies  $(R_b|B)^m = 0$ , so  $(R_b|C)^m \neq 0$ , and  $\dim CR_b \geq m$ . Hence  $\dim CR_b = m, \dim C \geq m + 1, \dim B \leq m$ . This means that for any  $b' \in B^{\#}, \dim BR'_b < m$ , so  $CR'_b \neq 0$ ; the same argument then applies for  $b'$  as for  $b$ . We conclude that  $B \circ B = 0$ .

Let  $c$  be any element of  $C^{\#}$ . Since  $R_c^m \neq 0$  and  $\dim AR_c = m$ , we have  $\dim AR_c^2 = m - 1$ . Since  $BR_c \subseteq C$  and  $CR_c \subseteq B$ , we have

$$\dim AR_c = m = \dim BR_c + \dim CR_c .$$

Also,

$$AR_c^2 = (BR_c + CR_c)R_c \subseteq CR_c + BR_c .$$

Let  $\beta_i = \dim BR_c^i, \gamma_i = \dim CR_c^i, i = 1, 2$ . We see that  $\beta_1 + \gamma_1 = m, \beta_2 + \gamma_2 = m - 1, \beta_2 \leq \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \leq \beta_1$ , and of course  $\beta_2 \leq \beta_1, \gamma_2 \leq \gamma_1$ . Since  $m = q + 1$  is even, let  $m = 2l$ ; the only solutions for the  $\beta_i$  and  $\gamma_i$  have  $\beta_1 = \gamma_1 = l$ . So  $\dim BR_c = l$ , for any  $c \in C^{\#}$ .

We now consider separately the cases  $n = 2q + 2$  and  $n = 2q + 3$ . Let  $S$  denote the set of all ordered pairs  $\langle b, c \rangle, b \in B, c \in C$ , with  $b \circ c = 0$ . In each case we compute the order  $|S|$  in two different ways to obtain a contradiction.

When  $n = 2q + 2 = 2m = 4l$ , we know that for any

$$b \in B^{\#}, \dim CR_b = m ,$$

so

$$\dim \{c \in C | b \circ c = 0\} = (n - k) - m = m - k ,$$

and for any

$c \in C^\#, \dim BR_c = l$ , so  $\dim \{b \in B \mid b \circ c = 0\} = k - l$ .

Hence

$$|S| = (q^k - 1)q^{m-k} + q^{n-k}$$

and

$$|S| = (q^{n-k} - 1)q^{k-l} + q^k.$$

Therefore

$$q^{n-k} + q^m - q^{m-k} = q^{n-l} + q^k - q^{k-l}.$$

We know  $\dim C = n - k \geq m + 1$ , so  $k < m$ . Equating highest terms, the equation must imply  $k = l$ . But now the left side is divisible by  $q$  and the right is not, a contradiction.

When  $n = 2q + 3 = 2m + 1 = 4l + 1$ , then for any

$$b \in B^\#, \dim \{c \in C \mid b \circ c = 0\} = (n - k) - m = m - k + 1,$$

and for any

$$c \in C^\#, \dim \{b \in B \mid b \circ c = 0\} = k - l.$$

Hence

$$|S| = (q^k - 1)q^{m-k+1} + q^{n-k}$$

and

$$|S| = (q^{n-k} - 1)q^{k-l} + q^k,$$

showing that

$$q^{m+1} - q^{m+1-k} + q^{n-k} = q^{n-l} - q^{k-l} + q^k.$$

The largest terms on the two sides are necessarily equal, so  $n - k = n - l, k = l$ . But then the left side is divisible by  $q$  and the right is not, the final contradiction.

REMARK. Following [5], one can also consider *semi- $p$ -automorphic*  $p$ -groups, in which the automorphism group is transitive on subgroups of order  $p$ , and the corresponding notion of *spa-algebras*, in which the automorphism group is transitive on one-dimensional subspaces. The arguments above then show  $n > 2p + 1$ . To prove  $n > 2p + 3$ , we require the involution  $\tau$  in the automorphism group  $\Gamma$ ;  $\tau$  does exist, since otherwise  $\Gamma$  would be of odd order and hence solvable, and the case of a solvable  $\Gamma$  is treated in [5].

*Added in proof.* Ernest Schult has announced a complete solution of Boen's problem in Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 74 (1968), 268-270.

## REFERENCES

1. J. R. Boen, *On  $p$ -automorphic  $p$ -groups*, Pacific J. Math. **12** (1962), 813-815.
2. J. R. Boen, O. Rothaus, and J. Thompson, *Further results on  $p$ -automorphic  $p$ -groups*, Pacific J. Math. **12** (1962), 817-821.
3. L. E. Dickson, *On the cyclotomic function*, Amer. Math. Monthly **12** (1905), 86-89.
4. A. I. Kostrikin, *On homogeneous algebras*, Izvestia Acad. Nauk SSSR **29** (1965), 471-483.
5. E. Schult, *On semi- $p$ -automorphic groups I* (to appear)

Received August 28, 1967. This research partially supported by Army Contract SAR/Da-31-124-ARO-D-336.

YALE UNIVERSITY

