FINITE DIMENSIONAL TRANSLATION INVARIANT SUBSPACES

MARTIN ENGERT

It is known that every finite dimensional translation invariant subspace of the continuous functions on the real line consists of exponential polynomials. The purpose of this paper is to prove an analogous result under the hypotheses that the functions involved are measurable instead of continuous (and two functions are considered identical if they are equal almost everywhere) and that the functions are defined on a σ -compact locally compact abelian group. There is an application of this theorem to the characterization of differential operators at the end of the paper.

Proofs of the result for continuous functions can be found in Anselone and Korevaar [1] and Loewner [5] along with generalizations to the case of distributions in [1] and some applications in [5]. Also, a theorem of this type has been proved by Stone [6] for continuous functions on semi-groups.

PRELIMINARY DEFINITIONS. If G is a locally compact abelian group then an *additive* or a *linear* function on G is a complex valued function b such that b(x + y) = b(x) + b(y) for all x and y in G. A *polynomial* on G is a function of the form $p(x) = P(b_1(x), \dots, b_n(x))$ where P is a polynomial in n variables and b_i $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ is a continuous additive function on G. An *exponential* on G is a continuous multiplicative complex valued function on G. An *exponential polynomial* on G is a function of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i g_i$ where p_i is a polynomial and g_i is an exponential.

In this paper, G will always refer to a σ -compact locally compact abelian group with Haar measure μ . Two measurable functions will be considered to be equal if they are equal almost everywhere.

A multi-index $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ is an *n*-tuple of nonnegative integers, and if $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ is a complex *n*-tuple, then b^p is defined to be $b_1^{p_1}b_2^{p_2}\cdots b_n^{p_n}$. (For more details on the notation, see Hörmander [2], particularly pages 9-10).

The symbol T_x denotes the operator of translation by x, that is, $(T_x f)(y) = f(x + y)$.

LEMMA 1. Let b be a measurable function on G and suppose that for almost every x in G

$$b(x) + b(y) - b(x + y) = 0$$
 a.e. in y.

MARTIN ENGERT

Then b is equal almost everywhere to a continuous linear function b'.

Proof. We can assume that b is real, for if not we can apply the lemma to Re(b) and Im(b) separately. We shall first show that b is essentially bounded on every compact subset of G. It is enough to show that b is essentially bounded on every symmetric neighborhood of the identity with compact closure. Let A be such a neighborhood and let B = A + A. Suppose b is not bounded on A. Then the measure of the set $A \cap \{x: b(x) > n\}$ is positive for each positive integer n. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of points in the set $A \cap \{x: b(x) + b(y) - b(x + y) = 0 \text{ a.e. in } y\}$ such that $b(x_n) > n$. We have for any a in A and any positive integer n

$$\{x: b(x+a) > n \text{ and } x \in A\} \subset \{x: b(x) > n \text{ and } x \in A + A\}$$
.

So

$$\mu\{x: b(x+a) > n \text{ and } x \in A\} \leq \mu\{x: b(x) > n \text{ and } x \in B\}.$$

Since b is measurable and B has finite measure, the right side goes to zero as n increases, so the left side must also, that is, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an n so that for any a in A, $\mu\{x: b(x + a) > n \text{ and } x \in A\} < \varepsilon$. But by choosing $a = x_n$ we know that $b(x_n) + b(x) = b(x + x_n)$ a.e. in x, so $\mu\{x: b(x) > n - b(x_n) \text{ and } x \in A\} \ge \mu\{x: b(x) > 0 \text{ and } x \in A\}$. The right side of this inequality does not go to zero, so we have a contradiction.

To get a continuous function, we let f(x) be a nonnegative continuous function with compact support. Then f * b is continuous and

$$(f*b)(z) = \int b(z-t)f(t)dt = b(z)\int f(t)dt + \int b(-t)f(t)dt$$

a.e. in z. So

$$b(z) = \left((f * b)(z) - \int b(-t)f(t)dt \right) / \left(\int f(t)dt \right)$$

a.e. in z. If we call the right side of this equation b'(z) we have b(z) = b'(z) a.e. and b'(z) is continuous. Thus b'(x) + b'(y) - b'(x + y) is continuous on $G \times G$ and is equal to zero a.e. with respect to the product measure. This implies that b'(x) + b'(y) - b'(x + y) equals zero everywhere or that b' is linear.

LEMMA 2. Let f be a measurable function on G. Suppose $T_x f - f = 0$ a.e. for each x in G. Then there is a constant K such that f(x) = K a.e.

Proof. Define g on $G \times G$ by g(x, y) = f(x + y) - f(x). Then for

each y, g(x, y) = 0 a.e. so g = 0 a.e. on $G \times G$. Define g'(x, y) = g(x, y - x). (This is also equal to f(y) - f(x)). Since $(x, y) \to (x, y - x)$ is a one to one measure preserving map of $G \times G$ into itself, g' is also zero almost everywhere on $G \times G$. So, by Fubini's theorem, for almost all y, g'(x, y) = 0 a.e. in x. Let y_0 be such a value of y. Then $g'(x, y_0) =$ $f(y_0) - f(x) = 0$ a.e. Let $f(y_0) = K$.

LEMMA 3. Suppose f is a measurable function on G and $T_x f - f = b(x)\mathbf{1}$ a.e., where $b(x)\mathbf{1}$ denotes the function that is the constant b(x). Then b is a continuous linear function on G.

Proof. We first show that b is linear. We have $T_{x+y}f = T_x(T_yf) = T_x(f + b(y)1) = f + b(x)1 + b(y)1$. But $T_{x+y}f$ is also equal to f + b(x+y)1. Thus b is linear.

Let g be an integrable function such that the integral of g is 1 and fg is integrable. Let f_n be the function defined by

$$f_n(x) = egin{cases} f(x) & ext{if} & |f(x)| \leq n \ 0 & ext{if} & |f(x)| > n \ . \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\int g(y)(f(x + y) - f(y))dy = b(x) \; .$$

Define $b_n(x)$ as

$$\int g(y)(f_n(x+y)-f(y))dy \ .$$

Since f_n is bounded and g is integrable, b_n is continuous. By the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, b_n converges to b, so b is the limit of continuous functions and thus measurable.

By Lemma 1, b is equal almost everywhere to a continuous linear function b'. Then for each x in G, b(x + y) = b'(x + y) a.e. in y which in turn implies that b(x) = b'(x). Thus b = b' everywhere or b is a continuous linear function.

LEMMA 4. If $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ is an n-tuple of linear functions on G and p is a multi-index, then

$$T_x b^p = p! \sum_{q \leq p} rac{b^{p-q}(x)b^q}{(p-q)! \, q!} = b^p + p! \sum_{q < p} rac{b^{p-q}(x)b^q}{(p-q)! \, q!} \; .$$

Proof. The proof of this is straightforward computation of $b^{p}(x + y)$ using the binomial theorem repeatedly, so it will be omitted here.

MARTIN ENGERT

LEMMA 5. Let f be a measurable function on G such that the complex linear space spanned by $\{T_x f - f : x \in G\}$ is a finite dimensional space V of polynomials. Then f is equal almost everywhere to a polynomial on G.

Proof. It might be helpful to outline the proof first. We will first construct a polynomial f' so that $\{T_x(f - f') - (f - f'): x \in G\}$ spans a finite dimensional space of polynomials of degree less than or equal to one. We then show that if f'' is a function such that $\{T_xf'' - f'': x \in G\}$ spans a finite dimensional space of polynomials of degree less than or equal to one then we can construct a polynomial f''' so that for each $x, T_x(f'' - f''') - (f'' - f''')$ is equal almost everywhere to a constant. We then use the previous lemmas to conclude that f'' - f''' is equal almost everywhere to a polynomial.

First choose a finite set of continuous linear functions so that all polynomials in V are built up from these linear functions. We can then choose a set $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ of linear combinations of these functions and a set of points $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ in G so that $b_i(y_i) = \delta_{ij}$ and V is contained in the linear space spanned by b^p for p contained in a finite set of multi-indices:.

There are thus functions c_p , defined everywhere on G, so that $T_x f - f = \sum c_p(x) b^p$. We compute

$$egin{aligned} T_{x+x}f &= T_xT_yf = T_x(f \,+\,\sum\,c_p(y)b^p) \ &= f \,+\,\sum\,c_p(x)b^p \,+\,\sum\,c_p(y)\sum_{r\leq p}p!\,rac{b^{p-r}(x)b^r}{(p-r)!\,r!} \end{aligned}$$

with these equations holding almost everywhere on G. Since the functions b^r are linearly independent, the coefficient of b^r is equal to

$$egin{aligned} &\sum\limits_{p \geq r} c_p(y) rac{p!}{(p-r)! \; r!} b^{p-r}(x) \;+\; c_r(x) \ &= \sum\limits_{p > r} c_p(y) rac{p!}{(p-r)! \; r!} b^{p-r}(x) \;+\; c_r(y) \;+\; c_r(x) \;. \end{aligned}$$

This expression has to be symmetric in x and y, giving for each r the set of equations

$$\sum_{p>r} c_p(y) rac{p!}{(p-r)! \, r!} b^{p-r}(x) = \sum_{p>r} c_p(x) rac{p!}{(p-r)! \, r!} b^{p-r}(y) \; .$$

Now change notation, on the right hand side let q = p - r. We get

(1)
$$\sum_{p>r} c_p(y) \frac{p!}{(p-r)! r!} b^{p-r}(x) = \sum_{q>0} c_{q+r}(x) \frac{(q+r)!}{q! r!} b^q(y) .$$

The sum on the right is a finite sum, say over $q = q_1, \dots, q_m$. Choose x_1, \dots, x_m so that the matrix $[b_{ij}] = [b^{q_i}(x_j)]$ is invertible. (This is possible because the monomials b^q are linearly independent). This is equivalent to saying that there exist numbers $B_{q,j}$ with the property

$$\sum_j b^p(x_j) B_{q,j} = egin{cases} 1 & ext{if} \ p = q \ 0 & ext{if} \ p
eq q \ .$$

By letting $y = x_1, \dots, x_m$ successively in equation (1) above we get for each r a set of m equations which we can solve for $[(q + r)!/q! r!]c_{q+r}(x)$, finding it equal to

$$\sum_{k} B_{q,k} \sum_{p>r} c_p(x_k) rac{q!}{(q-r)! r!} b^{p-r}(x) \; .$$

Thus, if q > 0

(2)
$$c_{q+r}(x) = \sum_{p>r} \frac{q!}{(q+r)!} \sum_{k} B_{q,k} c_{p}(x_{k}) \frac{q!}{(p-r)!} b^{p-r}(x)$$
$$= \sum_{p>r} \left[\sum_{k} B_{q,k} c_{p}(x_{k}) \frac{p! \, q!}{(p+q)!} \right] \frac{(p+q)! \, b^{p-r}(x)}{(q+r)! \, (p-r)!} \, .$$

Define k(p, q) to be the quantity within the brackets. Note that k(p, q) is defined only if p > 0 and q > 0. If we take the special case r = 0 we get, for q > 0

(3)
$$c_q(x) = \sum_{p>0} [k(p, q)] \frac{(p+q)!}{p! \, q!} b^p(x)$$

which says that c_q is a linear combination of monomials b^p and that k(p,q) is the coefficient of the monomial $[(p+q)!/p!q!]b^p$ in the function c_q .

We want to show that k(p, q) depends only on p + q. We shall first show this in the case where $|p + q| \ge 3$. Then we shall consider the case where |p + q| = 2. Since both p and q in the above equation are always > 0, the case |p + q| = 1 does not arise.

Suppose we have q = q' + r and p = p' - r. Then we want to show that k(p, q) = k(p', q'). But using equation (2) twice

$$egin{aligned} c_q(x) &= \sum\limits_{p>0} \left[k(p,\,q)
ight] rac{(p+q)!}{p!\,q!} b^p(x) \ &= c_{q'+r}(x) \ &= \sum\limits_{p'>r} \left[k(p',\,q')
ight] rac{(p'+q')!}{(q'+r)!\,(p'-r)!} b^{p'-r}(x) \ &= \sum\limits_{p'>r} \left[k(p',\,q')
ight] rac{(p+q)!}{p!\,q!} b^p(x) \;. \end{aligned}$$

So k(p, q) = k(p', q') since they both can be described as the coefficient of $[(p+q)!/p!q!]b^p$ in c_q .

From this we can conclude that $k(p_1, q_1) = k(p_2, q_2)$ whenever we can find a third pair of nonzero multiindices (p_3, q_3) so that $p_1 + q_1 = p_2 + q_2 = p_3 + q_3$ and we can compare q_3 to both q_1 and q_2 in the way we have just done. By simple arguments it can be shown that if the multi-index s has $|s| \ge 3$ and $p_1 + q_1 = p_2 + q_2 = s$ and if none of the multi-indices p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 is equal to zero, then $k(p_1, q_1) = k(p_2, q_2)$.

Thus, for $|s| \ge 3$ we can define k(s) = k(p, q) for any p and q with p + q = s.

Let $f' = \sum_{s \ge 3} k(s)b^s$. Then

$$T_x f' - f' = \sum_{s \ge 3} k(s) \sum_{q < s} \frac{s!}{(s-q)! \, q!} b^{s-q}(x) b^q \; .$$

By comparing this equation (3) we can see that there are numbers k'(p, q) so that

$$T_x(f - f') - (f - f') = \sum k'(p, q) rac{(p+q)!}{p! \, q!} b^p(x) b^q + c_0(x) \mathbf{1} \; ,$$

where the sum is taken over the multi-indices p and q with $|p + q| \leq 2$ and p > 0. The right side of this equation contains only linear functions and constants, that is, we can define functions d_0, d_1, \dots, d_n so that

$$T_x(f - f') - (f - f') = d_0(x)\mathbf{1} + \sum d_k(x)b_k$$
 .

Let f'' = f - f' for short.

Using the same trick as before:

$$egin{aligned} T_xT_yf'' &= T_x(f''+d_0(y)\mathbf{1}+\sum d_k(y)b_k) \ &= f''+d_0(x)\mathbf{1}+d_0(y)\mathbf{1}+\sum d_k(y)b_k \ &+ \sum d_k(x)b_k+\sum b_k(x)d_k(y)\mathbf{1} \;. \end{aligned}$$

This has to be symmetric in x and y, so we get

$$\sum d_k(x)b_k(y) = \sum d_k(y)b_k(x)$$
.

Pich $y = y_1, \dots, y_n$ in succession, where these are the numbers chosen at the beginning of the proof so that $b_k(y_i) = \delta_{ki}$. We get

$$d_k(x) = \sum_j d_j(y_k) b_j(x)$$

and thus, by letting $x = y_i$, $d_k(y_i) = d_i(y_k)$. Let $f''' = (1/2) \sum_{i,k} d_k(y_i) b_i b_k$. Then

$$egin{aligned} T_x f''' &= f''' + rac{1}{2} \sum\limits_k d_k(y_i) b_i(x) b_k \ &+ rac{1}{2} \sum\limits_i d_k(y_i) b_k(x) b_i + rac{1}{2} \sum d_k(y_i) b_k(x) b_i(x) 1 \ &= f''' + \sum\limits_k d_k(x) b_k + d'(x) 1 \end{aligned}$$

where $d' = (1/2) \sum d_k(y_i) b_k b_i$. Thus

$$T_x(f'' - f''') - (f'' - f''') = (d_0(x) - d'(x)1)$$

By Lemma 3 $d_0 - d'$ is a continuous linear function. Then

$$T_x(f'' - f''' - (d_0 - d')) - (f'' - f''' - (d_0 - d')) = 0$$

a.e., and thus by Lemma 2 $f'' - f''' - (d_0 - d')$ is a constant almost everywhere. Since f'' = f + a polynomial, we conclude that f is equal to a polynomial almost everywhere.

LEMMA 6. Let p be a measurable function on G such that for all x and y, p(x + y) = p(x)p(y). Then p is continuous.

Proof. Since ln | p(x) | is a measurable linear function, p is locally bounded, by Lemma 1. Let E be an open set with compact closure. Then

$$\int_{E} p(x-y)p(y)dy = p(x)\mu(E) ,$$

so

$$\mu(E)[p(x+\varepsilon)-p(x)] = \int_{E} [p(x+\varepsilon-y)-p(x-y)]p(y)dy ,$$

and

$$\mu(E) |p(x + \varepsilon) - p(x)| \leq \sup_{y \in E} |p(y)| \int_{E+x} |p(-t + \varepsilon) - p(-t)| dt$$
.

Since p is locally bounded, the right side goes to zero as ε goes to zero. Thus p is continuous.

LEMMA 7. If f is a measurable function on G and if for all x in G, $T_x f = p(x)f$ a.e., then p is an exponential.

Proof. We first show that p is measurable. If n is a positive integer, define the function g_n by

$$g_n(x) = egin{cases} f(x) & ext{if} \ |f(x)| \leq n \ 0 & ext{if} \ |f(x)| > n \ . \end{cases}$$

Let h be an integrable function such that fh is integrable and

Then

$$\int (T_x f)h = p(x)\int fh$$
.

 $\int fh \neq 0$.

Define

$$p_n(x) = \left[\int (T_x g_n)h\right] / \left(\int fh\right).$$

The function p_n is continuous because g_n is bounded and h is integrable. For each x, $p_n(x)$ converges to p(x) by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem. Since p is the limit of continuous functions, p is measurable. The function p is multiplicative since $T_{x+y} = p(x+y)$ and also $T_{x+y}f = T_xT_yf = T_xp(y)f = p(y)T_xf = p(y)p(x)f$. By Lemma 6, p is continuous.

THEOREM 1. Let V be a translation invariant finite dimensional complex linear space of measurable functions on a locally compact abelian group G. Then every function in V is an exponential polynomial.

Proof. Since the family of translation operators is a commuting family of mappings from V into itself, we can write V as a direct sum $V^1 + \cdots + V^k$ where each subspace V^j is invariant and indecomposable under this family of mappings. Also a basis for V can be found so that on V^j the translation operators have matrices which are lower triangular with all diagonal elements equal, i.e., of the form

$$(*) \qquad \qquad \begin{bmatrix} p_j(x) & 0 \\ & \ddots \\ & & p_j(x) \end{bmatrix}$$

(See Jacobson [3], p. 134). By Lemma 7, p_j is an exponential function, since there is a basis element f_j such that $T_x f_j = p_j(x) f$. To simplify notation, we shall assume that V itself is invariant and indecomposable so that we may omit the subscripts. Let $V' = \{p^{-1}f: f \in V\}$. An easy computation shows that multiplication by an exponential takes translation invariant spaces into translation invariant spaces, so that V' is also invariant and indecomposable. Then in a suitable basis the matrix of translation has the form *. We can determine the diagonal elements by looking at a one dimensional subspace. Since the translates of $p^{-1}f$ span a one dimensional subspace if and only if the translates of f do also, we have

$$egin{aligned} & [T_y(p^{-1}f)](x) = p^{-1}(x+y)(T_yf)(x) \ & = p^{-1}(x)p^{-1}(y)p(y)f(x) = (p^{-1}f)(x) \;. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that in a suitable basis, the matrix of translation by any x on V' is zero above the diagonal and identically equal to one on the diagonal. Applying Lemma 5 to the basis elements of V' in succession, first to the element f_1 such that the translates of f_1 span a one dimensional space, then to the element f_2 such that translates of f_2 and f_1 span a two dimensional space, and so on, we see that V' consists of polynomials, and that V consists of polynomials multiplied by the exponential p.

LEMMA 8. Suppose p is an unbounded exponential function on \mathbb{R}^n . Then (a) there is no nonzero function f on \mathbb{R}^n with the properties: (1) f has compact support, (2) \hat{f} is integrable, (3) $p\hat{f}$ is integrable,

(b) there is no nonzero function f with the properties (1) f has compact support, (2) f is square integrable, (3) $p\hat{f}$ is square integrable.

Proof. (a) Suppose there is a nonzero function f with the three properties. Then we can assume $f(0) \neq 0$ without loss of generality. Since the hypotheses are satisfied for p if and only if they are satisfied for |p|, we need only consider the case that p is real. If so, $p(x) = \exp(a, x)$ where a is a real *n*-tuple. Define, for t real,

$$g(t) = f(ta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp it(y, a) \widehat{f}(y) dy$$
.

If z is complex, $-1 \leq \text{Im}(z) \leq 0$, define

$$g(z) = rac{1}{2\pi} \int \exp i z(y, a) \widehat{f}(y) dy$$
.

If $-1 \leq \text{Im}(z) \leq 0$, then $|\exp iz(y, a)\widehat{f}(y)| \leq |\exp(y, a)\widehat{f}(y)| + |\widehat{f}(y)|$ so the integral defining g(z) converges absolutely. If -1 < Im(z) < 0, we can differentiate with respect to z and see that g(z) is analytic in this region. Thus g(t) (t real) are the boundary values of an analytic function, so by the reflection principle, g cannot vanish in an interval without vanishing identically. Since $g(0) = f(0) \neq 0$, and g has compact support, we have a contradiction.

(b) Suppose there is a nonzero function f with these properties. Then apply part (a) with f replaced by f*f and p replaced by p^2 . We conclude that f*f = 0 which implies that $\hat{f}^2 = 0$ or f = 0, which is a contradiction.

LEMMA 9. Let D be a closeable densely defined operator from

MARTIN ENGERT

 $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to itself that commutes with translations. Then there is a measurable function b on \mathbb{R}^n so that for any f in the domain of D, $Df = F^{-1}bF$ where F denotes the fourier transform and b denotes the operator of multiplication by the function b.

Proof. It is known that a closeable densely defined operator from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to itself that commutes with multiplication by bounded exponentials is multiplication by a measurable function. (See Kunze [4], p. 5). Apply this result to $F^{-1}DF$.

For the purpose of the following theorem, a differential difference operator with constant coefficients is an operator of the form $F^{-1}bF$ where F denotes the fourier transform and b denotes multiplication by an exponential polynomial b with only bounded exponentials appearing.

THEOREM 2. Let D be a closed densely defined operator from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself. Then D is a differential difference operator with constant coefficients if and only if the following conditions are satisfied: (1) D commutes with translations, (2) there is a nonzero function f with compact support such that $f(x)e^{i(h,x)}$ is in the domain of D for all h in \mathbb{R}^n , (3) the set of functions $\{e^{-i(h,x)}De^{i(h,x)}f(x):h\in\mathbb{R}^n\}$ spans a finite dimensional complex linear space of functions.

Proof. It is trivial that condition (1) is necessary. Condition (2) is necessary since we can take f to be any infinitely differentiable function with compact support; and a direct calculation shows that condition (3) is necessary.

Lemma 9 and condition (1) imply that D is of the form $F^{-1}bF$. Condition (3) implies that $\{(T_x b)\hat{f}: x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ spans a finite dimensional space Since \hat{f} is analytic, it is different from zero almost everywhere, so we can divide by it and conclude that $\{T_x b: x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ spans a finite dimensional space T(b) of measurable functions and thus, by Theorem 1, bis an exponential polynomial. Also, if g is in T(b), then $g\hat{f}$ is square integrable by condition (2). We have to show that b contains only bounded exponentials. By the proof of Theorem 1, every exponential p that appears in b is also in the space T(b), and thus $p\hat{f}$ is square integrable. But if p is unbounded, then Lemma 8 gives a contradiction.

References

^{1.} P. M. Anselone and J. Korevaar, Translation invariant subspaces of finite dimension, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (1964), 747-752.

^{2.} L. Hörmander, Linear partial differential operators, Academic Press, New York, 1963.

^{3.} N. Jacobson. Lectures in abstruct algebra, Vol. II, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J. 1957.

4. R. A. Kunze, An operator theoretic approach to generalized fourier transforms, Ann. of Math. **69** (1959).

5. C. Loewner, On some transformation semigroups invariant under Euclidean or non-Euclidean isometries, J. Math. Mech. 8 (1959), 393-409.

6. J. J. Stone, *Exponential polynomials on commutative semigroups*, Appl. Math. and Stat. Lab. Technical Note No. 14, Stanford University, 1960.

Received December 20, 1968.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE AARHUS, DENMARK AND WISCONSIN STATE UNIVERSITY—WHITEWATER