

ON A CERTAIN GENERALIZATION OF \mathcal{E}_p SPACES

JEANNE LADUKE

An \mathcal{E}_p space is a product of finite-dimensional c_p spaces with a weighted \mathcal{E}_p norm on the product. The first theorem of this paper yields an isometric embedding of \mathcal{E}_p into an appropriate c_p space. From this theorem, known results about c_p are used to deduce, among other things, the Clarkson inequalities for \mathcal{E}_p , $1 < p < \infty$, and hence, the uniform convexity of \mathcal{E}_p for $1 < p < \infty$.

The second theorem characterizes the conjugate space of \mathcal{E}_p for $0 < p < 1$. This result is then used to describe some spaces of multipliers. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be \mathcal{E}_p spaces, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, or \mathcal{E}_0 . The spaces $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ of multipliers from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{B} have previously been identified with certain subspaces of $\mathcal{E}(I)$ and determined precisely in some cases. The third theorem is a complete description of these multiplier spaces: the cases $0 < p < 1$ are included and the spaces $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ are determined precisely for all pairs \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} .

1. Definitions. First, we repeat the definition of c_p (called C_p by Dunford and Schwartz [1], S_p by Gohberg and Krein [2], and c_p by McCarthy [6]). See also [3, D. 37] for the case where H is finite-dimensional.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let H be a Hilbert space and let X be a compact operator on H . Then XX^* is positive and compact and hence has a unique positive square root which is also compact. We denote this square root by $|X|$. Now let μ_n be the, at most countably many, nonzero eigenvalues of $|X|$ enumerated with their multiplicity and arranged in a decreasing sequence as $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$. For $0 < p < \infty$, we define

$$\|X\|_{\phi_p} = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n^p \right)^{1/p}$$

whether finite or infinite; and we define

$$\|X\|_{\phi_{\infty}} = \sup \{ \mu_n : 1 \leq n < \infty \} = \mu_1.$$

Equivalently, [1, p. 1089], $\|X\|_{\phi_{\infty}}$ is the operator norm of X . Then c_p consists of all compact X with $\|X\|_{\phi_p}$ finite.

See [1], [2], and [6] for a detailed treatment of c_p spaces and for additional references. Also, [3, Appendix D] contains a number of results in case H is finite-dimensional.

We proceed to define \mathcal{E}_p spaces. These spaces were introduced by R. A. Kunze [5] primarily for the purpose of having analogues of \mathcal{L}_p spaces in the study of harmonic analysis on compact non-Abelian groups. They have been studied and exploited for this purpose especially by Hewitt and Ross [3].

DEFINITION 1.2. Let I be an index set. For each $\iota \in I$, let H_ι be a finite-dimensional Hilbert space and let $a_\iota \geq 1$. We let $\mathcal{E}(I)$ denote the $*$ -algebra $\prod_{\iota \in I} \mathcal{B}(H_\iota)$ with all operations defined coordinatewise. Let $E = (E_\iota)_{\iota \in I} \in \mathcal{E}(I)$. For $0 < p < \infty$, we define

$$\|E\|_p = \left(\sum_{\iota \in I} a_\iota \|E_\iota\|_{\phi_p}^p \right)^{1/p};$$

we also define

$$\|E\|_\infty = \sup \{ \|E_\iota\|_{\phi_\infty} : \iota \in I \}.$$

For $0 < p \leq \infty$, $\mathcal{E}_p(I)$ is defined to be the set of all $E \in \mathcal{E}(I)$ for which $\|E\|_p$ is finite. In addition, $\mathcal{E}_{00}(I)$ is the set of $E \in \mathcal{E}(I)$ for which $\{\iota \in I : E_\iota \neq 0\}$ is finite; and $\mathcal{E}_0(I)$ is the set of $E \in \mathcal{E}(I)$ for which $\{\iota \in I : \|E_\iota\|_{\phi_\infty} \geq \varepsilon\}$ is finite for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Frequently we write \mathcal{E}_p in place of $\mathcal{E}_p(I)$. We notice that if each H_ι is one-dimensional, then $\mathcal{E}_p(I)$ is just the $\{a_\iota\}$ -weighted \mathcal{L}_p space which we will call L_p ; namely, $\{c_\iota\}_{\iota \in I} \in L_p$ if and only if $c_\iota \in K$ for each $\iota \in I$ and $\|c\|_p = (\sum_{\iota \in I} a_\iota |c_\iota|^p)^{1/p} < \infty$. In addition, if each $a_\iota = 1$, then $\mathcal{E}_p(I)$ is just $\mathcal{L}_p(I)$. Also, it is convenient to think of \mathcal{E}_p as a product of c_p spaces with a weighted \mathcal{L}_p norm on the product.

2. An embedding theorem and some consequences. In Hewitt and Ross [3], several basic facts about \mathcal{E}_p for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ are proved. There it is shown that Hölder's inequality, Minkowski's inequality and certain generalizations of these hold. The major result of this section is (2.2), a theorem describing a linear isometry of \mathcal{E}_p onto a subspace of an appropriate c_p space. The theorem is then used to derive a number of inequalities for \mathcal{E}_p from results known about c_p . We begin with a description of the setting.

Let I be an index set and let H_ι be a finite-dimensional Hilbert space for each $\iota \in I$. Also, let $a_\iota \geq 1$ for each $\iota \in I$. For $0 < p \leq \infty$, $\|E\|_p$ and \mathcal{E}_p will be as in (1.2). Now from the Hilbert space direct sum $\bigoplus_{\iota \in I} H_\iota$; namely

$$\bigoplus_{\iota \in I} H_\iota = \left\{ \{\xi_\iota\} \in \prod_{\iota \in I} H_\iota : \sum_{\iota \in I} \|\xi_\iota\|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

with addition and scalar multiplication defined coordinatewise and with

an inner product defined by $\langle \{\xi_i\}, \{\eta_i\} \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \langle \xi_i, \eta_i \rangle$. It is well known that $\bigoplus_{i \in I} H_i$ is a Hilbert space under these definitions.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $0 < p < \infty$ and let $E = (E_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathcal{E}_p$. Define $T_p(E) = T_E$ where $T_E(\{\xi_i\}) = \{a_i^{1/p} E_i(\xi_i)\}$ for all $\{\xi_i\} \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} H_i$. If $p = \infty$ and $E \in \mathcal{E}_\infty$, let $T_\infty(E) = T_E$ where $T_E(\{\xi_i\}) = \{E_i(\xi_i)\}$.

If $p = \infty$, it is known that $T_E \in \mathcal{B}(\bigoplus_{i \in I} H_i)$ and $\|T_E\| = \|E\|_\infty$. In general we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.2. Let $0 < p < \infty$ and let T_p be defined as above. Then T_p is a linear, $*$ -preserving isometry of $\mathcal{E}_p(I)$ onto the subspace $e_p = \{T \in c_p(\bigoplus_{i \in I} H_i) : H_i \text{ is invariant under } T \text{ for all } i \in I\}$ of $c_p(\bigoplus_{i \in I} H_i)$.

Proof. First, let $\xi = \{\xi_i\} \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} H_i$ so that $T_E(\{\xi_i\}) = \{a_i^{1/p} E_i \xi_i\}$ for $E = (E_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathcal{E}_p$. Then using [1, p. 1093, 9 (a)] to obtain the second inequality below, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_E(\{\xi_i\})\|^2 &= \sum_{i \in I} \|a_i^{1/p} E_i(\xi_i)\|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in I} a_i^{2/p} \|E_i\|_{\phi_\infty}^2 \|\xi_i\|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in I} a_i^{2/p} \|E_i\|_{\phi_p}^2 \|\xi_i\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} (a_i \|E_i\|_{\phi_p}^p)^{2/p} \|\xi_i\|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in I} \|E\|_p^2 \|\xi_i\|^2 \\ &= \|E\|_p^2 \|\xi\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $T_E(\{\xi_i\}) \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} H_i$ and $\|T_E(\{\xi_i\})\| \leq \|E\|_p \|\xi\|$. Also, T_E is clearly linear. Hence, $T_E \in \mathcal{B}(\bigoplus_{i \in I} H_i)$ and $\|T_E\| \leq \|E\|_p$. It is easy to check that T_p is linear and $*$ -preserving.

We must now see that T_E is compact for $E \in \mathcal{E}_p$. Since $E \rightarrow T_E$ is continuous and \mathcal{E}_{00} is dense in \mathcal{E}_p , we need only note that T_E is compact for $E \in \mathcal{E}_{00}$. This is obvious since T_E has finite-dimensional range for $E \in \mathcal{E}_{00}$.

To see that T_p is an isometry, we make the following observation. Suppose $\{\phi_\lambda^j : j = 1, 2, \dots, d_\lambda\}$ is an orthonormal basis for H_λ of dimension d_λ for each $\lambda \in I$. For each $\lambda \in I$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, d_\lambda$, let $\phi^{\lambda,j} = (\phi_i^{\lambda,j})_{i \in I} \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} H_i$ be defined by

$$\phi_i^{\lambda,j} = \begin{cases} \phi_\lambda^j & \text{if } i = \lambda \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq \lambda. \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to see that $\{\phi^{\lambda,j} : \lambda \in I, j = 1, 2, \dots, d_\lambda\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\bigoplus_{i \in I} H_i$. Now, let $E \in \mathcal{E}_p$ and let $\{\beta_\lambda^{(j)} : j = 1, 2, \dots, d_\lambda\}$ be

the eigenvalues of $|E_\lambda|$ for each $\lambda \in I$. For each $\lambda \in I$, we choose $\{\phi_\lambda^j: j = 1, 2, \dots, d_\lambda\}$ to be an orthonormal basis for H_λ consisting of eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues $(\beta_\lambda^{(j)})^2$ of $E_\lambda E_\lambda^*$; that is, $E_\lambda E_\lambda^* \phi_\lambda^j = (\beta_\lambda^{(j)})^2 \phi_\lambda^j$. Letting $\phi^{\lambda,j}$ be as above, we have that $T_E T_E^* \phi^{\lambda,j} = T_E T_E^* \phi^{\lambda,j} = \{\eta_\iota\}_{\iota \in I}$ where $\eta_\iota = a_\lambda^{2/p} E_\lambda E_\lambda^* \phi_\lambda^j = a_\lambda^{2/p} (\beta_\lambda^{(j)})^2 \phi_\lambda^j$, if $\iota = \lambda$ and $\eta_\iota = 0$ for $\iota \neq \lambda$. That is, $T_E T_E^* \phi^{\lambda,j} = (a_\lambda^{1/p} \beta_\lambda^{(j)})^2 \phi^{\lambda,j}$; or $\{\phi^{\lambda,j}: \lambda \in I, j = 1, \dots, d_\lambda\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\bigoplus_{\iota \in I} H_\iota$ consisting of eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues $(a_\lambda^{1/p} \beta_\lambda^{(j)})^2$ of $T_E T_E^*$. Hence, by definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_E\|_p^p &= \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in I \\ j=1,2,\dots,d_\lambda}} (a_\lambda^{1/p} \beta_\lambda^{(j)})^p \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in I} a_\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{d_\lambda} (\beta_\lambda^{(j)})^p \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in I} a_\lambda \|E_\lambda\|_{\phi_p}^p = \|E\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, T_p is an isometry.

Finally, we show that T_p maps \mathcal{E}_p onto $e_p(\bigoplus_{\iota \in I} H_\iota)$. Consider S in $e_p(\bigoplus_{\iota \in I} H_\iota)$. For each $\iota \in I$, we let $E_\iota = a_\iota^{-1/p} S|_{H_\iota}$. Since H_ι is invariant under S , $E_\iota \in \mathcal{B}(H_\iota)$ for each $\iota \in I$. Also, we notice that H_ι is invariant under S^* for each $\iota \in I$. Hence, for $\xi_\iota, \eta_\iota \in H_\iota$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle E_\iota \xi_\iota, \eta_\iota \rangle &= \langle a_\iota^{-1/p} S|_{H_\iota} \xi_\iota, \eta_\iota \rangle \\ &= a_\iota^{-1/p} \langle \xi_\iota, S^*|_{H_\iota} \eta_\iota \rangle \\ &= \langle \xi_\iota, a_\iota^{-1/p} S^*|_{H_\iota} \eta_\iota \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and so $E_\iota^* = a_\iota^{-1/p} S^*|_{H_\iota}$ for each $\iota \in I$. Now we essentially repeat an earlier argument. Namely, let $\{\beta_\lambda^{(j)}: j = 1, 2, \dots, d_\lambda\}$ be eigenvalues of $|E_\lambda|$ for each $\lambda \in I$ and let $\{\phi_\lambda^j: j = 1, \dots, d_\lambda\}$ be an orthonormal basis for H_λ consisting of eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues $(\beta_\lambda^{(j)})^2: j = 1, \dots, d_\lambda$ of $E_\lambda E_\lambda^*$. Then, as above, $SS^* \phi^{\lambda,j} = a_\lambda^{2/p} (\beta_\lambda^{(j)})^2 \phi^{\lambda,j}$ so that $\|S\|_p^p = \|E\|_p^p$ where $E = (E_\iota)_{\iota \in I}$, and hence $E \in \mathcal{E}_p$. Clearly, $S(\xi) = T_E(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in H_\iota, \iota \in I$; thus, by linearity, $S(\xi) = T_E(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in \bigoplus_{\iota \in I} H_\iota$ with $\xi_\iota \neq 0$ for only finitely many $\iota \in I$. By the density of the latter set in $\bigoplus_{\iota \in I} H_\iota$, $S(\xi) = T_E(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in \bigoplus_{\iota \in I} H_\iota$. Hence $T_p(E) = S$ and so T_p maps onto $e_p(\bigoplus_{\iota \in I} H_\iota)$.

We state several corollaries which follow immediately from results for c_p spaces found in [1, XI, § 9], [2, III, § 7] and [6]. Also, compare [3, § 28].

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let $0 < p \leq q \leq \infty$. Then $\mathcal{E}_p(I) \subset \mathcal{E}_q(I)$ and $\|E\|_q \leq \|E\|_p$.*

COROLLARY 2.4. *Suppose $0 < p \leq 1$; let $E, F \in \mathcal{E}_p(I)$. Then*

$$\|E + F\|_p^p \leq \|E\|_p^p + \|F\|_p^p.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{E}_p(I)$ is a metric space with metric ρ where $\rho(A, B) = \|A - B\|_p^p$.

Inequalities (i) and (ii) in the following are due to McCarthy [6, Th. 2.7] for c_p spaces.

COROLLARY 2.5. (*Clarkson's inequalities*). *Let $E, F \in \mathcal{E}(I)$. Then, for $1/p + 1/p' = 1$, we have*

- (i) $2^{p-1}(\|E\|_p^p + \|F\|_p^p) \leq \|E + F\|_p^p + \|E - F\|_p^p \leq 2(\|E\|_p^p + \|F\|_p^p)$
- $0 < p \leq 2$,
- (ii) $\|E + F\|_p^{p'} + \|E - F\|_p^{p'} \leq 2(\|E\|_p^p + \|F\|_p^p)^{p'/p}$ $1 < p \leq 2$,
- (iii) $2(\|E\|_p^p + \|F\|_p^p) \leq \|E + F\|_p^p + \|E - F\|_p^p \leq 2^{p-1}(\|E\|_p^p + \|F\|_p^p)$
- $2 \leq p < \infty$,
- (iv) $2(\|E\|_p^p + \|F\|_p^p)^{p'/p} \leq \|E + F\|_p^{p'} + \|E - F\|_p^{p'} \leq 2 \leq p < \infty$.

COROLLARY 2.6. *For $1 < p < \infty$, $\mathcal{E}_p(I)$ is uniformly convex. (Recall that a normed linear space X is said to be uniformly convex if $\delta(\varepsilon) = \inf \{1 - 1/2|x + y| : |x| = |y| = 1, |x - y| = \varepsilon\}$ is strictly positive in some range $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$.)*

Proof. Use the Clarkson inequalities (2.5) (ii) and the right hand half of (2.5) (iii) to obtain

$$\|E + F\|_p^{p'} \leq 2^{p'} - \|E - F\|_p^{p'} \text{ for } 1 < p \leq 2$$

and

$$\|E + F\|_p^p \leq 2^p - \|E - F\|_p^p \text{ for } 2 \leq p < \infty$$

when $\|E\|_p = \|F\|_p = 1$. If, in addition, $\|E - F\|_p = \varepsilon$, we have

$$1 - \frac{1}{2} \|E + F\|_p \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2} (2^{p'} - \varepsilon^{p'})^{1/p'} \text{ for } 1 < p \leq 2,$$

and

$$1 - \frac{1}{2} \|E + F\|_p \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2} (2^p - \varepsilon^p)^{1/p} \text{ for } 2 \leq p < \infty.$$

The uniform convexity of \mathcal{E}_p for $1 < p < \infty$ is now clear.

COROLLARY 2.7. (*Radon-Riesz theorem*). *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Let $(E^{(n)})$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{E}_p(I)$ and $E \in \mathcal{E}_p(I)$ such that $E^{(n)} \rightarrow E$ weakly and $\|E^{(n)}\|_p \rightarrow \|E\|_p$. Then $\|E^{(n)} - E\|_p \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. $\mathcal{E}_p(I)$ is locally uniformly convex; see [4, 15.17 (a)]. Hence, apply [4, 15.17 (a)].

3. The conjugate space of \mathcal{E}_p for $0 < p < 1$. Theorem (3.4) below is a characterization of the conjugate space of \mathcal{E}_p for $0 < p < 1$. The conjugate spaces of \mathcal{E}_p for $1 \leq p < \infty$ are described in [3, § 28]. We first state and prove some easy results which will be used in the proof of (3.4).

LEMMA 3.1. *Let H be a finite-dimensional Hilbert space and let $0 < p, q \leq \infty$. For each $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, there exists $B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $\|B\|_{\phi_p} = 1$ and $\|A\|_{\phi_\infty} = \|AB\|_{\phi_q} = \text{tr}(AB)$.*

Proof. (Compare [3, D.54].) Let a be the eigenvalue of $|A|$ such that $a = \|A\|_{\phi_\infty}$. By [3, D.30] there is an operator V in $\mathcal{U}(H)$ such that $AV = |A|$. Let $\{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n\}$ be a basis for H such that $|A|\zeta_1 = a\zeta_1$. Let P be the operator on H such that $P\zeta_1 = \zeta_1$ and $P\zeta_j = 0$ for $j > 1$. Finally, let $B = VP$. By [1, p. 1090, 4 (c)], we have $\|B\|_{\phi_p} = \|P\|_{\phi_p} = 1$. Since $AB = AVP = |A|P$, we have $AB = aP$, and hence

$$\|AB\|_{\phi_q} = \|aP\|_{\phi_q} = a = \|A\|_{\phi_\infty},$$

and

$$\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(aP) = a = \|A\|_{\phi_\infty}.$$

LEMMA 3.2. *Let H be a finite-dimensional Hilbert space, and let $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. Then*

(i) *For $0 < p \leq q \leq \infty$, we have*

$$\|A\|_{\phi_\infty} = \sup \{ \|AB\|_{\phi_q} : B \in \mathcal{B}(H) \text{ and } \|B\|_{\phi_p} \leq 1 \},$$

and

(ii) *for $0 < p \leq 1$, we have*

$$\|A\|_{\phi_\infty} = \sup \{ |\text{tr}(AB)| : B \in \mathcal{B}(H) \text{ and } \|B\|_{\phi_p} \leq 1 \}.$$

Also, the supremum is attained in (i) and (ii).

Proof. Let $\alpha = \sup \{ \|AB\|_{\phi_q} : \|B\|_{\phi_p} \leq 1 \}$ for $0 < p \leq q \leq \infty$. Then by [1, p. 1093, 9 (d) and 9 (a)],

$$\|AB\|_{\phi_q} \leq \|A\|_{\phi_\infty} \|B\|_{\phi_q} \leq \|A\|_{\phi_\infty} \|B\|_{\phi_p} \leq \|A\|_{\phi_\infty},$$

so that $\alpha \leq \|A\|_{\phi_\infty}$.

For $0 < p \leq 1$, let $\beta = \sup \{ |\text{tr}(AB)| : \|B\|_{\phi_p} \leq 1 \}$. By [3, D.46], we have

$$|\text{tr}(AB)| \leq \|AB\|_{\phi_1} \leq \|A\|_{\phi_\infty} \|B\|_{\phi_1} \leq \|A\|_{\phi_\infty} \|B\|_{\phi_p} \leq \|A\|_{\phi_\infty}$$

so that $\beta \leq \|A\|_{\phi_\infty}$.

The opposite inequalities and the fact that the supremum is attained in (i) and (ii) follow from (3.1).

LEMMA 3.3. *Let $0 < p < 1$, $E \in \mathcal{E}_p(I)$ and $F \in \mathcal{E}_\infty(I)$. Then EF and FE are in $\mathcal{E}_p(I)$,*

- (i) $\|EF\|_p \leq \|E\|_p \|F\|_\infty$, and
- (ii) $\|FE\|_p \leq \|F\|_\infty \|E\|_p$.

Proof. Use [1, p. 1093, 9 (d)] to write

$$\begin{aligned} \|EF\|_p^p &= \sum_{i \in I} a_i \|E_i F_i\|_{\phi_p}^p \leq \sum_{i \in I} a_i \|E_i\|_{\phi_p}^p \|F_i\|_{\phi_\infty}^p \\ &\leq \|F\|_\infty^p \sum_{i \in I} a_i \|E_i\|_{\phi_p}^p = \|F\|_\infty^p \|E\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

Assertion (ii) follows similarly.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let $0 < p < 1$, and let $F \in \mathcal{E}(I)$. If there exists a real number $c > 0$ such that $\|F_i\|_{\phi_\infty} \leq c a_i^{(1/p)-1}$ for all $i \in I$, then T_F , defined on $\mathcal{E}_p(I)$ by $T_F(E) = \langle E, F \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} a_i \operatorname{tr}(E_i F_i^*)$, is a continuous linear functional on $\mathcal{E}_p(I)$. Conversely, if T is a continuous linear functional on $\mathcal{E}_p(I)$, then $T = T_F$ for some $F \in \mathcal{E}(I)$ such that $\|F_i\|_{\phi_\infty} \leq c a_i^{(1/p)-1}$ for some $c > 0$ and all $i \in I$.*

Proof. First, suppose there exists $c > 0$ such that $\|F_i\|_{\phi_\infty} \leq c a_i^{(1/p)-1}$ for all $i \in I$. Then, for $E \in \mathcal{E}_p(I)$, the number $T_F(E) = \sum_{i \in I} a_i \operatorname{tr}(E_i F_i^*)$ is well-defined (the series converges absolutely) since by (3.2) and an observation below, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |T_F(E)| &= \left| \sum_{i \in I} a_i \operatorname{tr}(E_i F_i^*) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in I} a_i |\operatorname{tr}(E_i F_i^*)| \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in I} a_i \|E_i\|_{\phi_p} \|F_i\|_{\phi_\infty} \\ (1) \quad &\leq \sum_{i \in I} c a_i^{1/p} \|E_i\|_{\phi_p} \\ &= c \sum_{i \in I} (a_i \|E_i\|_{\phi_p}^p)^{1/p} \\ &\leq c \left[\sum_{i \in I} a_i \|E_i\|_{\phi_p}^p \right]^{1/p} = c \|E\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows since $1 < 1/p$ so that $\|b\|_{1/p} \leq \|b\|_1$ for $b \in \mathcal{L}_1$, and in particular for $b = \{b_i\}$ where $b_i = a_i \|E_i\|_{\phi_p}^p$.

The linearity of T_F follows immediately from the linearity of tr [3, D.16]. The inequality (1) also shows that T_F is continuous at 0, hence on $\mathcal{E}_p(I)$. (Recall that $\mathcal{E}_p(I)$ is a metric spaces with $\rho(A, B) = \|A - B\|_p$.) Thus, T_F is a continuous linear functional on $\mathcal{E}_p(I)$.

Conversely, let T be a continuous linear functional on $\mathcal{E}_p(I)$. Let $\mathcal{N}_\iota = \{E \in \mathcal{E}_p(I) : E_\lambda = 0 \text{ for } \lambda \neq \iota\}$. Then \mathcal{N}_ι is isomorphic with $\mathcal{B}(H_\iota)$. Restricting T to \mathcal{N}_ι , we use elementary algebra to see that there exists $F_\iota \in \mathcal{B}(H_\iota)$ such that $T(E) = a_\iota \operatorname{tr}(E_\iota F_\iota^*)$, for all $E \in \mathcal{N}_\iota$. The linearity of T shows that

$$T(E) = \sum_{\iota \in I} a_\iota \operatorname{tr}(E_\iota F_\iota^*)$$

for all $E \in \mathcal{E}_{00}(I)$. Let $F = (F_\iota)_{\iota \in I}$, so that $T = T_F$ on $\mathcal{E}_{00}(I)$.

Now suppose that for every real number $c > 0$, there exists $\iota \in I$ such that $\|F_\iota\|_{\phi_\infty} > ca_\iota^{(1/p)-1}$. In particular, for $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$, let $\iota_n \in I$ be such that $\iota_n \neq \iota_m$ for $m \neq n$ and $\|F_{\iota_n}\|_{\phi_\infty} > n^k a_{\iota_n}^{(1/p)-1}$, where k is a real number greater than zero and such that $2/(1+k) < p$.

For each $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$, let $B_{\iota_n} \in \mathcal{B}(H_{\iota_n})$ be such that $\|B_{\iota_n}\|_{\phi_p} = 1$ and $\|F_{\iota_n}\|_{\phi_\infty} = \operatorname{tr}(F_{\iota_n} B_{\iota_n})$ as in (3.1). Let $b_n = (a_{\iota_n} n^2)^{-1/p}$ for each n , and define $E = (E_\iota)_{\iota \in I}$, where $E_\iota = b_n B_{\iota_n}^*$ if $\iota = \iota_n$ for some n , and $E_\iota = 0$ otherwise. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|E\|_p^p &= \sum_{\iota \in I} a_\iota \|E_\iota\|_{\phi_p}^p = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{\iota_n} \|b_n B_{\iota_n}^*\|_{\phi_p}^p \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{\iota_n} b_n^p \|B_{\iota_n}\|_{\phi_p}^p = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{\iota_n} (a_{\iota_n} n^2)^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

so that $E \in \mathcal{E}_p(I)$.

For each positive integer N , define $E^{(N)} = (E_\iota^{(N)})_{\iota \in I}$, where $E_\iota^{(N)} = E_\iota$ if $\iota = \iota_n$ with $n \leq N$, and $E_\iota^{(N)} = 0$ otherwise. Then $E^{(N)} \in \mathcal{E}_{00}(I)$ and $\|E^{(N)}\|_p^p \leq \|E\|_p^p$ for each N . However,

$$\begin{aligned} T(E^{(N)}) &= T_F(E^{(N)}) = \sum_{\iota \in I} a_\iota \operatorname{tr}(E_\iota^{(N)} F_\iota^*) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N a_{\iota_n} \operatorname{tr}(E_{\iota_n} F_{\iota_n}^*) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N a_{\iota_n} \operatorname{tr}(b_n B_{\iota_n}^* F_{\iota_n}^*) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N a_{\iota_n} b_n \operatorname{tr}((F_{\iota_n} B_{\iota_n})^*) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N a_{\iota_n} b_n \overline{\operatorname{tr}(F_{\iota_n} B_{\iota_n})} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N a_{\iota_n} (a_{\iota_n} n^2)^{-1/p} \|F_{\iota_n}\|_{\phi_\infty} \\ &> \sum_{n=1}^N a_{\iota_n} (a_{\iota_n} n^2)^{-1/p} n^k a_{\iota_n}^{(1/p)-1} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N n^{k-2/p} > \sum_{n=1}^N 1/n. \end{aligned}$$

A simple argument now shows that T is discontinuous, a contradiction. Therefore, there exists $c > 0$ so that $\|F_\epsilon\|_{\mathcal{E}_\infty} \leq c\alpha_\epsilon^{(1/p)-1}$ for all $\epsilon \in I$. Thus, T_F and T are continuous linear functionals on $\mathcal{E}_p(I)$ which agree on $\mathcal{E}_{00}(I)$, a dense subspace of $\mathcal{E}_p(I)$, so that $T = T_F$ on $\mathcal{E}_p(I)$.

Several easy corollaries follow and will be stated without proof. The notation is as in (3.4).

COROLLARY 3.5. *If $0 < p < 1$ and if $\sup_{\epsilon \in I} a_\epsilon < \infty$, then $\mathcal{E}_p^* = \{T_F: F \in \mathcal{E}_\infty\}$.*

COROLLARY 3.6. *Let $0 < p < 1$ and let L_p be a weighted \mathcal{L}_p space; say $\|b\|_p = (\sum_{\epsilon \in I} a_\epsilon |b_\epsilon|^p)^{1/p}$ for $\{b_\epsilon\} \in L_p$. For $b = \{b_\epsilon\} \in L_p$ and $c = \{c_\epsilon\}$, let $T_c(b) = \sum_{\epsilon \in I} a_\epsilon b_\epsilon \bar{c}_\epsilon$. Then*

$$L_p^* = \{T_c: |c_\epsilon| \leq k a_\epsilon^{(1/p)-1} \text{ for some } k > 0 \text{ and all } \epsilon \in I\}.$$

COROLLARY 3.7. *If $0 < p < 1$, then $\mathcal{L}_p^* = \{T_c: c \in \mathcal{L}_\infty\}$.*

4. Some multiplier theorems. Theorem (4.2) is a collection of results concerning $(\mathcal{E}_p, \mathcal{E}_q)$ -multipliers. We use the following definition: Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be subsets of $\mathcal{E}(I)$. We say that E in $\mathcal{E}(I)$ is an $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -multiplier if $EA \in \mathcal{B}$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. The set of all $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -multipliers is denoted by $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$.

Clearly, multipliers may be discussed in a context much wider than that of \mathcal{E}_p spaces. For example, it is known that $\mathcal{L}_r = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{L}_q, \mathcal{L}_p)$ for $0 < p < q < \infty$ with $1/r = 1/p - 1/q$. Also, it is shown in McCarthy [6, Ths. 2.3 and 5.1] that $\mathcal{M}(c_q, c_p) = c_r$ for p, q and r as above.

In Hewitt and Ross [3, 35.4] $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is described for any pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ chosen from the spaces $\mathcal{E}_p, \mathcal{E}_q, \mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_\infty$ with $1 \leq p < q < \infty$ with the following exceptions: if $\sup_{\epsilon \in I} a_\epsilon = \infty$, it is shown only that $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \supseteq \mathcal{E}_\infty$, where $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{E}_p$ and $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{E}_q$ or $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{E}_0$ with $1 \leq p < q < \infty$. Our theorem which follows extends the results of [3, 35.4] to all p and q with $0 < p < q < \infty$. Also, it identifies $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ precisely in the exceptions mentioned above when $\sup_{\epsilon \in I} a_\epsilon = \infty$. The major tool used in the identification of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ in the cases where $\sup_{\epsilon \in I} a_\epsilon = \infty$ is (3.4), our characterization of \mathcal{E}_p^* for $0 < p < 1$.

Before stating our theorem we note that the following lemma may easily be verified using [6, Th. 2.3] and the generalized Hölder inequality.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $0 < p, q, r < \infty$ with $1/p + 1/q = 1/r$. If $E \in \mathcal{E}_p(I)$, $F \in \mathcal{E}_q(I)$, then $EF \in \mathcal{E}_r(I)$ and $\|EF\|_r \leq \|E\|_p \|F\|_q$.*

THEOREM 4.2. *Let $0 < p < q < \infty$ and let r be so that $1/r = 1/p - 1/q$. For each space \mathcal{A} listed to the left of the matrix below and each space \mathcal{B} listed above the matrix, the corresponding entry of the matrix is exactly $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$.*

	\mathcal{E}_p	\mathcal{E}_q	\mathcal{E}_0	\mathcal{E}_∞
\mathcal{E}_∞	\mathcal{E}_p	\mathcal{E}_q	\mathcal{E}_0	\mathcal{E}_∞
\mathcal{E}_0	\mathcal{E}_p	\mathcal{E}_q	\mathcal{E}_∞	\mathcal{E}_∞
\mathcal{E}_q	\mathcal{E}_r	\mathcal{E}_∞	\mathcal{E}_s^* $s = \frac{q}{1+q}$	\mathcal{E}_s^* $s = \frac{q}{1+q}$
\mathcal{E}_p	\mathcal{E}_∞	\mathcal{E}_s^* $s = \frac{pq}{q-p+pq}$	\mathcal{E}_s^* $s = \frac{p}{1+p}$	\mathcal{E}_s^* $s = \frac{p}{1+p}$

The proof of the above theorem will be broken into several parts.

Part I. For $0 < p \leq \infty$, $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_p, \mathcal{E}_p) = \mathcal{E}_\infty$.

Proof. In case $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we use the proof of [3, 35.4, Part II] with d_{σ_n} replaced by a_{σ_n} throughout.

Now let $0 < p < 1$. The fact that $\mathcal{E}_\infty \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_p, \mathcal{E}_p)$ follows from (3.3). The proof of the opposite inclusion is similar to the proof of [3, 35.4, Part II]. Namely, suppose $E \notin \mathcal{E}_\infty(I)$. Then there is a sequence $\{\iota_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of distinct elements in I such that $\|E_{\iota_n}\|_{\phi_\infty} > n$ for each n . By (3.1), there exists B_{ι_n} in $\mathcal{B}(H_{\iota_n})$ such that $\|E_{\iota_n} B_{\iota_n}\|_{\phi_p} > n$ and $\|B_{\iota_n}\|_{\phi_p} = 1$. For $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$, let $\alpha_n = (a_{\iota_n} n^{1+p})^{-1/p}$. Define $A \in \mathcal{E}(I)$ as follows: $A_{\iota_n} = \alpha_n B_{\iota_n}$ for $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $A_{\iota} = 0$ for all other ι 's in I . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|_p^p &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{\iota_n} \|\alpha_n B_{\iota_n}\|_{\phi_p}^p \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-(1+p)} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

we have that $A \in \mathcal{E}_p(I)$. On the other hand, EA does not belong to $\mathcal{E}_p(I)$ because

$$\begin{aligned} \|EA\|_p^p &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{\iota_n} \|\alpha_n E_{\iota_n} B_{\iota_n}\|_{\phi_p}^p \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{\iota_n} (a_{\iota_n} n^{1+p})^{-1} n^p \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/n = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $E \notin \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_p, \mathcal{E}_p)$ and so $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_p, \mathcal{E}_p) \subset \mathcal{E}_\infty(I)$. Hence, entries (1, 4), (3, 2), and (4, 1) are verified.

Part II. For $0 < p < \infty$, we have that $\mathcal{E}_p = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_p) = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_\infty, \mathcal{E}_p)$. This will verify entries (1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), and (2, 2).

Proof. Using (3.3) we see that, for $0 < p < 1$, $\mathcal{E}_p \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_\infty, \mathcal{E}_p) \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_p)$. The rest of the assertion is proved in [3, 35.4, Part VII] if we replace d_σ by a_σ throughout.

Part III. Let $0 < p < q < \infty$ and let $s = pq/(q - p + pq)$. Then $\mathcal{E}_s^* = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_p, \mathcal{E}_q)$.

Proof. Consider $T_F \in \mathcal{E}_s^*$ with s as above. Then $0 < s < 1$ so that by (3.4), there exists a real number $c > 0$ such that $\|F_\iota\|_{\phi_\infty} \leq ca_\iota^{(1/s)-1}$. Let $E \in \mathcal{E}_p$. The following is seen to be true by using $\|\cdot\|_q \leq \|\cdot\|_p$ for $0 < p < q < \infty$ and the results (3.3), [3, D.52.i.], and (2.3).

$$\begin{aligned} \|FE\|_q &= \left[\sum_{\iota \in I} (a_\iota^{1/q} \|F_\iota E_\iota\|_{\phi_q})^q \right]^{1/q} \\ &\leq \left[\sum_{\iota \in I} (a_\iota^{1/q} \|F_\iota E_\iota\|_{\phi_q})^p \right]^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left[\sum_{\iota \in I} a_\iota^{p/q} \|F_\iota\|_{\phi_\infty}^p \|E_\iota\|_{\phi_q}^p \right]^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left[\sum_{\iota \in I} a_\iota^{p/q} c^p a_\iota^{(p/s)-p} \|E_\iota\|_{\phi_p}^p \right]^{1/p} \\ &= c \left[\sum_{\iota \in I} a_\iota \|E_\iota\|_{\phi_p}^p \right]^{1/p} \\ &= c \|E\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $FE \in \mathcal{E}_q$ so that $F \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_p, \mathcal{E}_q)$. Hence, $\mathcal{E}_s^* \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_p, \mathcal{E}_q)$.

On the other hand, suppose $T_F \notin \mathcal{E}_s^*$. Again, by (3.4), we have that for every $c > 0$, there exists $\iota \in I$ such that $\|F_\iota\|_{\phi_\infty} > ca_\iota^{(1/s)-1}$. Or, in particular, for each $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$, let ι_n be such that $\iota_n \neq \iota_m$ for $n \neq m$ and

$$\|F_{\iota_n}\|_{\phi_\infty} > n^k a_{\iota_n}^{(1/s)-1}$$

where k is a real number satisfying $k \geq 2/p - 1/q$; that is, $1 \geq q(2/p - k)$. For each $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$, let $B_{\iota_n} \in \mathcal{B}(H_{\iota_n})$ be such that $\|B_{\iota_n}\|_{\phi_p} = 1$ and $\|F_{\iota_n} B_{\iota_n}\|_{\phi_q} = \|F_{\iota_n}\|_{\phi_\infty}$ as in (3.1). Let $b_n = (a_{\iota_n} n^2)^{-1/p}$ and define $E_\iota = b_n B_{\iota_n}$ if $\iota = \iota_n$ and $E_\iota = 0$ otherwise. Let $E = (E_\iota)_{\iota \in I}$. Then

$$\|E\|_p^p = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{\iota_n} \|b_n B_{\iota_n}\|_{\phi_p}^p$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{\iota_n} (a_{\iota_n} n^2)^{-1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/n^2 < \infty ,$$

so that $E \in \mathcal{E}_p$. However,

$$\begin{aligned} \|FE\|_q^q &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{\iota_n} \|F_{\iota_n} b_n B_{\iota_n}\|_{\phi_q}^q \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{\iota_n} (a_{\iota_n} n^2)^{-q/p} \|F_{\iota_n}\|_{\phi_{\infty}}^q \\ &\geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{\iota_n} (a_{\iota_n} n^2)^{-q/p} n^{qk} a_{\iota_n}^{c/s-q} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(k-2/p)} \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/n = \infty . \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $FE \notin \mathcal{E}_q$ so that $F \notin \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_p, \mathcal{E}_q)$. We have, therefore, that $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_p, \mathcal{E}_q) \subset \mathcal{E}_s^*$ and (4, 2) is verified.

Part IV. We verify entries (3, 3), (3, 4), (4, 3) and (4, 4) by showing that for $0 < p < \infty$,

$$\mathcal{E}_s^* = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_p, \mathcal{E}_0) = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_p, \mathcal{E}_{\infty}) \text{ where } s = \frac{p}{1+p} .$$

Proof. Let $T_F \in \mathcal{E}_s^*$. We will first show that $F \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_p, \mathcal{E}_0)$. By (3.4), there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $\|F_{\iota}\|_{\phi_{\infty}} \leq c a_{\iota}^{1/s-1} = c a_{\iota}^{1/p}$ for all $\iota \in I$. Let $E \in \mathcal{E}_p$ so that $\sum_{\iota \in I} a_{\iota} \|E_{\iota}\|_{\phi_p}^2 < \infty$. Then, for $\varepsilon > 0$, $a_{\iota} \|E_{\iota}\|_{\phi}^2 \leq (\varepsilon/c)^p$ for all except finitely many $\iota \in I$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_{\iota} E_{\iota}\|_{\phi_{\infty}} &\leq \|F_{\iota}\|_{\phi_{\infty}} \|E_{\iota}\|_{\phi_{\infty}} \leq \|F_{\iota}\|_{\phi_{\infty}} \|E_{\iota}\|_{\phi_p} \\ &\leq c a_{\iota}^{1/p} \|E_{\iota}\|_{\phi_p} \leq c \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{c} = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all except finitely many $\iota \in I$. Hence, $FE \in \mathcal{E}_0$ so that $F \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_p, \mathcal{E}_0)$. Clearly $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_p, \mathcal{E}_0) \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_p, \mathcal{E}_{\infty})$ so that it remains only to show that $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_p, \mathcal{E}_{\infty}) \subset \mathcal{E}_s^*$.

Suppose $T_F \in \mathcal{E}_s^*$. Then by (3.4), for each $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$, we can choose distinct $\iota_n \in I$ with the property that $\|F_{\iota_n}\|_{\phi_{\infty}} > n^{2/p+1} a_{\iota_n}^{-1/p}$. As in (3.1), for each $\iota \in I$, let $B_{\iota} \in \mathcal{B}(H_{\iota})$ be such that $\|B_{\iota}\|_{\phi_p} = 1$ and $\|F_{\iota}\|_{\phi_{\infty}} = \|F_{\iota} B_{\iota}\|_{\phi_{\infty}}$. For each $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ let $b_n = (a_{\iota_n} n^2)^{-1/p}$ and let $E = (E_{\iota})_{\iota \in I}$ where $E_{\iota} = b_n B_{\iota_n}$ if $\iota = \iota_n$ and $E_{\iota} = 0$ otherwise. As in Part III, it is clear that $E \in \mathcal{E}_p$. However, $\|F_{\iota_n} E_{\iota_n}\|_{\phi_{\infty}} = \|F_{\iota_n} b_n B_{\iota_n}\|_{\phi_{\infty}} = b_n \|F_{\iota_n}\|_{\phi_{\infty}} > n$ for $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$. Thus, $\|FE\|_{\infty}$ is not finite so that $FE \notin \mathcal{E}_{\infty}$. Hence, $F \notin \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_p, \mathcal{E}_{\infty})$ and so $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_p, \mathcal{E}_{\infty}) \subset \mathcal{E}_s^*$.

Part V. If $0 < p < q < \infty$ and $1/p - 1/q = 1/r$, then $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_q, \mathcal{E}_p) = \mathcal{E}_r$.

Proof. This result is proved for $1 \leq p < q < \infty$ in [3, 35.4, Part VI]. That proof does not carry over to our wider range for p, q and r , however.

The inclusion $\mathcal{E}_r \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_q, \mathcal{E}_p)$ follows immediately from (4.1). To see the opposite inclusion, suppose that $E = (E_i)_{i \in I}$ is in $\mathcal{E}(I)$ but not in \mathcal{E}_r . We will show that $E \notin \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_q, \mathcal{E}_p)$.

Let $\gamma_i = a_i^{1/r} \|E_i\|_{\phi_r}$. Since $E \notin \mathcal{E}_r$, $\{\gamma_i\}$ does not belong to $\mathcal{L}_r(I)$. However, since $\mathcal{L}_r = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{L}_q, \mathcal{L}_p)$, there exists $\{\beta_i\} \in \mathcal{L}_q$ such that $\{\gamma_i \beta_i\} \in \mathcal{L}_p$. We may, and will, choose β_i so that $\beta_i \geq 0$ for all $i \in I$. Using [6, Th. 2.3] choose F_i so that $\|E_i F_i\|_{\phi_p} = \|E_i\|_{\phi_r} \|F_i\|_{\phi_q}$ for each $i \in I$ and such that $E_i \neq 0$ if and only if $F_i \neq 0$. [For example, let $F_i = |E_i|^{r/q}$. That the above equality holds in this case may be seen directly using conditions for equality in Hölder's inequality for \mathcal{L}_p .]

For our convenience below let $\Phi = \{i \in I: \gamma_i \neq 0\}$. Note also that $\Phi = \{i \in I: E_i \neq 0\}$. For $i \in \Phi$, let $c_i = \beta_i a_i^{-1/q} \|F_i\|_{\phi_q}^{-1}$, otherwise $c_i = 0$. For all $i \in I$, let $F'_i = c_i F_i$ and let $F' = (F'_i)_{i \in I}$. Then

$$\|F'\|_q^q = \sum_{i \in I} a_i \|F'_i\|_{\phi_q}^q = \sum_{i \in \Phi} a_i \beta_i^q a_i^{-1} \|F_i\|_{\phi_q}^{-q} \|F_i\|_{\phi_q}^q = \sum_{i \in \Phi} \beta_i^q \leq \sum_{i \in I} \beta_i^q < \infty$$

since $\{\beta_i\} \in \mathcal{L}_q$. Thus, $F' \in \mathcal{E}_q$. However,

$$\begin{aligned} \|EF'\|_p^p &= \sum_{i \in I} a_i \|E_i F'_i\|_{\phi_p}^p \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} a_i c_i^p \|E_i F_i\|_{\phi_p}^p \\ &= \sum_{i \in \Phi} a_i \beta_i^p a_i^{-p/q} \|F_i\|_{\phi_q}^{-p} \|E_i\|_{\phi_r}^p \|F_i\|_{\phi_q}^p \\ &= \sum_{i \in \Phi} a_i^{1-p/q} \beta_i^p \|E_i\|_{\phi_r}^p \\ &= \sum_{i \in \Phi} (a_i^{1/r} \|E_i\|_{\phi_r})^p \beta_i^p \\ &= \sum_{i \in \Phi} (\gamma_i \beta_i)^p = \sum_{i \in I} (\gamma_i \beta_i)^p = \infty \end{aligned}$$

since $\{\gamma_i \beta_i\} \notin \mathcal{L}_p$. Hence $E \notin \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_q, \mathcal{E}_p)$ and (3, 1) is verified.

Part VI. $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_0) = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_\infty) = \mathcal{E}_\infty$.

Proof. The proof in [3, 35.4, Part III] can be adapted to our somewhat more general setting. However, an easy direct proof will be given.

Since \mathcal{E}_0 is an ideal of \mathcal{E}_∞ , we have $\mathcal{E}_\infty \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_0)$. Also, clearly, $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_0) \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_\infty)$. Thus we need to show only that $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_\infty) \subset \mathcal{E}_\infty$. Consider any E in $\mathcal{E}(I)$ that is not in \mathcal{E}_∞ . Then for each $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$, let ι_n be such that $\iota_n \neq \iota_m$ for $n \neq m$ and $\|E_{\iota_n}\|_{\phi_\infty} > n^2$. Let $F = (F_i)_{i \in I}$ where $F_i = (1/n)I_{d_{\iota_n}}$ for $i = \iota_n$ and $F_i =$

0 otherwise. Then we have $F \in \mathcal{E}_0$ and $EF \notin \mathcal{E}_\infty$, so that $E \notin \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_\infty)$. Hence, entries (2, 3) and (2, 4) are verified.

Part VII. It remains only to verify (1, 3) by showing that $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_\infty, \mathcal{E}_0) = \mathcal{E}_0$.

Proof. The proof is easy. Namely, $\mathcal{E}_0 \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_\infty, \mathcal{E}_0)$ since \mathcal{E}_0 is an ideal in \mathcal{E}_∞ . Finally, suppose $E \notin \mathcal{E}_0$. If $F_i = I_{d_i}$, then $F \in \mathcal{E}_\infty$ but $EF \notin \mathcal{E}_0$ so that $E \notin \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_\infty, \mathcal{E}_0)$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. N. Dunford and J. Schwartz, *Linear operators, part II: spectral theory*, Interscience, New York, 1963.
2. I. C. Gohberg and M. G. Krein, *Introduction to the theory of linear non-selfadjoint operators in Hilbert space*, Izdat. "Nauka," Moscow, 1965; also Transl. Math. Monographs, Vol. 18, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1969.
3. E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis*, Vol. II, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg and New York, 1970.
4. E. Hewitt and K. Stromberg, *Real and abstract analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1965.
5. R. A. Kunze, L_p Fourier transforms on locally compact unimodular groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **89** (1958), 519-540.
6. C. A. McCarthy, c_p , Israel J. Math. **5** (1967), 249-271.

Received January 21, 1970, These results were obtained in the author's 1969 doctoral dissertation written at the University of Oregon under the direction of Kenneth A. Ross.

THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE