## MIXED ARITHMETIC AND GEOMETRIC MEANS

B. C. CARLSON, R. K. MEANY, AND S. A. NELSON

Consider all ordinary arithmetic and geometric means of n real nonnegative numbers taken k at a time. Let  $\alpha_k$  be the geometric mean of all the arithmetic means and  $\gamma_k$  the arithmetic mean of all the geometric means. It is proved that  $\alpha_k$  increases with k,  $\gamma_k$  decreases, and  $\gamma_k \leq \alpha_k$  if k+k>n. These results are generalized to mixed means of any real orders. Comparison of  $\alpha_k$  and  $\gamma_k$  with elementary symmetric functions suggests a conjecture.

1. Introduction and summary. If x, y, z are real nonnegative numbers, then [2], [3]

$$(1.1) \qquad \frac{1}{3}[(xy)^{1/2} + (xz)^{1/2} + (yz)^{1/2}] \leq \left[\frac{x+y}{2} \frac{x+z}{2} \frac{y+z}{2}\right]^{1/3}.$$

The inequality was proved originally to demonstrate that the right-hand side is the better approximation to the capacity of an ellipsoid with semiaxes x, y, z. To generalize (1.1) from three to n variables,  $n \ge 3$ , consider the real nonnegative numbers  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  and form all ordinary (as opposed to weighted) arithmetric and geometric means of these numbers taken n-1 at a time. Then the arithmetic mean of the geometric means does not exceed the geometric mean of the arithmetic means [3], [4].

In the present note we consider arithmetic and geometric means of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  taken k at a time,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $\alpha_k$  denote the geometric mean of the arithmetic means, and  $\gamma_k$  the arithmetic mean of the geometric means. For example, if n = 4, we have

$$\alpha_2 = \left[ \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} \frac{x_1 + x_3}{2} \frac{x_1 + x_4}{2} \frac{x_2 + x_4}{2} \frac{x_2 + x_4}{2} \frac{x_3 + x_4}{2} \right]^{1/6},$$

$$\gamma_3 = \frac{1}{4} \left[ (x_1 x_2 x_3)^{1/3} + (x_1 x_2 x_4)^{1/3} + (x_1 x_3 x_4)^{1/3} + (x_2 x_3 x_4)^{1/3} \right].$$

Note that  $\alpha_n = \gamma_1$  is the arithmetic mean of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , and  $\alpha_1 = \gamma_n$  is the geometric mean. We shall show first (Theorem 1) that  $\alpha_k$  increases with k while  $\gamma_k$  decreases. The second result (Theorem 2) states that  $\gamma_k \leq \alpha_k$  if k+1 in k in

More generally we shall define a mixed mean  $M_{st}(k)$  of the real

nonnegative numbers  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  taken k at a time. As k increases from 1 to  $n, M_{st}(k)$  increases or decreases monotonically, according as s < t or s > t, from the mean of order s of  $x_1, \dots x_n$  to the mean of order t. If  $s \le t$  and k + k > n, then  $M_{ts}(k) \le M_{st}(k)$ .

Maclaurin's theorem for the elementary symmetric functions  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  suggests allowing s or t to depend on k, as in  $M_{k0}(k) = p_k^{1/k}$ . The inequality  $\gamma_k \leq p_k^{1/k}$  is very easy to prove, and it is conjectured that  $p_k^{1/k} \leq \alpha_k$  if k+1 > n.

2. Monotony. We first define  $\alpha_k$  and  $\gamma_k$  and then show that each is monotonic in k.

DEFINITION 1. If  $V = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k\}$ , where  $k \ge 1$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_k$  are real nonnegative numbers, we define A(V) and G(V) to be the arithmetic and geometric means of the elements of V:

$$A(V) = \frac{1}{k} (y_1 + \cdots + y_k) , \quad G(V) = (y_1 \cdots y_k)^{1/k} .$$

DEFINITION 2. Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be real nonnegative numbers and let k be a positive integer not exceeding n. The subsets of  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  with cardinal number k will be denoted by  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_r$ , where  $v = \binom{n}{k}$ . We define

$$\alpha_{\scriptscriptstyle k} = \left[ \prod_{\scriptscriptstyle i=1}^{\scriptscriptstyle v} A(V_{\scriptscriptstyle i}) \right]^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/v}, \qquad \qquad \gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle k} = \frac{1}{v} \sum_{\scriptscriptstyle i=1}^{\scriptscriptstyle v} G(V_{\scriptscriptstyle i}) \; .$$

THEOREM 1. Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be real nonnegative numbers and let the means  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  and  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$  be defined by Definition 2. Then  $\alpha_{k-1} \leq \alpha_k, \ k=2, 3, \dots, n$ , with strict inequality unless either (1)  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n$  or (2) k of the  $x_i$  are zero. Also,  $\gamma_{k-1} \geq \gamma_k, k = 2, 3, \dots, n$ , with strict inequality unless either (1)  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n$  or (2) n - k + 2 of the  $x_i$  are zero.

*Proof.* Let  $W_1, W_2, \cdots, W_w, w = \binom{n}{k-1}$ , be the subsets of  $\{x_1, \cdots, x_n\}$  with cardinal number k-1. Also, let  $V_i, i=1, \cdots, v$ , be defined by Definition 2 and let the subsets of  $V_i$  with cardinal number k-1 be denoted by  $V_{i_1}, \cdots, V_{i_k}$ . Then each set  $V_{ij}$  equals one of the sets  $W_1, \cdots, W_w$ , and each of the  $W_i$  occurs exactly n-k+1 times among the sets  $V_{11}, \cdots, V_{v_k}$ . Note that w(n-k+1)=vk.

Using the inequality of the arithmetic and geometric means, we have

(2.3) 
$$A(V_i) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k A(V_{ij}) \ge \left[ \prod_{j=1}^k A(V_{ij}) \right]^{1/k}, \quad i = 1, \dots, v,$$

with strict inequality unless all the elements of  $V_i$  are equal. Hence there is strict inequality for some value of i unless  $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_n$ . It follows that

(2.4) 
$$\alpha_k = \left[\prod_{i=1}^v A(V_i)\right]^{1/v} \ge \left[\prod_{i=1}^v \prod_{j=1}^k A(V_{ij})\right]^{1/vk},$$

with strict inequality unless either  $(1)x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_n$  or (2) k of the  $x_i$  are zero (with the result that  $A(V_i) = 0$  for some value of i). The third member of (2.4) equals

(2.5) 
$$\left[\prod_{j=1}^{w} A(W_i)\right]^{(n-k+1)/vk} = \left[\prod_{i=1}^{w} A(W_i)\right]^{1/w} = \alpha_{k-1}.$$

Similarly we have

(2.6) 
$$G(V_i) = \left[\prod_{i=1}^k G(V_{ij})\right]^{1/k} \leq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k G(V_{ij}), \quad i = 1, \dots, v,$$

with strict inequality unless either (i) all the elements of  $V_i$  are equal or (ii) two elements of  $V_i$  are zero. Hence there is strict inequality for some value of i unless either (1)  $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_n$  or (2) n - k + 2 of the  $x_i$  are zero (with the result that two elements of  $V_i$  are zero for each value of i). It now follows that

(2.7) 
$$\gamma_k = \frac{1}{v} \sum_{i=1}^v G(V_i) \leq \frac{1}{vk} \sum_{i=1}^v \sum_{j=1}^k G(V_{ij}),$$

with strict inequality unless (1) or (2) holds. The third member of (2.7) equals

(2.8) 
$$\frac{n-k+1}{vk} \sum_{i=1}^{w} G(W_i) = \frac{1}{w} \sum_{i=1}^{w} G(W_i) = \gamma_{k-1}.$$

3. Inequality of mixed means. Let  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_v, v = \binom{n}{k}$ , be defined by Definition 2, and similarly denote the subsets of  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  with cardinal number h by  $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_u, u = \binom{n}{h}$ .

LEMMA 1. If h + k > n, then

(3.1) 
$$\frac{1}{u} \sum_{i=1}^{u} A(U_i \cap V_j) = A(V_j), \qquad j = 1, \dots, v,$$

(3.2) 
$$\left[\prod_{j=1}^{v} G(U_{i} \cap V_{j})\right]^{1/v} = G(U_{i}) , \qquad i = 1, \dots, u .$$

*Proof.* The condition h+k>n implies that  $U_i\cap V_j$  is nonvoid for all i and j. Each term on the left side of (3.1) is a linear combination of the elements of  $V_j$ , and so is the sum. Since the summation extends over all subsets  $U_i$  with cardinal number h, the sum is unchanged by permuting the elements of  $V_j$  and must therefore be a constant multiple of  $A(V_j)$ . The multiplier is determined to be unity by choosing all the  $x_i$  to be equal.

Each factor on the left side of (3.2) is a product of powers of the elements of  $U_i$ , and so is the product of the factors. Since there is one factor for every subset  $V_i$  with cardinal number k, the product is unchanged by permuting the elements of  $U_i$  and must therefore be a power of  $G(U_i)$ . The power is determined to be unity by choosing all the  $x_i$  to be equal.

THEOREM 2. Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be real nonnegative numbers and let the means  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  and  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$  be defined by Definition 2. Then  $\gamma_k \leq \alpha_k$  if k+k > n. The inequality is strict unless either (1) (k-1)(k-1) = 0 or (2)  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n$  or (3) k of the  $x_i$  are zero.

*Proof.* One form of Hölder's inequality states that if (a), (b),  $\cdots$ , (s) are *u*-tuples of real nonnegative numbers and  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $\sigma$  are positive weights with  $\alpha + \beta + \cdots + \sigma = 1$ , then [5, p. 22]

$$(3.3) \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{u} a_i^{\alpha} b_i^{\beta} \cdots s_i^{\sigma} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{u} a_i\right)^{\alpha} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{u} b_i\right)^{\beta} \cdots \left(\sum_{i=1}^{u} s_i\right)^{\sigma}.$$

(The proof of this inequality consists in dividing each term on the left side by the right side and using the inequality of the arithmetic and geometric means.) Let  $U_1, \dots, U_u$  and  $V_1, \dots, V_v$  have the same meaning as in Lemma 1, assume h+k>n, and introduce the abbreviations

$$(3.4) \quad G_i = G(U_i), \, A_j = A(V_j), \, G_{ij} = G(U_i \cap V_j), \, A_{ij} = A(U_i \cap V_j)$$
 .

We now choose

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \cdots s_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{\scriptscriptstyle u} \cdots s_{\scriptscriptstyle u} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} G_{\scriptscriptstyle 11} \cdots G_{\scriptscriptstyle 1v} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ G_{\scriptscriptstyle u1} \cdots G_{\scriptscriptstyle uv} \end{bmatrix}$$

and put  $\alpha = \beta = \cdots = \sigma = 1/v$ . Hölder's inequality becomes

(3.6) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{u} G_i = \sum_{i=1}^{u} \prod_{j=1}^{v} G_{ij}^{1/v} \leq \prod_{i=1}^{v} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{u} G_{ij}\right)^{1/v},$$

where the equality of the first two members follows from Lemma 1. The inequality of the arithmetic and geometric means implies

(3.7) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{u} G_{ij} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{u} A_{ij} = uA_j, \qquad j = 1, \dots, v,$$

with strict inequality for some value of j unless either (1) (h-1)(k-1)=0 or (2)  $x_1=x_2=\cdots=x_n$ . The equality of the second and third members follows from Lemma 1. Hence

(3.8) 
$$\prod_{i=1}^{v} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{u} G_{ij} \right)^{1/v} \leq u \prod_{i=1}^{v} A_{i}^{1/v},$$

with strict inequality unless either (1)(h-1)(k-1)=0 or (2)  $x_1=x_2=\cdots=x_n$  or (3) k of the  $x_i$  are zero (with the result that  $A_j=0$  for some value of j). We have finally

(3.9) 
$$\gamma_{h} = \frac{1}{u} \sum_{i=1}^{u} G_{i} \leq \prod_{j=1}^{v} A_{j}^{1/v} = \alpha_{k}, \qquad h+k > n,$$

with obvious equality if (1) or (2) or (3) holds and strict inequality otherwise.

4. Mixed means of general orders. No extension of these results to weighted means is known. However, it is not difficult to generalize the inequalities for mixed arithmetic and geometric means to mixed means of any real orders, and we shall sketch briefly what modifications are necessary. Definition 1 is augmented by defining the mean of any real order t,

$$M_t(V) = \left(rac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^k y_i^t
ight)^{1/t}, \qquad \qquad t
eq 0\;, 
onumber \ M_0(V) = G(V)\;.$$

Note that  $A(V) = M_1(V)$ . Similarly we augment Definition 2 by defining the mixed mean of orders s and t of the real nonnegative numbers  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  taken k at a time:

$$egin{align} M_{st}(k) &= \left\{rac{1}{v}\sum_{i=1}^v \left[M_t(V_i)
ight]^s
ight\}^{1/s}, & s 
eq 0 \;, \ M_{0t}(k) &= \left[\prod_{i=1}^v M_t(V_i)
ight]^{1/v}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\alpha_k = M_{01}(k)$  and  $\gamma_k = M_{10}(k)$ . Also,  $M_{st}(1)$  is the mean of order s of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and  $M_{st}(n)$  is the mean of order t. For fixed k,  $M_{st}(k)$  increases with s and with t because of a basic property of mean values [5, p. 26]. Finally,  $M_{st}(k)$  is nonzero unless either (1)  $s \leq 0$ ,  $t \leq 0$ , and one of the  $x_i$  is zero, or (2)  $s \leq 0$ , t > 0, and k of the  $x_i$  are zero, or (3) s > 0,  $t \leq 0$ , and n - k + 1 of the  $x_i$  are zero, or (4) s > 0, t > 0, and all the  $x_i$  are zero.

The generalization of Theorem 1 states that  $M_{st}(k)$  increases or decreases with increasing k according as s < t or s > t. In both cases  $M_{st}(k)$  and  $M_{st}(k-1)$  are unequal unless either (1) both are zero or (2)  $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_n$ . If s = t the mixed mean is independent of k:

(4.3) 
$$M_{tt}(k) = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^t\right)^{1/t}, \qquad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Here and subsequently, the cases in which s or t is zero are not written explicitly but may be included by agreeing that an appropriate geometric mean is intended or by taking the limit as  $s \to 0$  or  $t \to 0$ . The proof of monotony in the general case is quite similar to the proof of Theorem 1, the extension of (2.3) being

$$(4.4) M_t(V_i) = \left\{\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k [M_t(V_{ij})]^t\right\}^{1/t} \geqq \left\{\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k [M_t(V_{ij})]^s\right\}^{1/s}, t > s.$$

The inequality, which is reversed if t < s, holds because a mean of order s increases with s [5, p. 26].

The generalization of Lemma 1 states that

$$\left\{\frac{1}{2L}\sum_{i=1}^{u}\left[M_{t}(U_{i}\cap V_{j})\right]^{t}\right\}^{1/t} = M_{t}(V_{j}), \qquad h+k>n.$$

The same sequence of steps as in the proof of Theorem 2 can now be followed in the general case, with Hölder's inequality replaced by Jessen's form of Minkowski's inequality [5, p. 31] and with the inequality  $G \leq A$  in (3.7) replaced by  $M_s \leq M_t$  if  $s \leq t$ . The result is

$$(4.6) M_{ts}(h) \leq M_{st}(k) , s \leq t, h+k > n .$$

The inequality is strict unless either (1) s = t or (2)(h-1)(k-1) = 0 or (3)  $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_n$  or (4)  $s \le t \le 0$  and one of the  $x_i$  is zero or (5)  $s \le 0$ , t > 0, and k of the  $x_i$  are zero.

5. Comparison with elementary symmetric functions. Let  $p_k$  denote the elementary symmetric function of degree k of the real nonnegative numbers  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , multiplied by a normalizing constant to make  $p_k = 1$  if  $x_1 = \dots = x_n = 1$ . For example, if n = 3 and  $(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x, y, z)$ , we have

(5.1) 
$$p_1 = \frac{1}{3}(x + y + z)$$
,  $p_2 = \frac{1}{3}(xy + yz + zx)$ ,  $p_2 = xyz$ ,

and for any n it follows from (4.2) that

$$p_k^{1/k} = M_{k0}(k) .$$

The counterpart of Theorem 1 is Maclaurin's theorem [5, p. 52], which states that  $p_k^{1/k}$  is a decreasing function of k. Let us try to compare three increasing sequences of mean values, each beginning with the geometric mean and ending with the arithmetic mean:

(5.3) 
$$\alpha_{1} \leq \alpha_{2} \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_{n+1-k} \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_{n-1} \leq \alpha_{n} ,$$

$$p_{n}^{1/n} \leq p_{n-1}^{1/(n-1)} \leq \cdots \leq p_{k}^{1/k} \leq \cdots \leq p_{2}^{1/2} \leq p_{1} ,$$

$$\gamma_{n} \leq \gamma_{n-1} \leq \cdots \leq \gamma_{k} \leq \cdots \leq \gamma_{2} \leq \gamma_{1} .$$

If n=3 we have  $\gamma_2 \leq p_2^{1/2} \leq \alpha_2$ . The inequality of the first and third members is (1.1), the inequality of the first and second members follows at once from the inequality of the arithmetic mean and the root-mean-square, and the inequality of the second and third members is proved in several steps in [2].

For all n and k, Theorem 2 implies  $\gamma_k \leq \alpha_{n+1-k}$ . Also, since a mean value increases with its order [5, p. 26] we have  $M_{10}(k) \leq M_{k0}(k)$  and hence

$$\gamma_k \leq p_k^{1/k}, \qquad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

The known results for n=3 suggest the conjecture that  $p_k^{1/k} \leq \alpha_{n+1-k}$ . In view of (5.3) it is equivalent to conjecture that  $p_k^{1/k} \leq \alpha_k$  if h+k>n. Were this proved true, Theorem 2 would follow as a corollary because of (5.4).

## REFERENCES

- 1. E. F. Beckenbach and R. Bellman, Inequalities, Springer, Berlin, 1961.
- 2. B. C. Carlson, Inequalities for a symmetric elliptic integral, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 25 (1970), 698-703.
- 3. ——, An inequality of mixed arithmetic and geometric means (Problem 70-10), SIAM Rev., 12 (1970), 287-288.
- 4. B. C. Carlson, R. K. Meany, and S. A. Nelson, An inequality of mixed arithmetic and geometric means (Solution of Problem 70-10 [3]), SIAM Rev., 13 (1971), 253-255.
- 5. G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1952. MR 13, 727.

Received July 22, 1970. The research of the first author was supported by the Ames Laboratory of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY