

## MULTIPLIERS OF TYPE $(p, p)$

KELLY MCKENNON

**It will be shown in this paper that the Banach algebra of all continuous multipliers on  $L_p(G)$  ( $G$  a locally compact group,  $p \in [0, \infty[$ ) may be viewed as the set of all multipliers on a natural Banach algebra with minimal approximate left identity.**

Let  $G$  be an arbitrary locally compact group,  $\lambda$  its left Haar measure, and  $p$  a number in  $[1, \infty[$ . Write  $\mathfrak{B}_p$  for the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $L_p$  and write  $\mathfrak{M}_p$  for the subset of  $\mathfrak{B}_p$  consisting of those operators which commute with all left translation operators; elements of  $\mathfrak{M}_p$  are called *multipliers of type  $(p, p)$* . If  $A$  is a Banach algebra, then a bounded linear operator  $T$  on  $A$  such that  $T(a \cdot b) = T(a) \cdot b$  for all  $a, b \in A$  is called a *multiplier on  $A$* ; write  $\mathfrak{m}(A)$  for the set of all such. By  $C_0$  will be meant the set of all continuous complex-valued functions on  $G$  which have compact support. A function  $f$  in  $L_p$  such that for each  $g$  in  $L_p$ , the function  $g * f(x) = \int g(t)f(t^{-1}x)d\lambda(t)$  exists  $\lambda$ -almost everywhere,  $g * f$  is in  $L_p$ , and  $\|g * f\|_p \leq \|g\|_p \cdot k$  where  $k$  is a positive number independent of  $g$ , is said to be  *$p$ -tempered*; write  $L_p^t$  for the set of all such. Evidently  $L_p^t$  is closed under convolution and  $C_0$  is a subset of  $L_p^t$ . Thus, for each  $f$  in  $L_p^t$  and  $h$  in  $C_0$ , there is precisely one operator  $W$  in  $\mathfrak{B}_p$  such that  $W(g) = g * f * h$  for all  $g$  in  $L_p$ ; write  $\mathfrak{A}_p$  for the norm closure in  $\mathfrak{B}_p$  of the linear span of all such  $W$ . The principal result of this paper is that  $\mathfrak{A}_p$  is a Banach algebra with minimal approximate left identity and that  $\mathfrak{m}(\mathfrak{A}_p)$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_p$  are isomorphic isometric Banach algebras.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f$  be a function in  $L_p$  and  $k$  a positive number such that  $\|g * f\|_p \leq \|g\|_p \cdot k$  for all  $g$  in  $C_0$ . Then  $f$  is in  $L_p^t$ .*

*Proof.* First of all, suppose that  $h$  is a function in  $L_1 \cap L_p$ . As is well known,  $h * f$  is in  $L_p$  and  $\|h * f\|_p \leq \|h\|_1 \cdot \|f\|_p$ . Let  $\{h_n\}$  be a sequence in  $C_0$  which converges to  $h$  in the  $L_p$  and  $L_1$  norms both. It follows from the above that  $\{h_n * f\}$  converges to  $h * f$  in  $L_p$ . This fact and the hypothesis for  $f$  imply

$$\|h * f\|_p = \lim_n \|h_n * f\|_p \leq \overline{\lim}_n \|h_n\|_p \cdot k = \|h\|_p \cdot k.$$

Let  $h$  be now an arbitrary function from  $L_p$ . We may assume that  $h$  vanishes off some  $\sigma$ -finite set  $A$ . Let  $\{A_n\}$  be an increasing nest of  $\lambda$ -finite and  $\lambda$ -measurable subsets of  $G$  such that their union

is  $A$ . Let for each  $n \in N$ ,  $h_n$  be the product of  $h$  with the characteristic function of  $A_n$ . Let  $\pi_j$  ( $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ ) be the minimal non-negative functions on the complex field  $K$  such that  $z = \sum_{j=0}^3 i^j \pi_j(z)$  for each  $z \in K$ .

Fix  $j$  in  $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ . For each  $x \in G$ , define the measurable function  $w^x$  in  $[0, \infty]^G$  by letting  $w^x(t) = \pi_j[h(t) \cdot f(t^{-1}x)]$  for all  $t \in G$ . For each  $x \in G$  and  $n \in N$ , define the measurable function  $w_n^x$  in  $[0, \infty]^G$  by letting  $w_n^x(t) = \pi_j[h_n(t) \cdot f(t^{-1}x)]$  for all  $t \in G$ . Since the sequence  $\{w_n^x\}$  converges upwards to  $w^x$  for each  $x \in G$ , it follows from the monotone convergence theorem that  $\lim_n \int w_n^x d\lambda = \int w^x d\lambda$ . Define the function  $F$  in  $[0, \infty]^G$  by letting  $F(x) = \int w^x d\lambda$  for all  $x \in G$ . For each  $n \in N$ , define the function  $F_n$  in  $[0, \infty]^G$  by letting  $F_n(x) = \int w_n^x d\lambda$  for all  $x \in G$ . Thus,  $\{F_n\}$  converges upwards to  $F$  at each point  $x \in G$ .

For each  $n \in N$ ,  $h_n$  is in  $L_1 \cap L_p$ ; it follows that  $\pi_j[h_n * f]$  is in  $L_p$ , and so equals  $F_n$  almost everywhere. Hence, each  $F_n$  is measurable whence  $F$  is measurable. Further, by the monotone convergence theorem and the inequality which concludes the initial paragraph of this proof,

$$\begin{aligned} \|F\|_p &= \lim_n \|F_n\|_p \\ &= \lim_n \|\pi_j[h_n * f]\|_p \leq \overline{\lim}_n \|h_n * f\|_p \leq \overline{\lim}_n \|h_n\|_p \cdot k = \|h\|_p \cdot k. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that  $F(x) = \int \pi_j[h(t) \cdot f(t^{-1}x)] dt$  almost everywhere and  $j$  was arbitrary, we see that  $h * f$  exists almost everywhere, is in  $L_p$  and  $\|h * f\|_p \leq \|h\|_p \cdot 4k$ . This proves that  $f$  is  $p$ -tempered.

The condition given in Theorem 1 for a function in  $L_p$  to be in  $L_p^t$  is clearly necessary as well as sufficient. Another such condition was proved in [4], Theorem 1.3:

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $f$  be a function in  $L_p$  such that  $g * f$  is defined and in  $L_p$  for all  $g$  in  $L_p$ . Then  $f$  is in  $L_p^t$ .*

For each  $f \in L_p^t$ , there is precisely one operator  $W_f \in \mathfrak{B}_p$  such that

$$(1) \quad W_f(g) = g * f$$

for all  $g \in L_p$ . For  $f \in C_{00}$ , we have as well (see [1] 20.13)

$$(2) \quad \|W_f\| \leq \int \Delta^{-(p-1)/p} |f| d\lambda.$$

It is easy to check that

$$(3) \quad W_{f^{*h}} = W_h \circ W_f$$

for all  $f$  and  $h$  in  $L_p^t$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *The set  $\mathfrak{A}_p$  is a complete subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{M}_p$  and it possesses a minimal left approximate identity (i.e., a net  $\{T_\alpha\}$  such that  $\overline{\lim}_\alpha \|T_\alpha\| \leq 1$  and  $\lim \|T_\alpha \circ T - T\| = 0$  for all  $T \in \mathfrak{A}_p$ ).*

*Proof.* A simple calculation shows that, when  $f$  is in  $L_p^t$ , then  $W_f$  is in  $\mathfrak{M}_p$ . Evidently,  $\mathfrak{M}_p$  is a Banach algebra; hence,  $\mathfrak{A}_p$  is a subset of  $\mathfrak{M}_p$ . That  $\mathfrak{A}_p$  is a Banach space is an elementary consequence of its definition. That  $\mathfrak{A}_p$  is a Banach algebra is a consequence of the fact that  $L_p^t * C_{00}$  is closed under convolution.

For each compact neighborhood  $E$  of the identity of  $G$ , let  $f_E$  be a nonnegative function in  $C_\infty$  which vanishes outside  $E$  and such that  $\int f_E d\lambda = 1$ . Directing the family of compact neighborhoods of the identity by letting  $E > F$  when  $E \subset F$ , we obtain a net  $\{f_E\}$  which is a minimal approximate identity for  $L_1$ . If  $\{h_\gamma\}$  denotes the product net of  $\{f_E\}$  with itself, then  $\{h_\gamma\}$  is again a minimal approximate identity for  $L_1$  and the net  $\{W_{h_\gamma}\}$  is in  $\mathfrak{A}_p$ . Since  $\Delta$  is unity and continuous at the identity of  $G$ , we have by (2),

$$\overline{\lim}_\gamma \|W_{h_\gamma}\| \leq \overline{\lim}_\gamma \int \Delta^{-(p-1)/p} h_\gamma d\lambda \leq 1.$$

For  $f \in L_p^t$  and  $g \in C_{00}$ , (3) and (2) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_\gamma \|W_{h_\gamma} \circ W_{f^{*g}} - W_{f^{*g}}\| &= \overline{\lim}_\gamma \|(W_{g^{*h_\gamma}} - W_g) \circ W_f\| \\ &\leq \overline{\lim}_\gamma \|W_{g^{*h_\gamma}} - W_g\| \cdot \|W_f\| \leq \left( \overline{\lim}_\gamma \int |g^{*h_\gamma} - g| \cdot \Delta^{-(p-1)/p} d\lambda \right) \cdot \|W_f\| \\ &\leq \overline{\lim}_\gamma \|g^{*h_\gamma} - g\|_1 \cdot \sup \{ \Delta^{-(p-1)/p}(x) : g^{*h_\gamma}(x) \neq g(x) \} \cdot \|W_f\| = 0 \end{aligned}$$

since  $\overline{\lim}_\gamma \|g^{*h_\gamma} - g\|_1 = 0$  and since the net of sets  $\{x \in G : g^{*h_\gamma}(x) \neq g(x)\}$  is eventually contained in some fixed compact set. Since  $L_p^t * C_{00}$  generates a dense subset of  $\mathfrak{A}_p$ , we have  $\lim \|W_{h_\gamma} \circ T - T\| = 0$  for all  $T \in \mathfrak{A}_p$ . Thus,  $\{W_{h_\gamma}\}$  is a minimal left approximate identity for  $\mathfrak{A}_p$ .

We now turn to  $\mathfrak{M}_p$ . We shall need a theorem proved in [3] 4.2.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $\mu$  and the elements of a net  $\{\mu_\alpha\}$  be bounded, complex, regular Borel measures on  $G$  such that*

$$(a) \quad \lim_\alpha \|\mu_\alpha\| = \|\mu\|$$

and

$$(b) \quad \lim_{\alpha} \int f d\mu_{\alpha} = \int f d\mu \quad \text{for each } f \in C_{00} .$$

Then, for each  $g \in L_p$  ( $p \in [1, \infty]$ ),  $\lim_{\alpha} \| \mu_{\alpha} * g - \mu * g \|_p = 0$ .

**COROLLARY.** For each multiplier  $T$  in  $\mathfrak{M}_p$  and each bounded, complex, regular Borel measure  $\mu$ , we have

$$(i) \quad T(\mu * g) = \mu * T(g)$$

for all  $g \in L_p$ . In particular, for  $f \in L_1$ , we have

$$(ii) \quad T(f * g) = f * T(g) .$$

*Proof.* Since  $T$  commutes with left translation operators, it is evident that (i) holds when  $\mu$  is a linear combination of Dirac measures. Now let  $\mu$  be arbitrary. Since the extreme points of the unit ball of the conjugate space  $C_{00}^*$  (where  $C_{00}$  bears the uniform or supremum norm) are Dirac measures, and since Alaoglu's Theorem implies that the unit ball of  $C_{00}^*$  is  $\sigma(C_{00}^*, C_{00})$ -compact, it follows by the Krein-Milman Theorem that there exists a net  $\{\mu_{\alpha}\}$  consisting of linear combinations of Dirac measures such that the hypotheses (a) and (b) of Theorem 4 are satisfied. By Theorem 4, we have  $\lim_{\alpha} \| \mu_{\alpha} * g - \mu * g \|_p = 0$  for all  $g \in L_p$ . This implies that  $\lim_{\alpha} \| T(\mu_{\alpha} * g) - T(\mu * g) \|_p = 0$  for all  $g \in L_p$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \| T(\mu * g) - \mu * T(g) \|_p &\leq \overline{\lim}_{\alpha} \| T(\mu * g) - T(\mu_{\alpha} * g) \|_p \\ &+ \overline{\lim}_{\alpha} \| T(\mu_{\alpha} * g) - \mu * T(g) \|_p = 0 + \overline{\lim}_{\alpha} \| \mu_{\alpha} * T(g) - \mu * T(g) \|_p = 0 . \end{aligned}$$

This proves part (i). Part (ii) is a special case of (i).

**THEOREM 5.** For each multiplier  $T$  in  $\mathfrak{M}_p$  and each function  $f$  in  $C_{00}$ , the function  $T(f)$  is in  $L_p^t$  and  $W_{T(f)} = T \circ W_f$ .

*Proof.* Because  $f$  is in  $L_p$ , it follows from the corollary to Theorem 4 and (1) that  $g * T(f) = T(g * f) = T \circ W_f(g)$  for all  $g \in C_{00}$ . This implies that  $\| g * T(f) \|_p \leq \| T \| \cdot \| W_f \| \cdot \| g \|_p$  for all  $g \in C_{00}$ . Thus, by Theorem 1,  $T(f)$  is in  $L_p^t$ . Since  $C_{00}$  is dense in  $L_p$ , we have that  $W_{T(f)} = T \circ W_f$ .

We purpose to identify the multipliers on  $\mathfrak{A}_p$ . To accomplish this, we shall set down a general multiplier identification theorem.

Let  $B$  be a normed algebra with identity and let  $A$  be any sub-algebra of  $B$  which is  $\| \cdot \|_B$ -complete and which has a minimal left approximate identity. Define  $\mathfrak{R}(B, A)$  to be the coarsest topology with respect to which each of the seminorms  ${}^a \| \cdot \|$  ( $a \in A$ ) is continuous where  ${}^a \| b \| = \| b \cdot a \|_B$  for all  $b \in B$ . It is known (see [3] 1.4. (ii)) that

(4) the map  $(a, b) \longrightarrow a \cdot b$  is  $\mathfrak{R}(B, A)$ -continuous

when  $a$  and  $b$  run through any  $\| \cdot \|_B$ -bounded subset of  $B$ .

**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be as above and suppose that the following hold:*

(i) *the unit ball  $A_1$  of  $A$  is  $\mathfrak{R}(B, A)$ -dense in the unit ball  $B_1$  of  $B$ ;*

(ii)  $\|b\|_B = \sup\{\|b \cdot a\|_B : a \in A_1\}$  *for each  $b \in B_1$ ;*

(iii)  $B_1$  *is  $\mathfrak{R}(B, A)$ -complete.*

*Then  $m(A)$  is isomorphic to  $B$ .*

*Proof.* By [3] 1.8. (iv),  $A$  is a left ideal in  $B$ . Define the map  $T| \rightarrow m(A)$  by letting  $T_b(a) = b \cdot a$  for all  $b \in B$  and  $a \in A$ . That  $T$  is an algebra homomorphism of  $B$  into  $m(A)$  is easy to check. That  $T$  is an isometry follows from (ii). That  $T$  is onto is a consequence of [3] 1.12.

**LEMMA 1.** *The unit ball of  $\mathfrak{A}_p$  is  $\mathfrak{R}(\mathfrak{M}_p, \mathfrak{A}_p)$ -dense in the unit ball of  $\mathfrak{M}_p$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T$  be any operator in the unit ball of  $\mathfrak{M}_p$ . Let  $\{W_{h_\gamma}\}$  be the minimal left approximate identity for  $\mathfrak{A}_p$  chosen in Theorem 3. For each index  $\gamma$ , we know from Theorem 5 and (3) that  $T(h_\gamma)$  is in  $L_p^t$  and  $W_{h_\gamma} \circ T \circ W_{h_\gamma} = W_{h_\gamma} \circ W_{T(h_\gamma)} = W_{T(h_\gamma) \ast h_\gamma}$ . From (4), we see that  $\{W_{h_\gamma} \circ T \circ W_{h_\gamma}\}$  converges to  $I \circ T \circ I = T$  in  $\mathfrak{R}(\mathfrak{M}_p, \mathfrak{A}_p)$ : in other words,  $\lim W_{T(h_\gamma) \ast h_\gamma} = T$  in  $\mathfrak{R}(\mathfrak{M}_p, \mathfrak{A}_p)$ .

Thus, we must have  $\underline{\lim}_\gamma \|W_{T(h_\gamma) \ast h_\gamma}\| \geq \|T\|$ , as is easily seen. But  $\overline{\lim}_\gamma \|W_{T(h_\gamma) \ast h_\gamma}\| = \overline{\lim}_\gamma \|W_{h_\gamma} \circ T \circ W_{h_\gamma}\| \leq \overline{\lim}_\gamma \|W_{h_\gamma}\|^2 \cdot \|T\| \leq \|T\|$ . Thus, we have  $\lim_\gamma \|W_{T(h_\gamma) \ast h_\gamma}\| = \|T\|$ . It follows that  $\lim_\gamma \|W_{T(h_\gamma) \ast h_\gamma}\|^{-1} \cdot W_{T(h_\gamma) \ast h_\gamma} = T$  in  $\mathfrak{R}(\mathfrak{M}_p, \mathfrak{A}_p)$ . We have shown that  $T$  is the  $\mathfrak{R}(\mathfrak{M}_p, \mathfrak{A}_p)$ -limit of operators in the unit ball of  $\mathfrak{A}_p$ .

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $\{T_\alpha\}$  be any  $\mathfrak{R}(\mathfrak{B}_p, \mathfrak{A}_p)$ -Cauchy net in  $\mathfrak{B}_p$  such that  $\sup_\alpha \|T_\alpha\| < \infty$ . Then there is an operator  $T$  in  $\mathfrak{B}_p$  such that  $\lim_\alpha T_\alpha = T$  in both the strong operator topology and the topology  $\mathfrak{R}(\mathfrak{B}_p, \mathfrak{A}_p)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be the subspace of  $L_p$  spanned by the set  $L_p \ast L_p^t \ast C_{00}$ . If  $g$  is in  $L_p$  and  $\{h_i\}$  is the net in  $L_p^t \ast C_\infty$  constructed in the proof of Theorem 3, then  $\lim_i \|g \ast h_i - g\|_p = 0$  (see [1] 20.15. ii). It follows that  $S$  is dense in  $L_p$ .

Let  $\sum_{j=1}^m f_j \ast h_j \ast g_j$  be a typical element of  $S$  where  $f_j \in L_p$ ,  $h_j \in L_p^t$ , and  $g_j \in C_{00}$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ). Then  $W_{h_j \ast g_j}$  is in  $\mathfrak{A}_p$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) so that, by hypothesis, the net  $\{T_\alpha \circ W_{h_j \ast g_j}\}$  is  $\| \cdot \|$ -Cauchy in  $\mathfrak{B}_p$ . Since

$T_\alpha(f_j * h_j * g_j) = T_\alpha \circ W_{h_j * g_j}(f_j)$  for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and each index  $\alpha$ , it follows that the net  $\{T_\alpha(f_j * h_j * g_j)\}$  is  $\|\cdot\|_p$ -Cauchy for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Thus,  $\{T_\alpha(\sum_{j=1}^m f_j * h_j * g_j)\}$  is  $\|\cdot\|_p$ -Cauchy and so has some limit in  $L_p$  which we shall write as  $T_0(\sum_{j=1}^m f_j * h_j * g_j)$ . The operator  $T_0|S \rightarrow L_p$  thus defined is clearly linear and, by the hypothesis  $\sup_\alpha \|T_\alpha\| < \infty$ , is also bounded. Since  $S$  is dense in  $L_p$ ,  $T_0$  is the restriction to  $S$  of a unique operator  $T$  in  $\mathfrak{B}_p$ . Since the net  $\{T_\alpha\}$  converges to  $T$  on the dense subspace  $S$  of  $L_p$ , and since  $\sup_\alpha \|T_\alpha\| < \infty$ , it follows that  $\lim_\alpha T_\alpha = T$  in the strong operator topology.

Let  $f$  be any function in  $L_p^t * C_{00}$ . By hypothesis, the net  $\{T_\alpha \circ W_f\}$  is  $\|\cdot\|_p$ -Cauchy and so has some  $\|\cdot\|_p$ -limit  $V$  in  $\mathfrak{B}_p$ . For each  $g \in L_1 \cap L_p$ , we have

$$V(g) = \lim_\alpha T_\alpha \circ W_f(g) = \lim_\alpha T_\alpha(g * f) = T(g * f) = T \circ W_f(g) .$$

Since  $L_1 \cap L_p$  is dense in  $L_p$ , it follows that  $V = T \circ W_f$ . Thus,  $\lim_\alpha \|(T_\alpha - T) \circ W_f\| = 0$ . Since  $\{W_f : f \in L_p^t * C_{00}\}$  spans a dense subset of  $\mathfrak{A}_p$  and since  $\sup_\alpha \|T_\alpha\| < \infty$ , it follows that  $\lim_\alpha T_\alpha = T$  in  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{B}_p, \mathfrak{A}_p)$ .

**THEOREM 7.** *Let  $\pi| \mathfrak{M}_p \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_p^{\mathfrak{A}_p}$  be defined by, for each  $T \in \mathfrak{M}_p$ , letting the function  $\pi_T| \mathfrak{A}_p \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_p$  be given by  $\pi_T(W) = T \circ W$  for all  $W \in \mathfrak{A}_p$ . Then  $\pi$  is an isometric algebra isomorphism  $\mathfrak{M}_p$  onto  $m(\mathfrak{A}_p)$ .*

*Proof.* We shall apply Theorem 6 for  $B = \mathfrak{M}_p$  and  $A = \mathfrak{A}_p$ . That  $\mathfrak{A}_p$  has a minimal left approximate identity follows from Theorem 3. That condition (i) of Theorem 6 is satisfied follows from Lemma 1. That condition (iii) of Theorem 6 is satisfied follows from Lemma 2. To invoke Theorem 6 and so prove Theorem 7, it will suffice to show that  $\|T\| = \sup\{\|T \circ W\| : W \in \mathfrak{A}_p, \|W\| = 1\}$  for each  $T \in \mathfrak{M}_p$ .

Let then  $T$  be any multiplier in  $\mathfrak{M}_p$ . That  $\|T\| \geq \sup\{\|T \circ W\| : W \in \mathfrak{A}_p, \|W\| = 1\}$  is obvious. Let  $\varepsilon$  be any positive number. Choose  $f \in L_p$  such that  $\|f\|_p \leq 1$  and  $\|T(f)\|_p > \|T\| - \varepsilon/2$ . Let  $\{W_\gamma\}$  be a minimal left approximate identity for  $\mathfrak{A}_p$ . Then  $\lim_\gamma W_\gamma = I$  in  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{M}_p, \mathfrak{A}_p)$  where  $I$  is the identity operator on  $L_p$ . By (4) we have  $\lim_\gamma T \circ W_\gamma = T \circ I = T$  in  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{M}_p, \mathfrak{A}_p)$ . By Lemma 2 we know that  $\lim_\gamma T \circ W_\gamma = T$  in the strong operator topology. In particular, there exists some index  $\gamma$  such that  $\|T \circ W_\gamma(f) - T(f)\| < \varepsilon/2$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T \circ W_\gamma(f)\|_p &\geq \|T(f)\|_p - \|T(f) - T \circ W_\gamma(f)\|_p \\ &\geq \|T\| - \varepsilon/2 - \varepsilon/2 = \|T\| - \varepsilon ; \end{aligned}$$

but  $\|T \circ W_\gamma(f)\|_p \leq \|T \circ W_\gamma\| \cdot \|f\|_p \leq \|T \circ W_\gamma\|$ , so that  $\|T \circ W_\gamma\| \geq \|T\| - \varepsilon$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  was arbitrary and  $\|W_\gamma\| \leq 1$ , we have shown that

$$\|T\| = \sup\{\|T \circ W\|: W \in A, \|W\| \leq 1\}.$$

We shall identify  $L_p^t$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_p$  for several particular cases.

*Case I.*  $p = 1$ . Since  $L_1$  is a Banach algebra with 2-sided minimal approximate identity, it follows that  $L_1^t = L_1$  and  $\|W_f\| = \|f\|_1$  for all  $f \in L_1$ . Because  $L_1 * C_{00}$  is dense in  $L_1$ , it follows that  $\mathfrak{A}_p$  is isomorphic to  $L_1$  as a Banach algebra. Thus, in this case, Theorem 7 is the well-known fact that a bounded linear operator on  $L_1$  commutes with all left translation operators if and only if it commutes with all left multiplication by elements of  $L_1$ .

*Case II.*  $G$  is Abelian and  $p = 2$ . Let  $X$  be the character group of  $G$  and  $\theta$  the Haar measure on  $X$  such that  $\|\hat{f}\|_2 = \|f\|_2$  for all  $f \in L_2$ . In this case there is an isometric isomorphism  $\widehat{\cdot}: M_2 \rightarrow L_\infty(X)$  which is onto  $L_\infty(X)$  and such that  $\widehat{T(f)} = \widehat{T} \cdot \widehat{f}$  for all  $g \in L_2$ . Evidently,  $L_2^t$  is just  $\{f \in L_2: \widehat{f} \in L_\infty(X)\}$ . It is known that there is a net  $\{g_\alpha\}$  in the set  $\{\widehat{f}: f \in C_{00}(G)\}$  such that  $\|g_\alpha\|_\infty = 1$  for each index  $\alpha$  and  $\lim g_\alpha(\chi) = 1$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $X$ . Consequently, the set  $\{\widehat{h * f}: h \in L_2^t, f \in C_{00}\}$  is dense in the set  $\{g \in L_2(X) \cap L_\infty(X): g \text{ vanishes at } \infty\}$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{A}_2$  is isomorphic in this case to  $\{f \in L_\infty(X): f \text{ vanishes at } \infty\}$ .

*Case III.*  $G$  is compact and  $p \neq 1$ . In this case  $L_p$  is a convolution algebra ([2] 28.64). Thus,  $L_p^t = L_p$  and  $W$  may be viewed as a non norm-increasing linear operator from  $L_p$  into  $\mathfrak{A}_p$ . Since  $C_{00} \subset L_p \cap L_1$ , it is not difficult to show that  $W$  is an isomorphism into  $\mathfrak{A}_p$ .

Let  $f \in L_p$  and choose a minimal approximate identity  $\{f_\alpha\}$  for  $L_1$  out of  $C_{00}$ . Then  $\{f * f_\alpha\}$  converges to  $f$  in  $L_p$ . Consequently,  $\{W_{f * f_\alpha}\}$  converges to  $W_f$  in  $\mathfrak{A}_p$ . All this shows that, in this case,  $\mathfrak{A}_p$  is the closure in  $\mathfrak{B}_p$  of the set  $\{W_f: f \in L_p\}$ .

Suppose now that  $G$  is also infinite. Then  $L_p$  has no minimal 1-sided identity (see [2] 34.40. b); since  $\mathfrak{A}_p$  does have one, it follows that  $W$  is not a homeomorphism. Since  $W$  is a continuous isomorphism, the open mapping theorem implies that  $W|L_p \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_p$  is not onto  $\mathfrak{A}_p$ .

*Case IV.*  $G$  is compact and  $p = 2$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be the dual object of  $G$  as in [2]. For the spaces  $\mathfrak{C}_0(\Sigma)$ ,  $\mathfrak{C}_\infty(\Sigma)$ , and  $\mathfrak{C}_2(\Sigma)$  and the norms  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  and  $\|\cdot\|_2$  on these spaces, see [2] 28.34. It is an easy consequence of [2] D. 54 that

$$(5) \quad \|E\|_\infty = \sup\{\|A \circ E\|_2: A \in \mathfrak{C}_2(\Sigma), \|A\|_2 \leq 1\}$$

for all  $E \in \mathfrak{G}_\infty(\Sigma)$ . For the definition of the Fourier-Stieltjes transform  $\hat{f}$  of a function  $f \in L_2$ , see [2] 28.34. By [2] 28.43, the mapping  $\hat{\cdot} : L_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_2(\Sigma)$  is a surjective linear isometry and, by [2] 28.40,  $\widehat{f * g} = \hat{f} \circ \hat{g}$  for all  $f, g \in L_2$ . Consequently, by (5),

$$(6) \quad \|W_f\| = \|\hat{f}\|_\infty \quad \text{for all } f \in L_2.$$

Since  $C_0 \subset L_2$ , it follows from [2] 28.39, 28.27, and 28.40 that the set  $\{\hat{f} : f \in L_2\}$  is a dense subspace of  $\mathfrak{G}_0(\Sigma)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{A}_p$  is just the closure in  $\mathfrak{B}_p$  of the set  $\{W_f : f \in L_2\}$ , it follows from (6) that  $\mathfrak{A}_p$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{G}_0(\Sigma)$  as a Banach algebra.

#### REFERENCES

1. Edwin Hewitt, and Kenneth A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, Vol. I. Berlin: Springer Verlag. 1963.
2. ———, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, Vol. II. Berlin: Springer Verlag. 1970.
3. Kelly McKennon, *Multipliers, Positive Functionals, Positive-Definite Functions, and Fourier-Stieltjes Transforms*, *Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc.*, **111**, (1971).
4. M. Rajagopalan,  *$L^p$ -Conjecture for Locally Compact Groups*, I, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **125**, (1966), 216-222.

Received May 25, 1971.

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY