

## DUAL SPACES OF CERTAIN VECTOR SEQUENCE SPACES

RONALD C. ROSIER

This article is an investigation of certain spaces of sequences with values in a locally convex space analogous to the generalized sequence spaces introduced by Pietsch in his monograph *Verallgemeinerte Volkommene Folgenräume* (Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1962). Pietsch combines a perfect sequence space  $\Lambda$  and a locally convex space  $E$  to obtain the space  $\Lambda(E)$  of all  $E$  valued sequences  $x = (x_n)$  such that the scalar sequence  $(\langle a, x_n \rangle)$  is in  $\Lambda$  for every  $a \in E'$ . Define  $\Lambda\{E\}$  to be the space of all  $E$  valued sequences  $x = (x_n)$  such that the scalar sequence  $(p(x_n))$  is in  $\Lambda$  for every continuous seminorm  $p$  on  $E$ . The spaces  $\Lambda(E)$  and  $\Lambda\{E\}$  are topologized using the topology of  $E$  and a certain collection  $\mathcal{M}$  of bounded subsets of  $\Lambda^x$ , the  $\alpha$ -dual of  $\Lambda$ .

The criteria for bounded sets, compact sets, and completeness are similar for both spaces. The significant difference lies in the duality theory. The dual of  $\Lambda(E)_{\mathcal{M}}$  is difficult to represent, but the dual of  $\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}$  is shown to be easily representable for general  $\Lambda$  and  $E$ . For many special cases of  $\Lambda$  and  $E$  the dual of  $\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}$  is of the form  $\Lambda^x\{E'\}$  where  $\Lambda^x$  is the  $\alpha$ -dual of  $\Lambda$  and  $E'$  is the strong dual of  $E$ .

We begin by recalling basic definitions and elementary facts about sequence spaces and establishing some notation. After defining the space  $[\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}]$  and deriving some elementary properties, we proceed to a description of its dual space. We show that the notion of a "fundamentally  $\Lambda$ -bounded" space  $E$  provides sufficient conditions for the duality relationship  $\Lambda\{E\}' = \Lambda^x\{E\}$ . We next show that there are large classes of  $\Lambda$  and  $E$  satisfying these conditions and we conclude by applying our results to the case  $\Lambda = l^p$  obtain, for example, that the strong dual of  $l^p\{E\}$  is  $l^q\{E'\}$  for  $E$  a normed, Frechet, or  $(DF)$ -space,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ .

I would like to thank Professor G. M. Köthe for his encouragement during the preparation of this work.

**2. Definitions and notations.** A sequence space  $\Lambda$  is a vector space of real or complex sequences with the usual coordinatewise operations. To each sequence space  $\Lambda$  there corresponds another sequence space  $\Lambda^x$ , called the  $\alpha$ -dual of  $\Lambda$ , consisting of all  $\alpha = (\alpha_n)$ , such that the scalar products  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \sum \alpha_n \beta_n$  converge absolutely, that is  $\sum |\alpha_n \beta_n| < \infty$ , for all  $\beta$  in  $\Lambda$ . Letting  $\Lambda^{xx}$  denote the  $\alpha$ -dual of

$A^*$ , we have  $A \subset A^{**}$ . If  $A^{**} = A$ , then  $A$  is called a perfect sequence space.

Every perfect sequence space  $A$  satisfies  $\phi \subset A \subset \omega$ , where  $\phi$  is the space of all sequences with only a finite number of nonzero coordinates and  $\omega$  is the space of all scalar sequences. Henceforth we shall consider only perfect spaces  $A$ .

A subset  $B$  of  $A$  is called bounded if for every  $\alpha$  in  $A^*$  there exists a positive constant  $\rho$  such that  $\sum |\alpha_n \beta_n| \leq \rho$  for all  $\beta$  in  $B$ . A subset  $M$  of  $A$  is called normal if whenever  $M$  contains  $\alpha$  it also contains all  $\beta$  satisfying  $|\beta_n| \leq |\alpha_n|$  for all  $n$ . The normal hull  $N(M)$  of a set  $M$  is the set of all sequences  $\beta$  such that  $|\beta_n| \leq |\alpha_n|$  for all  $n$ , for some  $\alpha$  in  $M$ . A simple consequence of these definitions is that the normal hull of a bounded set is bounded. Also every perfect sequence space is normal.

The bilinear form  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \sum \alpha_n \beta_n$  on  $A^* \times A$  places  $A^*$  and  $A$  in duality with each other. If  $M$  is any bounded subset of  $A^*$ , then  $M^0 = \{\beta \in A \mid |\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle| = |\sum \alpha_n \beta_n| \leq 1 \text{ for all } \alpha \in M\}$  is an absorbing absolutely convex subset of  $A$ . A family  $\mathcal{M}$ , consisting of bounded subsets of  $A^*$ , is called a normal topologizing system for  $A$  if  $\mathcal{M}$  has the following properties: (i) if  $M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ , then there exists  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $M_1 \cup M_2 \subset M$ . (ii) if  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\rho > 0$ , then  $\rho M \in \mathcal{M}$ . (iii) if  $\alpha \in A^*$ , then  $\alpha \in M$  for some  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ . (iv) the normal hull of every set in  $\mathcal{M}$  is in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

(1) If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a normal topologizing system for  $A$ , then the collection of all  $M^0, M \in \mathcal{M}$ , forms a neighborhood base at 0 for a locally convex topology on  $A$ . A base of seminorms for this  $\mathcal{M}$ -topology on  $A$  is given by the seminorms

$$\begin{aligned} p_{M^0}(\beta) &= \sup \{ |\sum \alpha_n \beta_n| \mid \alpha \in M \} \\ &= \sup \{ \sum |\alpha_n \beta_n| \mid \alpha \in M \} \end{aligned}$$

where  $M$  ranges over the normal sets in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

It is the normality of  $M$  that allows the absolute value to be brought inside the summation above.

The two extreme cases of  $\mathcal{M}$  are the class  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(A^*)$  consisting of all normal bounded subsets of  $A^*$  and the class  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(A^*)$  consisting of all normal hulls  $N(\alpha)$  of single elements of  $A^*$ . The  $\mathcal{B}$ -topology on  $A$  is the so called strong or  $T_b(A^*)$ -topology on  $A$  and the  $\mathcal{N}$ -topology on  $A$  is the normal topology on  $A$  in the sense of Köthe, [1. §30]. Note that we always have  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}$ .

We shall need the following result due to Pietsch [2. Satz 1.4].

(2) A subset  $A$  of  $A$  is bounded if, and only if, it is bounded for

some (every)  $\mathcal{M}$ -topology on  $\Lambda$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be any scalar sequence. We denote by  $\alpha(\leq i)$  the  $i$ th finite section of  $\alpha$ , that is the sequence with coordinates  $\alpha_n$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, i$  and 0 for  $n > i$ .  $\alpha(\leq i) = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_i, 0, \dots)$ . Now let  $\Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}$  denote  $\Lambda$  equipped with an  $\mathcal{M}$ -topology and define  $[\Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}]$  to be that subspace of  $\Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}$  consisting of all sequences  $\alpha$  which are the  $\mathcal{M}$ -limit of their finite sections.

(3) For any normal topologizing system  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}$  is complete.  $[\Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}]$  is a closed subspace of  $\Lambda_{\mathcal{M}}$  and hence also complete.

- (4) (a)  $[\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}] = \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$  for every perfect space  $\Lambda$ .  
 (b) If  $\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$  is reflexive, then  $[\Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}] = \Lambda_{\mathcal{S}}$ .

The proof of (3) is in Pietsch [2. Satz 1.13, 1.14]. The proofs of (4) are in Köthe [1. § 30.5(8) and § 30.7(1), (5)].

Our terminology for locally convex spaces will be that of Köthe [1].  $E$  will always denote a locally convex Hausdorff space.  $E$  has a fundamental system of absolutely convex closed neighborhoods of zero which we denote by  $\mathcal{U}(E)$ . For every  $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$  there is a continuous seminorm on  $E$  denoted by  $p_U$  and defined by the formula

$$p_U(x) = \sup \{ |\langle u, x \rangle| \mid u \in U^\circ \}.$$

We shall always consider  $E'$ , the topological dual of  $E$ , to be equipped with the strong topology, that is, the topology defined by the neighborhoods  $B^\circ$  or seminorms

$$p_{B^\circ}(u) = \sup \{ |\langle u, x \rangle| \mid x \in B \}$$

where  $B$  ranges over the bounded subsets of  $E$ .

Let  $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$  and  $p_U$  be the corresponding seminorm. Let  $N(U)$  denote the kernel of  $p_U$  and let  $E_U = E/N(U)$  be the normed quotient space formed by equipping  $E/N(U)$  with the quotient norm induced by  $p_U$ . Dually, let  $B$  be a closed absolutely convex bounded subset of  $E$  and let  $E_B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} nB$ . Then  $E_B$  is a linear subspace of  $E$  and the Minkowski functional  $q_B$  of  $B$  is a norm on  $E_B$ . In particular we may perform these constructions in the dual space  $E'$ . If  $B$  is bounded in  $E$  then  $B^\circ$  is an absolutely convex closed neighborhood of  $o$  in  $E'$  and we can form the quotient space  $E'_{B^\circ}$  which is a normed space with norm  $p_{B^\circ}(a) = \sup \{ |\langle a, x \rangle| \mid x \in B \}$ . Dually if  $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$  then  $U^\circ$  is an absolutely convex closed bounded (weakly compact) subset of  $E'$  and we can form the subspace  $E'_{U^\circ}$  which is a  $(B)$ -space with norm  $q_{U^\circ}(a) = \sup \{ |\langle a, x \rangle| \mid x \in U \}$ . The next proposition is an

easy consequence of these definitions

(5) (a)  $E'_{U^0}$  is a  $(B)$ -space with norm  $q_{U^0}$  and can be identified with the dual space of  $E_U, p_U$ .

(b)  $E_B$  is a norm space with norm  $q_B$  and can be identified with a linear subspace of the dual space of  $E'_{B^0}, p_{B^0}$ .

3. The space  $\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be a perfect sequence space and let  $E$  be a locally convex space.  $\Lambda\{E\}$  is the vector space of all  $E$ -valued sequences  $x = (x_n)$  such that the sequence of scalars  $p_U(x_n)$  is in  $\Lambda$  for every  $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$ . If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a normal topologizing system for  $\Lambda$ ,  $\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}$  will denote  $\Lambda\{E\}$  equipped with the locally convex Hausdorff  $\mathcal{M}$ -topology defined by the family of seminorms

(1)  $\pi_{M,U}(x) = \sup \{ \Sigma |\alpha_n| p_U(x_n) \mid \alpha \in M \}$  where  $M \in \mathcal{M}, U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$ .

The following two statements are simple consequences of these definitions.

(2)  $I_n: \Lambda\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow E$  defined by  $I_n(x) = x_n$  is a continuous linear map for every  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .

(3)  $I_U: \Lambda\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \Lambda$  defined by  $I_U(x) = (p_U(x_n))$  is uniformly continuous for every  $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$ .

A subset  $A$  of  $\Lambda\{E\}$  is called bounded if for every  $\alpha \in \Lambda^*$  and  $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$  there exists a constant  $\rho$  such that  $\Sigma |\alpha_n| p_U(x_n) \leq \rho$  for all  $x \in A$ . For each  $x \in \Lambda\{E\}$ , define  $N(x) = \{(\lambda_n x_n) \mid |\lambda_n| \leq 1 \text{ all } n\}$ . A subset  $A$  of  $\Lambda\{E\}$  is called normal if  $x \in A$  implies  $N(x) \subset A$ . The set  $N(A) = \bigcup_{x \in A} N(x)$  is called normal hull of  $A$ . We observe that  $\Lambda\{E\}$  is itself normal since  $\Lambda$  is normal.

(4) The following statements are equivalent for a subset  $A$  of  $\Lambda\{E\}$ .

- (a)  $A$  is bounded.
- (b) The normal hull of  $A$  is bounded.
- (c)  $A$  is  $\mathcal{M}$ -bounded for some (every)  $\mathcal{M}$ -topology on  $\Lambda\{E\}$ .
- (d) For every  $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$ ,  $I_U(A)$  is bounded in  $\Lambda$ .
- (e) For every  $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$ ,  $I_U(A)$  is  $\mathcal{M}$ -bounded in  $\Lambda$  for some (every)  $\mathcal{M}$ -topology on  $\Lambda$ .

*Proof.* The equivalences (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b), (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (d), and (c)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (e) follow directly from the definitions. (d)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (e) is a consequence of 2.(2).

(5) If  $E$  is complete, then  $\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}$  is complete.

*Proof.* Let  $x^{(\nu)}$  be a Cauchy net in  $\Lambda\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}$ . Continuity of the linear map  $I_n$  implies  $x_n^{(\nu)}$  is a Cauchy net in  $E$  for each fixed  $n$  and

hence must converge to some  $x_n$  in  $E$ . Uniform continuity of the map  $I_U$  implies  $(p_U(x_n^{(\nu)}))$  is a Cauchy net in  $A_{\mathcal{M}}$  and hence must converge to some  $\alpha^{(U)} = (\alpha_n^{(U)})$  in  $A_{\mathcal{M}}$ . Because of the coordinatewise convergence of  $x^{(\nu)}$  to  $x = (x_n)$  we have  $p_U(x_n) = \alpha_n^{(U)}$ . Thus  $(p_U(x_n))$  is in  $A$  and  $x$  is therefore in  $A\{E\}$ . Finally  $x^{(\nu)}$  converges to  $x$  in the  $\mathcal{M}$ -topology for if  $\varepsilon > 0$  is given and  $\nu_0$  is such that

$$\pi_{M,U}(x^{(\nu)} - x^{(\mu)}) = \sup \{ \Sigma |\alpha_n| p_U(x_n^{(\nu)} - x_n^{(\mu)}) \mid \alpha \in M \} < \varepsilon$$

for all  $\nu, \mu \geq \nu_0$ , then

$$\pi_{M,U}(x^{(\nu)} - x) \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } \nu \geq \nu_0.$$

We denote by  $x(\leq n) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, 0 \dots)$  the  $n$ th finite section of a sequence  $x$  in  $A\{E\}$ . Let  $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}]$  be the subspace of  $A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}$  consisting of all those  $x$  in  $A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}$  which are the  $\mathcal{M}$ -limit of their finite sections; that is  $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}]$  consists of those  $x$  for which  $\pi_{M,U}(x - x(\leq n))$  converges to zero for every  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$ .

(6) A sequence  $x$  in  $A\{E\}$  is in  $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}]$  if, and only if, for every  $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$ ,  $I_U(x) = (p_U(x_n))$  is in  $[A_{\mathcal{M}}]$ .

In general  $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}]$  will be a proper subspace of  $A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}$ , but using (6) and 2.(4) we obtain

(7) (a)  $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}] = A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}$ .

(b) If  $A_{\mathcal{M}}$  is reflexive then  $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}] = A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}$ .

(8)  $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}]$  is a closed subspace of  $A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}$  and hence complete if  $E$  is complete.

*Proof.* If  $x \in A\{E\}$  is the limit of a net  $x^{(\nu)}$  in  $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}]$ , then for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$   $I_U(x) = \lim_{\nu} I_U(x^{(\nu)})$  is in  $[A_{\mathcal{M}}]$  since  $[A_{\mathcal{M}}]$  is closed in  $A_{\mathcal{M}}$ . But then by (6)  $x$  is in  $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}]$ .

4. The dual space of  $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{M}}]$ . The  $\alpha$ -dual of  $A\{E\}$ , denoted  $A\{E\}^{\alpha}$ , is the vector space of all  $E'$ -valued sequences  $a = (a_n)$  such that  $\Sigma |\langle a_n, x_n \rangle| < \infty$  for all  $x = (x_n)$  in  $A\{E\}$ .

(1) For every  $a$  in  $A\{E\}^{\alpha}$  and for every bounded set  $B$  in  $E$ ,  $(p_{B^0}(a_n))$  is in  $A^{\alpha}$ . That is  $A\{E\}^{\alpha} \subset A^{\alpha}\{E'\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $B \in A$  be arbitrary. For each  $n$ , there exists  $x_n \in B$  such that

$$|\beta_n| p_{B^0}(a_n) = p_{B^0}(\beta_n a_n) \leq |\langle \beta_n a_n, x_n \rangle| + 2^{-n}.$$

Since  $(x_n)$  is a bounded sequence in  $E$ ,  $(\beta_n x_n)$  is in  $A\{E\}$  and therefore

$$\Sigma |\beta_n| p_{B^0}(a_n) \leq \Sigma |\langle a_n, \beta_n x_n \rangle| + 2^{-n} < \infty.$$

Since  $\beta \in \mathcal{A}$  was arbitrary,  $p_{B^0}(a_n)$  is in  $\mathcal{A}^x$ .

(2) If  $x \in \mathcal{A}\{E\}$  and  $\gamma \in c_0$  ( $c_0$  = scalar sequences convergent to zero), then  $\gamma x = (\gamma_n x_n)$  is in  $[\mathcal{A}\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]$ .

*Proof.* It follows easily from the definition of the seminorms  $\pi_{M,U}$  that

$$\pi_{M,U}(\gamma x(> i)) \leq \sup_{n > i} |\gamma_n| \pi_{M,U}(x)$$

and the right side converges to zero as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , so  $\gamma x$  is the limit of its finite sections.

(3) Every continuous linear form  $F$  on  $[\mathcal{A}\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]$  has a unique representation of the form

$$\langle F, x \rangle = \langle a, x \rangle = \Sigma \langle a_n, x_n \rangle$$

with  $a = (a_n)$  in  $\mathcal{A}\{E\}^x$ .

*Proof.* Define linear forms on  $E$  by  $\langle a_n, x \rangle = \langle F, e_n x \rangle$ ,  $x \in E$ ,  $e_n$  is the  $n$ th unit coordinate vector in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Continuity of  $F$  implies  $|\langle F, x \rangle| \leq \pi_{M,U}(x)$  for some seminorm  $\pi_{M,U}$  and for every  $x$  in  $[\mathcal{A}\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]$ . Since  $M$  is bounded, we have for each  $n$ ,  $\rho_n = \sup \{|\alpha_n| \mid \alpha \in M\} < \infty$ . For every  $x$  in  $E$  we have therefore  $|\langle a_n, x \rangle| = |\langle F, e_n x \rangle| \leq \pi_{M,U}(e_n x) = \sup \{|\alpha_n| p_U(x) \mid \alpha \in M\} = \rho_n p_U(x)$  and the continuity of  $a_n$  is established.

Clearly  $a = (a_n)$  represents  $F$  since  $\langle F, x \rangle = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle F, x(\leq i) \rangle = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle F, \sum_{n=1}^i e_n x_n \rangle = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^i \langle a_n, x_n \rangle = \sum \langle a_n, x_n \rangle$ .

Finally we show  $a \in \mathcal{A}\{E\}^x$ . Let  $x \in \mathcal{A}\{E\}^x$  be arbitrary. For every  $\gamma \in c_0$ , we can choose  $\lambda = (\lambda_n)$  with  $|\lambda_n| = 1$  so that  $|\gamma_n \langle a_n, x_n \rangle| = \lambda_n \gamma_n \langle a_n, x_n \rangle$ . By (2),  $\lambda \gamma x = (\lambda_n \gamma_n x_n)$  is in  $[\mathcal{A}\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]$  and hence  $\sum |\gamma_n| |\langle a_n, x_n \rangle| = \sum \lambda_n \gamma_n \langle a_n, x_n \rangle = \langle F, \lambda \gamma x \rangle < \infty$ . Since  $\gamma \in c_0$  was arbitrary, this shows that  $\sum |\langle a_n, x_n \rangle| < \infty$  and hence that  $a \in \mathcal{A}\{E\}^x$ .

REMARKS. Combining (1) and (3) yields  $[\mathcal{A}\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]' \subset \mathcal{A}\{E\}^x \subset \mathcal{A}^x\{E'\}$ . Conditions sufficient for the equality of these spaces are given in the next section. We now proceed to an explicit characterization of  $[\mathcal{A}\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]'$ .

(4) If  $a \in \mathcal{A}\{E\}^x$  defines a continuous linear form on  $[\mathcal{A}\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]$ , then there exists  $U \in \mathcal{Z}(E)$  such that  $a_n \in E'_{U^0}$  for all  $n$  and moreover  $(q_{U^0}(a_n)) \in \mathcal{A}^x$ .

*Proof.* Continuity of  $a$  implies  $|\langle a, x \rangle| \leq \pi_{M,U}(x)$  for some seminorm  $\pi_{M,U}$  and for all  $x \in [\mathcal{A}\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]$ . As in the proof of (3), we obtain

that for every  $n$ , and for every  $u \in E$ ,  $|\langle a_n, u \rangle| \leq \rho_n p_U(u)$  from which it follows that  $a_n \in E'_{U^0}$  and  $q_{U^0}(a_n) \leq \rho_n$ . We must show that  $(q_{U^0}(a_n)) \in A^x$ .

Let  $\beta \in A$  be arbitrary and set  $\rho = \sup \{ \sum |\alpha_n \beta_n| \mid \alpha \in M \}$ . For each  $n$ , there exists  $y_n \in U$  such that  $q_{U^0}(\beta_n a_n) \leq \langle \beta_n a_n, y_n \rangle + 2^{-n}$ . For each  $i$ , the finite section  $\beta y(\leq i)$  of the sequence  $(\beta_n y_n)$  is in  $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]$  and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^i \langle \beta_n a_n, y_n \rangle &= \langle a, \beta y(\leq i) \rangle \leq \pi_{M,U}(\beta y(\leq i)) \\ &= \sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^i |\alpha_n| p_U(\beta_n y_n) \mid \alpha \in M \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^i |\alpha_n \beta_n| \mid \alpha \in M \right\} \leq \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $i$  was arbitrary,  $\sum \langle \beta_n a_n, y_n \rangle < \infty$ . It follows that  $\sum |\beta_n| q_{U^0}(a_n) = \sum q_{U^0}(\beta_n a_n) < \infty$  and therefore that  $(q_{U^0}(a_n)) \in A^x$  since  $\beta \in A$  was arbitrary.

(5) *The dual space of  $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]$  is the space of all  $E'$ -valued sequences  $a = (a_n)$  which have a representation of the form  $a = \alpha u = (\alpha_n u_n)$  with  $\alpha \in A^x$  and  $(u_n)$  an equicontinuous sequence in  $E'$ .*

*Proof.* If we set  $\alpha_n = q_{U^0}(a_n)$  and  $u_n = (1/\alpha_n)a_n$ , ( $u_n = 0$  if  $\alpha_n = 0$ ), then (4) says that every element in the dual of  $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]$  has the given form.

Conversely, if  $a = \alpha u = (\alpha_n u_n)$  with  $\alpha \in A^x$  and  $(u_n)$  equicontinuous, then, choosing  $M$  with  $\alpha \in M$  and  $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$  with  $(u_n) \subset U^0$ , we obtain

$$|\langle a, x \rangle| \leq \sum |\alpha_n| |\langle u_n, x_n \rangle| \leq \pi_{M,U}(x)$$

for all  $x$  in  $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]$  and hence  $a$  is continuous.

Using the methods of the proofs of (4) and (5), one can show

(6) *The equicontinuous subsets of  $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]'$  are the sets of the form*

$$\{\alpha u \mid \alpha = (\alpha_n) \in M, u = (u_n) \subset U^0\}$$

where  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$ .

5. Fundamentally  $A$ -bounded spaces. In the previous section, we saw that  $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]' \subset A\{E\}^x \subset A^x\{E'\}$ . In this section we establish conditions sufficient for the equality  $A\{E\}^x = A^x\{E'\}$  and for the more interesting equality  $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]' = A^x\{E'\}$ . We also give conditions which insure the strong dual of  $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]$  is  $A^x\{E'\}_{\mathcal{B}}$ . Finally we give suffi-

cient conditions for  $A\{E\}_{\mathcal{B}}$  to be reflexive.

The important concept in all these conditions is that of a “fundamentally  $A$ -bounded” space  $E$ . A locally convex space  $E$  is fundamentally  $A$ -bounded if all the bounded subsets of  $A\{E\}$  can be obtained in a natural way from the bounded subsets of  $A$  and  $E$ .

Let  $R$  be a normal bounded subset of  $A$  and let  $B$  be a closed absolutely convex bounded subset of  $E$ . Define  $[R, B] = \{x \in A\{E\} \mid x_n \in E_B \text{ and } (q_B(x_n)) \in R\}$ .

The following are simple consequences of this definition.

- (1)  $[R, B]$  is a bounded subset of  $A\{E\}$ .
- (2) If  $R \subset R'$  and  $B \subset B'$ , then  $[R, B] \subset [R', B']$ .

Let  $V$  be a vector space in which the notion of a bounded set has been defined. A collection  $\mathcal{B}$  of subsets of  $V$  is called a fundamental system of bounded sets for  $V$  if every bounded set in  $V$  is contained in some set in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

We shall say that a locally convex space  $E$  is fundamentally  $A$ -bounded if the collection of all sets of the form  $[R, B]$  form a fundamental system of bounded sets for  $A\{E\}$ , where  $R$  and  $B$  run through a fundamental system of bounded sets for  $A$  and  $E$  respectively.

- (3) If  $E$  is fundamentally  $A$ -bounded, then  $A\{E\}^x = A^x\{E'\}$ .

*Proof.* We need only show the inclusion  $A^x\{E'\} \subset A\{E\}^x$ . Let  $a \in A^x\{E'\}$  and let  $x \in A\{E\}$ . Then there exist  $R$  and  $B$  with  $x \in [R, B]$  and hence  $(q_B(x_n)) \in R$ . But  $(p_{B^0}(a_n)) \in A^x$ , and therefore

$$\sum |\langle a_n, x_n \rangle| \leq \sum p_{B^0}(a_n) q_B(x_n) < \infty.$$

Since  $x$  was arbitrary, this shows  $a \in A\{E\}^x$ .

Recall that a locally convex space  $E$  is called  $\sigma$ -infrabarreled if every countable strongly bounded subset of  $E'$  is equicontinuous. Clearly every infrabarreled space is  $\sigma$ -infrabarreled.

The next theorem is the main result of this section.

- (4) Let  $E$  be a  $\sigma$ -infrabarreled space and let  $A$  be a perfect sequence space.

- (a) If  $E'$  is fundamentally  $A^x$ -bounded, then the dual of  $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]$  is  $A^x\{E'\}$ .
- (b) If moreover  $E$  is fundamentally  $A$ -bounded, then the strong dual of  $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]$  is  $A^x\{E'\}_{\mathcal{B}}$ .

*Proof.* (a) We need only show the inclusion  $A^x\{E'\} \subset [A\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]'$ . Let  $a \in A^x\{E'\}$ . By hypothesis there exists a bounded set  $D$  in  $E'$  such that  $(q_D(a_n)) \in A^x$ . For each  $n$ , set  $u_n = q_D(a_n)^{-1}a_n$  ( $u_n = 0$  if  $q_D(a_n) = 0$ ).



Then  $u_n$  is in  $D$  for each  $n$ . Since  $E$  is  $\sigma$ -infrabarreled,  $\{u_n | n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is equicontinuous and hence  $a = (a_n) = (q_D(a_n)u_n)$  is in  $[A\{E\}]'$  by 4.(5).

(b) If  $E$  is fundamentally  $A$ -bounded, then the strong topology on  $[A\{E\}]' = A^x\{E'\}$  is defined by the seminorms

$$\sigma_{[R, B]}(a) = \sup |\sum \langle a_n, x_n \rangle| = \sup \sum |\langle a_n, x_n \rangle|$$

where the sup is taken over  $x$  in  $[R, B] \cap [A\{E\}]$ . The topology on  $A^x\{E'\}$  is defined by the seminorms

$$\pi_{R, B^0}(a) = \sup \{ \sum |\alpha_n| p_{B^0}(a_n) | \alpha \in R \}.$$

In both cases,  $R$  ranges over all normal bounded subsets of  $A$  and  $B$  over all absolutely convex bounded subsets of  $E$ . We show these seminorms coincide.

One inequality is easy:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{[R, B]}(a) &= \sup \{ \sum |\langle a_n, x_n \rangle| | x \in [R, B] \cap [A\{E\}] \} \\ &\leq \sup \{ \sum p_{B^0}(a_n) p_B(x_n) | x \in [R, B] \cap [A\{E\}] \} \\ &\leq \sup \{ \sum |\alpha_n| p_{B^0}(a_n) | \alpha \in R \} \\ &= \pi_{R, B^0}(a). \end{aligned}$$

Now the reverse inequality. Let  $a \in A^x\{E'\}$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By definition of  $\pi_{R, B^0}$  there exists  $\alpha \in R$  with  $\pi_{R, B^0}(a) \leq \varepsilon + \sum |\alpha_n| p_{B^0}(a_n)$ . For each  $n$  there exists  $y_n \in B$  such that  $p_{B^0}(a_n) \leq |\langle a_n, y_n \rangle| + \varepsilon 2^{-n} |\alpha_n|^{-1}$ . (If  $a_n$  or  $\alpha_n$  is zero, let  $y_n$  be any element in  $B$ .) Let  $z_n = \alpha_n y_n$ . Then  $z \in [R, B]$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{R, B^0}(a) &\leq \varepsilon + \sum |\alpha_n| p_{B^0}(a_n) \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \sum |\alpha_n| |\langle a_n, y_n \rangle| + \varepsilon 2^{-n} \\ &= 2\varepsilon + \sum |\langle a_n, z_n \rangle| \\ &= 2\varepsilon + \sup_{\gamma} \{ \sum |\gamma_n| |\langle a_n, z_n \rangle| | \gamma \in c_0, \|\gamma\|_\infty \leq 1 \} \\ &= 2\varepsilon + \sup_{\gamma} \{ \sum |\langle a_n, \gamma_n z_n \rangle| | \gamma \in c_0, \|\gamma\|_\infty \leq 1 \} \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon + \sigma_{[R, B]}(a). \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from the fact that  $\gamma z \in [R, B] \cap [A\{E\}]$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  was arbitrary the theorem is proved.

(5) Let  $E$  be locally convex and let  $A$  be a perfect sequence space such that

- (i)  $A_\infty$  and  $E$  are both reflexive, and
- (ii)  $E$  is fundamentally  $A$ -bounded and  $E'$  is fundamentally  $A^x$ -bounded. Then both  $A\{E\}$  and its strong dual  $A^x\{E'\}$  are reflexive.

*Proof.* Since  $E$  is reflexive, both  $E$  and  $E'$  are  $\sigma$ -infrabarreled.

Also  $E''$  is fundamentally  $A^{xx}$ -bounded since  $E = E''$  and  $A = A^{xx}$ . Since  $A_{\mathcal{S}}$  is reflexive, so also is its strong dual  $A^x_{\mathcal{S}}$ . It follows from 2.(7)(b) that  $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{S}}] = A\{E\}_{\mathcal{S}}$  and  $[A^x\{E'\}_{\mathcal{S}}] = A^x\{E'\}_{\mathcal{S}}$ . This theorem now follows by applying (4) twice, first to  $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{S}}]$  and then to  $[A^x\{E'\}_{\mathcal{S}}]$ .

**6. Examples of fundamentally  $A$ -bounded spaces.** In this section, we show that there exist nontrivial classes of spaces  $E$  and  $A$  for which  $E$  is fundamentally  $A$ -bounded.

(1) *Every normed space  $E$  is fundamentally  $A$ -bounded for every perfect sequence space  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be any bounded subset of  $A\{E\}$ , and let  $B$  denote the unit ball of  $E$ . Then  $I_B(A) = \{(\|x_n\|) \mid x \in A\}$  is a bounded subset of  $A$  and hence contained in some normal bounded set  $R$ . Thus  $A \subset [R, B]$ .

(2) (a) *If  $E$  is normed and if  $A$  is any perfect sequence space, then the strong dual of  $[A\{E\}_{\mathcal{A}}]$  is  $A^x\{E'\}_{\mathcal{S}}$ .*

(b) *If  $E$  is reflexive ( $B$ )-space and if  $A_{\mathcal{S}}$  is reflexive, then  $A\{E\}_{\mathcal{S}}$  and its strong dual  $A^x\{E'\}_{\mathcal{S}}$  are reflexive.*

This follows from (1) above and 5.(4), (5).

The next lemma is due to Pietsch [3. Satz 1.5.8].

(3) *Every metrizable locally convex space  $E$  is fundamentally  $l^1$ -bounded.*

We shall also use the following well-known fact. (See e.g. [1. §29.1.(5)].)

(4) *If  $E$  is a metrizable locally convex space, and if  $B_k$  is a sequence of bounded subsets of  $E$ , then there always exist positive scalars  $\lambda_k$  such that  $B = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k B_k$  is also bounded.*

(5) *Let  $A$  and  $A^x$  be perfect sequence spaces which are  $\alpha$ -dual to one another. Suppose  $A^x$  has a countable fundamental system of bounded sets  $N_1 \subset N_2 \subset N_3 \subset \dots$ . Then:*

(a) *Every metrizable locally convex space is fundamentally  $A$ -bounded.*

(b) *Every  $(DF)$ -space is fundamentally  $A^x$ -bounded.*

See [1. §29], for example, for the definition and basic properties of  $(DF)$ -spaces.

*Proof.* (a) Let  $E$  be metrizable and let  $A$  be a bounded subset of  $A\{E\}$ . Then by 4  $A$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -bounded in  $A\{E\}$ . Thus for each  $k$  and

each  $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$ , there exists a constant  $\rho_{k,U}$  such that for all  $x \in A$ ,

$$\pi_{N_k, U}(x) = \sup \{ \sum |\alpha_n| p_U(x_n) \mid \alpha \in N_k \} \leq \rho_{k,U}.$$

This implies that the set  $A_k = \{ \alpha x = (\alpha_n x_n) \mid \alpha \in N_k, x \in A \}$  is a bounded subset of  $l^1\{E\}$ . By Lemma (3), there exists a bounded set  $B_k$  in  $E$  such that  $A_k \subset [R_1, B_k]$  where  $R_1$  denotes the unit ball of  $l^1$ , or equivalently

$$(*) \quad \sum |\alpha_n| q_{B_k}(x_n) = \sum q_{B_k}(\alpha_n x_n) = \| (q_{B_k}(\alpha_n x_n)) \|_{l^1} \leq 1$$

for all  $\alpha \in N_k, x \in A$ . By (4) there exist positive scalars  $\lambda_k$  such that  $B = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k B_k$  is bounded. Since  $B_k \subset \lambda_k^{-1} B$  we have for all  $x \in E_{B_k}$  that  $q_B(x) \leq \lambda_k^{-1} q_{B_k}(x)$ . Thus for every  $k$  and for all  $x \in A$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_{N_k}^{\circ}(q_B(x_n)) &= \sup \{ \sum |\alpha_n| q_B(x_n) \mid \alpha \in N_k \} \\ &\leq \sup \{ \sum |\alpha_n| \lambda_k q_{B_k}(x_n) \mid \alpha \in N_k \} \\ &\leq \lambda_k \end{aligned}$$

by (\*). This implies that the set  $\{(q_B(x_n)) \mid x \in A\}$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -bounded and hence bounded in  $\mathcal{A}$ , and is therefore contained in some normal bounded subset  $R$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Thus  $A \subset [R, B]$  and (a) is proved.

(b) Let  $E$  be a  $(DF)$ -space. Then  $E$  has a countable fundamental system of bounded sets  $B_1 \subset B_2 \subset B_3 \subset \dots$ .

Suppose  $E$  is not fundamentally  $\mathcal{A}^x$ -bounded, then there exists a bounded subset  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}^x\{E\}$  such that  $A$  is not contained in any of the sets  $[N_k, B_k], k = 1, 2, \dots$ . We show this leads to a contradiction.

For every index  $k$ ,  $A$  not a subset of  $[N_k, B_k]$  implies that there exists  $x^{(k)} \in A$  such that  $(q_{B_k}(x_n^{(k)})) \notin N_k$ . Thus there exists  $\beta^{(k)} \in N_k^{\circ}$  such that  $\sum \beta_n^{(k)} q_{B_k}(x_n^{(k)}) > 1$ . In fact for each  $k$ , there exists a finite set  $\{u_n^{(k)}\} \subset B_k^{\circ}, n = 1, 2, \dots, f_k$ , such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{f_k} \beta_n^{(k)} |\langle u_n^{(k)}, x_n^{(k)} \rangle| > 1.$$

Let  $G = \{u_n^{(k)} \mid k = 1, 2, \dots, \text{ and } n = 1, 2, \dots, f_k\}$ . Then  $G$  is a countable subset of  $E'$ . If  $G$  is strongly bounded in  $E'$ , then  $G$  is equicontinuous since  $E$  is a  $(DF)$ -space. We show  $G$  is strongly bounded. Fix  $m$ . Since  $\{u_n^{(k)} \mid k = 1, 2, \dots, m, n = 1, 2, \dots, f_k\}$  is finite, there exists a positive constant  $\rho_m \geq 1$  with  $u_n^{(k)} \in \rho_m B_m^{\circ}$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m$  and  $n = 1, \dots, f_k$ , since  $B_m^{\circ}$  is an absorbing subset of  $E'$ . For  $k > m$ ,  $B_k \supset B_m$  and hence  $B_k^{\circ} \subset B_m^{\circ}$  so  $u_n^{(k)} \in B_k^{\circ} \subset B_m^{\circ}$  for all  $k > m$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots, f_k$ . Thus for every  $m$ , there exists a positive constant  $\rho_m$  with  $G \subset \rho_m B_m^{\circ}$ . The sets  $B_1^{\circ} \supset B_2^{\circ} \supset B_3^{\circ} \supset \dots$  form a neighborhood base for the strong topology on  $E'$ , so  $G$  is strongly bounded and hence equi-continuous.

Let  $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$  be such that  $G \subset U^\circ$ . Since  $A$  is bounded in  $A^x\{E\}$ , the set  $\{p_U(x_n) | x \in A\}$  is bounded in  $A^x$  and hence contained in some  $N_k$ . Since  $\beta^{(k)} \in N_k^\circ$ , this implies  $\sum \beta_n^{(k)} p_U(x_n) \leq 1$  for all  $x \in A$ . But taking  $x = x^{(k)}$ , we obtain  $\sum \beta_n^{(k)} p_U(x_n^{(k)}) > \sum_{n=1}^{f_k} \beta_n^{(k)} |\langle u_n^{(k)}, x_n^{(k)} \rangle| > 1$  which is a contradiction.

As in theorem (5), let  $A$  and  $A^x$  be  $\alpha$ -dual perfect sequence spaces such that  $A^x$  has a countable fundamental system of bounded sets. The results of (5) cannot be improved to include either of the following assertions.

(a) Every  $(DF)$ -space is fundamentally  $A$ -bounded.

(b) Every metrizable locally convex space is fundamentally  $A^x$ -bounded.

Counterexamples are provided by (9) and (8) below.

Recall that  $\omega$  is the space of all scalar sequences and  $\phi$  is the space of all scalar sequences with only finitely many nonzero coordinates.  $\phi$  and  $\omega$  are perfect and  $\alpha$ -dual to each other. Moreover  $\phi$  has a countable fundamental system of bounded sets  $N_1 \subset N_2 \subset \dots$ , where  $N_k = \{\alpha \in \phi | |\alpha_n| \leq k \text{ if } n \leq k \text{ and } \alpha_n = 0 \text{ if } n > k\}$ . The following lemma is due to Pietsch [2, Satz 3.19].

(6) *Let  $E$  be a metrizable locally convex space which has no continuous norm. Then there exists  $x \in \phi\{E\}$  such that for every index  $n$ ,  $x_n \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots$  be a fundamental system of seminorms for  $E$ . No  $p_k$  is a norm. Thus for each integer  $k$  there exists  $x_k \in E$  with  $x_k \neq 0$  but  $p_k(x_k) = 0$ . Set  $x = (x_n)$ . Fix  $k$ . For all  $n \geq k$  we have  $p_n(x_n) = 0$  but  $p_k \leq p_n$ , so  $p_k(x_n) = 0$  for all  $n \geq k$ . Thus  $(p_k(x_n)) \in \phi$  for each seminorm  $p_k$ .

(7) *For any locally convex space  $E$ ,  $\omega\{E\}$  is the space of all  $E$ -valued sequences.*

(8) *There exist metrizable locally convex spaces  $E$  such that  $E$  is not fundamentally  $\phi$ -bounded.*

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be a metrizable space with no continuous norm. By (6) there exists  $x \in \phi\{E\}$  with  $x_n \neq 0$  for all  $n$ . Therefore there exist  $a_n \in E'$  with  $\langle a_n, x_n \rangle = 1$ . But by (7),  $a = (a_n) \in \omega\{E'\}$ . Since  $\langle a, x \rangle = \sum \langle a_n, x_n \rangle = \infty$ , we conclude  $\phi\{E\}^x \neq \omega\{E'\} = \phi^x\{E'\}$ . By 5.(3) this implies  $E$  is not fundamentally  $\phi$ -bounded.

(9) *There exist  $(DF)$ -spaces  $E$  such that  $E$  is not fundamentally  $\omega$ -bounded.*

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be a  $(DF)$ -space whose strong dual  $E'$  is an  $(F)$ -space with no continuous norm. By (6) there exists  $\alpha \in \phi\{E'\}$  such that  $\alpha_n \neq 0$  for all  $n$ . Let  $x_n \in E$  be such that  $\langle \alpha_n, x_n \rangle = 1$ . Then  $x = (x_n) \in \omega\{E\}$  but  $\langle \alpha, x \rangle = \sum \langle \alpha_n, x_n \rangle = \infty$  so we conclude  $\omega\{E\} \neq \phi\{E'\} = \omega^s\{E'\}$ . By 5.(3) this implies  $E$  is not fundamentally  $\omega$ -bounded.

The space  $\omega$  may be viewed as a topological product of countably many copies of the scalar field. With the product topology it is a  $(F)$ -space with no continuous norm. It is the strong dual of the  $(DF)$ -space  $\phi$  viewed as a locally convex direct sum of countably many copies of the scalar field. Thus the examples in (8) and (9) can be made more explicit by taking  $E = \omega$  in (8) and  $E = \phi$  in (9).

7. The spaces  $l^p\{E\}$   $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . It is well known that for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  the  $\alpha$ -dual of  $l^p$  is  $l^q$  where  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ . The bounded subsets of  $l^p$  are easily seen to be the sets which are bounded in  $l^p$ -norm  $\|\alpha\|_p = (\sum |\alpha_n|^p)^{1/p}$ . Thus every  $l^p$  space has a countable fundamental system of bounded sets consisting of positive integer multiples of the unit ball.

A sequence  $x = (x_n)$  in a locally convex space  $E$  is called absolutely  $p$ -summable,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , if for every continuous seminorm  $p_U$  on  $E$ ,  $\sum p_U(x_n)^p < \infty$ .

(1)  $l^p\{E\}$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , is the vector space of all absolutely  $p$ -summable sequences in  $E$ .  $l^\infty\{E\}$  is the vector space of all bounded sequences in  $E$ .

The seminorms defining the  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(l^q)$  topology on  $l^p\{E\}$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{kB,U}(x) &= \sup \{ \sum |\alpha_n| p_U(x_n) \mid \alpha \in kB \} \\ &= \sup \{ \sum |\alpha_n| p_{k^{-1}U}^{-1}(x_n) \mid \alpha \in B \} \\ &= (\sum p_{k^{-1}U}^{-1}(x_n)^p)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

where  $k$  is a positive integer,  $B$  is the unit ball in  $l^q$ , and  $U$  is any absolutely convex neighborhood of 0. Since  $k^{-1}U$  is also such a neighborhood, we have

(2)  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . A base of seminorms for  $l^p\{E\}_{\mathcal{B}}$  is given by the family of seminorms

$$\pi_U^{(p)}(x) = (\sum p_U(x_n)^p)^{1/p} \quad U \in \mathcal{U}(E).$$

A similar argument for the case  $p = \infty$  yields

(3) A base of seminorms for  $l^\infty\{E\}_{\mathcal{B}}$  is given by the family of

*seminorms*

$$\pi_U^{(\infty)}(x) = \sup \{p_U(x_n) \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

It follows that an element  $x$  in  $l^\infty\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}$  will be the limit of its finite sections if and only if  $p_U(x_n)$  converges to 0 for every  $U \in \mathscr{U}(E)$ . Clearly every element of  $l^p\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}$  is the limit of its finite sections.

$$(4) \quad [l^p\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}] = l^p\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}} \text{ for } 1 \leq p < \infty$$

$[l^\infty\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}] = c_0\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}} = \text{vector space of all sequences in } E \text{ converging to } 0.$

We now show how the results of the previous sections can be applied to the duality theory of the  $l^p\{E\}$  spaces.

(5) *Every metrizable locally convex space and every (DF)-space is fundamentally  $l^p$ -bounded for every  $p, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Since every  $l^q, 1 \leq q \leq \infty$ , has a countable fundamental system of bounded sets, and since  $(l^p)^* = l^q$  with  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ , this result follows immediately from 6.(5).

(6) *Let  $E$  be a metrizable locally convex space or a (DF)-space. For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the strong dual of  $l^p\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}$  is  $l^q\{E'\}_{\mathscr{B}}$ , and the strong dual of  $[l^\infty\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}] = c_0\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}$  is  $l^1\{E'\}_{\mathscr{B}}$ .*

*Proof.* This is a direct application of (5) above and 5.(4). (We are also using the facts that the dual of a metrizable space is a (DF)-space and the dual of a (DF)-space is metrizable.)

(7) *If  $E$  is a reflexive (B)-, (F)-, or (DF)-space, then for  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $l^p\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}$  is a reflexive (B)-, (F)-, or (DF)-space respectively.*

*Proof.* By (6) above and 5.(5),  $l^p\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}$  is reflexive. If  $E$  is a (B)- or (F)-space, then it is clear from the fact that the seminorms  $\pi_U^{(p)}, U \in \mathscr{U}(E)$ , define the  $\mathscr{B}$ -topology on  $l^p\{E\}$ , that  $l^p\{E\}$  is a (B)- or (F)-space respectively. If  $E$  is a reflexive (DF)-space, then  $E'$  is an (F)-space and  $l^p\{E\}_{\mathscr{B}}$  as the strong dual of the (F)-space  $l^q\{E'\}_{\mathscr{B}}$  must be a (DF)-space.

## REFERENCES

1. G. Köthe, *Topological Vector Spaces I*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 159, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1969.
2. A. Pietsch, *Verallgemeinerte Vollkommene Folgenräume*, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1962.

3. A. Pietsch, *Nukleare Lokalkonvexe Räume*, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1965.

Received April 18, 1972.

GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY

