

## DENDRITIC COMPACTIFICATIONS OF CERTAIN DENDRITIC SPACES

B. J. PEARSON

A *dendritic* space is a connected space in which every two points are separated by a third point. In this paper we describe a very natural method for obtaining a dendritic compactification of any connected space for which a dendritic compactification exists. The method is an extension of the familiar process of compactifying  $E^1$  by adjoining  $-\infty$  and  $+\infty$ .

In what follows, an *arc* is a Hausdorff continuum with only two noncut points. A *ray* is an arc minus one of its noncut points. The space  $X$  is *semi-locally connected* at the point  $p$  if each open set containing  $p$  contains an open set  $V$  containing  $p$  such that  $X - V$  has at most finitely many components.

LEMMA. *If the space  $X$  is arcwise connected but is not semi-locally connected at the point  $p$ , then there exists an open set  $U$  containing  $p$  such that if  $V$  is an open set containing  $p$  and lying in  $U$ , then  $X - V$  has infinitely many components that intersect both  $\bar{V}$  and  $X - U$ .*

*Proof.* There exists an open set  $U$  containing  $p$  such that for each open set  $V$  containing  $p$  and lying in  $U$ ,  $X - V$  has infinitely many components. Let  $V$  be an open set containing  $p$  and lying in  $U$ , and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the collection of all components of  $X - V$  that intersect both  $\bar{V}$  and  $X - U$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{S}$  is finite. Let  $W$  be the union of  $V$  and all components of  $X - V$  lying in  $U$ . It follows from the arcwise connectivity of  $X$  that each component of  $X - V$  intersects  $\bar{V}$ . Therefore  $W = X - \cup \mathcal{S}$ , so that  $W$  is open. But

$$W \subseteq U, \quad X - W = \cup \mathcal{S},$$

and  $C$  is a component of  $X - W$  if and only if  $C \in \mathcal{S}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{S}$  is infinite.

THEOREM 1. *If the connected space  $X$  has a dendritic compactification, then  $X$  is arcwise connected and semi-locally connected.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $X$  has a dendritic compactification  $X^*$ . Since  $X^*$  is a dendritic continuum, it is locally connected, and it then follows from Theorem 7.1 of [3] that the interval  $ab$  of  $X^*$ , which consists

of  $a$  and  $b$  and the set of all points of  $X^*$  separating  $a$  from  $b$ , is an arc. Suppose  $ab$  contains a point  $x$  not in  $X$ . Then  $X^* - \{x\}$  is the union of two disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $a \in U$  and  $b \in V$ . But then  $X$  is a connected subset of  $U \cup V$ , so that  $X \subseteq U$  or  $X \subseteq V$ . Therefore  $ab \subseteq X$ .

Suppose  $X$  is not semi-locally connected at  $p$ . There exist open sets  $U$  and  $V$  in  $X^*$  such that  $p \in V \subseteq \bar{V} \subseteq U$  and an infinite net  $\{C_\alpha\}$  of distinct components of  $X - V$  intersecting both  $\bar{V}$  and  $X - U$ , where the closures are taken in  $X^*$ . For each  $\alpha$  let  $x_\alpha \in C_\alpha \cap \bar{V}$ . Some subnet  $\{x_{\alpha_n}\}$  of  $\{x_\alpha\}$  converges to a point  $x$  in  $X^*$ . For each  $n$  let  $y_{\alpha_n} \in C_{\alpha_n} \cap (X - U)$ . Since  $X^*$  is compact, the net  $\{y_{\alpha_n}\}$  has a cluster point  $y$  in  $X^*$ . Now  $x \in \bar{V}$  and  $y \in X^* - U$ , so that  $x \neq y$ . But then no point separates  $x$  from  $y$  in  $X^*$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *If the space  $X$  is dendritic, semi-locally connected, and arcwise connected, and each ray in  $X$  is a subset of some arc in  $X$ , then  $X$  is compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $p \in X$ . Suppose  $\{x_\alpha\}$  is a net of points in  $X - \{p\}$  with no cluster point. Suppose that for each  $\alpha$  and each point  $x$  of the arc  $px_\alpha$  different from  $p$  there is a  $\beta > \alpha$  such that  $x \notin px_\beta$ . Let  $U$  be an open set containing  $p$  such that for each  $\alpha$  there is a  $\beta > \alpha$  such that  $x_\beta \notin U$ . There is an open set  $V$  containing  $p$  and lying in  $U$  such that  $X - V$  has at most finitely many components. There is an  $\alpha_0$  such that  $x_{\alpha_0} \in X - V$ . Let  $x_0 \in px_{\alpha_0}$  such that  $px_0 \subseteq V$ . There is an  $\alpha_1 > \alpha_0$  such that  $x_{\alpha_1} \in X - V$  and  $x_0 \notin px_{\alpha_1}$ . Let  $x_1 \in px_{\alpha_1}$  such that  $px_1 \subseteq V$ . There is an  $\alpha_2 > \alpha_1$  such that  $x_{\alpha_2} \in X - V$ ,  $x_0 \notin px_{\alpha_2}$ , and  $x_1 \notin px_{\alpha_2}$ . Continue this process. There exist  $m$  and  $n$  such that  $m \neq n$  and some component of  $X - V$  contains both  $x_{\alpha_m}$  and  $x_{\alpha_n}$ . But then no point of  $X$  separates  $x_{\alpha_m}$  from  $x_{\alpha_n}$ . This is a contradiction, and hence the set  $R$  of all points  $x$  in  $X - \{p\}$  such that for some  $\alpha$ ,  $x \in px_\beta$  for each  $\beta > \alpha$  is nonempty. Let  $x, y \in R$ . There is an  $\alpha_1$  such that  $x \in px_\beta$  for  $\beta > \alpha_1$ . There is an  $\alpha_2$  such that  $y \in px_\beta$  for  $\beta > \alpha_2$ . Hence if  $\beta > \alpha_1, \alpha_2$ , then  $x, y \in px_\beta$ . It follows that if  $x, y \in R$ , then either  $px \subseteq py$  or  $py \subseteq px$ . Therefore there exists a point  $q$  of  $X$  distinct from  $p$  such that  $R = pq$  or  $R = pq - \{q\}$ . For each  $\alpha$  let  $y_\alpha$  be the last point of  $px_\alpha$  on  $pq$ . Let  $U$  be an open set containing  $q$ . There is a point  $y$  such that  $pyq$  and  $yq \subseteq U$ . Since  $y \in R$ , there is an  $\alpha$  such that if  $\beta > \alpha$ , then  $y \in px_\beta$ . Hence  $y_\beta \in yq$  for  $\beta > \alpha$ , so that the net  $\{y_\alpha\}$  converges to  $q$ . It follows that there is a subnet  $\{y_{\alpha_n}\}$  of  $\{y_\alpha\}$  converging to  $q$  such that if  $m < n$ , then  $y_{\alpha_m}$  precedes  $y_{\alpha_n}$  on  $pq$  and  $x_{\alpha_n} \notin pq$ . There exists an open set  $V$  containing  $q$  and

lying in  $U$  such that  $X - V$  has only finitely many components. Hence there is an  $m$  such that if  $n > m$ , then  $x_{\alpha_n} \in V$ . It follows that  $q$  is a cluster point of  $\{x_\alpha\}$ .

An incorrect version of the following lemma is stated as Lemma 3 to Theorem 3 in [1]. The lemma stated here may be used as a substitute without altering the proof of that theorem.

**LEMMA.** *If  $H$  and  $K$  are two separated connected sets in the arcwise connected dendritic space  $X$ , then some point of  $X$  separates  $H$  from  $K$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a \in H$  and  $b \in K$ . Since  $H$  and  $K$  are separated, there exists a point  $p$  of the arc  $ab$  not in  $H \cup K$ . Let  $U$  be the set of all points  $x \neq p$  such that  $px$  contains a point of  $ap - \{p\}$ , and let  $V = X - (U \cup \{p\})$ . Suppose the point  $x$  of  $U$  is a limit point of  $V$ . Then there exists a net  $\{x_\alpha\}$  of points in  $V - pb$  converging to  $x$  and a net  $\{y_\alpha\}$  of points in  $pb$  such that for each  $\alpha$ ,  $y_\alpha$  is the last point of  $pb$  on  $px_\alpha$ . Some point  $y$  of  $pb$  is a cluster point of  $\{y_\alpha\}$ , and hence no point of  $X$  separates  $x$  from  $y$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore  $U$  is open, and it follows by a similar argument that  $V$  is open. Since  $H$  and  $K$  are connected,  $H \subseteq U$  and  $K \subseteq V$ . Therefore  $p$  separates  $H$  from  $K$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *The dendritic space  $X$  has a dendritic compactification if and only if  $X$  is arcwise connected and semi-locally connected.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $X$  is arcwise connected and semi-locally connected. Let  $p \in X$ , and let  $X^*$  be the union of  $X$  and the collection of all maximal rays in  $X$  starting from  $p$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the collection of all open sets  $U$  in  $X$  such that  $X - U$  has at most finitely many components. For each  $U$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  let  $U^*$  be the union of  $U$  and the collection of all maximal rays starting from  $p$  and having a subray lying in  $U$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}^* = \{U^* \mid U \in \mathcal{S}\}$ . It is easily seen that if  $U, V \in \mathcal{S}$ , then  $U \cap V \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $(U \cap V)^* = U^* \cap V^*$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{S}^*$  is a base for a topology of  $X^*$ , and  $X$  with its original topology is a subspace of  $X^*$ . Now for each maximal ray  $R$  in  $X$  starting from  $p$  the point  $R$  of  $X^*$  is a limit point of the point set  $R$ . Therefore  $X$  is dense in  $X^*$ . Furthermore  $R \cup \{R\}$  is an arc from  $p$  to  $R$ . Therefore  $X^*$  is arcwise connected. Suppose  $U \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $C$  is a component of  $X^* - U^*$  containing a point  $R$  of  $X^* - X$ . If  $R$  has a subray in  $U$ , then  $R \in U^*$ . Hence there is a point  $x$  in  $R - U$ . Let  $K$  be the component of  $X - U$  containing  $x$ . Since

$$X - U \subseteq X^* - U^*,$$

it follows that  $K \subseteq C$ . Hence each component of  $X^* - U^*$  contains a component of  $X - U$ . Therefore  $X^*$  is semi-locally connected. Let  $a$  and  $b$  be points of  $X$ . There is a point  $x$  of  $X$  such that  $X - \{x\}$  is the union of two disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  in  $X$  such that  $a \in U$  and  $b \in V$ . Since the only component of  $X - U$  is  $V \cup \{x\}$ , it follows that  $U \in \mathcal{S}$  and similarly that  $V \in \mathcal{S}$ . Since  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ , it follows that  $U^* \cap V^* = \emptyset$ . If  $R \in X^* - X$ , then there is a subray  $S$  of  $R$  such that  $x \notin S$ . Hence  $S \subseteq U$  or  $S \subseteq V$ , so that  $R \in U^*$  or  $R \in V^*$ . Therefore  $X^* - \{x\} = U^* \cup V^*$ . It follows that  $x$  separates  $a$  from  $b$  in  $X^*$ . Now let  $a \in X$  and  $R \in X^* - X$ . There is a subray  $S$  of  $R$  such that  $a \notin S$ . Some point  $x$  of  $X$  separates  $a$  from  $S$  in  $X$ , and it follows as before that  $x$  separates  $a$  from  $R$  in  $X^*$ . Finally, let  $P$  and  $R$  be two elements of  $X^* - X$ . There exist disjoint rays  $Q$  and  $S$  such that  $Q \subseteq P$  and  $S \subseteq R$ . Some point  $x$  of  $X$  separates  $Q$  from  $S$  in  $X$ . It follows that  $x$  separates  $P$  from  $R$  in  $X^*$ . Therefore  $X^*$  is dendritic. It remains to be proved that  $X^*$  is compact. Suppose  $R$  is a ray in  $X^*$  starting from a point  $q$  in  $X^*$ . Now  $X^* - X$  is totally disconnected since each two points of  $X^* - X$  are separated by a point of  $X$ , and if  $x$  and  $y$  are points of  $X$ , then the arc  $xy$  in  $X^*$  is a subset of  $X$ . It follows that  $R - \{q\} \subseteq X$ . Hence there is a maximal ray  $S$  in  $X$  starting from  $p$  and containing a subray of  $R$ . Since  $S \cup \{S\}$  is an arc in  $X^*$ ,  $R$  is contained in some arc in  $X^*$ . It now follows from Theorem 2 that  $X^*$  is compact. This completes the proof.

Two other methods for obtaining dendritic compactifications of dendritic spaces may be found in the literature. In [2] Ward proves, by embedding in a Tychonoff cube, that every locally connected dendritic space satisfying a certain convexity condition has a dendritic compactification. In [1] Proizvolov proves, by considering maximal collections of closed connected sets having the finite intersection property, that every locally peripherally compact dendritic space has a dendritic compactification.

#### REFERENCES

1. V. V. Proizvolov, *Peripherally bicomact tree-like spaces*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **189** (1969), 724-727.
2. L. E. Ward, Jr., *On dendritic sets*, Duke Math. J., **25** (1958), 505-514.
3. G. T. Whyburn, *Cut points in general topological spaces*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, **61** (1968), 380-387.

Received April 28, 1972 and in revised form July 13, 1972.

UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI