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In this paper, the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}+p(x) y^{\prime}+q(x) y=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

will be studied subject to the conditions that $p(x) \leqq$ $0, q(x)>0$, and $p(x), p^{\prime}(x)$, and $q(x)$ are continuous for $x \in[0$, $+\infty)$. A solution of (1) will be said to be oscillatory if it changes signs for arbitrarily large values of $x$. It will be shown that if (1) has an oscillatory solution then every nonoscillatory solution tends to zero as $x$ tends to infinity.

The above result answers a question that was raised in [1]. The following theorem due to Lazer [1] will be basic in our proof.

Theorem 1. Suppose $p(x) \leqq 0$ and $q(x)>0$. A necessary and sufficient condition for (1) to have oscillatory solutions is that for any nontrivial nonoscillatory solution $G(x), G(x) G^{\prime}(x) G^{\prime \prime}(x) \neq 0, \operatorname{sgn} G(x)=$ $\operatorname{sgn} G^{\prime \prime}(x) \neq \operatorname{sgn} G^{\prime}(x)$ for all $x \in[0,+\infty)$, and

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} G^{\prime}(x)=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} G^{\prime \prime}(x)=0, \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} G(x)=c \neq \pm \infty
$$

Lemma 2. If $G(x)$ is a nonoscillatory solution of (1), where (1) has an oscillatory solution, then

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} x G^{\prime}(x)=0
$$

Proof. Suppose $G(x)<0, G^{\prime}(x)>0$, and $G^{\prime \prime}(x)<0$. By Theorem $1, \int_{1}^{\infty} G^{\prime}(x) d x<\infty$. Let $\varepsilon>0$. There is an $N>0$ such that $\int_{N}^{x} G^{\prime}(t) d t<\varepsilon$ for all $x>N$. Thus $\varepsilon>\int_{N}^{x} G^{\prime}(t) d t=G^{\prime}(\Sigma)[x-N]$ for $N<\Sigma<x$. But $G^{\prime \prime}(x)<0$, so $G^{\prime}(\Sigma)[x-N] \geqq G^{\prime}(x)[x-N]>G^{\prime}(x) \cdot x-\varepsilon$ for $x$ large since $G^{\prime}(x) \rightarrow 0$. Thus $2 \varepsilon>x G^{\prime}(x)$ for large $x$. Hence $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} x G^{\prime}(x)=0$.

Lemma 3. If $G(x)$ is as in Lemma 2, then

$$
\left|\int_{1}^{\infty} x G^{\prime \prime}(x) d x\right|<\infty
$$

Proof. Suppose that $G(x)>0, G^{\prime}(x)<0$, and $G^{\prime \prime}(x)>0$. Integrating by parts, $\int_{1}^{x} t G^{\prime \prime}(t) d t=x G^{\prime}(x)-G^{\prime}(1)-G(x)+G(1)$. Thus $\int_{1}^{\infty} x G^{\prime \prime}(x) d x<\infty$ since $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} x G^{\prime}(x)=0$ and $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} G(x)=K<\infty$.

Lemma 4. If $G(x)$ is as in Lemma 2, then

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{2} G^{\prime \prime}(x)=0
$$

Proof. Suppose $G(x)>0, G^{\prime}(x)<0, G^{\prime \prime}(x)>0$. Since

$$
\int_{1}^{\infty} x G^{\prime \prime}(x) d x<\infty
$$

for $\varepsilon>0$ there is an $N>0$ so that for all $x>N$

$$
\varepsilon>\int_{N}^{x} t G^{\prime \prime}(t) d t=G^{\prime \prime}(\Sigma) \int_{N}^{x} t d t
$$

for some $N<\Sigma<x$.
But since $G^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)<0$ by (1), we have

$$
G^{\prime \prime}(\Sigma) \int_{N}^{x} t d t \geqq\left[G^{\prime \prime}(x) / 2\right]\left[x^{2}-N^{2}\right] \geqq\left[G^{\prime \prime}(x) / 2\right]\left[x^{2}\right]-\varepsilon / 2
$$

for large $x$, since $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} G^{\prime \prime}(x)=0$. Thus

$$
3 \varepsilon>x^{2} G^{\prime \prime}(x) \text { for all large } x
$$

Thus $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{2} G^{\prime \prime}(x)=0$.
Theorem 5. If $G(x)>0, G^{\prime}(x)<0, G^{\prime \prime}(x)>0$ is a solution of (1) which has oscillatory solutions then two linearly independent oscillatory solutions of

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}+p(x) y^{\prime}+\left(p^{\prime}(x)-q(x)\right) y=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfy the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{\prime} / G(x)\right)^{\prime}+\left[\left(G^{\prime \prime}(x)+p(x) G(x)\right) / G^{2}(x)\right] y=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $u(x)$ and $v(x)$ be two solutions of (1) defined by $u(1)=$ $u^{\prime}(1)=0, u^{\prime \prime}(1)=1, v(1)=v^{\prime \prime}(1)=0, v^{\prime}(1)=1$. By [1], $u(x)$ and $v(x)$ are linearly independent oscillatory solutions of (1). Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U(x)=u(x) G^{\prime}(x)-G(x) u^{\prime}(x) \\
& V(x)=v(x) G^{\prime}(x)-G(x) v^{\prime}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $U(x)$ and $V(x)$ are linearly independent oscillatory solutions of (2). Now

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ll}
V(x) & U(x) \\
V^{\prime}(x) & U^{\prime}(x)
\end{array}\right|=G(x)\left|\begin{array}{lll}
G(x) & v(x) & u(x) \\
G^{\prime}(x) & v^{\prime}(x) & u^{\prime}(x) \\
G^{\prime \prime}(x) & v^{\prime \prime}(x) & u^{\prime \prime}(x)
\end{array}\right|
$$
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$$
=G(x)\left|\begin{array}{lll}
G(1) & 0 & 0 \\
G^{\prime}(1) & 1 & 0 \\
G^{\prime \prime}(1) & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right|=G(1) G(x)
$$

Thus

$$
G(1) G^{\prime}(x)=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
V(x) & U(x) \\
V^{\prime \prime}(x) & U^{\prime \prime}(x)
\end{array}\right|
$$

and

$$
G(1) G^{\prime \prime}(x)=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
V^{\prime}(x) & U^{\prime}(x) \\
V^{\prime \prime}(x) & U^{\prime \prime}(x)
\end{array}\right|+\left|\begin{array}{ll}
V(x) & U(x) \\
V^{\prime \prime \prime}(x) & U^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)
\end{array}\right|
$$

Now $U(x)$ and $V(x)$ are solutions of the differential equation
(4)

$$
\left|\begin{array}{lll}
V(x) & U(x) & y \\
V^{\prime}(x) & U^{\prime}(x) & y^{\prime} \\
V^{\prime \prime}(x) & U^{\prime \prime}(x) & y^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\begin{array}{ll}
V(x) & U(x) \\
V^{\prime \prime \prime}(x) & U^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)
\end{array}\right|=V(x)\left[-p(x) U^{\prime}(x)-p^{\prime}(x) U(x)+q(x) U(x)\right] \\
& -U(x)\left[-p(x) V^{\prime}(x)-p^{\prime}(x) V(x)+q(x) V(x)\right]=-p(x) G(1) G(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (4) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(1) G(x) y^{\prime \prime}-G(1) G^{\prime}(x) y^{\prime}+\left[G(1) G^{\prime \prime}(x)+p(x) G(1) G(x)\right] y=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\left(y^{\prime} / G(x)\right)^{\prime}+\left[\left(G^{\prime \prime}(x)+p(x) G(x)\right) / G^{2}(x)\right] y=0
$$

Our main result now follows.
Theorem 6. If $G(x)$ is as in Theorem 5, then $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} G(x)=0$.
Proof. Suppose not. By Theorem 1, $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} G(x)=K<\infty$. Suppose without loss of generality that $K=1$. Now for large $x, G(x)<2$, hence

$$
1 / G(x)>1 / 2
$$

Also

$$
G^{\prime \prime}(x) \geqq G^{\prime \prime}(x) / G^{2}(x) \geqq G^{\prime \prime}(x) / G^{2}(x)+p(x) G(x) / G^{2}(x)
$$

Since (3) is oscillatory, by the Sturm-Picone Theorem [2]

$$
\left(y^{\prime} / 2\right)^{\prime}+G^{\prime \prime}(x) y=0
$$

is oscillatory. Letting $y=x^{1 / 2} z$, (6) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\left(2 x^{2} G^{\prime \prime}(x)-1 / 4\right) x^{-1} z=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

But since $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{2} G^{\prime \prime}(x)=0,\left(2 x^{2} G^{\prime \prime}-1 / 4\right)$ is eventually negative and so (7) is clearly nonoscillatory. From this contradiction, we conclude $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} G(x)=0$.
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