ON SATURATED FORMATIONS OF SOLVABLE LIE ALGEBRAS

ERNEST L. STITZINGER

The concepts of formations, \mathscr{F} -projectors and \mathscr{F} normalizers have all been developed for solvable Lie algebras. In this note, for each saturated formation \mathscr{F} of solvable Lie algebras, the class $\mathscr{T}(\mathscr{F})$ of solvable Lie algebras Lin which each \mathscr{F} -normalizer of L is an \mathscr{F} -projector is considered. This is the natural generalization of the Lie algebra analogue to SC groups which were first investigated by R. Carter. It is shown that $\mathscr{T}(\mathscr{F})$ is a formation. Then some properties of \mathscr{F} -normalizers of $L \in \mathscr{T}(\mathscr{F})$ are considered.

All Lie algebras considered here are solvable and finite dimensional over a field F. \mathscr{F} will always denote a saturated formation of solvable Lie algebras and L will be a solvable Lie algebra. N(L) is the nil-radical of L and $\mathcal{O}(L)$ is the Frattini subalgebra of L. For definitions and properties of all these concepts see [3], [4], and [9]. For SC groups see [6].

We begin with a general lemma.

LEMMA 1. Let N be an ideal of L and D/N be an \mathscr{F} -normalizer of L/N. Then there exists an \mathscr{F} -normalizer E of L such that E + N = D.

Proof. Let L be a minimal counterexample and we may assume that N is a minimal ideal of L. If D/N = L/N, then any \mathscr{F} -normalizer of L has the desired property, hence we may suppose that $D/N \subset L/N$. Suppose first that N is \mathscr{F} -central in L. Let $N^*/N =$ N(L/N) and $C = C_L(N)$. Then $N(L) = N^* \cap C$. Let M/N be a maximal \mathscr{F} -critical subalgebra of L/N such that D/N is an \mathscr{F} normalizer of M/N. Now either M is \mathscr{F} -critical in L or M complements a chief factor of L between N^* and N(L). In the first case, by induction, there exists an \mathscr{F} -normalizer E of M such that E +N = D and E is also an \mathscr{F} -normalizer in L. In the second case, $L/C \in \mathscr{F}$ and $C + N^*/C$ is operator isomorphic to $N^*/N^* \cap C = N^*/$ N(L). Hence each chief factor of L between N^* and N(L) is \mathscr{F} central which contradicts M being \mathscr{F} -abnormal.

Now suppose that N is \mathscr{F} -eccentric and assume $N \subseteq \Phi(L)$. Let M/N be as in the above paragraph. Again, by induction, there exists an \mathscr{F} -normalizer E of M such that E + N = D. But $N \subseteq \Phi(L)$ yields that M is \mathscr{F} -critical in L using Theorem 2.5 of [4]. Hence E is an

 \mathcal{F} -normalizer of L and this case is completed.

Finally suppose that N is \mathscr{F} -eccentric and assume $N \not\subseteq \Phi(L)$. Then N is complemented by a maximal subalgebra M which must be \mathscr{F} -critical in L. Now there must exist an \mathscr{F} -normalizer E of M such that E + N = D. Again E must be an \mathscr{F} -normalizer of L and the result is shown.

COROLLARY. $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F})$ is closed under homomorphisms.

Proof. Let N be a minimal ideal of $L, L \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F})$. Let D/N be an \mathcal{F} -normalizer of L/N. Then D = E + N for some \mathcal{F} -normalizer of L. Now E is an \mathcal{F} -projector of L and E + N/N = D/N is an \mathcal{F} -projector of L/N.

LEMMA 2. If $L \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F})$ and C is an \mathcal{F} -projector of L, then C is an \mathcal{F} -normalizer of L.

Proof. Let N be a minimal ideal of L. $L/N \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F})$ hence C + N/N is an \mathcal{F} -normalizer of L/N by induction. Hence C + N = D + N for some \mathcal{F} -normalizer D of L. Now D is also an \mathcal{F} -projector of L and both C and D are \mathcal{F} -projectors of C + N. Then C and D are conjugate in C + N by an inner automorphism of C + N induced by an element of N by Lemma 1.11 of [3]. Hence D and C are conjugate in L and the result holds.

Note that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F})$ contains a large class of Lie algebras. In fact by Theorem 3 of [9] we have

Lemma 3. $\mathcal{NF} \subseteq \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}).$

In order to obtain that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F})$ is a formation, we record a characterization of \mathcal{F} -projectors which is completely analogous to a result in group theory due to Bauman [5]. Since the proofs carry over virtually unchanged, we omit them.

DEFINITION. If M is a subalgebra of L, then a series $0 = L_0 \subset \cdots \subset L_n = L$ is called an M-series if L_i is an ideal in L_{i+1} , if $M \subseteq N_L(L_i)$ and if each L_{i+1}/L_i is a nontrivial, irreducible M-factor of L.

THEOREM 1. If C is an \mathscr{F} -projector of L and $\{L_i\}, 0 \leq i \leq n$, is any C-series of L, then C covers L_i/L_{i-1} if and only if $C + L_i/L_{i-1} \in \mathscr{F}$.

Proof. See proof of Theorem 1 of [5].

THEOREM 2. If $\{L_i\}$ is a C-series of L such that C covers L_i/L_{i-1} if and only if $C + L_i/L_{i-1} \in \mathscr{F}$, then C is an \mathscr{F} -projector of L.

Proof. See proof of Theorem 2 of [5].

We intend to use these results in a slightly different form by means of

LEMMA 4. Let M be a subalgebra of L, $M \in \mathscr{F}$ and H/K be a nontrivial, irreducible M-factor of L. Then $M + H/K \in \mathscr{F}$ if and only if the split extension of H/K by $M/C_{\mathcal{M}}(H/K)$ is in \mathscr{F} .

Proof. Since $M + H/H \in \mathscr{F}$, M + H/K will be in \mathscr{F} if and only if the minimal ideal H/K of M + H/K is \mathscr{F} -central in M + H/K; that is, if and only if the split extension of H/K by $M + H/C_{M+H}(H/K)$ is in \mathscr{F} . But

$$M/C_{\scriptscriptstyle M}(H/K) = M/M \cap C_{\scriptscriptstyle M+II}(H/K) \cong M + C_{\scriptscriptstyle M+H}(H/K)/C_{\scriptscriptstyle M+II}(H/K) \ = M + H/C_{\scriptscriptstyle M+II}(H/K) \; .$$

Now the corresponding split extensions of H/K by $M + H/C_{M+H}(H/K)$ and H/K by $M/C_M(H/K)$ are isomorphic and the result holds.

THEOREM 3. $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F})$ is a formation.

Proof. $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F})$ is closed under homomorphisms has been noted already. Hence let N_1 and N_2 be ideals of L such that $L/N_1, L/N_2 \in$ $\mathscr{T}(\mathscr{F})$. We may assume $N_1 \cap N_2 = 0$ and show that $L \in \mathscr{T}(\mathscr{F})$. Let D be an \mathscr{F} -normalizer of L. Then $D + N_1/N_1$ is an \mathscr{F} -normalizer of L/N_1 , hence is an \mathscr{F} -projector of L/N_1 and the corresponding statement holds for $D + N_2/N_2$. Consider a D-series of L which passes through N_1 and $N_1 + N_2$. There is a D-series of L which passes through N_2 and $N_1 + N_2$ which is the same as the original Dseries above $N_1 + N_2$ and corresponds to the original *D*-series below $N_1 + N_2$ in the natural way. In particular, a factor H/K in the new D-series which is between N_2 and $N_1 + N_2$ corresponds to $H \cap N_1/2$ $K \cap N_1$ in the original D-series and we claim that D covers (avoids) H/K if and only if D covers (avoids) $H \cap N_1/K \cap N_1$. For if D avoids H/K, then $D \cap H \subseteq K$, hence $D \cap H \cap N_1 \subseteq K \cap N_1$ and D avoids $H \cap N_1/K \cap N_1$. Suppose that D covers H/K. Then $H \subseteq K + D$. In order to show that D covers $H \cap N_1/K \cap N_1$ it is sufficient to show that $D + (K \cap N_1) \supseteq H \cap N_1$. Since $H \subseteq K + D, D \subseteq N_L(K)$ and $H \subseteq K$ $N_1 + N_2$, it follows that $H \subseteq K + (D \cap (N_1 + N_2))$. Using the corollary on p. 241 of [9], $H \subseteq K + ((D \cap N_1) + (D \cap N_2)) = K + (D \cap N_1)$. Then,

since $D \cap N_1 \subset N_L(K)$ it follows that $H \cap N_1 \subseteq (K + (D \cap N_1)) \cap N_1 \subseteq (K \cap N_1) + (D \cap N_1) \subseteq (K \cap N_1) + D$, hence D covers $H \cap N_1/K \cap N_1$.

By Theorem 1 and Lemma 4, a factor H/K above N_1 in the original *D*-series is covered by $D + N_1/N_1$ (hence *D*) if and only if the split extension of H/K by $D + N_1/C_{D+N_1}(H/K)$ is in \mathscr{F} . That is, H/K is covered by *D* if and only if the split extension of H/K by $D/C_D(H/K)$ is in \mathscr{F} . A similar statement holds above N_2 . Every *D*-factor in the original series is operator isomorphic to a *D*-factor above N_1 or above N_2 and, using the result of the above paragraph, in the original *D*-series a factor H/K is covered by *D* if and only if the split extension of H/K by $D/C_D(H/K)$ is in \mathscr{F} . Now by Lemma 4 and Theorem 2, *D* is an \mathscr{F} -projector of *L* and $\mathscr{F}(\mathscr{F})$ is a formation.

The following example shows that $\mathcal{NN} \subset \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})$ and that $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})$ is not closed under taking ideals. It is a variant of an example on p. 52 of [7].

EXAMPLE. Let F be a field of characteristic $p \ge 2$ and let A be a vector space over F with basis e_0, \dots, e_{p-1} . Define linear transformations x, y, z on A by

$$egin{array}{lll} x(e_i) &= ie_i \ y(e_i) &= e_{i+1} \end{array}$$

and

 $z(e_i) = e_i$

(subscripts mod p). Then [x, y] = xy - yx = y and [x, z] = [y, z] = 0. Let B be the three dimensional Lie algebra generated by x, y, z. Let L be the semi-direct sum of A and B with the natural product. As on p. 53 of [7], B acts irreducibly on A so that A is a minimal ideal of L. Evidently A is self-centralizing in L, hence A is the unique minimal ideal of L and N(L) = A. Hence each \mathcal{N} -critical maximal subalgebra of L complements A. Furthermore, L is clearly of nilpotent length three.

Consider first any \mathscr{N} -normalizer E of L which is also an \mathscr{N} -normalizer of B. Such \mathscr{N} -normalizer exists since B is a maximal \mathscr{N} -critical subalgebra of L. By the covering-avoidance property of \mathscr{N} -normalizers of $B, E = ((z, x + \alpha y))$ where $\alpha \in F$. Now B is of nilpotent length 2, hence E is a Cartan subalgebra of B. Now since $z \in E$, it is easily verified that E is a Cartan subalgebra of L.

Now in general, each \mathcal{N} -normalizer of L is an \mathcal{N} -normalizer of some \mathcal{N} -critical maximal subalgebra M of L and M must complement A. But L is of nilpotent length 3 and L/A is of nilpotent length 2, hence M must be conjugate to B by Theorem 8 of [8].

Consequently, any \mathcal{N} -normalizer of L is a Cartan subalgebra of L and $L \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{N})$.

Now the ideal P = A + ((x, y)) of L is not in $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{N})$. For $((x)) \subset ((x, y)) \subset P$ is a maximal \mathcal{N} -critical chain of P, hence ((x)) is an \mathcal{N} -normalizer of P. However, the normalizer of ((x)) in P is $((x, e_0))$. Hence $L \notin \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{N})$.

We recall that each \mathscr{F} -normalizer is contained in an \mathscr{F} -projector (Theorem 6 of [9]). However, the usual converse result, namely each \mathscr{F} -projector contains an \mathscr{F} -normalizer has not been obtained, even for \mathscr{NNF} -Lie algebras. We now show that this result holds if $L \in \mathscr{NT}(\mathscr{F})$. First we record the following result which is needed.

THEOREM 4. Let $L \in \mathcal{NT}(\mathcal{F})$. Then each \mathcal{F} -normalizer of L is contained in a unique \mathcal{F} -projector of L.

Proof. Same as the proof of Theorem 9 of [9].

THEOREM 5. Let $L \in \mathcal{NT}(\mathcal{F})$. Then each \mathcal{F} -projector of L contains an \mathcal{F} -normalizer of L.

Proof. Let N be a minimal ideal of L and let C be an \mathscr{F} -projector of L. Then C + N/N is an \mathscr{F} -projector of L/N and C + N/N contains an \mathscr{F} -normalizer D/N of L/N by induction. Let T = C + N and let F be an \mathscr{F} -normalizer of L such that $F + N = D \subseteq T$. Then F is contained in an \mathscr{F} -projector G of L and $D/N \subseteq G + N/N$. Hence G + N = C + N by Theorem 4 and G and C are \mathscr{F} -projectors of T. By Lemma 1.11 of [3], G and C are conjugate in T by an inner automorphism of T induced by an element of N. Hence G and C are conjugate in L and the result holds.

 \mathscr{F} -normalizers have the covering-avoidance property but the converse is not true in general. However, if $L \in \mathscr{T}(\mathscr{F})$, then the converse is true.

THEOREM 6. Let $L \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F})$. If D is a subalgebra of L which covers the \mathcal{F} -central chief factors of L and avoids the \mathcal{F} -eccentric chief factors of L, then D is an \mathcal{F} -normalizer of L.

Proof. Let N be a minimal ideal of L. Then D + N/N has the covering-avoidance property in $L/N \in \mathscr{T}(\mathscr{F})$. By induction, D + N/N is an \mathscr{F} -normalizer of L/N and D + N = E + N = T for some \mathscr{F} -normalizer E of L. Since $L \in \mathscr{T}(\mathscr{F})$, E is an \mathscr{F} -projector of L and then also of T. If N is \mathscr{F} -central in L, then $N \subseteq D$ and $N \subseteq E$, hence D = E. Suppose N is \mathscr{F} -eccentric. Then $D \cap N = 0 = E \cap N$. Now $T \in \mathscr{N}\mathscr{F}$, hence E is an \mathscr{F} -normalizer of T by Theorem 3 of [9]. Furthermore, in a given chief series of T passing through N, E

535

covers all chief factors above N and avoids all chief factors below Nand the same is true for D. Since E is an \mathscr{F} -normalizer of T, each chief factor below N must be \mathscr{F} -eccentric and each chief factor above N must be \mathscr{F} -central. Hence, by Theorem 4 of [9], D must be an \mathscr{F} -normalizer of T. By Theorem 3 of [9], D must also be an \mathscr{F} -projector of T. Now D and E are conjugate in T (hence in L) by an inner automorphism induced by an element of N. Hence D is an \mathscr{F} -normalizer of L.

Henceforth we shall be concerned with the case $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{N}$. Here we have the following stronger form of Theorem 4.

THEOREM 7. Let $L \in \mathcal{NT}(\mathcal{N})$ and D be an \mathcal{N} -normalizer of L. Then there exists a Cartan subalgebra C of L which contains every subalgebra H of L in which D is subinvariant. In particular, D is contained in a unique Cartan subalgebra of L. C is the Fitting null component of D acting on L.

Proof. D + N(L)/N(L) is subinvariant in H + N(L)/N(L) and D + N(L)/N(L) is an \mathscr{N} -normalizer of $L/N(L) \in \mathscr{T}(\mathscr{N})$. Hence D + N(L)/N(L) = H + N(L)/N(L) is a Cartan subalgebra of L/N(L). Let T = D + N(L) = H + N(L) and let S be the Fitting null component of D acting on T. Evidently $N_T(S) = S$ and $H \subseteq S$. Furthermore, $S = S \cap T = S \cap (D + N(L)) = D + (S \cap N(L))$. Each element of D induces a nilpotent derivation on S and $S \cap N(L)$ is a nilpotent ideal of S. Then, using Engel's theorem, S is nilpotent. Hence S is a Cartan subalgebra of T and also of L by Lemma 1.8 of [3]. If K is another Cartan subalgebra of L containing D, then D is subinvariant in K, hence K = S. The last past of the theorem follows from the next lemma.

LEMMA 5. Let L be a solvable Lie algebra and D be a nilpotent subalgebra of L. Let F be the Fitting null component of D acting on L. Then D is subinvariant in F.

Proof. We may suppose that F = L. Let A be a minimal ideal of L. Now in D + A, A is an abelian ideal and each element of D induces a nilpotent derivation of D + A. Hence, using Engel's theorem, D + A is nilpotent and D is subinvariant in D + A. But D + A/A satisfies the conditions in L/A, hence D + A/A is subinvariant in L/A by induction. Therefore, D is subinvariant in L.

For Lie algebras of nilpotent length three, a result somewhat stronger than Theorem 7 holds. The proof is the same as the proof of Theorem 7, using Theorem 1 of [8] instead of the defining property of $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{N})$, and may be omitted.

THEOREM 8. Let L be of nilpotent length three (or less) and let D be a nilpotent subalgebra of L which can be joined to L by a maximal chain of subalgebras, each self-normalizing in the next. Then there exists a Cartan subalgebra C of L which contains every subalgebra H of L in which D is subinvariant. In particular, D is contained in a unique Cartan subalgebra C of L and C is the Fitting null component of D acting on L.

We may use this to find a Lie algebra analogue to Theorem 10 of [2].

THEOREM 9. Let M be a self-normalizing maximal subalgebra of L. Suppose that L is of nilpotent length three. Then each Cartan subalgebra of M is of the form $M \cap C$ for some Cartan subalgebra C of L.

Proof. Let D be a Cartan subalgebra of M. Then D is contained in a Cartan subalgebra C of L by Theorem 8 and Lemma 1 of [8]. Now $M \cap C$ is nilpotent and D is a Cartan subalgebra of $M \cap C$. Hence $D = M \cap C$.

The final result is of a slightly different nature. We consider the following: If an \mathscr{N} -normalizer D of L is contained in the selfnormalizing maximal subalgebra M of L, then is D contained in an \mathscr{N} -normalizer of M. The analogous question for finite groups is answered negatively in [1]. The Lie algebra case also has a negative answer as in shown in the following result. The second part of this example is also an analogue to the example of [1].

THEOREM 10. There exists a solvable Lie algebra $L \in \mathcal{NNN}$ which has an \mathcal{N} -normalizer D, ideal A and maximal subalgebra M containing D such that

- (1) D is not contained in an \mathcal{N} -normalizer of M
- (2) $N_{L/A}(D + A/A) \supset N_L(D) + A/A$.

Proof. This example is also a variant of an example found on p. 52 of [7]. Let F be a field of characteristic p > 2. Let A be the Lie algebra over F with basis $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{p-1}, b, c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{p-1}$ and products $[a_i, b] = c_i$ for $i = 0, \dots, p-1$ and all other products of basis elements equal to 0. Define linear transformations x, y on Asuch that

(everything mod p). Then x and y are derivations of A and [y, x] = x. Let B be the 2-dimensional Lie algebra generated by x and y and let L be the semi-direct sum of A and B with the natural product.

Let $R = ((c_0, \dots, c_{p-1}))$ and $S = ((c_0, \dots, c_{p-1}, b))$. The same argument used in [7] shows that R and A/S are \mathscr{N} -eccentric chief factors of L and S/R is clearly and \mathscr{N} -central chief factor of L. Let $M = ((x, y, b, c_0, \dots, c_{p-1}))$, $M_1 = ((x, y, b))$ and $M_2 = ((y, b))$. Each of these is a maximal \mathscr{N} -critical subalgebra of the preceding and M is maximal, \mathscr{N} -critical in L. Now $\exp a_0$ is an automorphism of L since char $F \neq 2$. Then $C = M_2^{\exp a_0} = ((y, b + c_0)) \subseteq M$ and D is an \mathscr{N} -normalizer of L.

Now the \mathcal{N} -normalizers of M have dimension 2 by the coveringavoidance property of \mathcal{N} -normalizers, hence, if D is contained in an \mathcal{N} -normalizer of M, then it is one of them. If this is the case, then, since $b \in Z(M)$, $b \in D$ and dim D > 2, a contradiction.

For the second part, note that

$$N_{L/R}(M_2 + R/R) = ((y + R, b + R, a_0 + R))$$
.

However, an element of the form $\alpha a_0 + t$, $\alpha \in F$, $t \in R$ is not in $N_L(M_2)$ unless $\alpha = 0$, since $[b, \alpha a_0 + t] = -\alpha c_0$. Hence

$$N_{\scriptscriptstyle L}(M_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}) \,+\, R/R \,{\subset}\, N_{\scriptscriptstyle LIR}(M_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \,+\, R/R)$$
 .

References

1. J. L. Alperin, Normalizers of system normalizers, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 113 (1964), 181-188.

 2. _____, System normalizers and Carter subgroups, J. Algebra, 1 (1964), 355-366.
3. D. W. Barnes and H. M. Gastineau Hills, On the theory of solvable Lie algebras, Math. Z., 106 (1968), 343-354.

4. D. W. Barnes and M. L. Newell, Some theorems on saturated homomorphs of solvable Lie algebras, Math. Z., **115** (1970), 179-187.

5. S. Bauman, A note on cover and avoidance properties in solvable groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **21** (1969), 173-174.

B. Huppert, Endliche Gruppen I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1967.
N. Jacobson, Lie Algebras, Interscience, New York-London-Sydney, 1966.

8. E. L. Stitzinger, *Theorems on Cartan subalgebras like some Carter subgroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **159** (1971), 307-315.

9. _____, Covering-avoidance for saturated formations of solvable Lie algebras, Math. Z., **124** (1972), 237-249.

Received June 8, 1972.

NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY