

THE LATTICE OF CLOSED IDEALS AND a^* -EXTENSIONS OF AN ABELIAN l -GROUP

ROGER BLEIER AND PAUL CONRAD

An l -ideal A of an l -group G is closed if $x \in A$ whenever $x = \vee a_i, 0 \leq a_i \in A$. The intersection of any collection of closed l -ideals of G is again a closed l -ideal of G . Hence the set $\mathcal{K}(G)$ of all closed l -ideals of G is a complete lattice under inclusion. In the present paper this lattice is studied, as well as l -group extensions which preserve it. A common generalization of the essential closure of an archimedean l -group and the Hahn closure of a totally-ordered abelian group is obtained.

Unless otherwise specified all l -groups will be assumed abelian. Set-theoretic union and intersection will be written \cup and \cap , respectively. The lattice of all l -ideals of an l -group G will be denoted $\mathcal{L}(G)$; the join operation in $\mathcal{L}(G)$ will be written \vee (to be differentiated by context from the l -group operation). The join operation in $\mathcal{K}(G)$ will be written \mathbf{U} . A subset D of a partially ordered set S will be called a *dual ideal* if $x \in D$ whenever $x \geq y$ for some $y \in D$.

$G(g)$ will denote the smallest l -ideal of G containing $g \in G$. \bar{A} will denote the smallest closed l -ideal of G containing $A \in \mathcal{L}(G)$. We have $\bar{A}^+ = \{\vee a_i \mid 0 \leq a_i \in A\}$. ([5], Lemma 3.2).

$A \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ is a *regular* subgroup of G if it is maximal in $\mathcal{L}(G)$ without some $g \in G$; in this case A is also called a *value* of g . If A is the only value of some $g \in G$, then A is a *special* subgroup of G . Each special subgroup of G is closed. ([4], Prop. 4.1). If each $g \in G$ has only finitely many values, then G is *finite-valued*. An l -ideal of G is *prime* if it is the intersection of a chain of regular subgroups of G . An l -ideal of G which contains a closed prime subgroup of G is itself a closed prime subgroup. ([5], Lemma 3.3).

We conclude the introduction by reviewing the important results in [10]. Let Λ be a root system (i.e., Λ is a partially ordered set and no two noncomparable elements of Λ have a lower bound in Λ). Let $V(\Lambda, R)$ denote the group of all real-valued functions on Λ whose support satisfies the ACC. $\lambda \in \Lambda$ is a *maximal component* of $v \in V(\Lambda, R)$ if λ belongs to the support of v but no element of Λ exceeding λ belongs to the support of v . Define $v > 0$ if and only if $v(\lambda) > 0$ for each maximal component λ of v . Then $V(\Lambda, R)$ is an l -group. If $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then $V_\lambda = \{v \in V(\Lambda, R) \mid v(\alpha) = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \geq \lambda\}$ is a closed regular subgroup of $V(\Lambda, R)$; moreover, these are the only closed regular subgroups of $V(\Lambda, R)$.

The set of all regular subgroups of an l -group G forms a root system, to be denoted by $\Gamma(G)$. A subset Δ of $\Gamma(G)$ is *plenary* if Δ is a dual ideal in $\Gamma(G)$ and $\bigcap \Delta = \mathbf{0}$. It will sometimes be convenient to identify Δ notationally with $\{G_\delta, \delta \in \Delta\}$; here the G_δ denote the regular subgroups of G belonging to Δ . If Δ is a plenary subset of $\Gamma(G)$, then there exists a v -isomorphism $\sigma: G \rightarrow V(\Delta, R)$ (i.e., σ is an l -isomorphism, and $g\sigma$ has a maximal component at $\delta \in \Delta$ if and only if δ is a value of $g \in G$).

Throughout this paper G and H will denote l -groups.

1. Lattice properties of $\mathcal{K}(G)$.

THEOREM 1.1. *$\mathcal{K}(G)$ is complete Brouwerian lattice. If $\{K_\alpha\} \subseteq \mathcal{K}(G)$, then $\bigcup K_\alpha = \overline{\bigvee K_\alpha}$, and $(\bigcup K_\alpha)^+ = \{\bigvee x_i \mid 0 \leq x_i \in \bigcup K_\alpha\}$.*

Proof. We have noted that $\mathcal{K}(G)$ is a complete lattice. Since $\bigcup K_\alpha$ is an l -ideal it contains $\bigvee K_\alpha$ and hence $\bigcup K_\alpha = \overline{\bigvee K_\alpha}$. Let $x \in (\overline{\bigvee K_\alpha})^+$. Then $x = \bigvee x_i$ where $0 \leq x_i \in \bigvee K_\alpha$. Each x_i is the join in G of (finitely many) positive elements of $\bigcup K_\alpha$. ([9], p. 519). Hence x is the join in G of positive elements of $\bigcup K_\alpha$. Thus $(\overline{\bigvee K_\alpha})^+ \subseteq \{\bigvee x_i \mid 0 \leq x_i \in \bigcup K_\alpha\}$. The converse containment is trivial.

Let $K \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ and $\{K_\alpha\} \subseteq \mathcal{K}(G)$. To show $\mathcal{K}(G)$ is Brouwerian is to show $K \cap (\bigcup K_\alpha) = \bigcup (K \cap K_\alpha)$. Clearly $K \cap (\bigcup K_\alpha) \supseteq \bigcup (K \cap K_\alpha)$. Let $0 \leq x \in K \cap (\bigcup K_\alpha)$. Write $x = \bigvee x_i$ where $0 \leq x_i \in \bigcup K_\alpha$. Since $0 \leq x_i \leq x$ and K is convex, $x_i \in K$; thus $x_i \in \bigcup (K \cap K_\alpha)$. Hence $x \in \bigcup (K \cap K_\alpha)$.

EXAMPLE. An l -group for which $\mathcal{K}(G)$ is not a sublattice of $\mathcal{L}(G)$. Let G be the l -group of all eventually constant sequences. Let S_1 (resp. S_2) be the set of sequences in G whose odd (resp. even) entries are zero. Then $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ but $S_1 \vee S_2$ is the set of eventually zero sequences and is not closed in G .

Let L be a complete Brouwerian lattice. For $x \in L$ let x' denote the largest element of L such that $x \wedge x' = \mathbf{0}$. The collection $P(L) = \{x' \mid x \in L\}$ is a Boolean algebra (under the induced order). ([2], p. 130). In particular, if $x \in P(L)$ then $x = (x)'$. Hence $L = P(L)$ if and only if L is a Boolean algebra.

$\mathcal{L}(G)$ is a complete Brouwerian lattice. If $C \in \mathcal{L}(G)$, then $C \in P(\mathcal{L}(G))$ if and only if $C = \{g \in G \mid |g| \wedge |a| = \mathbf{0} \text{ for all } a \in C\}$. Thus $C \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ whenever $C \in P(\mathcal{L}(G))$. It follows that $P(\mathcal{K}(G)) = P(\mathcal{L}(G))$.

THEOREM 1.2. (Bigard, [1], Thm. 5.6). *G is archimedean if and only if $\mathcal{K}(G) = P(\mathcal{L}(G))$.*

COROLLARY 1.3. *G is archimedean if and only if $\mathcal{K}(G)$ is a*

Boolean algebra.

REMARK. Whether or not G is archimedean is also determined by $\mathcal{L}(G)$. This follows from the following observations. G is archimedean if and only if each principal l -ideal $G(g)$ of G is archimedean. The principal l -ideals of G are the compact elements of $\mathcal{L}(G)$. (An element x of a lattice L is *compact* if $x \leq \bigvee \{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ for $x_\alpha \in L$ implies $x \leq \bigvee \{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \in F\}$ for some finite subset F of A .) An l -group with a strong unit is archimedean if and only if the intersection of its maximal l -ideals is 0 [14]. The maximal l -ideals of $G(g)$ are just those elements of $\mathcal{L}(G)$ which are maximal with respect to being properly contained in $G(g)$.

DEFINITION. Let L be a lattice. An element $x \in L$ is called

(1) *meet-irreducible* if $x = \bigwedge x_\alpha$ implies $x = x_\alpha$ for some α .

(2) *finite meet-irreducible* if $x = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n x_i$ (n finite) implies $x = x_i$ for some i .

The meet-irreducible elements of $\mathcal{L}(G)$ are the regular subgroups of G ; the finite meet-irreducible elements of $\mathcal{L}(G)$ are the prime subgroups of G . ([9], pp. 1.13, 1.14.)

PROPOSITION 1.4. *Let $K \in \mathcal{K}(G)$. K is (finite) meet-irreducible in $\mathcal{K}(G)$ if and only if K is (finite) meet-irreducible in $\mathcal{L}(G)$. In particular, the closed regular subgroups of G are distinguishable in $\mathcal{K}(G)$.*

Proof. Suppose $K = A \cap B$, where $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(G)$, and that K is finite meet-irreducible in $\mathcal{K}(G)$. Let $x \in \bar{A} \cap \bar{B}$. Write $x = \bigvee a_i$, $0 \leq a_i \in A$, and $x = \bigvee b_j$, $0 \leq b_j \in B$. Then $x = \bigvee a_i \wedge \bigvee b_j = \bigvee_{i,j} (a_i \wedge b_j)$ is the join of elements of $A \cap B$, and thus $x \in \bar{A} \cap \bar{B}$. Hence $K = \bar{A}$ or $K = \bar{B}$, and therefore $K = A$ or $K = B$.

Now suppose K is meet-irreducible in $\mathcal{K}(G)$. Then, in particular, K is finite meet-irreducible in $\mathcal{L}(G)$ by the previous paragraph. K is thus a closed prime subgroup of G . Hence the members of $\mathcal{L}(G)$ that contain K all belong to $\mathcal{K}(G)$. Thus K is meet-irreducible in $\mathcal{L}(G)$.

The converse implications are trivial.

We note that all the preceding arguments in this section, except in the remark following Corollary 1.3, apply equally well to non-abelian l -groups with $\mathcal{L}(G)(\mathcal{K}(G))$ replaced by the lattice of all (closed) convex l -subgroups of G .

PROPOSITION 1.5. *The following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\mathcal{K}(G) = \mathcal{L}(G)$.
- (2) $\Gamma(G)$ has no proper plenary subset.
- (3) Each member of $\Gamma(G)$ is closed.

Proof. An l -ideal A of G belongs to each plenary subset of $\Gamma(G)$ if and only if A is a closed regular subgroup of G . ([10], Thm. 5.2, [5], Cor. 3.12, and [4], Prop. 4.1). Thus (2) and (3) are equivalent. (1) implies (3) since $\Gamma(G) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(G)$. (3) implies (1) since each member of $\mathcal{L}(G)$ is an intersection of members of $\Gamma(G)$.

It is shown in ([9], p. 2.44) that G is finite-valued if and only if the elements of $\Gamma(G)$ are special subgroups of G . Since each special subgroup is closed, these conditions imply the conditions of Proposition 1.5. That the converse fails is shown in the following example.

EXAMPLE. Let X be an infinite compact Hausdorff space with a base of closed open subsets. Let $S(X)$ be the set of all continuous real-valued functions on X having finite range. The maximal ideals of $S(X)$ are of the form $M_x = \{f \in S(X) \mid f(x) = 0\}$; there are infinitely many of these. Since $S(X)$ is hyper-archimedean ([9], p. 2.17) these are the only prime ideals of $S(X)$.

Now, let $A = \{(x, n) \mid x \in X \text{ and } n = 1, 2\}$. Define $(x, 1) < (x, 2)$ for all $x \in X$, and let these be the only strict inequalities holding in A . Let G be the l -subgroup of $V(A, R)$ consisting of those functions $f: A \rightarrow R$ such that f has finite range, $f(x, 1) = 0$ for all but finitely many $x \in X$, and the restriction of f to $X \times 2$ is continuous.

Let $x \in X$. The ideal $A_x = \{f \in G \mid f(x, 1) = f(x, 2) = 0\}$ is the polar of a totally-ordered ideal of G , and hence is a minimal prime subgroup of G and is closed. Each l -ideal of G which contains some A_x is hence a closed prime subgroup of G . Let P be a prime ideal of G . Then $P \supseteq A_x$ for some x or $P \supseteq \{f \in G \mid f(x, 2) = 0 \text{ for all } x\} = \Sigma$. G/Σ is l -isomorphic to $S(X)$. Thus if $P \supseteq \Sigma$ then P corresponds to one of the prime ideals of $S(X)$, say $P = B_x = \{f \in G \mid f(x, 2) = 0\}$. But $B_x \supseteq A_x$. Hence each prime subgroup of G is closed, and thus each member of $\Gamma(G)$ is closed.

On the other hand, the function $g \in G$ such that, for all x , $g(x, 1) = 0$ and $g(x, 2) = 1$ has infinitely many values. (Each B_x is a value of g .)

Note also that Σ and G/Σ are both projectable, but G is not even though each prime subgroup of G exceeds a unique minimal prime.

2. a^* -extensions. Let G be an l -subgroup of H . If $A \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ we write $\tilde{A} = \{x \in H \mid |x| \leq y \text{ for some } y \in A\}$. Then $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{L}(H)$; indeed, it is the smallest l -ideal of H that contains A .

LEMMA 2.1. Let G be an l -subgroup of H .

(a) If $K \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ then $\tilde{K} \cap G = K$.

(b) If $K \in \mathcal{K}(H)$ then $(K \cap G)^{\sim} \subseteq K$ and $(K \cap G)^{\sim} \cap G = K \cap G$.

Proof. (a). Clearly $\overline{K} \cap G \supseteq K$. Let $0 \leq g \in \overline{K} \cap G$. Then $g = \mathbf{V}_H h_i$ where $0 \leq h_i \leq k_i \in K$. Note $g \wedge k_i \geq h_i$. Suppose $h \in H$ and $h \geq g \wedge k_i$ for all i . Then $h \geq h_i$ for all i and hence $h \geq g$. Thus $g = \mathbf{V}_H (g \wedge k_i)$. Since G is an l -subgroup of H and $g, g \wedge k_i \in G$, we have $g = \mathbf{V}_G (g \wedge k_i)$. Thus g is a join in G of elements of K , and hence $g \in K$.

(b). Let $K \in \mathcal{K}(H)$. Then $K \cap G \subseteq K$, whence $(K \cap G)^\sim \subseteq K$ and $(K \cap G)^\sim \subseteq K$. Thus $K \cap G \subseteq (K \cap G)^\sim \subseteq K$ and hence $(K \cap G)^\sim \cap G = K \cap G$.

DEFINITION. Let G be an l -subgroup of H . H is an a^* -extension of G if the map $K \mapsto K \cap G$ is a one-to-one map of $\mathcal{K}(H)$ onto $\mathcal{K}(G)$.

If H is an a^* -extension of G and $K \in \mathcal{K}(H)$, then by Lemma 2.1 (b), $(K \cap G)^\sim = K$; thus both the map $K \mapsto K \cap G$ and its inverse preserve order. Hence if H is an a^* -extension of G the map $K \mapsto K \cap G$ is a lattice isomorphism of $\mathcal{K}(H)$ onto $\mathcal{K}(G)$.

H is an a -extension of G if the map $C \mapsto C \cap G$ is a one-to-one map of $\mathcal{L}(H)$ onto $\mathcal{L}(G)$. Each a -extension of G is an a^* -extension of G . ([3], Thm. 3.9). H is an essential extension of G if $C \cap G \neq 0$ for all $0 \neq C \in \mathcal{L}(H)$.

LEMMA 2.2. *If H is an essential extension of G and $K \in \mathcal{K}(H)$, then $K \cap G \in \mathcal{K}(G)$.*

Proof. Suppose $g = \mathbf{V}_G k_i$ where $0 \leq k_i \in K \cap G$. Then since H is abelian and an essential extension of G , $g = \mathbf{V}_H k_i$. ([7], Lemma 5.4). Thus $g \in K$ and so $g \in K \cap G$. Hence $K \cap G \in \mathcal{K}(G)$.

LEMMA 2.3. *If G is an l -ideal of H and G is archimedean, then \overline{G} is archimedean.*

Proof. Suppose (by way of contradiction) that there exist $a, b \in \overline{G}$ with $0 < a \ll b$. Then $0 < 2b \in \overline{G}$ and thus $2b = \mathbf{V}_H g_i$ where $0 < g_i \in G$. Now $b = (\mathbf{V} g_i) - b = \mathbf{V} (g_i - b) = \mathbf{V} ((g_i - b) \vee 0)$ and $0 < a = a \wedge b = \mathbf{V} (((g_i - b) \vee 0) \wedge a)$. Hence $((g_i - b) \vee 0) \wedge a > 0$ for some g_i .

For totally ordered groups it is the case that $0 < a \ll b$ and $0 < g_i$ imply $g_i \gg ((g_i - b) \vee 0) \wedge a$. (Consider the cases $g_i - b < 0$ and $g_i - b \geq 0$.) Hence this implication holds in the abelian l -group \overline{G} .

$((g_i - b) \vee 0) \wedge a$ is a join of positive elements of G . Hence there exists $0 < g \in G$ such that $g \ll g_i$, contradicting the hypothesis that G is archimedean.

We remark that Lemma 2.3 and its proof are valid more generally when H is any l -group that can be represented as a subdirect product of (possibly non-abelian) totally ordered groups.

LEMMA 2.4. *If $K \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ and $A \in \mathcal{K}(K)$, then $A \in \mathcal{K}(G)$. Conversely, if $A, K \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ and $A \subseteq K$, then $A \in \mathcal{K}(K)$.*

Proof. Let $K \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ and $A \in \mathcal{K}(K)$. If $g = \bigvee_G a_i$ where $0 \leq a_i \in A$, then $g \in K$ and hence $g = \bigvee_K a_i$, whence $g \in A$.

Conversely, let $A, K \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ and $A \subseteq K$. If $k \in K$ and $k = \bigvee_K a_i$, $0 \leq a_i \in A$, then since K is convex in G , $k = \bigvee_G a_i$; hence $k \in A$.

COROLLARY. *Suppose H is an a^* -extension of G , and $K \in \mathcal{K}(H)$. Then K is an a^* -extension of $K \cap G$.*

THEOREM 2.5. *If H is an a^* -extension of G , then H is an essential extension of G .*

Proof. Let $0 \neq C \in \mathcal{L}(H)$. We prove $C \cap G \neq 0$.

Case 1. Suppose C is not archimedean. Then there exist $0 < x, y \in C$ such that $x \ll y$. Then $H(x) < y$ and hence $\overline{H(x)} < y$. Thus $0 \neq \overline{H(x)} \cap G \subseteq C \cap G$, and hence $P(\mathcal{L}(G)) = P(\mathcal{L}(G))$.

Case 2. Suppose C is archimedean. Then \bar{C} is archimedean by Lemma 2.3, and \bar{C} is an a^* -extension of $\bar{C} \cap G$ by the corollary to Lemma 2.4. Thus $X \rightarrow X \cap \bar{C} \cap G$ is a one-to-one correspondence between the polars in \bar{C} and those in $\bar{C} \cap G$. Thus, since \bar{C} is archimedean, \bar{C} is an essential extension of $\bar{C} \cap G$. ([6], Thm. 3.7). Hence $0 \neq C \cap (\bar{C} \cap G) = C \cap G$.

THEOREM 2.6. *Let G be an l -subgroup of H . The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *H is an a^* -extension of G .*
- (2) *H is an essential extension of G , and $(K \cap G)^\# = K$ for all $K \in \mathcal{K}(H)$.*
- (3) *H is an essential extension of G , and $K_1 = K_2$ whenever $K_1 \cap G = K_2 \cap G$ for $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}(H)$.*

Proof. (1) implies (2). Immediate from Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 2.1 (b).

(2) implies (3). If $K_1 \cap G = K_2 \cap G$, then $(K_1 \cap G)^\# = (K_2 \cap G)^\#$ whence $K_1 = K_2$.

(3) implies (1). This follows from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.1 (a).

McCleary ([12], Cor. 5) has proved that if G is completely distributive, then each $K \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ is the intersection of a set of closed regular subgroups of G . On the other hand, Byrd and Lloyd ([5], Thm. 3.10) proved that G is completely distributive if and only if the collection of all closed regular subgroups of G has 0 intersection. These remarks

are applicable, in particular, to $V(\Delta, R)$, where Δ is any root system, since $\bigcap \{V_\lambda, \lambda \in \Delta\} = 0$.

THEOREM 2.7. *Let Δ be a plenary subset of $\Gamma(G)$ and $\sigma: G \rightarrow V(\Delta, R)$ a v -isomorphism. $V(\Delta, R)$ is an α^* -extension of $G\sigma$ if and only if each $G_\delta, \delta \in \Delta$, is a special subgroup of G .*

Proof. For convenience we identify G with $G\sigma$.

Suppose each G_δ is special. If $\delta \in \Delta$ there exists $g_\delta \in G$ such that the only maximal component of g_δ is δ . It follows that $V = V(\Delta, R)$ is an essential extension of G .

Let $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}(V)$ and suppose $K_1 \cap G = K_2 \cap G$. As noted above there exist subsets A, B of Δ (which without loss of generality are dual ideals of Δ) such that $K_1 = \bigcap \{V_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ and $K_2 = \bigcap \{V_\beta \mid \beta \in B\}$. Suppose $\delta \in A \setminus B$ and let $g = g_\delta$. Suppose there exists $\beta \in B$ such that $g \in V_\beta$. Then $g(\gamma) \neq 0$ for some $\gamma \geq \beta$, and since δ is the only maximal component of g , $\delta \geq \gamma$. Thus $\delta \geq \beta$ and so $\delta \in B$, a contradiction. Hence $g \in V_\beta$ for all $\beta \in B$, and therefore $g \in K_2 \cap G$. But clearly $g \notin K_1 \cap G$. This contradicts $K_1 \cap G = K_2 \cap G$. Hence $A \subseteq B$, and similarly $B \subseteq A$. Thus $K_1 = K_2$, and V is an α^* -extension of G .

Conversely, suppose V is an α^* -extension of G . For $\beta \in \Delta$ let $M_\beta = \bigcap \{V_\delta \mid \delta \not\leq \beta\}$ and $N_\beta = \bigcap \{V_\delta \mid \delta \not\geq \beta\}$. Then $M_\beta, N_\beta \in \mathcal{K}(V)$. By definition M_β (resp., N_β) is the set of all elements of V whose support lies strictly below β (resp., on or below β). Thus there exists $g \in G$ such that the only maximal component of g is β . $G_\beta = V_\beta \cap G$ is the only value of g in G . Thus G_β is special for all $\beta \in \Delta$.

REMARK. A lattice L is *meet-generated* by $S \subseteq L$ if each element of L is the meet of some subset of S . If, in addition, no two dual ideals of S have the same meet, then S *freely* meet-generates L . It can be shown that the equivalent conditions of Theorem 2.8 are in turn equivalent to the condition: $\mathcal{K}(G)$ is freely meet-generated by Δ .

3. α^* -closures.

DEFINITION. An l -group H is α^* -closed if it admits no proper α^* -extension. H is an α^* -closure of G if H is an α^* -extension of G and H is α^* -closed.

The arguments leading up to the first theorem of this section need no commutativity hypothesis. Hence the α^* -closure of an archimedean l -group would be that of the theorem even if this paper

admitted non-abelian l -groups (with the lattice of closed convex l -subgroups playing the role of $\mathcal{K}(G)$).

Suppose G is archimedean and H is an a^* -extension of G . Then by Corollary 1.3 H is archimedean, and, furthermore, by ([6], Thm. 3.7) H is an essential extension of G . Conversely, if H is archimedean and an essential extension of G , then by Theorem 1.2 and ([8], Thm. 3.4) H is an a^* -extension of G . Thus for archimedean l -groups the a^* -extensions are the archimedean essential extensions. It was proved in [6] that each archimedean l -group G admits a unique essential closure relative to the class of all archimedean l -groups. Thus we have

THEOREM 3.1. *Each archimedean l -group G has an a^* -closure. Furthermore, if H_1 and H_2 are l -groups each of which is an a^* -closure of G , then there exists an l -isomorphism τ of H_1 onto H_2 such that $\tau|_G = 1_G$.*

This closure is the l -group of all almost-finite extended real-valued functions on the Stone space associated with the Boolean algebra $P(\mathcal{L}(G))$. ([6], Thm. 3.6). Since the members of $P(\mathcal{L}(G))$ are closed l -ideals of G , we conclude that if G is archimedean then $|G| \leq |R^{a^*(G)}|$. This fact will be useful later.

The proofs of the next two lemmas make repeated use of Theorem 2.6.

LEMMA 3.2. *Suppose F is an l -subgroup of G and G is an l -subgroup of H . If H is an a^* -extension of G and G is an a^* -extension of F , then H is an a^* -extension of F , and conversely.*

Proof. Suppose H is an a^* -extension of G and G is an a^* -extension of F . The map $K \rightarrow K \cap F$ where $K \in \mathcal{K}(H)$ is the composition $K \rightarrow K \cap G \rightarrow (K \cap G) \cap F$. Thus H is an a^* -extension of F .

Conversely, let H be an a^* -extension of F . Then H is an essential extension of F and hence of G . Let $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}(H)$ be such that $K_1 \cap G = K_2 \cap G$. Then $K_1 \cap F = K_2 \cap F$ and hence $K_1 = K_2$. Thus H is an a^* -extension of G .

Let $0 < g \in G$. Then $g \in H$, and since H is an essential extension of F , there exists $0 < f \in F$ such that $f \leq ng$ for some positive integer n . Thus $f \in G(g)$, and hence G is an essential extension of F .

Let $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ and suppose $K_1 \cap F = K_2 \cap F$. We apply Lemma 2.1 (a). $\overline{K_1} \cap F = (\overline{K_1} \cap G) \cap F = K_1 \cap F = K_2 \cap F = (\overline{K_2} \cap G) \cap F = \overline{K_2} \cap F$. Hence $\overline{K_1} = \overline{K_2}$ and so $K_1 = K_2$.

LEMMA 3.3. *If $\{H_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ is a chain of l -groups each of which is an l -subgroup of the members of the chain that contain it, and each of which is an α^* -extension of G , then $H = \cup H_\alpha$ is an α^* -extension of G .*

Proof. Each H_α is an essential extension of G . Let $0 < x \in H$. Then $x \in H_\alpha$ for some α . Hence $H_\alpha(x) \cap G \neq 0$. But $H(x) \supseteq H_\alpha(x)$. Thus $H(x) \cap G \neq 0$, and hence H is an essential extension of G .

Suppose $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}(H)$ and $K_1 \cap G = K_2 \cap G$. Then for each $\alpha \in A$, we have $K_1 \cap H_\alpha, K_2 \cap H_\alpha \in \mathcal{K}(H_\alpha)$ since H is an essential extension of $H_\alpha \supseteq G$. Moreover, $(K_1 \cap H_\alpha) \cap G = K_1 \cap G = K_2 \cap G = (K_2 \cap H_\alpha) \cap G$. Since H_α is an α^* -extension of G , we conclude $K_1 \cap H_\alpha = K_2 \cap H_\alpha$. Thus $K_1 = K_1 \cap H = K_1 \cap (\cup H_\alpha) = \cup (K_1 \cap H_\alpha) = \cup (K_2 \cap H_\alpha) = K_2$. Thus H is an α^* -extension of G .

LEMMA 3.4. *Let $K \in \mathcal{K}(G)$, $A \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ and $A \supseteq K$. If $A/K \in \mathcal{K}(G/K)$, then $A \in \mathcal{K}(G)$.*

Proof. Suppose $g \in G$ and $g = \bigvee_{\alpha \in A} a_\alpha$, $0 \leq a_\alpha \in A$. Then ([4], Lemma 4.4) since $K \in \mathcal{K}(G)$, $g + K = \bigvee (a_\alpha + K)$. Thus $g + K \in A/K$ and hence $g \in A$. Hence $A \in \mathcal{K}(G)$.

We note that the example at the end of §1 can be used to show that the converse of Lemma 3.4 fails. Referring to that example, we have $B_x, \Sigma \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ and $B_x \supseteq \Sigma$, but B_x/Σ is not closed in G/Σ unless x is an isolated point of X . X can be chosen so that it has no isolated points. R. Byrd has sent us a similar example illustrating the failure of the converse for Lemma 3.4.

LEMMA 3.5. *Let $g \in G$ with $g \neq 0$. There exist $A, B \in \mathcal{K}(G)$ with $A \subseteq B$ such that $g \in B \setminus A$ and B/A is archimedean.*

Proof. Since g belongs to an l -ideal of G if and only if $|g|$ does, we can assume $g > 0$.

Let $S = \{z \in G \mid 0 \leq z \ll g\}$. Then S is a convex subsemigroup of G and the subgroup A generated by S is an l -ideal of G . If $x \in G$ and $x = \bigvee a_i$, $0 \leq a_i \in A$, then $na_i \leq g$ and hence $n \bigvee a_i = \bigvee na_i \leq g$; thus $x \in A$. Hence $A \in \mathcal{K}(G)$.

We show A is the intersection of the maximal l -ideals of $G(g)$. Let $0 < a \in A$ and let M be a maximal l -ideal of $G(g)$. Since $a \ll g$ we have $n(M + a) = M + na < M + g$ for all integers n . $G(g)/M$ is l -isomorphic to an l -subgroup of R . Hence $a \in M$.

Now suppose $x > 0$ is an element of each maximal ideal M of $G(g)$. Let n be an integer. Then $M + g > M + nx$. The maximal

ideals of $G(g)$ are precisely the values of $g-nx$ in $G(g)$. Thus $M^+g - ux > M$ for all values of $g - nx$ in $G(g)$, and hence $g - nx \geq 0$. Thus $x \ll g$ and $x \in A$.

Since the intersection of all the maximal l -ideals of $G(g)/A$ is zero, $G(g)/A$ is a subdirect product of copies of R , and hence is archimedean. Let B be the l -ideal of G such that $B \supseteq A$ and B/A is the least member of $\mathcal{K}(G/A)$ containing $G(g)/A$. By Lemma 2.4 B/A is archimedean and by Lemma 3.4 $B \in \mathcal{K}(G)$. Since $g \in B \setminus A$, the proof is complete.

REMARK. The above argument contains a proof of the fact that for abelian l -groups with strong unit the intersection of all maximal l -ideals is a closed l -ideal.

THEOREM 3.6. *Each l -group G has an a^* -closure.*

Proof. The divisible hull of G is an a -extension of G and hence an a^* -extension of G . Thus without loss of generality G is a rational vector space.

Let A index the set of ordered pairs (K^α, K_α) of elements of $\mathcal{K}(G)$ such that $K^\alpha \supset K_\alpha$ and K^α/K_α is archimedean. For each $\alpha \in A$ choose some fixed C_α such that G is the group direct sum of K^α and C_α . Define $\eta: G \rightarrow \prod K^\alpha/K_\alpha$ by $\eta(g) = (\dots g_\alpha \dots)$ where $g = g_\alpha + c_\alpha$ with $g_\alpha \in K^\alpha$ and $c_\alpha \in C_\alpha$. Then η is a group homomorphism, and by Lemma 3.5 $\text{Ker } \eta = 0$. Thus η is injective.

By Lemma 2.4 $|\mathcal{K}(K^\alpha)| \leq |\mathcal{K}(G)|$ and by Lemma 3.4 $|\mathcal{K}(K^\alpha/K_\alpha)| \leq |\mathcal{K}(K^\alpha)|$. Thus $|K^\alpha/K_\alpha| \leq |R^{2^{\aleph(G)}}|$ for all $\alpha \in A$. (See the paragraph following Theorem 3.1.) Now since $A \subseteq \mathcal{K}(G) \times \mathcal{K}(G)$ we conclude that there is some cardinal number \aleph dependent only on $|\mathcal{K}(G)|$ such that $|G| \leq \aleph$. If H is an a^* -extension of G , then since $|\mathcal{K}(G)| = |\mathcal{K}(H)|$, we have $|H| \leq \aleph$.

It follows now by Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 and the usual transfinite arguments that G has an a^* -closure.

THEOREM 3.7. *Suppose the closed regular subgroups of G form a plenary subset Δ of $\Gamma(G)$. Then each a^* -closure of G is l -isomorphic to an l -subgroup of $V(\Delta, R)$. If each member of Δ is a special subgroup of G , then each a^* -closure of G is l -isomorphic to $V(\Delta, R)$.*

Proof. Let H be an a^* -closure of G . By Theorem 1.4 $\{G_\delta, \delta \in \Delta\}$ is the set of meet-irreducible elements of $\mathcal{K}(G)$. Let H_δ be the element of $\mathcal{K}(H)$ such that $H_\delta \cap G = G_\delta$. Then $\{H_\delta, \delta \in \Delta\}$ is the set of closed regular subgroups of H , and $\bigcap H_\delta = 0$ since $\bigcap G_\delta = 0$. Thus $\{H_\delta, \delta \in \Delta\}$ is a plenary subset of $\Gamma(H)$, and there exists a v -isomorphism

$\sigma: H \rightarrow V(\Delta, R)$. Thus H is l -isomorphic to an l -subgroup of $V(\Delta, R)$. The last assertion of the theorem follows from Theorem 2.7.

COROLLARY 3.8. $V(\Delta, R)$ is a^* -closed for any root system Δ .

A stronger form of uniqueness than that given by Theorem 3.7 exists when the members of Δ are special, and we proceed to establish this.

LEMMA 3.9. Let G and H be divisible l -groups with G an l -subgroup of H , and let $\{G_\delta, \delta \in \Delta\}$ be a plenary subset of $\Gamma(G)$. Suppose there exists a plenary subset $\{H_\delta, \delta \in \Delta\}$ of $\Gamma(H)$ such that $H_\delta \cap G = G_\delta$ and $H^\delta \cap G = G^\delta$ for all $\delta \in \Delta$. (Here $H^\delta(G^\delta)$ denotes the intersection of all l -ideals of $H(G)$ which properly contain $H_\delta(G_\delta)$.) If $\sigma: G \rightarrow V(\Delta, R)$ is a v -isomorphism then there exists a v -isomorphism $\tau: H \rightarrow V(\Delta, R)$ such that $g\tau = \sigma$ for all $g \in G$.

Proof. Note that under the hypothesis the natural map $G^\delta/G_\delta \rightarrow H^\delta/H_\delta$ is a well-defined l -isomorphism into H^δ/H_δ . Now the proof of ([9], Lemma 4.11) applies.

THEOREM 3.10. Suppose the special subgroups of G form a plenary subset Δ of $\Gamma(G)$. Then G has an a^* -closure which is l -isomorphic to $V(\Delta, R)$. Moreover, if H_1 and H_2 are a^* -closures of G , there exists an l -isomorphism μ of H_1 onto H_2 such that $\mu|_G = 1_G$.

Proof. Let $\sigma: G \rightarrow V(\Delta, R)$ be a v -isomorphism. H_1 and H_2 are divisible since the divisible hull of an l -group is an a^* -extension of it. Moreover, since σ extends uniquely to a v -isomorphism of the divisible hull of G into $V(\Delta, R)$, we can assume G is divisible. Δ is the set of closed regular subgroups of G . The closed regular subgroups of G and the l -ideals that cover them are distinguishable in $\mathcal{K}(G)$. Thus, for $i = 1, 2$, there exists by Lemma 3.9 a v -isomorphism $\tau_i: H_i \rightarrow V(\Delta, R)$ such that $g\tau_i = \sigma$ for all $g \in G$. By Theorem 2.7 and Lemma 3.2 τ_i is surjective. Now $\mu = \tau_1\tau_2^{-1}$ is an l -isomorphism of H_1 onto H_2 and $g\mu = g$ for all $g \in G$.

COROLLARY 3.11. If G is finite-valued, then $V(\Gamma, R)$ is the unique a^* -closure of G .

COROLLARY 3.12. If G is totally ordered, then $V(\Gamma, R)$ is the unique a^* -closure of G .

Thus the a^* -closure of a totally-ordered abelian group coincides with its Hahn closure.

REFERENCES

1. A. Bigard, *Contribution a la theorie des groupes reticules*, Thesis, Universite de Paris, 1969.
2. B. Birkhoff, *Lattice theory*, rev. ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. Vol. 25, 1967.
3. R. D. Byrd, *Archimedean closures in lattice-ordered groups*, *Canad. J. Math.*, **21** (1969), 1004-1011.
4. ———, *Complete distributivity in lattice-ordered groups*, *Pacific J. Math.*, **20** (1967), 423-432.
5. R. D. Byrd and J. T. Lloyd, *Closed subgroups and complete distributivity in lattice-ordered groups*, *Math. Z.*, **101** (1967), 123-130.
6. P. Conrad, *The essential closure of an archimedean lattice-ordered group*, *Duke Math. J.*, **38** (1971), 151-160.
7. ———, *The hulls of representable l -groups and f -rings*, to appear, *J. Australian Math. Soc.*
8. ———, *The lateral completion of a lattice-ordered group*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **XIX** (1969), 444-480.
9. ———, *Lattice-ordered groups*, Tulane University, 1970.
10. P. Conrad, J. Harvey, and C. Holland, *The Hahn embedding theorem for abelian lattice-ordered groups*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **108** (1963), 143-169.
11. L. Fuchs, *Partially Ordered Algebraic Systems*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, London, New York, Paris, 1963.
12. S. McCleary, *The closed prime subgroups of certain ordered permutation groups*, *Pacific J. Math.*, **31** (1969), 745-754.
13. S. Wolfenstein, *Contribution a l'etude des groupes reticules; Extensions archimediennes, Groupes a valeurs normales*, Thesis, Universite de Paris, 1970.
14. K. Yoshida, *On a vector lattice with unit*, *Proc. Japan Acad. Tokyo*, **17** (1941), 121-124.

Received April 18, 1972.

UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS