COMMON FIXED POINTS OF TWO MAPPINGS

Chi Song Wong

Let S, T be functions on a nonempty complete metric space (X, d). The main result of this paper is the following. S or T has a fixed point if there exist decreasing functions $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5$ of $(0, \infty)$ into [0, 1) such that (a) $\sum_{i=1}^5 \alpha_i < 1$; (b) $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ or $\alpha_3 = \alpha_4$, (c) $\lim_{t\downarrow 0} (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) < 1$ and $\lim_{t\downarrow 0} (\alpha_3 + \alpha_4) < 1$ and (d) for any distinct x, y in X,

$$\begin{aligned} d(S(x), \ T(y)) &\leq a_1 d(x, \ S(x)) + a_2 d(y, \ T(y)) + a_3 d(x, \ T(y)) \\ &+ a_4 d(y, \ S(x)) + a_5 d(x, \ y) \ , \end{aligned}$$

where $a_i = \alpha_i(d(x, y))$. A number of related results are obtained.

1. Introduction. Let (X, d) be a nonempty complete metric space and let S, T be mappings of X into itself which are not necessarily continuous nor commuting. Suppose that there are nonnegative real numbers a_1 , a_2 , a_3 , a_4 , a_5 such that

(a)
$$a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 < 1$$
,

(b)
$$a_1 = a_2$$
 or $a_3 = a_4$,

and for any x, y in X,

$$\begin{array}{ll} ({\rm c}\,) & d(S(x),\,T(y)) \leq a_1 d(x,\,S(x)) \,+\, a_2 d(y,\,T(y)) \,+\, a_3 d(x,\,T(y)) \\ & +\, a_4 d(y,\,S(x)) \,+\, a_5 d(x,\,y) \,\,. \end{array}$$

It is proved in this paper that each of S, T has a unique fixed point and these two fixed points coincide. Among others, a generalization is obtained by replacing a_1 , a_2 , a_3 , a_4 , a_5 with nonnegative real-valued functions on $(0, \infty)$. This result generalizes the Banach contraction mapping theorem and some results of G. Hardy and T. Rogers [5], R. Kannan [7], E. Rakotch [8], S. Reich [9], P. Srivastava, and V. K. Gupta [10]. It also gives a different proof for these special cases. Note that even if X = [0, 1] and if T_1 , T_2 are commuting continuous functions of X into itself, T_1 , T_2 need not have a common fixed point [1], [2], and [6].

2. Basic results.

THEOREM 1. Let S, T be mappings of a complete metric space (X, d) into itself. Suppose that there exist nonnegative real numbers a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 which satisfy (a), (b), and (c). Then each of S, T

has a unique fixed point and these two fixed points coincide.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$. Define

$$x_{2n+1} = S(x_{2n}), x_{2n+2} = T(x_{2n+1}), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$

From (c),

$$egin{aligned} d(x_1,\,x_2) &= d(S(x_0),\,T(x_1)) \ &\leq (a_1+a_5)d(x_0,\,x_1) + a_2d(x_1,\,x_2) + a_3d(x_0,\,x_2) \ &\leq (a_1+a_5)d(x_0,\,x_1) + a_2d(x_1,\,x_2) + a_3(d(x_0,\,x_1) + d(x_1,\,x_2)) \ . \end{aligned}$$

 \mathbf{So}

$$(1) d(x_1, x_2) \leq \frac{a_1 + a_3 + a_5}{1 - a_2 - a_3} d(x_0, x_1) .$$

Similarly,

$$(2) d(x_2, x_3) \leq \frac{a_2 + a_4 + a_5}{1 - a_1 - a_4} d(x_1, x_2) .$$

Let

$$r=rac{a_1+a_3+a_5}{1-a_2-a_3}$$
 , $s=rac{a_2+a_4+a_5}{1-a_1-a_4}$.

Repeating the above argument, we obtain, for each $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(3)$$
 $d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq rd(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n})$,

$$(4) d(x_{2n+3}, x_{2n+2}) \leq sd(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}) .$$

By (3), (4), and induction, we have, for each $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$,

$$(5)$$
 $d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq r(rs)^n d(x_0, x_1)$,

$$(6) d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}) \leq (rs)^{n+1} d(x_0, x_1) .$$

Since rs < 1 and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d(x_n, \, x_{n+1}) \leq (1 + r) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (rs)^n d(x_0, \, x_1) \; ,$$

 $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy. By completeness of (X, d), $\{x_n\}$ converges to some point x in X. We shall now prove that x is a fixed point of S and T. Let n be given. Then

(7)
$$d(x, S(x)) \leq d(x, x_{2n+2}) + d(S(x), x_{2n+2}) \\ = d(x, x_{2n+2}) + d(S(x), T(x_{2n+1})) .$$

By (c),

$$(8) \qquad \frac{d(S(x), T(x_{2n+1})) \leq a_1 d(x, S(x)) + a_2 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) + a_3 d(x, x_{2n+2})}{+ a_4 d(x_{2n+1}, S(x)) + a_5 d(x, x_{2n+1})}.$$

Combining (7) and (8) and letting n tend to infinity, we obtain

$$d(x, S(x)) \leq (a_1 + a_4)d(x, S(x))$$
.

Since $a_1 + a_4 < 1$, S(x) = x. Similarly T(x) = x. Let y be a fixed point of T. Then from d(x, y) = d(S(x), T(y)) and (c), we obtain

$$d(x, y) \leq (a_3 + a_4 + a_5)d(x, y)$$
.

Since $a_3 + a_4 + a_5 < 1$, d(x, y) = 0. So T has a unique fixed point. Similarly, S has a unque fixed point.

When $a_3 = a_4 = a_5 = 0$, S = T and T is continuous (or even $x \rightarrow d(x, T(x))$ is lower semicontinuous) on X, Theorem 1 can be obtained by an earlier result of the author [11, Theorem 1].

From the proof of Theorem 1, we know that S, T still have a common fixed point if conditions (a), (b) are replaced by the following conditions:

$$(9)$$
 $(a_1 + a_3 + a_5)(a_2 + a_4 + a_5) < (1 - a_2 - a_3)(1 - a_1 - a_4)$,

(10)
$$a_1 + a_4 < 1$$

If in addition,

$$(11) a_3 + a_4 + a_5 < 1,$$

then the common fixed point of S, T is the unique fixed point of S(and T). Note that conditions (a) and (b) imply (9), but (a) alone does not. Indeed, for any a_1 , a_2 , a_5 in $[0, \infty)$ with $a_1 \neq a_2$ and $a_1 + a_2 + a_5 < 1$, we can always find a_3 , a_4 in $[0, \infty)$ such that (a) holds but (9) does not. This can be seen by considering the affine function f:

$$f(x, y) = (1 - a_2 - x)(1 - a_1 - y) - (a_1 + x + a_5)(a_2 + y + a_5)$$

defined on the compact convex set

$$K = \{(x, y) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]: a_1 + a_2 + x + y + a_5 \leq 1\}.$$

f takes its minimum value at one of the extreme points of K. With some computation, we conclude that

$$\min f(K) = - |a_1 - a_2| (1 - a_1 - a_2 - a_3) .$$

Since $a_1 + a_2 + a_5 > 1$, min f(K) < 0 if and only if $a_1 \neq a_2$. Thus if $a_1 \neq a_2$, then by continuity of f, there exists a point (a_3, a_4) in

 $K \setminus \{(x, y) \in K: a_1 + a_2 + x + y + a_5 = 1\}$

such that $f(a_3, a_4) < 0$.

COROLLARY 1. R. Kannan [7, Theorem 1]. Let S be a mapping of a complete metric space (X, d) into itself. Suppose that there exists a number r in [0, 1/2) such that

$$d(S(x), S(y)) \leq r(d(x + S(x)) + d(y, S(y)))$$

for all x, y in X. Then S has a unique fixed point.

COROLLARY 2. P. Srivastava and V. K. Gupta [10, Theorem 1]. Let S, T be mappings of a complete metric space (X, d) into itself. Suppose that there exists nonnegative real numbers a_1, a_2 such that

$$(a) a_1 + a_2 < 1$$

and

(b) $d(S(x), T(y)) \leq a_1 d(x, S(x)) + a_2 d(y, T(y))$

for all x, y in X.

Then S, T have a unique common fixed point.

Srivastava and Gupta stated the above result in a more general form with S, T replaced by S^{p} , T^{q} for some positive integers p, q. Since the unique fixed point of S^{p} (similarly T^{q}) is the unique fixed point of S, this result is equivalent to Corollary 2.

For Corollaries 1 and 2, we have the following related result.

PROPOSITION. Let S, T be self-maps of a nonempty complete metric space (X, d). Suppose that there exist nonnegative real numbers a_1 , a_2 such that $a_1 + a_2 < 1$ and

$$(*) d(S(x), T(y)) \leq a_1 d(x, S(x)) + a_2 d(y, T(y)), \quad x, y \in X.$$

Then either (*) is true when all of its S are replaced by T or (*) is true when all of its T are replaced by S.

The following example proves that our result is actually more general than that of Srivastava and Gupta.

EXAMPLE. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Let d be the metric for X determined by

$$d(1, 2) = 1, \ d(2, 3) = \frac{4}{7}, \ d(1, 3) = \frac{5}{7}.$$

Let S, T be the function on X such that

$$S(1) = S(2) = S(3) = 1;$$

 $T(1) = T(3) = 1, \quad T(2) = 3$

Let $a_1 = 0$, $a_2 = 0$, $a_3 = 0$, $a_4 = 5/7$, $a_5 = 0$. Then the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied. However, no nonnegative real numbers a_1 , a_2 , a_3 , a_5 can be chosen such that $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_5 < 1$ and for $x, y \in X$,

$$d(S(x), T(y)) \leq a_1 d(x, S(x)) + a_2 d(y, T(y)) + a_3 d(x, T(y)) + a_5 d(x, y) .$$

For if there exist such a_1 , a_2 , a_3 , a_5 , then

$$d(S(3), T(2)) \leq a_1 d(3, S(3)) + a_2 d(2, T(2)) + a_3 d(3, T(2)) + a_5 d(3, 2)$$
.

So

$$rac{5}{7} \leq rac{5a_1}{7} + rac{4a_2}{7} + rac{4a_5}{7} \leq rac{5}{7} \left(a_1 + a_2 + a_3
ight) < rac{5}{7}$$
 ,

a contradiction.

COROLLARY 3. G. Hardy and T. Rogers [5, Theorem 1]. Let S be a mapping of a nonempty complete metric space (X, d) into itself. Suppose that there exist nonnegative real numbers a_1 , a_2 , a_3 , a_4 , a_5 such that

(a)
$$a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 < 1$$

and

(b)
$$d(S(x), S(y)) \leq a_1 d(x, S(x) + a_2 d(y, S(y)) + a_3 d(x, S(y)) + a_4 d(y, S(x)) + a_5 d(x, y)$$

for all x, y in X.

Then S has a unique fixed point.

Note that in the above case, we may without loss of generality assume that $a_1 = a_2$, $a_3 = a_4$ (replace a_1 , a_2 , a_3 , a_4 , a_5 respectively by

$$rac{a_1+a_2}{2}$$
 , $rac{a_1+a_2}{2}$, $rac{a_3+a_4}{2}$, $rac{a_3+a_4}{2}$, a_5

if necessary). So the above result follows from Theorem 1. The above example shows that there is no such symmetry $(a_1 = a_2, a_3 = a_4)$ for the general case. Indeed, we cannot even assume $a_3 = a_4$. For if $a_3 = a_4$, then for the above example, we have

CHI SONG WONG

$$egin{array}{ll} rac{5}{7} &= d(S(3),\ T(3)) \leq rac{5}{7} \, a_1 + rac{4}{7} \, a_2 + a_4 + rac{4}{7} \, a_5 \ . \ &= rac{5}{7} \, a_1 + rac{4}{7} \, a_2 + rac{1}{2} \, a_3 + rac{1}{2} \, a_4 + rac{4}{7} \, a_5 \ . \ &< rac{5}{7} \, (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5) < rac{5}{7} \ , \end{array}$$

a contradiction.

2. Extensions and some ralated results. The following result generalizes Theorem 1. Its proof is different from the one we gave for Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. Let S, T be functions on a nonempty complete metric space (X, d). Suppose that there exist decreasing functions $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5$ of $(0, \infty)$ into [0, 1) such that

- (a) $\sum_{i=1}^{5} \alpha_i < 1;$
- (b) $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 \text{ or } \alpha_3 = \alpha_4;$
- $(c) \quad \lim_{t\downarrow 0} (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3) < 1 \ \text{and} \ \lim_{t\downarrow 0} (\alpha_1 + \alpha_4) < 1;$
- (d) for any distinct x, y in X,

$$egin{aligned} d(S(x),\ T(y)) &\leq a_1 d(x,\ S(x)) \,+\, a_2 d(y,\ T(y)) \,+\, a_3 d(x,\ T(y)) \ &+\, a_4 d(y,\ S(x)) \,+\, a_5 d(x,\ y) \,\,, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_i = \alpha_i(d(x, y))$.

Then at least one of S, T has a fixed point. If both S and T have fixed points, then each of S, T has a unique fixed point and these two fixed points coincide.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$. Define for each $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, $x_{2n+1} = S(x_{2n})$, $x_{2n+2} = T(x_{2n+1})$, $b_n = d(x_n, x_{n+1})$.

We may assume that $b_n > 0$ for each n, for otherwise some x_n is a fixed point of S or T. Let

$$egin{aligned} r(t) &= rac{lpha_1(t) + lpha_3(t) + lpha_5(t)}{1 - lpha_2(t) - lpha_3(t)} \ , & t > 0 \ , \ s(t) &= rac{lpha_2(t) + lpha_4(t) + lpha_5(t)}{1 - lpha_1(t) - lpha_4(t)} \ , & t > 0 \ . \end{aligned}$$

Then r, s are decreasing. From (a) and (c), the limits

$$r_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} r(t)$$
 , $s_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} s(t)$

are nonnegative real numbers. Let

$$f(t) = r(t)s(t)$$
 , $t > 0$.

Then f is decreasing and f(t) < 1 for each t > 0. As in the proof of Theorem 1, we have for each $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

(12)
$$b_{2n+1} \leq r(b_{2n})b_{2n}$$
,

(13)
$$b_{2n+2} \leq s(b_{2n+1})b_{2n+1}$$

Let n be given. Then

(14)
$$b_{2n+3} \leq r(b_{2n+2})s(b_{2n+1})b_{2n+1}$$
,

(15)
$$b_{2n+2} \leq s(b_{2n+1}) r(b_{2n}) b_{2n}$$
.

Since r, s are decreasing,

(16)
$$b_{2n+3} \leq f(\min\{b_{2n+2}, b_{2n+1}\})b_{2n+1}$$
,

(17)
$$b_{2n+2} \leq f(\min\{b_{2n+1}, b_{2n}\})b_{2n}$$
.

Since f(t) < 1 for each t > 0, $\{b_{2n+1}\}$, $\{b_{2n}\}$ are decreasing sequences. So $\{b_{2n+1}\}$, $\{b_{2n}\}$ converge respectively to some points c_1 , c_2 . We shall prove that $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 = 0$. From (12) and (13),

$$c_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \leqq r_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} c_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}$$
 , $c_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \leqq s_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} c_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$.

So either both c_1 , c_2 are zero or both c_1 , c_2 are not zero. Suppose to the contrary that $c_1 \neq 0$, $c_2 \neq 0$. Then from (16) and (17),

(18)
$$b_{n+2} \leq f(\min\{c_1, c_2\})b_n$$
, $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$.

By induction,

(19)
$$b_{2n} \leq (f(\min\{c_1, c_2\}))^n b_0 \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$

So $c_2 = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore, $c_1 = c_2 = 0$. This proves that $\{b_n\}$ converges to 0.

Now we shall prove that $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy. Suppose not. Then there exist $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$ and sequences $\{p(n)\}, \{q(n)\}$ such that for each $n \ge 0$,

(20)
$$p(n) > q(n) > n$$
,

(21)
$$d(x_{p(n)}, x_{q(n)}) \geq \varepsilon,$$

and (by the well-ordering principle),

$$(22) d(x_{p(n)-1}, x_{q(n)}) < \varepsilon.$$

Let $n \ge 0$ be given, $c_n = d(x_{p(n)}, x_{q(n)})$. Then

305

(23)
$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\leq c_n \\ &\leq d(x_{p(n)}, x_{p(n)-1}) + d(x_{p(n)-1}, x_{q(n)}) < b_{p(n)-1} + \varepsilon . \end{aligned}$$

From $c_1 = c_2 = 0$, we conclude that $\{c_n\}$ converges to ε from the right. Let

$$I_{1} = \{n: p(n), q(n) \text{ are odd} \},\$$

$$I_{2} = \{n: p(n) \text{ is odd}, q(n) \text{ is even} \}.$$

$$I_{3} = \{n: p(n) \text{ is even}, q(n) \text{ is odd} \},\$$

$$I_{4} = \{n: p(n), q(n) \text{ are even} \}.$$

Then at least one of I_1 , I_2 , I_3 , I_4 is infinite. Suppose first that I_1 is infinite. Let

$$d_n = d(x_{p(n)-1}, x_{q(n)})$$
, $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$.

Since $\{c_n\}$ converges to ε and $\{b_n\}$ converges to 0, we conclude from (22) that $\{d_n\}$ converges to ε from the left. Thus

$$J_{1} = \{n \in I_{1}: x_{p(n)-1} \neq x_{q(n)}\}$$

is infinite. Let $n \in J_1$, $u_n = d(x_{p(n)-1}, x_{q(n)+1})$. Then

(24)
$$c_n = d(x_{p(n)}, x_{q(n)}) \leq d(x_{p(n)}, x_{q(n)+1}) + d(x_{q(n)+1}, x_{q(n)}) \\ \leq d(S(x_{p(n)-1}), T(x_{q(n)})) + b_{q(n)}.$$

From (d),

(25)
$$d(S(x_{p(n)-1}), T(x_{q(n)})) \leq \alpha_1(d_n)b_{p(n)-1} + \alpha_2(d_n)b_{q(n)} + \alpha_3(d_n)u_n + \alpha_4(d_n)c_n + \alpha_5(d_n)d_n .$$

From (24) and (25),

(26)
$$c_n \leq \alpha_1(d_n)b_{p(n)-1} + \alpha_2(d_n)b_{q(n)} + \alpha_3(d_n)u_n + \alpha_4(d_n)c_n + \alpha_5(d_n)d_n + b_{q(n)}.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that each α_i is continuous from the left, for we can replace the α_i 's by

$$eta_i(t) = \lim_{s \ imes \ t} lpha_i(s) \ , \quad t > 0 \ , \qquad \qquad i = 1, \, 2, \, 3, \, 4, \, 5$$

and conditions (a), (b), (c), and (d) still hold. Thus

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\alpha_i(d_n) = \alpha_i(\varepsilon) , \qquad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.$$

So from (26),

$$arepsilon \leq (lpha_{ extsf{s}}(arepsilon)+lpha_{ extsf{s}}(arepsilon))arepsilon < arepsilon$$
 ,

306

a contradiction. Now suppose that I_2 is infinite. By a similar argument, $J_2 = \{n \in I_2: x_{p(n)-1} \neq x_{q(n)-1}\}$ is infinite. Let $n \in J_2$,

$$v_n = d(x_{p(n)-1}, x_{q(n)-1})$$
, $w_n = d(x_{p(n)}, x_{q(n)-1})$.

Then

(27)
$$c_n = d(S(x_{p(n)-1}), T(x_{q(n)-1})) \\ \leq \alpha_1(v_n)b_{p(n)-1} + \alpha_2(v_n)b_{q(n)-1} + \alpha_3(v_n)d_n + \alpha_4(v_n)w_n + \alpha_5(v_n)v_n .$$

Since $\{v_n\}$ converges to ε (not necessarily from the left or right), we obtain the same contradiction from (27). The other two cases are similar to the above two except the roles of S, T interchange. Hence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy. By completeness, $\{x_n\}$ converges to a point x in X. Since $b_n > 0$ for each $n, J = \{n: x \neq x_{2n+1}\}$ or $K = \{n: x \neq x_{2n}\}$ is infinite. Suppose that K is infinite. Let $n \in K$,

$$l_n = d(x, x_{2n})$$
, $h_n = d(x, x_{2n+1})$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, T(x)) &\leq d(x, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, T(x)) \\ &= h_n + d(S(x_{2n}), T(x)) \\ &\leq h_n + \alpha_1(l_n)b_{2n} + \alpha_2(l_n)d(x, T(x)) + \alpha_3(l_n)d(x_{2n}, T(x)) \\ &+ \alpha_4(l_n)h_n + \alpha_5(l_n)l_n \\ &\leq h_n + \alpha_1(l_n)b_{2n} + \alpha_2(l_n)d(x, T(x)) + \alpha_3(l_n)[l_n + d(x, T(x))] \\ &+ \alpha_4(l_n)h_n + \alpha_5(l_n)l_n . \end{aligned}$$

So

(28)
$$d(x, T(x)) \leq \frac{1 + \alpha_4(l_n)}{1 - \alpha_2(l_n) - \alpha_3(l_n)} h_n + \frac{\alpha_3(l_n) + \alpha_5(l_n)}{1 - \alpha_2(l_n) - \alpha_3(l_n)} l_n + \frac{\alpha_1(l_n)}{1 - \alpha_2(l_n) - \alpha_3(l_n)} b_{2n}.$$

From (a) and (c), the sequences

$$\frac{1+\alpha_4(l_n)}{1-\alpha_2(l_n)-\alpha_3(l_n)}, \quad \frac{\alpha_3(l_n)+\alpha_5(l_n)}{1-\alpha_2(l_n)-\alpha_3(l_n)}, \quad \frac{\alpha_1(l_n)}{1-\alpha_2(l_n)-\alpha_3(l_n)}$$

are bounded. So from (28), T(x) = x. Similarly, S(x) = x if J is infinite. Hence S or T has a fixed point.

The following result follows easily from Theorem 2.

THEOREM 3. With the conditions of Theorem 2, if further, $d(S(x), T(x)) \leq \alpha [d(x, S(x)) + d(x, T(x))], \quad x \in X$ for some $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, then each of S, T has a unique fixed point and these two fixed points coincide.

We remark that the conditions of Theorem 1 imply the conditions of Theorem 3. Also, G. Hardy and T. Rogers [5, Theorem 2] gave a different proof for the case S = T. Their proof cannot be modified for the general case. To see that the conclusion of Theorem 2 is best possible, we note that if $X = \{0, 1\}$ with the usual distance and if S, T are two distinct functions of X onto X, then S, T satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2 (and Theorem 3 with $\alpha = 1$), but one has two fixed points and the other has none.

THEOREM 4. Let (X, d) be a nonempty compact metric space. Let S, T be functions of X into itself. Suppose that S or T is continuous. Suppose further that there exist nonnegative real-valued decreasing functions $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5$ on $(0, \infty)$ such that

(a) $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 \leq 1$,

(b)
$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$$
 and $\alpha_3 = \alpha_4$,

(c) for any distinct x, y in X,

$$egin{aligned} d(S(x),\ T(y)) &< a_1 d(x,\ S(x)) \,+\, a_2 d(y,\ T(y)) \,+\, a_3 d(x,\ T(y)) \,+\, a_4 d(y,\ S(x)) \,+\, a_5 d(x,\ y) \,\,, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_i = \alpha_i(d(x, y))$.

Then S or T has a fixed point. If both S and T have fixed points, then each of S and T has a unique fixed point and these two fixed points coincide.

Proof. By symmetry, we may assume that S is continuous. Let f be the function on X such that

$$f(x) = d(x, S(x))$$
, $x \in X$.

Then f is continuous (we merely need the fact that f is lower semicontinuous) on X. So f takes its minimum value at some x_0 in X. We claim that x_0 is a fixed point of S or $S(x_0)$ is a fixed point of T. Suppose not. Let

Then $b_0 > 0$, $b_1 > 0$. From (c), we can prove that

(29)
$$(1 - \alpha_2(b_0) - \alpha_3(b_0))b_1 < (\alpha_1(b_0) + \alpha_3(b_0) + \alpha_5(b_0))b_0$$
.

Let

 $p(t) = 1 - lpha_2(t) - lpha_3(t)$, $q(t) = lpha_1(t) + lpha_3(t) + lpha_5(t)$, t > 0.

From (a) and (b), $p(b_0) > 0$. So

(30)
$$b_1 < \frac{q(b_0)}{p(b_0)} b_0$$
.

Similarly,

(31)
$$b_2 < \frac{v(b_1)}{u(b_1)} b_1$$

where

$$u(t) = 1 - \alpha_{_1}(t) - \alpha_{_4}(t), v(t) = \alpha_{_2}(t) + \alpha_{_4}(t) + \alpha_{_5}(t), t > 0.$$

From (30) and (31),

$$(32) b_2 < \frac{v(b_1)}{u(b_1)} \frac{q(b_0)}{p(b_0)} \, b_0 \; .$$

It suffices to prove that $(v(b_1)q(b_0)/u(b_1)p(b_0)) < 1$, for then, $b_2 < b_0$, a contradiction to the minimality of b_0 . Let $b = \min\{b_0, b_1\}$. Then

 $v(b_1)q(b_0) - u(b_1)p(b_0) \leq v(b)q(b) - u(b)p(b) < 0$

if $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ and $\alpha_3 = \alpha_4$. So S or T has a fixed point. Now suppose that x is a fixed point of S and y is a fixed point of T. Then x = y, otherwise, from (c),

$$d(x, y) = d(S(x), T(y)) < d(x, y)$$
,

a contradiction.

The following result is stated without proof.

THEOREM 5. Let (X, d) be complete metric space. Let $\{S_n\}, \{T_n\}$ be sequence of functions of X into X which converge pointwise to S, T respectively. Suppose that the pairs (S_n, T_n) satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3 with the same $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5$. Then S, T have a unique common fixed point x and x is the limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ of the fixed points x_n of S_n .

THEOREM 6. Let (X, d) be a nonempty compact metric space. Let $\{S_n\}, \{T_n\}$ be sequences of functions of X into itself which converge pointwise to the functions S, T on X respectively. Suppose that for each n, there exist decreasing functions $\alpha_1^n, \alpha_2^n, \alpha_3^n, \alpha_4^n, \alpha_5^n$ of $(0, \infty)$ into $[0, \infty)$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ll} (a) & \alpha_1^n + \alpha_2^n + \alpha_3^n + \alpha_4^n + \alpha_5^n \leq 1, \\ (b) & \alpha_1^n = \alpha_2^n \ and \ \alpha_3^n = \alpha_4^n, \\ (c) & for \ any \ distinct \ x, \ y \ in \ X, \\ & d(S_n(x), \ T_n(y)) < a_1^n d(x, \ S_n(x)) + a_2^n d(y, \ T_n(y)) + a_3^n d(x, \ T_n(y)) \\ & + a_4^n d(y, \ S_n(x)) + a_5^n d(x, \ y) \ , \end{array}$$

where

$$a_i^n = \alpha_i^n(d(x, y))$$
.

Then S or T has a fixed point. Indeed, every cluster point of a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of fixed points x_n of S_n or T_n is a fixed point of S or T.

Proof. By Theorem 4, for each n, either S_n or T_n has a fixed point. By symmetry, we may assume that S_n has a fixed point for infinitely many of n's. So there is a subsequence $\{S_{n(k)}\}$ of $\{S_n\}$ such that each $S_{n(k)}$ has a fixed point, say x_k . By compactness, we may (by taking a subsequence) assume that $\{x_k\}$ converges to some x in X. We shall prove that x is a fixed point of S or T. If $x_k \neq x$ for only finitely many of k's, then

$$S(x) = \lim_{k \to \infty} S_{n(k)}(x)$$

= $\lim_{k \to \infty} S_{n(k)}(x_k)$
= $\lim_{k \to \infty} x_k$
= x .

So we may assume that $x_k \neq x$ for infinitely many of k's. By taking a subsequence, we may assume that $x_k \neq x$ for each k. Let $k \ge 1$ and $b_k = d(x, x_k)$. Then

(33)
$$\begin{aligned} d(x, T(x)) &\leq d(x, x_k) + d(x_k, T_{n(k)}(x)) + d(T_{n(k)}(x), T(x)) \\ &= d(x, x_k) + d(S_{n(k)}(x_k), T_{n(k)}(x)) + d(T_{n(k)}(x), T(x)) . \end{aligned}$$

From (c),

(34)
$$d(S_{n(k)}(x_k), T_{n(k)}(x)) < \alpha_2^k(b_k)d(x, T_{n(k)}(x)) + \alpha_3^k(b_k)d(x_k, T_{n(k)}(x)) \\ + \alpha_4^k(b_k)d(x, x_k) + \alpha_5^k(b_k)b_k .$$

Combining (33) and (34) and letting k tend to the infinity, we have

(35)
$$d(x, T(x)) \leq \limsup_{k \to \infty} (\alpha_2^k(b_k) + \alpha_3^k(b_k)) d(x, T(x)) \\\leq \limsup_{k \to \infty} \lim_{t \downarrow 0} (\alpha_2^k(t) + \alpha_3^k(t)) d(x, T(x)) .$$

From (b), $\alpha_{2}^{k}(t) + \alpha_{3}^{k}(t) \leq 1/2$ for each $t > 0, k = 1, 2, \dots$ So

310

(36)
$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \lim_{t\downarrow 0} \left(\alpha_2^k(t) + \alpha_3^k(t)\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

From (35) and (36), we conclude that T(x) = x.

From the proof, we know that the same conclusion holds if in Theorem 6, we replace (b) by the following weaker conditions:

$$lpha_1^n=lpha_2^n ~~{
m or}~~ lpha_3^n=lpha_4^n$$
 , $\limsup_{k
ightarrow\infty}\lim_{t
ightarrow0}(lpha_2^k(t)+lpha_3^k(t))<1$,

and

$$\limsup_{k o \infty} \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \left(lpha_{\iota}^n(t) + lpha_{\iota}^n(t)
ight) < 1$$
 .

We note that, unlike Theorem 5, S, T in Theorem 6 need not satisfy the condition required for the pairs (S_n, T_n) .

THEOREM 7. Let (X, d) be a nonempty compact metric space. Let $\{S_n\}$ be a sequence of functions of X into itself which converges pointwise to some function S on X. Suppose that for each n, there exist decreasing functions α_1^n , α_2^n , α_3^n , α_4^n , α_5^n of $(0, \infty)$ into $[0, \infty)$ such that

(a)
$$\alpha_1^n + \alpha_2^n + \alpha_3^n + \alpha_4^n + \alpha_5^n \leq 1$$
,

(b) for any distinct x, y in X,

$$egin{aligned} d(S_n(x),\,S_n(y)) &< a_1 d(x,\,S_n(x)) \,+\, a_2 d(y,\,S_n(y)) \,+\, a_3 d(x,\,S_n(y)) \ &+\, a_4 d(y,\,S_n(x)) \,+\, a_5 d(x,\,y) \;, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_i = \alpha_i(d(x, y))$$
.

Then S has a fixed point. Indeed, every cluster point of the sequence of fixed points of S_n is a fixed point of S.

The above result follows from Theorem 6 by averaging two applications of condition (b).

We shall now give a simple example to show that the conclusion of Theorem 7 is best possible. Let X be a star-shaped [4] compact subset of a normed linear space B. Then there exists a point z in X such that for any y in X, the line segment

$$\{tz + (1 - t)y: t \in [0, 1]\}$$

is contained in X. For each n, let

$$S_n(x) = \frac{1}{n}x + \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)x$$
, $x \in X$.

CHI SONG WONG

Then $\{S_n\}$ is a sequence of mappings of X into X which satisfy the conditions of Theorem 7. $\{S_n\}$ converges pointwise to the identity function S on X. Every point of X is a fixed point of S. So unlike Theorem 5, it is too much to ask that S in Theorem 7 has a unique fixed point.

References

1. W. M. Boyce, Commuting functions with no common fixed point, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 137 (1969), 77-92.

2. ____, Γ-compact mappings on an interval and fixed points, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 160 (1971), 87-102.

3. M. Edelstein, On fixed and periodic points under contractive mappings, J. London Math. Soc., **37** (1962), 74-79.

4. B. Halpern, The kernel of a starshaped subset of the plane, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 23 (1969), 692-696.

5. G. Hardy and T. Rogers, A generalization of a fixed point theorem of Reich, (to appear).

6. J. P. Huneke, On common fixed point of commuting continuous functions on an interval, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **139** (1969), 371-381.

7. R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points-II, Amer. Math. Monthly, 76 (1969), 405-408.

8. E. Rakotch, A note on contractive mappings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 13 (1962), 469-465.

9. S. Reich, Some remarks concerning contraction mappings, Canad. Math. Bull. 14 (1971), 121-124.

10. P. Srivastava and V. K. Gupta, A note on common fixed points, Yokohama Math. J., XIX (1971), 91-95.

11. Chi Song Wong, Fixed point theorems for nonexpansive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **37** (1972), 142-150.

Received June 13, 1972. This research was partially supported by the National Research Council of Canada Grant A8518 and a grant from the Canadian Mathematical Congress. It was prepared while the author was at the Summer Research Institute, University of Alberta.

UNIVERSITY OF WINDSOR