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Let $f: M^{p+1} \rightarrow N^{p}$ be a $C^{3}$ open map with $p \geqq 1$, let $R_{p-1}(f)$ be the critical set of $f$, and let

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(R_{p-1}(f) \cap f^{-1}(y)\right) \leqq 0
$$

for each $y \in N^{p}$. Then (1.1) there is a closed set $X \subset M^{p+1}$ such that $\operatorname{dim} f(X) \leqq p-2$ and, for every $x \in M^{p+1}-X$, there is a natural number $d(x)$ with $f$ at $x$ locally topologically equivalent to the map

$$
\phi_{d(x)}: C \times R^{p-1} \rightarrow R \times R^{p-1}
$$

defined by

$$
\dot{\varphi}_{d(x)}\left(z, t_{1}, \cdots, t_{p-1}\right)=\left(\mathscr{R}\left(z^{d(x)}\right), t_{1}, \cdots, t_{p-1}\right)
$$

$\left(\mathscr{R}\left(z^{d(x)}\right)\right.$ is the real part of the complex number $\left.z^{d(x)}\right)$.

The hypothesis on the critical set is essential [3, (4.11)], but in [4] we show that any real analytic open map satisfies this hypothesis, and thus this conclusion.

Corollary 1.2. If $f: M^{p+1} \rightarrow N^{p}$ is a $C^{p+1}$ open map with $\operatorname{dim}\left(R_{p-1}(f)\right) \leqq 0$, then at each $x \in M^{p+1}, f$ is locally topologically equivalent to one of the following maps:
(a) the projection map $\rho: R^{p+1} \rightarrow R^{p}$,
(b) $\tau: C \times C \rightarrow C \times R$ defined $b y$ $\tau(z, w)=\left(2 z \cdot \bar{w},|w|^{2}-|z|^{2}\right)$, where $\bar{w}$ is the complex conjugate of $w$.
(c) $\psi_{d}: C \rightarrow R$ defined by $\psi_{d}(z)=\mathscr{R}\left(z^{d}\right)$.

In order to read the proofs in this paper, the reader will need to have [3] at hand. In particular, the terms locally topologically equivalent, branch set $B_{f}$, layer map, extended embedding, and 0 regular are defined in [3; (1.3), (1.5), (2.1), (2.3), and (4.1), respectively].
2. Spoke sets. The definition and lemmas of this section are given in somewhat greater generality than needed in this paper (i.e., for open maps), for use in a subsequent paper.

Let $\Gamma^{2}$ be any 2-manifold (without boundary).
Definition 2.1. Let $\psi_{w} \times c: C \times R^{p-1} \rightarrow R \times R^{p-1}$ be defined by $\psi_{0} \times c(z, t)=(|z|, t)$ and $\psi_{w} \times c(z, t)=\left(\mathscr{R}\left(z^{w}\right), t\right)(w=1,2, \cdots)$. Thus
$B\left(\psi_{1} \times \iota\right)=\varnothing$ and $B\left(\psi_{w} \times \iota\right)=\{0\} \times R^{p-1}$ otherwise. For $w=0$ let $L=D^{2} \times D^{p-1}$ and let $J=[-1,1]$; for $w \geqq 1$ and $\eta>0$ sufficiently small, let

$$
L=\left(D^{2} \times D^{p-1}\right) \cap\left(\psi_{w} \times \iota\right)^{-1}\left([-\eta, \eta] \times D^{p-1}\right)
$$

and let $J=[-\eta, \eta]$. These examples motivate the following definition.

Let $f: \Gamma^{2} \times R^{p-1} \rightarrow R \times R^{p-1}$ be a layer map, let $J=\left[b_{0}, b_{1}\right] \subset R$, and let $W \subset R^{p-1}$ be a closed $q$-cell ( $q=0,1, \cdots, p-1$ ). Let $\left\{\gamma_{j}\right\}$ be a (possibly empty) collection of $2 w$ disjoint closed arcs in $S^{1}(j=1$, $2, \cdots, 2 w)$; let $A=\bigcup_{j} \gamma_{j}$, and let $\zeta: S^{1} \times W \rightarrow \Gamma^{2} \times W$ be a layer embedding such that $B_{f} \cap \operatorname{imag} \zeta=\varnothing, f \circ \zeta: \gamma_{j} \times W \approx J \times W$, and for each component $\Phi$ of $\mathrm{Cl}\left[S^{1}-A\right], f(\zeta(\Phi \times W))=\left\{b_{i}\right\} \times W(i=0$ or 1). A spoke set of $f$ over $J \times W$ is (i) a compact, connected subspace $L \subset f^{-1}(R \times W)$ such that (ii) $L \cap\left(\Gamma^{2} \times\{t\}\right)$ is a 2-cell for each $t \in W$ and (iii) for some $\zeta$ as above, the boundary $\Omega$ of $L$ with respect to $f^{-1}(R \times W)$ is imag $\zeta$. Thus if $A=\varnothing, f(\Omega)=\left\{b_{i}\right\} \times W(i=0$ or 1). (In case $A \neq \varnothing$ and $q=1, L$ is homeomorphic to the hub and spokes of a wagon wheel, where $\zeta(A \times W)$ corresponds to the ends of the spokes.) The index $\xi(L)=1-w$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $f: \Gamma^{2} \times R^{p-1} \rightarrow R \times R^{p-1}$ be a layer map with $\operatorname{dim}\left(B_{f} \cap\left(\Gamma^{2} \times\{t\}\right)\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(f\left(B_{f}\right) \cap(R \times\{t\})\right) \leqq 0$ for each $t \in R^{p-1}$, let $E \subset B_{f}$ be compact, let $a \in R^{p-1}$, and let $\varepsilon>0$. Then there are a closed ( $p-1$ )-cell neighborhood $W$ of $a$, closed intervals $J_{j}(j=1,2, \cdots, m)$, and spoke sets $L_{j}$ over $J_{j} \times W$ such that
(iv) $E \cap L_{j} \neq \varnothing$ and $E \cap\left(\Gamma^{2} \times W\right) \subset \bigcup_{j}\left(L_{j}-\Omega_{j}\right)$,
(v) the $L_{j}-\Omega_{j}$ are mutually disjoint, and
(vi) each $\operatorname{diam} L_{j}<\varepsilon$.

Proof. Let $F$ be a compact neighborhood of $E$ in $\Gamma^{2} \times R^{p-1}$, let $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ be a cover of $\Gamma^{2}$ by interiors of closed 2-cells, and let $\delta$ be the Lebesgue number of $\left\{U_{\alpha} \times R^{p-1}\right\}$ as a cover of $F$. We may suppose that $\varepsilon<\min (\delta, d(E, b d y F))$. Thus
(1) for each $\Psi \subset F$ with $\operatorname{diam} \Psi<\varepsilon$, there is a closed 2-cell $U$ with $\Psi \subset(\operatorname{int} U) \times R^{p-1}$.

Given $y \in R$ with $(y, a) \in f(E)$ and $X=E \cap f^{-1}(y, a)$, let $Q$ be the finite set and $\nu: Q \times D \rightarrow \Gamma^{2} \times R^{p-1}$ be the extended embedding with imag $\nu \cap B_{f}=\varnothing$ given by [3, (2.5)] for $X$ and $\varepsilon$. According to that lemma each component $K$ of $f^{-1}$ (int $D$ )-imag $\nu$ meeting $X$ has $\operatorname{diam} K<\varepsilon$, and each is open. Since $X=E \cap f^{-1}(y, a)$ and $E$ is compact, one may prove (by contradiction) that it is possible to
select the $p$-cell neighborhood $D$ of ( $y, a$ ) in $R \times R^{p-1}$ sufficiently small that each component $K$ of $f^{-1}(\operatorname{int} D)$ - imag $\nu$ meeting $E$ has diam $K<\varepsilon$. Summarizing.
(2) each component $K$ of $f^{-1}(\operatorname{int} D)$-imag $\nu$ with $K \cap E \neq \varnothing$ has $\operatorname{diam} K<\varepsilon$, so that $\bar{K} \subset \operatorname{int} F$.

Choose a closed interval $J(y) \subset R$ with $y \in \operatorname{int} J(y)$,

$$
J(y) \times\{a\} \subset \operatorname{int} D,
$$

and end points $b_{0}(y), b_{1}(y)$ with $\left(b_{0}(y), a\right),\left(b_{1}(y), a\right) \notin f\left(B_{f}\right)$. Since $f\left(F \cap B_{f}\right)$ is closed, there is a closed ( $p-1$ )-cell neighborhood $W(y)$ of $a$ in $R^{p-1}$ such that $(\partial J(y) \times W(y)) \cap f\left(F \cap B_{f}\right)=\varnothing$ and

$$
J(y) \times W(y) \subset D
$$

Let $\nu(y)$ be the corresponding extended embedding (restricted) over $J \times W$.

There are $y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{u} \in R$ with $\left(y_{j}, a\right) \in f(E)$ and

$$
f(E) \cap(R \times\{a\}) \subset \bigcup_{j} \operatorname{int}\left(J\left(y_{j}\right)\right) \times\{a\}
$$

The points $\left\{b_{i}\left(y_{j}\right): i=0,1 ; j=1,2, \cdots, u\right\}$ are the end points of a finite set of closed intervals with mutually disjoint interiors; let $J_{h}(h=1,2, \cdots, r)$ be those intervals with $\left(J_{h} \times\{a\}\right) \cap f(E) \neq \varnothing$. Let $W$ be a closed ( $p-1$ )-cell neighborhood of $a \in R^{p-1}$ with $W \subset \bigcap_{j} W\left(y_{j}\right)$. Then $\left(\partial J_{h} \times W\right) \cap f\left(F \cap B_{f}\right)=\varnothing$ and

$$
f(E) \cap(R \times W) \subset \bigcup_{k}\left(\left(\operatorname{int} J_{h}\right) \times W\right)(h=1,2, \cdots, r) .
$$

Since each $J_{h}$ is contained in some $J\left(y_{j}\right)$, restriction of $\nu\left(y_{j}\right)$ yields an extended embedding $\nu_{h}$ over $J_{h} \times W$.

Let $J=\left[b_{0}, b_{1}\right]$ be one of these intervals $J_{h}$, let

$$
\nu:(Q \times J) \times W \longrightarrow \Gamma^{2} \times R^{p-1}
$$

be the layer embedding $\nu_{h}$, and let $P \subset F$ be a component of

$$
f^{-1}\left(\left\{b_{i}\right\} \times W\right)-\operatorname{imag} \nu
$$

Since $\left(\left\{b_{i}\right\} \times W\right) \cap f\left(F \cap B_{f}\right)=\varnothing, f^{-1}\left(\left\{b_{i}\right\} \times W\right) \cap \operatorname{int} F$ is a $p$-manifold, $\bar{P}$ is a compact connected $p$-manifold with boundary, and [3, (1.9)] $f \mid \bar{P}: \bar{P} \rightarrow\left\{b_{i}\right\} \times W$ is a bundle map. Thus [11; p. 53, (11.4)] it is a product bundle map, and since $f$ is a layer map
(3) there is a layer embedding $\lambda: \Lambda^{1} \times W \rightarrow \Gamma^{2} \times W$, where $\lambda\left(\Lambda^{1} \times W\right)=\bar{P}$ and $\Lambda^{1} \approx S^{1}$ or [0, 1].

In particular, $P \cap\left(I^{2} \times\{s\}\right)$ is a component of $f^{-1}\left(b_{i}, s\right)$ - imag $\nu$ ( $s \in W ; i=0,1$ ), and $\mathrm{Cl}\left[P \cap\left(\Gamma^{2} \times\{s\}\right)\right] \approx \Lambda^{1}$. From the compactness of $F$ and the finiteness of $Q$, the number of such components $P$ is finite.

Let $K$ be a component of $f^{-1}(J \times W)$-imag $\nu$ meeting $E$ (thus by (2) $\operatorname{diam} K<\varepsilon$ and $\bar{K} \subset \operatorname{int} F$ ) and let $T$ be a component of the boundary of $K$ in (i.e., relative to) $\Gamma^{2} \times W$. Then

$$
T \subset f^{-1}\left(\left\{b_{0}, b_{1}\right\} \times W\right) \cup \operatorname{imag} \nu
$$

Moreover, from (3) there are a finite union (possibly empty) $A$ of disjoint arcs in $S^{1}$ and a layer embedding $\zeta: S^{1} \times W \rightarrow \Gamma^{2} \times W$ with $\operatorname{imag} \zeta=T, \zeta(A \times W)=T \cap \operatorname{imag} \nu$, and

$$
\zeta\left(\mathrm{Cl}\left[S^{1}-A\right] \times W\right)=T \cap f^{-1}\left(\left\{b_{0}, b_{1}\right\} \times W\right) .
$$

For each $s \in W$ and component (arc) $\gamma$ of $A, f \circ \zeta: \gamma \times s \approx J \times s$, and for each component $\Delta$ of $\mathrm{Cl}\left[S^{1}-A\right], f(\zeta(\Delta \times\{s\}))=\left(b_{i}, s\right)(i=0$ or 1). Thus if $A \neq \varnothing$, there are an even number of such components (arcs) $\Delta$, and they alternate in value. Hence there are an even number (possibly zero) of components (arcs) of $A$.

The union of such embeddings $\zeta$ over all $J \in\left\{J_{h}: h=1,2, \cdots, r\right\}$ and components $K$ of $f^{-1}(J \times W)-\operatorname{imag} \nu$ is finite: call them

$$
\zeta_{j}(j=1,2, \cdots, k)
$$

Let $\Omega_{j}=\operatorname{imag} \zeta_{j}$ and let $K_{j}$ be the corresponding component $K$; by (1) there is a closed 2-cell $U_{j} \subset \Gamma^{2}$ with $\bar{K}_{j} \subset$ (int $\left.U_{j}\right) \times W$, and thus each $\bar{K}_{j} \cap\left(\Gamma^{2} \times\{s\}\right)$ is a 2-cell-with-holes contained in int $U_{j}$. Each $\Omega_{j}$ separates $U_{j} \times W$ into two components; let $L_{j}$ be the closure of the component disjoint from $\partial U_{j} \times W$. Each $L_{j} \cap\left(\Gamma^{2} \times\{s\}\right)$ is a 2cell, and since the $K_{j}$ are mutually disjoint, for $i \neq j$ exactly one of the following is true: $\left(L_{i}-\Omega_{i}\right) \cap\left(L_{j}-\Omega_{j}\right)=\varnothing, L_{i} \subset L_{j}$, or $L_{j} \subset L_{i}$. The desired spoke sets are those $L_{j}$ with $E \cap L_{j} \neq \varnothing$ and $L_{j} \not \subset L_{i}$ for any $i \neq j$. Since each $\operatorname{diam} K_{j}<\varepsilon$, each $\operatorname{diam} \Omega_{j}<\varepsilon$, so that $\operatorname{diam} L_{j}<\varepsilon$. $\quad$ Since $E \cap\left(\Gamma^{2} \times W\right) \subset \bigcup_{j} K_{j} \subset \bigcup_{j} L_{j}, \quad E \subset B_{f}, \quad$ and $B_{f} \cap \Omega_{j}=\varnothing, E \cap\left(\Gamma^{2} \times W\right) \subset \bigcup_{j}\left(L_{j}-\Omega_{j}\right)$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $f: \Gamma^{2} \times R^{p-1} \rightarrow R \times R^{p-1}$ be a layer map, let $L_{0}$ (resp., $L_{j}, j=1,2, \cdots, q$ ) be a spoke set over $J \times W$ (resp., $J_{j} \times W^{\prime}$ ), and let $s \in W \cap W^{\prime}$. Suppose that $L_{j} \cap\left(\Gamma^{2} \times\{s\}\right) \subset L_{0}$,

$$
B_{f} \cap L_{0} \cap\left(\Gamma^{2} \times\{s\}\right) \subset \bigcup_{j>0}\left(L_{j}-\Omega_{j}\right),
$$

and the $L_{j}-\Omega_{j}$ are mutually disjoint $(j>0)$. Then

$$
\xi\left(L_{0}\right)=\sum_{j>0} \xi\left(L_{j}\right) .
$$

Proof. Since $B\left(f_{s}\right) \subset B_{f} \cap\left(\Gamma^{2} \times\{s\}\right)$ and $\xi\left(L_{j}\right)=\xi\left(L_{j} \cap\left(\Gamma^{2} \times\{s\}\right)\right)$, it suffices to prove the lemma for $f=f_{s}: \Gamma^{2} \rightarrow R$. Thus $L_{j} \subset L_{0}$ and $B_{f} \cap L_{0} \subset \bigcup_{j>0} L_{j}-\Omega_{j} . \quad$ If $A_{j}$ (see (2.1)) has $2 w(j)$ components
$(w(j)=0,1, \cdots)$, define $g_{j}: L_{j} \rightarrow R$ to agree with $f$ on $\partial L_{j}=\Omega_{j}$ and to be topologically equivalent to $\psi_{w(j)}$. Let $h: L_{0} \rightarrow R$ agree with $f$ on $L_{0}-\bigcup_{j>0}\left(L_{j}-\Omega_{j}\right)$ and with $g_{j}$ on $L_{j}(j=1,2, \cdots, q)$. Then $B(h)=\bigcup_{j>0} B\left(g_{j}\right)$, and so is discrete.

Let $D\left(L_{j}\right)$ be the identification space obtained from

$$
\left(L_{j} \times\{0\}\right) \cup\left(L_{j} \times\{1\}\right)
$$

by identifying $(x, 0)$ with $(x, 1)$ for each $x \in A=A\left(L_{j}\right)$, let $D\left(g_{j}\right)$ : $D\left(L_{j}\right) \rightarrow R$ be defined by $D\left(g_{j}\right)(x, 0)=D\left(g_{j}\right)(x, 1)=g_{j}(x)$, and let $D(h)$ be defined analogously. Define a vector field $u_{j}$ (resp., $v$ ) on $D\left(L_{j}\right)$ (resp., $D\left(L_{0}\right)$ ) which is 0 precisely on the (discrete) branch set $B\left(D\left(g_{j}\right)\right)$ (resp., $B(D(h))$ ) and elsewhere is transverse to the level curves of $D\left(g_{j}\right)$ (resp., $D(h)$ ), i.e., a "gradient vector field" ( $j=$ $0,1, \cdots, q)$. For any vector field $\alpha$ with isolated zeros, let the sum of the indices of $\alpha$ at its zeros [7, p. 32] be denoted by $c(\alpha)$.

Since $L_{j} \approx D^{2}$, the Euler characteristic

$$
\chi\left(D\left(L_{j}\right)\right)=2-2 w(j)=2 \xi\left(L_{j}\right)
$$

According to the Poincaré-Hopf Theorem [7, p. 35] (differentiability is not really needed in our case) $\chi\left(D\left(L_{j}\right)\right)=\iota\left(u_{j}\right)$, so that $2 \hat{\xi}\left(L_{j}\right)=\iota\left(u_{j}\right)$ and $2 \xi\left(L_{0}\right)=\iota\left(u_{0}\right)=\iota(v)$. Thus $2 \xi\left(L_{0}\right)=\iota(v)=2 \sum_{j>0} \iota\left(v \mid L_{j}\right) \quad$ (by definition of $\iota)=\sum_{j>0} \ell\left(u_{j}\right)=2 \sum_{j>0} \xi\left(L_{j}\right)$, so that $\xi\left(L_{0}\right)=\sum_{j>0} \xi\left(L_{j}\right)$ (where $j=1,2, \cdots, q$ ).

Alternatively, we could have used [5, p. 370] or [10, p. 35, (4.3.6)]; in this case we would have removed an open 2-cell with boundary a level circle about each local maximum or minimum point of $g_{j}$ and $h$, in order to have open maps. Or, we could have used a counting argument based on the Euler characteristics of $L_{j}, L_{0}$, and $L_{0}-U_{j}$ int $L_{j}$; the first two spaces are 2-cells, and the last one is disjoint from $B_{f}$, so that information about it can be obtained from [3, (1.9)].

## 3. Spoke sets of open maps.

Lemma 3.1. Let $f: \Gamma^{2} \times R^{p-1} \rightarrow R \times R^{p-1}$ be an open layer map, and let $L_{0}$ be a spoke set over $J \times W$, where $W$ is a closed ( $p-1$ )cell. Then
(a) $f^{-1}(y, t) \cap L_{0}$ does not contain a homeomorph of $S^{1}$

$$
\left((y, t) \in R \times R^{p-1}\right)
$$

(b) $\quad \xi\left(L_{0}\right) \leqq 0$;
(c) $f\left(L_{0}\right)=J \times W$;
(d) $\quad \tilde{\xi}\left(L_{0}\right) \neq 0$ implies that $B_{f} \cap\left(L_{0}-\Omega_{0}\right) \cap\left(\Gamma^{2} \times\{t\}\right) \neq \varnothing$ for every $t \in R^{p-1}$;
(e) if $\operatorname{dim}\left(f\left(B_{f}\right) \cap(R \times\{t\})\right) \leqq 0$ for every $t \in R^{p-1}$,

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(B_{f} \cap f^{-1}(y, t)\right) \leqq 0 \quad \text { for every }(y, t) \in R \times R^{p-1}
$$

and $\xi\left(L_{0}\right)=0$, then $B_{f} \cap \operatorname{int} L_{0}=\varnothing$.
Proof. Suppose (a) is false, where $\Lambda$ is the homeomorph of $S^{1}$. Then $\Lambda$ bounds an open 2-cell $\Delta$ in $L_{0} \cap\left(\Gamma^{2} \times\{t\}\right) \approx D^{2}$. Since $f_{t}$ : $\Gamma^{2} \rightarrow R$ is open, $f_{t}(\Delta)$ is an open interval, while $f_{t}(\overline{4})$ is a closed interval with $f_{t}(\partial \Delta)$ a single point, and a contradiction results.

If $\xi\left(L_{0}\right)>0$, then $\Omega_{0} \cap\left(\Gamma^{2} \times\{t\}\right)$ is a component of $f^{-1}(y, t)$ for some $y \in R$, and a contradiction of (a) results. Thus (b) is true.

From the definition of $L_{0}(2.1), f\left(L_{0}\right) \subset J \times W$, and from that definition and (b), $f\left(\Omega_{0}\right)=J \times W$, so that (c) $J \times W=f\left(L_{0}\right)$.

If $B_{f} \cap\left(L_{0}-\Omega_{0}\right) \cap\left(\Gamma^{2} \times\{t\}\right)=\varnothing$ for some $t \in W$, then

$$
g: L_{0} \cap\left(\Gamma^{2} \times\{t\}\right) \longrightarrow J \times\{t\}
$$

defined by restriction of $f$ has $B_{g}=\varnothing[3,(4.10)]$, and so is a bundle $\operatorname{map}[3,(1.9)]$. Thus [11, p. 53, (11.4)] $L_{0} \cap\left(\Gamma^{2} \times\{t\}\right) \approx J \times F$, where the fiber $F$ is a 1 -manifold with boundary. Since $J \times F \approx D^{2}$ (2.1) (ii), $F$ is connected and $F \not \approx S^{1}$. Thus $F \approx[0,1]$, so that $\tilde{\xi}\left(L_{0}\right)=0$. Conclusion (d) results.

For a spoke set $L$ of $f$ over $I \times U$, let ${ }^{*} L$ be $L \cap f^{-1}(\operatorname{int}(I \times U))$; thus $* L-\Omega=\operatorname{int} L$ (interior relative to $\Gamma^{2} \times R^{p-1}$ ). Since the restriction $\operatorname{map} \alpha: f^{-1}(\operatorname{int}(J \times W)) \rightarrow \operatorname{int}(J \times W)$ is open, ${ }^{*} L_{0}-\Omega_{0}$ is open in $f^{-1}\left(\operatorname{int}(J \times W)\right.$ ), and $B\left(f \mid L_{0}\right) \cap \Omega_{0}=\varnothing$, the restriction map $\beta_{0}:{ }^{*} L_{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{int}(J \times W)$ is open. Suppose that $f$ satisfies the hypotheses of (e), i.e., $\xi\left(L_{0}\right)=0$, while $(x, s) \in B_{f} \cap \operatorname{int} L_{0}$. Given $\varepsilon>0$, which we may assume is less than $d\left(B_{f}, \Omega_{0}\right)$, let $W^{\prime}$ and the spoke sets $L_{j}(j=1,2, \cdots, q)$ be as given by (2.2) for $f, \varepsilon, a=s$, and $E=$ $\left(B_{f} \cap L_{0}\right)$, where $(x, s) \in \operatorname{int} L_{1}$. From (b) each $\xi\left(L_{j}\right) \leqq 0$ and from (2.3) $\xi\left(L_{0}\right)=\sum_{j>0} \xi\left(L_{j}\right)$; thus $\xi\left(L_{j}\right)=0$ for every $j$, so in particular $\xi\left(L_{1}\right)=0$. Let $\beta_{1}:{ }^{*} L_{1} \rightarrow f\left({ }^{*} L_{1}\right)$ be restriction of $f$.

For each $(z, t) \in f\left(L_{i}\right)-f\left(B_{f}\right),(i=0,1),\left(\beta_{i}\right)^{-1}(z, t)$ is a 1 -manifold with boundary; by (a) each of its components is homeomorphic to $[0,1]$, and since $\xi\left(L_{i}\right)=0,\left(\beta_{i}\right)^{-1}(z, t) \approx[0,1]$. By [3, (4.3)(a)] $\left(\beta_{i}\right)^{-1}(y, u)$ is arcwise connected for each $(y, u) \in$ imag $\beta_{i}$. Choose $\delta>0$ such that $S((x, s), \delta) \subset \operatorname{int} L_{1}$. Then

$$
f^{-1}(y, u) \cap S(x, \delta) \subset\left(\beta_{1}\right)^{-1}(y, u) \subset f^{-1}(y, u) \cap S((x, s), \varepsilon)
$$

so that $f$ is 0-regular at $(x, s)$ [3, (4.1)]. Since $(x, s) \in B_{f} \cap L_{0}$ is arbitrary, by [3, (4.2)] $f$ is 0-regular at each point of $L_{0}$. Thus $\beta_{0}$ is
a bundle map [3, (4.3) (b)], so that $B_{f} \cap \operatorname{int} L_{0}=\varnothing$.
Lemma 3.2. Let $g: \Gamma^{2} \times R^{p-1} \rightarrow R \times R^{p-1}$ be an open layer map, let $L$ be a spoke set over $J \times W$ where $W$ is a ( $p-1$ )-cell and let $\alpha ; W \approx B_{g} \cap L$ with $\pi \circ \alpha$ the identity map. Then $g \mid$ int $L$ is topologically equivalent to $\psi_{w} \times c(w=2,3, \cdots$; see (2.1)).

Proof. We may as well replace $g$ by its restriction to $g^{-1}$ (int $J \times$ int $W$ ), and $L$ by $L \cap g^{-1}$ (int $J \times \operatorname{int} W$ ), i.e., we may as well suppose that int $J=R$ and int $W=R^{p-1}$. Let $h: R \times R^{p-1} \rightarrow R \times R^{p-1}$ be the layer homeomorphism defined by $h(y, t)=(y, t)-g(\alpha(t))$, and let $\lambda=h \circ g \mid L$. Then $B_{\lambda}=B_{g} \cap L$ and $\lambda\left(B_{\lambda}\right)=\{0\} \times R^{p-1}$.

Let $J_{i}$ be $(-\infty, 0]$ or $[0, \infty)$ according as $i$ is odd or even. (1) Let $K$ be a component of $\lambda^{-1}\left(\left(\operatorname{int} J_{i}\right) \times R^{p-1}\right)$, and let $\beta: K \rightarrow \operatorname{int} J_{i} \times$ $R^{p-1}$ and $\gamma: \bar{K} \rightarrow J_{i} \times R^{p-1}$ be the restriction of $\lambda$. Since $B_{\beta}=\varnothing$, $\beta$ is a bundle map with fiber a 1-manifold $F$ [3, (1.9)], and so $K \approx F \times \operatorname{int} J_{i} \times R^{p-1}[11, \mathrm{p} .53$, (11.4)]. Since $K$ is connected, $F$ is also, and by (3.1(a)) $F \approx[0,1]$. By [3, (4.3)(a)], $\gamma^{-1}(0, t)$ is arcwise connected for each $t \in R^{p-1}$.

Given $(x, s) \in B_{r} \cap \gamma^{-1}\left(\{0\} \times R^{p-1}\right)$ and $\varepsilon>0$ with $S((x, s), \varepsilon) \subset \operatorname{int} L$, let $L^{\prime}$ be a spoke set over $J^{\prime} \times W^{\prime}$ given by (2.2) for $\lambda, E=\{(x, s)\}$, $a=s$, and $\varepsilon$. Then $L^{\prime}$ satisfies the original hypotheses, so that $\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{-1}(y, t)$ is arcwise connected for every $(y, t)$. Choose $\delta>0$ with $S((x, s), \delta) \subset \operatorname{int} L^{\prime}$. Then

$$
S((x, s), \delta) \cap \gamma^{-1}(y, t) \subset\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)^{-1}(y, t) \subset S((x, s), \varepsilon) \cap \gamma^{-1}(y, t)
$$

for each $(y, t) \in J^{\prime} \times W^{\prime}$, so that $\gamma^{\prime}$ is 0-regular at $(x, s)$. By [3, (4.2)] $\gamma$ is 0-regular, and (by [3, (4.3)(b)]) (2) $\gamma$ is a (product) bundle map with fiber $[0,1]$.

For each $t \in R^{p-1}$ and component $K$ (see (1)), $\gamma \mid\left(\bar{K} \cap\left(\Gamma^{2} \times\{t\}\right)\right.$ ) is a product bundle map over $J_{i} \times(t)$ with fiber [0, 1], so that $\lambda^{-1}(0, t)$ is a deformation retract of $L \cap\left(\Gamma^{2} \times\{t\}\right) \approx D^{2}$. Thus $\lambda^{-1}(0, t)$ is connected. Since $\lambda^{-1}(0, t)$ contains no homeomorph of $S^{1}$ (3.1(a)), and $\lambda^{-1}(0, t)-\{\alpha(t)\}$ is a 1-manifold with boundary points the $2 w$ $(\xi(L)=1-w)$ points of $\lambda^{-1}(0, t) \cap \Omega$ (2.1), it follows that $\lambda^{-1}(0, t)$ is homeomorphic to the union of $2 w$ arcs disjoint except for their common endpoint $\alpha(t)$. As a result $\alpha(t) \in \bar{K} \cap\left(\Gamma^{2} \times\{t\}\right)$, so that each $\bar{K}$ contains imag $\alpha$, i.e., $B_{\lambda}$.

Let $K_{i}(i=1,2, \cdots, 2 w)$ be the components $K$ enumerated so that for any $t \in R^{p-1}$, (int $\left.K_{i}\right) \cap\left(\Gamma^{2} \times\{t\}\right.$ ) are the components of

$$
(\text { int } L) \cap\left(\left(\Gamma^{2} \times\{t\}\right)-\lambda^{-1}(0, t)\right)
$$

in counterclockwise order around $\alpha(t)$ with $\lambda\left(\bar{K}_{i}\right)=J_{i} \times R^{p-1}$. Let
$\Lambda_{i}=\bar{K}_{i} \cap \operatorname{int} L$, let $\psi=\psi_{w} \times c$ (see (2.1)), and let $\Delta_{i}$ be the closures of the components of $\psi^{-1}$ (int $J_{i} \times R^{p-1}$ ) enumerated in analogous fashion.

By (2) there is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism $\mu_{i}$ of $\Lambda_{i}$ onto $R \times J_{i} \times R^{p-1}$ with $\pi \circ \mu_{i}=\lambda \mid \Lambda_{i}$. Let $\nu_{i}$ be the homeomorphism of $R \times J_{i} \times R^{p-1}$ onto itself defined by

$$
\nu_{i}(x, y, t)=(x, y, t)-\mu_{i}(\alpha(t))+(0,0, t),
$$

and let $\zeta_{i}=\nu_{i} \circ \mu_{i}$. Then $\zeta_{i}(\alpha(t))=(0,0, t)$, so that

$$
\zeta_{i}\left(B_{2}\right)=\{0\} \times\{0\} \times R^{p-1}
$$

There is an analogous orientation-preserving homeomorphism $\xi_{i}$ of $\Delta_{i}$ onto $R \times J_{i} \times R^{p-1}$ with $\pi \circ \xi_{i}=\psi \mid \Delta_{i}$ and $\xi_{i}\left(B_{\psi}\right)=\{0\} \times\{0\} \times R^{p-1}$.

Let $\Phi=(\operatorname{int} L) \cap \lambda^{-1}\left(\{0\} \times R^{p-1}\right)$, and let $Y_{i}\left(\right.$ resp., $\left.\Psi_{i}\right)$ be the closure in $\Phi$ (resp., $\psi^{-1}\left(\{0\} \times R^{p-1}\right)$ ) of the component in $\Phi-\beta_{\lambda}$ (resp., $\left.\Psi^{-1}\left(\{0\} \times R^{p-1}\right)-B_{\psi}\right)$ meeting both $\Lambda_{i}$ and $\Lambda_{i+1}\left(\right.$ resp., $\Delta_{i}$ and $\left.\Delta_{i+1}\right)$, where $i$ and $i+1$ are interpreted $\bmod 2 w$. In case $w=1$ there are two such components, and $\Upsilon_{i}$ is so chosen that, for each $t \in R^{p-1}$, a counter-clockwise path around $\alpha(t)$ from $\Lambda_{i}$ to $\Lambda_{i+1}$ passes through $r_{i}$. Then $\left(\xi_{i}\right)^{-1} \circ \zeta_{i}$ (also $\left.\left(\xi_{i+1}\right)^{-1} \circ \zeta_{i+1}\right)$ defines a homeomorphism of $r_{i}$ onto $\psi_{i}$ with $\left(\xi_{i}\right)^{-1} \circ \zeta_{i}\left(B_{\lambda}\right)=B_{\psi}$. Let $\rho: \Phi \approx \psi^{-1}\left(\{0\} \times R^{p-1}\right)$ agree with $\left(\xi_{i}\right)^{-1} \circ \zeta_{i}$ on $r_{i}$.

Let $\sigma_{i}$ be the layer homeomorphism of $R \times\{0\} \times R^{p-1}$ onto itself which is the restriction of $\xi_{i} \circ \rho \circ \zeta_{i}^{-1}$, (on $\zeta_{i}\left(\gamma_{i-1}\right), \sigma_{i}$ agrees with the identity map) and let $\tau_{i}$ be its first coordinate map. Let $\phi_{i}$ be the homeomorphism of $R \times J_{i} \times R^{p-1}$ onto itself defined by $\phi_{i}(x, y, t)=$ $\left(\tau_{i}(x, t), y, t\right)$, and let $\chi_{i}=\left(\xi_{i}\right)^{-1} \circ \phi_{i} \circ \zeta_{i}$. Then $\chi_{i}: \Lambda_{i} \approx \Delta_{i}$, they agree with $\rho$, and they thus define $\chi$ : int $L \approx C \times R^{p-1}$; since $\pi \circ \zeta_{i}=\lambda \mid \Lambda_{i}$ and $\pi \circ \xi_{i}=\psi \mid \Delta_{i}$, where $\pi: R \times J_{i} \times R^{p-1} \rightarrow J_{i} \times R^{p-1}$ is projection, $\psi \circ \chi=\lambda \mid$ int $L$. This is the desired conclusion.
4. The Proof of the theorem.

Remark 4.1. According to the Rank Theorem [3, (1.6)] $B_{f} \subset R_{p-1}(f)$, and we prove (1.1) under the weaker hypothesis that $\operatorname{dim}\left(B_{f} \cap f^{-1}(y)\right) \leqq 0$ for each $y \in N^{p}$.

Proof. Let $X$ be the complement of the set on which $f$ has the desired structure; then $X \subset B_{f}$ is closed. We suppose that

$$
\operatorname{dim} f(X) \geqq p-1
$$

and will obtain a contradiction.
Since $f$ is $C^{3}, \operatorname{dim}\left(f\left(R_{p-2}(f)\right)\right) \leqq p-2[2$, p. 1037]. If, for every
$x \in M^{p+1}-f^{-1}\left(f\left(R_{p-2}(f)\right)\right)$, there is an open neighborhood

$$
U_{x} \subset M^{p+1}-f^{-1}\left(f\left(R_{p-2}(f)\right)\right)
$$

of $x$ with $\bar{U}_{x}$ compact and $\operatorname{dim}\left(f\left(U_{x} \cap X\right)\right) \leqq p-2$, it follows from the fact that $\left\{U_{x}\right\}$ has a countable subcover that $\operatorname{dim}(f(X)) \leqq p-2$. Thus, there is an $\bar{x} \in M^{p+1}-f^{-1}\left(f\left(R_{p-2}(f)\right)\right)$ such that, (1) for every open neighborhood $U \subset M^{p+1}-f^{-1}\left(f\left(R_{p-2}(f)\right)\right)$ of $\bar{x}, \operatorname{dim}(f(U \cap X)) \geqq$ $p-1$.

By [1, p. 87, (1.1)] there are open neighborhoods $U$ of $\bar{x}$ and $V$ of $f(\bar{x})$ and $C^{r}$ diffeomorphisms $\sigma: R^{2} \times R^{p-1} \approx U$ and $\rho: V \approx R \times R^{p-1}$ such that $\rho \circ f \circ \sigma=g$ is a $C^{r}$ layer map and $\sigma(0,0)=\bar{x}$. By hypothesis $\operatorname{dim}\left(B_{g} \cap g^{-1}(y, t)\right) \leqq 0$ for each $(y, t) \in R \times R^{p-1}$.

Since $\sigma^{-1}(X) \subset B_{g}, B_{g} \subset R_{p-1}(g)$ (by the Rank Theorem [3, (1.6)]), $R_{p-1}(g) \cap\left(R^{2} \times(t)\right)=R_{0}\left(g_{t}\right)$, and $\operatorname{dim}\left(g_{t}\left(R_{0}\left(g_{t}\right)\right)\right) \leqq 0$ by Sard's Theorem (e.g. [2, p. 1037]), (2) $\operatorname{dim}\left(g\left(B_{g}\right) \cap\left(R^{2} \times\{t\}\right)\right) \leqq 0$ and

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(g\left(\sigma^{-1}(X)\right) \cap(R \times\{t\})\right) \leqq 0
$$

On the other hand, (by (1)) $\operatorname{dim}\left(g\left(\sigma^{-1}(X)\right) \geqq p-1\right.$, so there is an $r>0$ such that

$$
\Lambda=\left(\mathrm{Cl}[S(0, r)] \times R^{p-1}\right) \cap \sigma^{-1}(X)
$$

has $\operatorname{dim} g(\Lambda) \geqq p-1$. If $\pi: R \times R^{p-1} \rightarrow R^{p-1}$ is projection, then $\operatorname{dim}(\pi(g(\Lambda))) \geqq p-1$ (by (2) and [6, p. 91]), and there is an open ( $p-1$ )-cell $T \subset \pi(g(\Lambda))$ [6, p. 44] with $\bar{T}$ compact. Thus (3)

$$
\Lambda \cap\left(R^{2} \times\{t\}\right) \neq \varnothing \quad \text { for each } t \in T
$$

Let $W \subset T$ and the spoke sets $L_{j}(j=1,2, \cdots, q)$ be as given by (2.2) for $g$, any $a \in T, E=\Lambda \cap\left(R^{2} \times \bar{T}\right)$, and (say) $\varepsilon=1$. If (4) (i) the cardinality $w(t) \geqq 1$ of $B_{g} \cap\left(R^{2} \times\{t\}\right) \cap\left(\mathbf{U}_{j} L_{j}\right) \quad(t \in \operatorname{int} W)$ is bounded above by $\left|\sum_{j} \xi\left(L_{j}\right)\right|$, choose $s \in$ int $W$ such that $w(s)$ is maximal and let $\left(x_{i}, s\right)(i=1,2, \cdots, w(s))$ be these points. Otherwise, (4) (ii) there are $s \in \operatorname{int} W$ and distinct points $\left(x_{i}, s\right)(i=1,2, \cdots$, $\left.\left|\sum_{j} \xi\left(L_{j}\right)\right|+1\right)$ of $B_{g} \cap\left(R^{2} \times\{t\}\right) \cap\left(\bigcup_{j} L_{j}\right)$. Let $w^{\prime}$ be $w(s)$ in case (4) (i) and $\left|\sum_{j} \xi\left(L_{j}\right)\right|+1$ in case (4) (ii). Let $\varepsilon>0$ be less than $d\left(x_{h}, x_{i}\right)$ for $h \neq i$ and $d\left(B_{g}, \cup_{j} \Omega_{j}\right)$, and let $W^{\prime} \subset \operatorname{int} W$ and $\left\{L_{k}^{\prime}\right\}$ be as given by (2.2) for $g, a=s, E=\bigcup_{j} L_{j} \cap B_{g}$, and this $\varepsilon$. Thus (5) the $\left(x_{i}, s\right)$, are in distinct spoke sets $L_{k}^{\prime}$.

By hypothesis and by (2), the hypothesis of (3.1) (e) is satisfied, so that by (3.1) (d) and (e) $\xi\left(L_{j}\right)=0$ if and only if $L_{j} \cap B_{g}=\varnothing$. We may thus omit those $L_{j}$ and $L_{k}^{\prime}$ with $\xi\left(L_{j}\right)=0=\xi\left(L_{k}^{\prime}\right)$. From (3.1) (b) each $\xi\left(L_{j}\right)<0$ and $\xi\left(L_{k}^{\prime}\right)<0$, and from (5) and (3.1) (d) the cardinality $c$ of $\left\{L_{k}^{\prime}\right\}$ satisfies $w^{\prime} \leqq c \leqq\left|\sum_{k} \xi\left(L_{k}^{\prime}\right)\right|$. Since each $L_{k}^{\prime}$ is
contained in some $L_{j}, \sum_{j} \xi\left(L_{j}\right)=\sum_{k} \xi\left(L_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ by (2.3), and so $w^{\prime} \leqq$ $\left|\sum_{j} \xi\left(L_{j}\right)\right|$; this contradicts (4) (ii), and hence (4) (i) must be true.

For $t \in W^{\prime}, w(t) \geqq c$ by (3.1) (d), while $c \geqq w(s)$ by (4) (i), so that $w(t)=w(s)$. Thus (by (3.1) (d)) each $B_{g} \cap\left(R^{1} \times\{t\}\right) \cap L_{k}^{\prime}$ is a single point for $t \in W^{\prime}$, and since $B_{g}$ is closed, there is a homeomorphism $\alpha_{i}: W^{\prime} \approx L_{k}^{\prime} \cap B_{g}$ with $\pi \circ \alpha_{i}$ the identity map on $W^{\prime}$. By (3.2) $\bigcup_{k}\left(\sigma^{-1}(X) \cap L_{k}^{\prime}\right)=\varnothing$. But this set contains $\Lambda \cap\left(R^{2} \times W^{\prime}\right)$, contradicting (3).

Remark 4.2. In case $p=1, C^{3}$ may be replaced by $C^{2}$ and the argument can be shortened considerably. In that case (4.1) results from [12, p. 103, Theorem 1] (cf. [18, pp. 7-8]), and (4.1) in case $B_{f}$ is discrete is [10, p. 28, (4.3.1)] and [9]. Considerable information relating to open maps $f: M^{2} \rightarrow N^{1}$ is given in [5], [8], and [10].
4.3. Proof of (1.2). The hypotheses of (1.1) are satisfied (with $C^{2}$ if $p=1$ ). In case $p=1, X=\varnothing$, so that at each $x \in M^{p+1}, f$ at $x$ is locally topologically equivalent to $\psi_{d(x)}$. In case $p \geqq 2$, for each $x \in M^{p+1}-X$ with $d(x) \neq 1$ (i.e., $x \in B_{f}$ ), $\operatorname{dim} B_{f}=p-1 \geqq 1$ in a neighborhood of $x$; the assumption that $\operatorname{dim} R_{p-1}(f) \leqq 0$ contradicts the Rank Theorem [3, (1.6)]. Thus $B_{f} \subset X$, so that

$$
\operatorname{dim} f\left(B_{f}\right) \leqq p-2
$$

That $f$ is locally topological equivalent to $\rho$ or to $\tau$ is now a consequence of $[3,(4.7)]$.
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