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Let $R$ be an associative ring with identity and $X$ a set of noncommuting variables $\left\{x_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda_{\in 1}}$. Let $R\{X\}$ be the free associative algebra on $X$ over $R$. Then $S$. Gersten has shown that if $K_{1} R \rightarrow K_{1} R[t]$ is an isomorphism, where $R[t]$ is the polynomial extension of $R$, then $K_{1} R \rightarrow K_{1} R\{X\}$ is an isomorphism.

The purpose of this paper is to extend the result of Gersten to twisted free associative algebras.

Let $R$ be an associative ring with identity and $X$ a set of noncommuting variables $\left\{x_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda_{\in A}}$ and $\mathfrak{a}=\left\{\alpha_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda_{\in \Lambda}}$ a set of automorphisms $\alpha_{\lambda}$ of $R$. The a-twisted free associative algebra on $X$ over $R$, denoted by $R_{\mathrm{a}}\{X\}$, is defined as follows:

Additively, $R_{\mathrm{a}}\{X\}=R\{X\}$ so that its elements are finite linear combinations of words $w\left(x_{\lambda}\right)$ in $x_{\lambda}$ with coefficients in $R$.

If $w\left(x_{\lambda}\right)=x_{\lambda_{1}} \cdots x_{\lambda_{k}}$ is a word in $x_{\lambda}$, we denote the automorphism $\alpha_{\lambda_{1}} \cdots \alpha_{\lambda_{k}}$ by $w\left(\alpha_{\lambda}\right)$.

Multiplication in $R_{a}\{X\}$ is given by:

$$
\left(r w\left(x_{\lambda}\right)\right)\left(r^{\prime} w^{\prime}\left(x_{\lambda}\right)\right)=r w\left(\alpha_{\lambda}\right)^{-1}\left(r^{\prime}\right) w\left(x_{\lambda}\right) w^{\prime}\left(x_{\lambda}\right),
$$

for any $r w\left(x_{\lambda}\right), r^{\prime} w^{\prime}\left(x_{\lambda}\right) \in R_{\mathrm{a}}\{X\}$.
In particular, if $X=\{t\}$ and $a=\{\alpha\}$, then $R_{\mathrm{a}}\{X\}$ is just the $\alpha$ twisted polynomial ring $R_{\alpha}[t]$.

We shall consider $R_{\mathrm{a}}\{X\}$ as an $R$-ring with augmentation $\varepsilon_{X}$ : $R_{a}\{X\} \rightarrow R$ defined by $\varepsilon_{X}\left(x_{\lambda}\right)=0$ for each $x_{\lambda} \in X$. Denoted by $\bar{K}_{1} R_{a}\{X\}$ the cokernel of the homomorphism $i_{*}: K_{1} R \rightarrow K_{1} R_{\mathrm{a}}\{X\}$ induced by the inclusion $i: R \rightarrow R_{a}\{X\}$. Note that the augmentation $\varepsilon_{X}$ induces a homomorphism $\varepsilon_{X^{*}}: K_{1} R_{\mathrm{a}}\{X\} \rightarrow K_{1} R$ which splits $i_{*}$.

Let $W(X)$ be the set of all the words $w\left(x_{\lambda}\right)$ in $x_{\lambda}$. For each $w\left(x_{\lambda}\right)$ in $W(X)$, let $\beta_{w}$ be the automorphism $w\left(\alpha_{k}\right), h_{\beta_{w}}$ the homomorphism of $R_{\beta_{w}}[t]$ into $R_{a}\{X\}$ defined by $h_{\beta_{w}}(t)=w\left(x_{\lambda}\right)$ and $\bar{h}_{\beta_{w}}$ the homomorphism of $\bar{K}_{1} R_{\beta_{w}}[t]$ into $\bar{K}_{1} R_{a}\{X\}$ induced by $h_{\beta_{w}}$. Then our main result is:

THEOREM 1. The group $\bar{K}_{1} R_{\mathrm{a}}\{X\}$ is generated by the homomorphic images of $\bar{K}_{1} R_{\beta_{w}}[t]$ under $\bar{h}_{\beta_{w}}$ and $w\left(x_{\lambda}\right)$ runs over $W(X)$.

As a consequence, we have:
Theorem 2. (Twisted Case of Gersten's Theorem). If $K_{1} R \rightarrow$ $K_{1} R_{\beta_{w}}[t]$ is an isomorphism for each $\beta_{w}$, then $K_{1} R \rightarrow K_{1} R_{a}\{X\}$ is an
isomorphism.
Now, let $A$ be an invertible matrix over $R_{a}\{X\}$. By Higman's trick (cf. [4]), we can make $A$ equivalent in $K_{1} R_{\mathrm{a}}\{X\}$ to

$$
B=B_{0}+B_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+B_{n} x_{n},
$$

where $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ are distinct elements of $X$ and $B_{i}(i=0,1, \cdots, n)$ are $m \times m$ matrices over $R$ for some integer $m$. By applying the homomorphism $\varepsilon_{X^{*}}$ to $B$, we deduce that $B_{0}$ is invertible. Hence $A$ can be made equivalent in $\bar{K}_{1} R_{\mathrm{a}}\{X\}$ to

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=I+N_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+N_{n} x_{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N=B_{0}^{-1} B$ and $N_{i}=B_{0}^{-1} B_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n)$.
The inverse of this matrix $N$ can be written explicitly in the ring of formal power series. Since this inverse exists in $R_{\mathrm{a}}\{X\}$, all but a finite number of the power series coefficients are zero. That is, if

$$
M=M_{0}+M_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+M_{n} x_{n}+\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} M_{i, j} x_{i} x_{j}+\cdots
$$

is a matrix over $R_{\mathrm{a}}\{X\}$, where all $M_{i}, M_{i, j}, \cdots$ are matrices over $R$, such that $M N=N M=I$, then there is an integer $K>0$ such that $M_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{k}}=0$ for all $k>K$, where $i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{k}$ run over $1, \cdots, n$ respectively. From $N M=I$, we get, by equating coefficients of monomials in the $x^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$, the following relations:

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\begin{array}{ll}
M_{0} & =I ; \\
& \\
M_{i} & =-N_{i} \\
M_{i, j} & =N_{i} \alpha_{i}^{-1}\left(N_{j}\right) \\
\vdots & (i=1, \cdots, n) ; \\
M_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{l}}= & (-1)^{l} N_{i_{1}} \alpha_{i_{1}}^{-1}\left(N_{i_{2}}\right) \cdots\left(\alpha_{i_{1}}^{-1} \alpha_{i_{2}}^{-1} \cdots \alpha_{i_{l-1}}^{-1}\right)\left(N_{i_{l}}\right) \\
& \left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{l}=1, \cdots, n\right) .
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

Hence, for all $k>K$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{i_{1}} \alpha_{i_{1}}^{-1}\left(N_{i_{1}}\right) \cdots\left(\alpha_{i_{1}}^{-1} \alpha_{i_{2}}^{-1} \cdots \alpha_{i_{k-1}}^{-1}\right)\left(N_{i_{k}}\right)=0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us call a matrix $P$ over $R \beta$-twisted nilpotent ( $\beta$ is any automorphism of $R$ ) if there exists an integer $k>0$ such that

$$
P \beta^{-1}(P) \cdots \beta^{-(k-1)}(P)=0 .
$$

Hence, it follows from (2) that each $N_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n)$ in (1) is $\alpha_{i}-$ twisted nilpotent.

Our next lemma is the key to the main result:

Lemma 3. The matrix $N$ in (1) is a product of matrices of the form $I+P w\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$, where $P$ is an $w\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right)$-twisted nilpotent matrix over $R . \quad\left(w\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)\right.$ denotes a word in $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$.)

Proof. Recall from (1) and (2) that each $N_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n)$ in (1) is $\alpha_{i}$-twisted nilpotent. Consider

$$
I+Q=\left(I-N_{1} x_{1}\right) \cdots\left(I-N_{n} x_{n}\right) N
$$

Then $Q$ is of the form $\sum_{j} Q_{j} s_{j}$, where $s_{j}$ is a monomial of degree at least two in the $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$. In fact, if $s_{j}=x_{i_{1}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{l}}(l \geqq 2)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{j}= \pm N_{i_{1}} \alpha_{i_{1}}^{-1}\left(N_{i_{2}}\right) \cdots\left(\alpha_{i_{1}}^{-1} \cdots \alpha_{i_{l-1}}^{-1}\right)\left(N_{i_{l}}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, for $k>K / 2$,

$$
Q_{j} \beta^{-1}\left(Q_{j}\right) \cdots \beta^{-(k-1)}\left(Q_{j}\right)=0
$$

for each $j$, where $\beta$ is an automorphism obtained by replacing the $x_{i}$ in $s_{j}$ by $\alpha_{i}$ respectively. That is, $Q_{j}$ is $s_{j}\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right)$-twisted nilpotent for each $j$. Now, consider

$$
I+Q^{\prime}=\prod_{j}\left(I-Q_{j} s_{j}\right)(I+Q)
$$

Then $Q^{\prime}$ is of the form $\sum_{\sigma} Q_{\sigma}^{\prime} y_{\sigma}$, where each $y_{\sigma}$ is a monomial of degree at least four in the $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ and for $l \geqq 4, Q_{\sigma}^{\prime}$ is of the form as given on the right hand side of (3). Thus, for $k>K / 4$,

$$
Q_{o}^{\prime} \gamma^{-1}\left(Q_{\sigma}^{\prime}\right) \cdots \gamma^{-(b-1)}\left(Q_{a}^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

for each $\sigma$, where $\gamma$ is an automorphism obtained by replacing the $x_{i}$ in $y_{\sigma}$ by $\alpha_{i}$ respectively. That is, $Q_{\sigma}^{\prime}$ is $y_{\sigma}\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right)$-twisted nilpotent for each $\sigma$.

Left multiplying $I+Q^{\prime}$ by $\Pi_{o}\left(I-Q_{o}^{\prime} y_{o}\right)$, and repeating the above argument, we will finally arrive, after a finite steps (because of the finite bound $K$ and condition (2)), at the conclusion that

$$
\Pi\left(I+P w\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)\right) \cdot N=I
$$

where $P$ is an $w\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right)$-twisted nilpotent matrix over $R$ and $w\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ is a word in $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$.

This completes the proof.
The above discussions are modifications of those given in [3] and ([1], p. 647) for (untwisted) free associative algebras; and the following result is already contained in the above proof (also cf. [2]).

Lemma 4. For any automorphism $\beta$ of $R, \bar{K}_{1} R_{\beta}[t]$ is generated by the elements of the form $I+P t$, where $P$ is an $\beta$-twisted nilpotent matrix over $R$.

Proof of Theorem 1. It follows immediately from Lemmas 3 and 4.
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