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#### Abstract

Results of McMillan and Cannon may be combined to give an algebraic condition which is sufficient to show that an arc topologically embedded in $E^{3}$ is tame in $E^{3}$. The main theorem of this paper gives an essentially algebraic condition involving an arc embedded in $E^{3}$ and a compact subset of that arc which is sufficient to show that the arc may be approximated arbitrarily closely without moving the subset, to obtain a tame arc.


1. Preliminaries. The usual Euclidean distance function will be denoted by $d$. An open neighborhood having radius $r$ about a set $S$ will by denoted by $N(S, r)$. An $r$-set will be a set having diameter less than $r$.
1.1. Definition. Suppose that $X$ is a compact subset of a finite complex $K$ which is topologically embedded in $E^{3}$. Then $X$ is said to be tame in $K$ iff given $r>0$ there is a homeomorphism $h: K \rightarrow E^{3}$ such that
(1) $d(x, h(x))<r$ for each $x$ in $K$,
(2) $h(x)=x$ for each $x$ in $X$, and
(3) $h(K)$ is tame.
1.2. Definition. Suppose that $X$ is a compact subset of an arc $A$ which is topologically embedded in $E^{3}$. Then $X$ is said to be untangled iff for each $r>0$, there is an $s>0$ such that if $J$ is a loop in $E^{3}-X$ which bounds (homologically) on an $s$-set in $E^{3}-X$, then $J$ shrinks (homotopically) on an $r$-set in $E^{3}-X$.

McMillan [3] has noted that an arc is untangled iff it has free local fundamental groups (1-FLG) at each of its points. He also proved that an arc which has 1-FLG at each point is tame if each of its subarcs pierces a disk. Cannon [2, Theorem 3.16] has shown that an arc which has 1-FLG at each point does pierce a disk. Hence, an arc which is untangled is tame.
1.3. Notation. For the remainder of this paper $A$ will denote an arc topologically embedded in $E^{3}$ and $X$ will denote a compact subset of $A$ which is untangled. The arc $A$ will be assumed to have a fixed order, compatible with, and inducing, the given topology on $A$.
1.4. Definition. Let $Y$ be a subset of $A$. An indexed collection $C_{1}, \cdots, C_{n}$ of disjoint connected subsets of $E^{3}$ is said to be ordered
with respect to $Y$ iff, in the order on $A$, each point of $C_{i} \cap Y$ precedes each point of $C_{i+1} \cap Y . \quad(i=1, \cdots, n-1$.

The lemma below gives a way to separate components of $X$ by open sets in $E^{3}$ which are, roughly speaking, not much larger than the components.
1.5. Separation Lemma. Suppose that $s$ is a positive number. Then there is a finite cover $C_{1}, \cdots, C_{n}$ of $X$ by connected open subsets of $E^{3}$ with disjoint, polyhedral closures such that:
(1) $C_{1}, \cdots, C_{n}$ is ordered with respect to $X$, and
(2) For each $i$, there is a component $X_{i}$ of $X$ in $C_{i}$ such that $C_{i} \subset N\left(X_{i}, s\right)$.

Proof. The lemma follows easily from the fact that $X$ is a compact set.
2. Cellularity lemmas. In this section it is shown that if $X$ is untangled, then each component $K$ of $X$ can be enclosed in a polyhedral ball which is "close" to $K$ and which has boundary missing $X$. The proof falls naturally into two cases, depending on whether or not $K$ is a nondegenerate component of $X$. The two cases are handled in 2.1 and 2.4 respectively. These results are referred to as cellularity lemmas.
2.1. Cellularity Lemma for Nondegenerate Components. Suppose that $e$ is a positive number and that $K$ is a nondegenerate component of $X$. Then there is a polyhedral ball $B$ such that $K$ is contained in $B, \operatorname{Bd} B$ does not intersect $X$, and $B \subset N(K, e)$.

Proof. By the results of McMillan [3] and Cannon [2], $K$ is a tame arc. Therefore, there is a 3 -cell $C \subset N(K, e)$ which contains $K-\operatorname{Bd} K$ in its interior, which does not intersect $A-K$, and which has boundary which is polyhedral modulo $K$.

In view of Dehn's lemma [5], it suffices to prove the fact stated below. Indeed, the fact may be used in conjunction with Dehn's lemma to alter $\mathrm{Bd} C$ slightly near $K \cap \mathrm{Bd} C$ and in $N(K, e)$ so as to miss $X$. The adjusted 2 -sphere will then bound a ball $B$ satisfying the requirements of the lemma.

Fact. Suppose that $J$ is a simple closed curve in $\operatorname{Bd} C$ which separates the endpoints of $K$ from each other in $B d C$, and suppose that $J$ bounds a disk $D$ in $\mathrm{Bd} C$ of diameter less than some given positive number $q$. Then $J$ bounds a singular disk $D^{\prime}$ in $E^{3}-X$ of diameter less than $q$.

The fact is proved as follows. Let $r=q-\operatorname{diam} D$. Choose $s>0$ so small that loops which bound on $s$-sets in $E^{3}-X$ shrink on $r$-sets in $E^{3}-X$. Pick a 3-cell $T$ of diameter less than $s$ such that Bd $T$ separates the endpoints of $K$ in $E^{3}$ and $(\mathrm{Bd} T) \cap(\mathrm{Bd} C)$ is a simple closed curve in Int $D$. Let $E$ denote the disk $C \cap B d T$. Use the separation lemma 1.5 to cover components of $X$ which intersect ( $\mathrm{Bd} T$ ) $-E$ by a finite collection of disjoint open sets whose polyhedral closures miss $E \cup K$. Let $W$ be the union of these sets and assume that $\mathrm{Bd} W$ is in general position with respect to $\mathrm{Bd} T$. Because $\mathrm{Cl} W$ does not intersect $K \cup E, \mathrm{Bd} E$ bounds homologically on the $s$-set $\mathrm{Bd}(T-W)-\operatorname{Int} E$ in $E^{3}-X$ and therefore bounds a singular $r$-disk $F$ in $E^{3}-X$. The set $(D-K) \cup F$, which lies in $E^{3}-X$, contains a singular disk $D^{\prime}$ of diameter less than $q$ which is bounded by $J$. This establishes the fact and completes the proof of the lemma.

If, in the proof of $2.1, C$ is first partitioned by means of disjoint spanning disks $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ into three 3-cells - a central 3-cell $C_{3}$, whose intersection with $K$ is an arc $K_{3} \subset \operatorname{Int} K$, and end cells $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ whose intersections with $K$ are the closed components $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ of $K-K_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Bd} C$ is adjusted only very near $\left(\mathrm{Bd} C_{1}-D_{1}\right) \cap K$ and $\left(\mathrm{Bd} C_{2}-\right.$ $D_{2}$ ) $\cap K$ in constructing $\mathrm{Bd} B$, then the following is evident.
2.2. Addendum. The ball $B$ in 2.1 may be chosen in such a manner that it can be partitioned by disjoint spanning disks $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ into 3-cells $B_{1}, B_{2}$, and $B_{3}\left(B_{i} \cap B_{3}=D_{i}\right.$ for $\left.i=1,2\right)$ satisfying
(1) $B_{3} \cap A$ is a subarc of Int $K$ which spans the cell $B_{3}$ from $D_{1}$ to $D_{2}$,
(2) the diameter of $B_{i}$ is less than $e(i=1,2)$, and
(3) $B_{i} \cap A$ lies in an $e$-arc on $A(i=1$, 2) with one endpoint of this $e$-arc missing $X$ (unless $B_{i}$ contains an endpoint of $A$ ).

The next lemma is well-known.
2.3. Lemma. Suppose that $J$ is a simple closed curve in $E^{3}$ which bounds an orientable surface $T$ of diameter less than $r$, and suppose that $L$ is an arc of diameter less than $s$ which misses $J$. Then $J$ bounds a surface of diameter less than $r+s$ in $E^{3}-L$ and this surface may be chosen in an arbitrarily small neighborhood of $T \cup L$.
2.4. Cellularity Lemma for Degenerate Components. Suppose that $s$ is a positive number and that $\{p\}$ is a degenerate component of $X$. Then there is a polyhedral 3-cell $B$ such that $p$ lies in $B$, $\operatorname{Bd} B \cap X=\varnothing$, and $B \subset N(p, s)$.

Proof. As a first approximation to the desired 3-cell, let $B^{\prime}=$ $\mathrm{Cl}(N(p, r))$ where $0<r<s / 2$ and $r$ is so small that $B^{\prime}$ intersects no
component of $X$ with diameter as large as $s / 4$. Choose $q>0$ so small that loops which bound on $q$-sets in $E^{3}-X$ shrink on $r / 2$-sets in $E^{3}-X$.

Choose a collection $D_{1}, \cdots, D_{n}$ of small disjoint polyhedral disks on $\mathrm{Bd} B^{\prime}$ with boundaries missing $X$ and a collection $S_{1}, \cdots, S_{m}$ of small polyhedral spheres in $E^{3}-X$ such that $X \cap \operatorname{Bd} B^{\prime}$ is contained in $D_{1} \cup \cdots \cup D_{n} \cup\left(\operatorname{Int} S_{1} \cap \operatorname{Bd} B^{\prime}\right) \cup \cdots \cup\left(\operatorname{Int} S_{m} \cap \mathrm{Bd} B^{\prime}\right)$. Specifically, select for each degenerate component $\{x\}$ of $X$ which lies on $\mathrm{Bd} B^{\prime}$ a disk $D_{x} \subset \operatorname{Bd} B^{\prime}$ with $\operatorname{Bd} D_{x} \cap X=\varnothing$ and so small that diam $D_{x}<q / 2$ and $D_{x} \cap A$ lies on a subarc of $A$ with diameter less than $q / 2$ which has endpoints in $A-X$. Select a sphere for each nondegenerate component of $X$ which intersects $\mathrm{Bd} B^{\prime}$ using the cellularity lemma for nondegenerate components, 2.1, with $s / 4$ as the value of $e$ in that lemma. A finite collection of these disks and spheres satisfies the conditions above except for the requirement that the disks be disjoint. Since their boundaries miss $X$, the disks may be made disjoint by simply putting their boundaries in general position and cutting them apart.

Application of Lemma 2.3 shows that, for each $i, \operatorname{Bd} D_{i}$ bounds on a $q$-set in $E^{3}-X$, and hence shrinks on an $r / 2$-set in $E^{3}-X$. Because of this, if Int $D_{i}$ is thrown away and $\mathrm{Bd} D_{i}$ shrunk, a singular 2-sphere $R_{0}$ may be produced which intersects $X$ in "fewer" places than did $\mathrm{Bd} B^{\prime}$ and which is essential in $E^{3}-\{p\}$ since it is homotopic to $\mathrm{Bd} B^{\prime}$ in $E^{3}-N(p, r / 2)$. If any of the spheres $S_{i}$ contains $p$, the proof is finished since each $S_{i}$ has diameter less than $s$. Otherwise, for each $i$ in turn, choose a point $x_{i}$ in $\operatorname{Int} S_{i}-R_{i-1}$ and project "radially" the part of $R_{i-1}$ in $\operatorname{Int} S_{i}$ into $S_{i}$ to form a singular sphere $R_{i}$. The final result is a singular sphere $R_{m}$ in $E^{3}-X$, essential in $E^{3}-\{p\}$, and lying in $N(p, s)$. Using a strong form of the sphere theorem (which is implicit in the original proof in [5] and is stated explicitly in [6]), there is a nonsingular, polyhedral sphere with the same properties. Taking $B$ to be the closure of the interior of this sphere completes the proof.
3. The following theorem is the main result of this paper. It says that if a compact subset of an arc is untangled, then it is tame in that arc.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $X$ is a compact subset of an arc $A$ which is topologically embedded in $E^{3}$, and that for each positive number $r$, there is a positive number $s$ such that if $J$ is a loop in $E^{3}-X$ which bounds on an s-set missing $X$, then $J$ shinks on an $r$-set missing $X$. Then for each positive number $q$ there is a homeomorphism $f: A \rightarrow E^{3}$ such that
(1) $f(x)=x$ for each $x$ in $X$,
(2) $d(x, f(x))<q$ for each $x$ in $A$, and
(3) $f(A)$ is tame.

Proof. The idea of the proof is as follows. Construct a homeomorphism $h: E^{3} \rightarrow E^{3}$ such that the restriction of $h$ to $X$ takes $X$ in an order preserving fashion into the $x$-axis. Define $f(A)=h^{-1}(I)$ where $I$ is a suitably chosen subinterval of the $x$-axis. Care must be taken in the construction of $h$ in order that $A$ and $f(A)$ be close homeomorphically. The details of this process are described below.

It may be assumed that $A$ is locally polyhedral modulo $X$. Use the cellularity lemma for nondegenerate components, 2.1 , to construct a collection $B_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, B_{n}^{\prime}$ of disjoint polyhedral 3 -cells with boundaries in $E^{3}-X$, one for each component of $X$ with diameter as large as $q / 12$. Choose $e$ in that lemma and its addendum, 2.2, less than $q / 6$, and so small that the collection of cells is ordered with respect to $X$ (although it need not cover $X$ ).

The set $X^{\prime}=X-\bigcup B_{i}^{\prime}$ is a compact subset of $A$ with no component having diameter as large as $q / 12 ; X^{\prime}$ is untangled. "Small" 3 -cells covering $X^{\prime}$ are now constructed. By an appropriate choice of $s$ in the separation lemma, 1.5, a cover $C_{1}, \cdots, C_{m}$ of $X^{\prime}$ may be obtained, the closures of whose elements miss $\cup B_{i}^{\prime}$, have diameters less than $q / 6$, and are ordered with respect to $X$. A suitable choice of $s$ also guarantees that each $C_{i}$ intersects at most one of the $q / 6$ arcs associated (by the Addendum 2.2) with any $B_{j}^{\prime}$, and that $C_{i} \cap A$ lies in a $q / 6$-arc on $A$.

Each $C_{i}$ may be changed to a ball, using the two cellularity lemmas to cover each component of $X$ inside $C_{i}$ by a polyhedral ball, picking a finite subcover of these, and following the methods of Bing [1] to cut apart and reconnect these balls to form a single ball containing $X \cap C_{i}$ in its interior. Retain the notation $C_{i}$ for such a polyhedral ball.

Modify each $B_{j}^{\prime}(j=1, \cdots, n)$ as follows. The set $B_{j}^{\prime} \cap C l\left(A-B_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ is contained in (at most) two $q / 6-\operatorname{arcs} A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ on $A$, and the intersection of each of these with $B_{j}^{\prime}$ lies in a $q / 6$-cell (by the addendum). If $A_{i}$ intersects $X-B_{j}$, then $A_{i} \cap\left(X-B_{j}\right)$ is contained in some of the $C_{i}$ 's. Connect these $C_{i}$ 's to the $q / 6$-cells using disjoint $q / 6$-cells, so that the result is two $5 q / 6$-cells, each of which intersects one of $A_{1}$ or $A_{2}$ and no other point of $A-B_{j}^{\prime}$.

Let $B_{1}, \cdots, B_{n}, B_{n+1}, \cdots, B_{k}$ be the modified $B_{j}$ 's $(j=1, \cdots, n)$ plus the $C_{j}$ 's not used in the modifications. Assume that the indices are arranged so that this collection is ordered with respect to $X$.

Figure 1 illustrates the situation at this point of the proof.
The purpose in constructing $B_{1}, \cdots, B_{n}$ so carefully is to make it possible to change $A$ homeomorphically by moving only points of


Figure 1
$A$ which are near small components of $X$ and near the endpoints of large components of $X$. Define a homeomorphism $f^{\prime}$ on $A$ to move some subarcs of the $q / 6$-arcs associated with $B_{1}, \cdots, B_{n}$ into the $5 q / 6$ cells at the "ends" of these "large" balls, and to move some subarcs of the $q / 6-\operatorname{arcs}$ associated with $B_{n+1}, \cdots, B_{k}$ into these balls; do this so that $f^{\prime}(A)$ intersects each $\operatorname{Bd} B_{j}$ in at most two points (and only one point if $B_{j}$ (contains an endpoint of $A$.)

The first approximation $h_{1}$ to the homeomorphism $h$ taking $X$ into the $x$-axis is defined to take $f^{\prime}(A)-\bigcup B_{i}$ into the $x$-axis, to take each $B_{i}$ to a small neighborhood of an arc on the $x$-axis, and to take each component of $X$ with diameter as large as $q / 12$ into the $x$-axis, everything with order preserved. Subsequent approximations to $h$ will be the identity outside the images under $h_{1}$ of the $5 q / 6$-cells associated with $B_{1}, \cdots, B_{n}$ and of $B_{n+1}, \cdots, B_{k}$, and this will ensure that conclusion (2) of the theorem is true.

Approximations to $h$ are now obtained sequentially. At the second stage of the construction, a new collection of balls is chosen, inside the fiast, closer to $X$, and separating the components of $X$ with diameters as large as $q / 24$. A homeomorphism $h_{2}$ of $E^{3}$ onto itself is obtained which is the identity on each of the $h_{1}$-images of the components of $X$ which have diameter as large as $q / 12$. This homeomorphism maps $h_{1}$-images of the new balls to neighborhoods of arcs on the $x$-axis, and $h_{2} h_{1}$ also sends components of $X$ with diameter as large as $q / 24$ into the $x$-axis, preserving order of cells.

Continue in this manner, choosing the balls so small that the sequence $h_{1}, h_{2} h_{1}, h_{3} h_{2} h_{1}, \cdots$ of homeomorphisms converges to a homeomorphism $h$. Let $I$ be the smallest subarc of the $x$-axis which contains $h\left(f^{\prime}(A)\right) \cap(x$-axis $)$. The arc $h^{-1}(I)$ differs from $f^{\prime}(A)$ only in the end cells of $B_{1}, \cdots, B_{n}$ and in $B_{n+1}, \cdots, B_{k}$ and so a homeomorphism $f: A \rightarrow E^{3}$ may be defined so that $f(A)=h^{-1}(I)$ and $d(x, f(x))<q$ for each $x$ in $A$. The homeomorphism $f$ may also be chosen to fix points of $X$ since the restriction of $h$ to $X$ is a homeomorphism of $X$ into the $x$-axis. This completes the proof of the theorem.

There is also a relative version of 3.1:
Theorem 3.2. Suppose that $X$ is a compact subset of an arc $A$
which is topologically embedded in $E^{3}$ and that $X$ is untangled. Suppose that $g: A \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a continuous function so that $g^{-1}(0) \cap$ $X=\varnothing$. Then there is a homeomorphism $f: A \rightarrow E^{3}$ such that
(1) $f(x)=x$ for each $x$ in $X$,
(2) $d(x, f(x))<g(x)$ for each $x$ in $A$, and
(3) $f(A)$ is locally tame modulo the set $g^{-1}(0)$.

Proof. The proof is almost exactly the same as for 3.1, except for beginning with an approximation to $A$ which is locally polyhedral modulo $X \cup g^{-1}(0)$ and which is homeomorphically within $g$ of $A$. This new are is then modified on subarcs close to $X$ in the same way as in the proof of 3.1.
4. Theorem 3.1 may be combined with a characterization of subsets of arcs due to R. L. Moore [4, Theorem 135] to yield a characterization of subsets of tame arcs in $E^{3}$. Moore's theorem is proved for a space satisfying his axioms $0-5$ and $E^{3}$ does not satisfy axiom 4. However the proof is not difficult in this case.

Theorem (R. L. Moore) 4.1. In order that the compact point set $M$ in $E^{3}$ be a subset of an arc it is necessary and sufficient that every closed and connected subset of $M$ be either a degenerate point set or an arc $t$ such that no point of $t$, except for its endpoints is a limit point of $M-t$.
4.2. Characterization of Subsets of Tame Arcs in $E^{3}$. Suppose that $X$ is a compact subset of $E^{3}$. Then $X$ is a subset of a tame arc in $E^{3}$ if and only if each component of $X$ is a point or an arc $t$ such that no point of $t$, except possibly for an endpoint, is a limit point of $X-t$, and for each positive number $r$, there is a positive number $s$ such that each loop which bounds on a s-set in $E^{3}-X$ shrinks on an $r$-set in $E^{3}-X$.

Proof. Sufficiency follows from 3.1 and 4.1. Necessity is obvious.
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