A NOTE ON STARSHAPED SETS

P. R. GOODEY

If S is a compact subset of R^d , it is shown that S is starshaped if and only if S is nonseparating and the intersection of the stars of the (d-2)-extreme points of S is non-empty.

Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. The (d-2)-extreme points of S are by definition those points of S such that if $D \subset S$ is a (d-1)-dimensional simplex then $x \notin \text{relint } D$ (the relative interior of D). The totality of (d-2)-extreme points of S is denoted by E(S). For each $y \in S$ we define S(y), the star of y by $S(y) = \{z: [y, z] \subset S\}$, where [y, z] denotes the closed line segment from y to z. S is said to be starshaped if Ker $S \neq \emptyset$ where Ker $S = \{S(y): y \in S\}$. In [2] it is shown that if S is a compact starshaped set in \mathbb{R}^d then $\operatorname{Ker} S = \bigcap \{S(y): y \in E(S)\}$. Thus the following question arises: if S is such that $\bigcap \{S(y): y \in E(S)\} \neq \emptyset$, under what hypothesis is S starshaped? It is clearly desirable that the hypothesis should be as weak as possible in order to indicate to what extent $\bigcap \{S(y): y \in E(S)\} \neq \emptyset$ implies that S is starshaped. In [3] it is shown that one suitable hypothesis is that S should have the halfray property, that is, for any point x in $R^d \setminus S$ there is a half-line l with vertex x such that $l \cap S = \emptyset$. Now we note that this hypothesis is a rather strong one especially as it is being used to deduce the fact that a certain set is starshaped. Thus one suspects that a much weaker hypothesis might suffice. This suspicion is further strengthened by the example given in [3] to show that, in fact, some hypothesis is necessary. More precisely, the example given is a separating set that is, its complement is not connected. The purpose of this note is to prove the following

THEOREM. If $S \subset R^d$ is a nonseparating compact set and $\{S(y): y \in E(S)\} \neq \emptyset$, then S is starshaped.

Proof. Let $z \in \bigcap \{S(y): y \in E(S)\}$. We shall show that for any x in $R^d \setminus S$, $l(x, z) \cap S = \emptyset$ where l(x, z) is the half-line with vertex x which does not contain z but is such that the line containing l(x, z) does contain z. Clearly this suffices to show that S is starshaped.

Choose x_0 in the complement of the convex hull of S, then $l(x_0, z) \cap S = \emptyset$. Now since S is a nonseparating compact set, its complement is a path-connected unbounded open set (see [1, p. 356]). Thus any point in $R^d \setminus S$ can be "joined" to x_0 by a finite polygonal path in $R^d \setminus S$ such that if t is any segment of the path then the line

containing t does not contain z.

Now we assume $l(x,z)\cap S\neq\varnothing$ for some point x in $R^d\backslash S$ and seek a contradiction. Let P be a polygonal path as described above with consecutive vertices $v_1=x,\,v_2,\,v_3,\,\cdots,\,v_n=x_0$. Put $i=\max\{j\colon l(v_j,z)\cap S\neq\varnothing\}$ then $1\leq i< n$. Let the closed segment $[v_i,\,v_{i+1}]$ be the image under the continuous function f of the unit interval, with $f(0)=v_i$ and $f(1)=v_{i+1}$. Note that if $p\neq q$ then $l(f(p),z)\cap l(f(q),z)=\varnothing$. Now $l(f(1),z)\cap S=\varnothing$ and so, since S is compact we can put $p=\max\{q\colon l(f(q),z)\cap S\neq\varnothing\}$ and then $0\leq p<1$. Let g be the point of g on g on g on the point of g on g on the point g of g and g or g and g or g and g or g on the point of g on g on the point of g on g on the point of g and g or g or g and g or g or g and g or g and g or g and g or g or g or g and g or g and g or g or g or g or g or g or g and g or g or

Then y must be the mid-point of a segment which is contained in $S \cap Q$ where Q is the plane through z, v_i, v_{i+1} . But this is impossible because of the definition of y and the fact that $l(f(q), z) \cap S = \emptyset$ for $p < q \le 1$. Hence $y \in E(S)$ and so $f(p) \in S$. This contradiction shows that $l(x, z) \cap S = \emptyset$ and thus completes the proof.

Finally, as a result of the above theorem and the comments made in [2] we are led to ask: if S has the half-ray property and has a point which "sees" just the extreme points of the convex hull of S and not all the (d-2)-extreme points, is S necessarily starshaped? The following example shows that the answer is negative:

$$S = \{(x, y) \in R^2: |x| \leq 1, |y| \leq 1\} \setminus \left\{(x, y) \in R^2: |x| < \frac{1}{2}, |y| > \frac{1}{2}\right\}.$$

Similarly we observe that if we rotate S about the y-axis we obtain a three dimensional set with the required properties.

REFERENCES

- 1. J. Dugundji, Topology, Allyn and Bacon, Boston 1968.
- 2. J. W. Kenelly and W. R. Hare et al., Convex components, extreme points, and the convex kernel, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 21 (1969), 83-87.
- 3. N. Stavrakas, A note on starshaped sets, (k)-extreme points and the half ray property, Pacific J. Math., 53 (1974), 627-628.

Received May 30, 1975, and in revised form July 4, 1975.

ROYAL HOLLOWAY COLLEGE