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#### Abstract

We determine the radius of convexity of functions $f(z)$ for which $\operatorname{Re}\left\{f^{\prime}(z) / \phi^{\prime}(z)\right\}>\beta$, where $\phi(z)$ is convex of order $\alpha(0 \leqq \alpha \leqq 1)$. We also find bounds for $\left|\arg f^{\prime}(z)\right|$. All result are sharp.


1. Introduction. Let $S$ be the class of normalized univalent functions analytic in the unit disk. Let $K(\alpha)$ denote the subclass of $S$ consisting of functions $\phi(z)$ for which

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z \phi^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\phi^{\prime}(z)}\right\} \geqq \alpha \quad(0 \leqq \alpha \leqq 1)
$$

This class is called convex of order $\alpha$. We say that an analytic function $f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ is in the class $C(\alpha, \beta)$ if there exists a function $\phi(z) \in K(\alpha)$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>\beta \quad(0 \leqq \beta<1,|z|<1)
$$

This class was defined by Libera [5]. Kaplan [3] showed that $C(0,0)$, the class of close-to-convex functions, is univalent. Since $C(\alpha, \beta) \subset C(0,0)$, we see that $C(\alpha, \beta)$ is a subclass of $S$.

Denote by $P_{\beta}$ the functions $p(z)$ that are analytic in $|z|<1$ and satisfy there the conditions

$$
p(0)=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Re} p(z)>\beta
$$

and set $P_{0}=P$. It is well known that a function $q(z)$ is in $P_{\beta}$ if and only if there exists a function $p(z) \in P$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
q(z)=(1-\beta) p(z)+\beta=\frac{p(z)+h}{1+h}, \text { where } \\
h=\frac{\beta}{1-\beta} . \tag{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus if $f(z) \in K(\alpha, \beta)$, then we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=\phi^{\prime}(z) \frac{p(z)+h}{1+h}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi(z) \in K(\alpha), p(z) \in P$, and $h$ is defined by (1). Taking logarithmic derivatives in (2), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=1+\frac{z \phi^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\phi^{\prime}(z)}+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)+h} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is our purpose in this paper to determine the radius of convexity for the class $C(\alpha, \beta)$. Note, for $|z|=r$, that (3) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\min _{f \in C(\alpha, \beta)} \operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}= & \min _{\phi \in K(\alpha)} \operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z \phi^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\phi^{\prime}(z)}\right\}  \tag{4}\\
& +\min _{p \in P} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)+h}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

In [5], Libera found a disk $|z|<r$ in which $f(z) \in C(\alpha, \beta)$ is convex. His method essentially consisted of utilizing the inequaltiy

$$
\min _{f \in C(\alpha, \beta)} \operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\} \geqq \min _{\phi \in K(\alpha)} \operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z \phi^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\phi^{\prime}(z)}\right\}-\max _{p \in P}\left|\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)+h}\right|
$$

His result, however, was not sharp because for $|z|=r$,

$$
\min _{p \in P} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)+h}\right\} \geqq-\max _{p \in P}\left|\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)+h}\right|
$$

with equality only when $h=0$. The function that he claimed to be extremal need not be in $C(\alpha, \beta)$. See [9]. It is known [1] that

$$
\min _{\substack{z=\mid \\ \phi \in K(\alpha)}} \operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z \phi^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\phi^{\prime}(z)}\right\}=\frac{1-(1-2 \alpha) r}{1+r}
$$

with equality for functions of the form

$$
\phi(z)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{(1-2 \alpha) \epsilon}\left[\frac{1}{(1-\epsilon z)^{1-2 \alpha}}-1\right] & \left(\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2},|\epsilon|=1\right) \\ -\bar{\epsilon} \log (1-\epsilon z) & \left(\alpha=\frac{1}{2},|\epsilon|=1\right)\end{cases}
$$

Thus, taking into account (4), the radius of convexity of $C(\alpha, \beta)$ is seen to be the smallest positive $r$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-(1-2 \alpha) r}{1+r}+\min _{\substack{|z|=r \\ p \in P}} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)+h}\right\}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In §2 we will use a theorem of V. A. Zmorovič to find $\min _{|z|=r, p \in P} \operatorname{Re}\left\{z p^{\prime}(z) /(p(z)+h)\right\}$. In $\S 3$ we will determine the radius of convexity of $C(\alpha, \beta)$ and examine some of its consequences. Finally, in $\S 4$ we will find a sharp bound on $\left|\arg f^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $f(z) \in C(\alpha, \beta)$.
2. Consequences of Zmorovič's theorem. The following theorem is due to V . A. Zmorovič [11].

Theorem A. Let $\Psi(w, W)=M(w)+N(w) W$, where $M(w)$ and $N(w)$ are defined and are finite in the half plane $\operatorname{Re}\{w\}>0$. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
w & =\lambda_{1} \frac{1+z_{1}^{m}}{1-z_{1}^{m}}+\lambda_{2} \frac{1+z_{2}^{m}}{1-z_{2}^{m}} \\
W & =\lambda_{1} \frac{2 m z_{1}^{m}}{\left(1-z_{1}^{m}\right)^{2}}+\lambda_{2} \frac{2 m z_{2}^{m}}{\left(1-z_{2}^{m}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ are any points on the circle $|z|=r<1, m$ is a positive integer, $\lambda_{1} \geqq 0, \lambda_{2} \geqq 0$, and $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}=1$. Then the function $\Psi(w, W)$ can be put in the form

$$
\Psi(w, W)=M(w)+\frac{m}{2}\left(w^{2}-1\right) N(w)+\frac{m}{2}\left(\rho^{2}-\rho_{0}^{2}\right) N(w) e^{2, w},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1+z_{k}^{m}}{1-z_{k}^{m}} & =a+\rho e^{i \psi_{k}} & & (k=1,2), \\
w & =a+\rho_{0} e^{i \psi_{0}} & & \left(0 \leqq \rho_{\theta} \leqq \rho\right), \\
a & =\frac{1+r^{2 m}}{1-r^{2 m}}, & & \rho=\frac{2 r^{m}}{1-r^{2 m}}, \quad e^{i \psi}=i e^{i\left(\psi_{1}+\psi_{2}\right) / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{align*}
\min & \operatorname{Re}\{\Psi(w, W)\} \equiv \Psi_{\rho}(w)  \tag{6}\\
& =\operatorname{Re}\left\{M(w)+\frac{m}{2}\left(w^{2}-1\right) N(w)\right\}-\frac{m}{2}|N(w)|\left(\rho^{2}-\rho_{0}^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

This minimum is reached when

$$
\exp [i(2 \psi+\arg N(w)]=-1
$$

The importance of this formidable theorem lies in the fact that the minimum of $\operatorname{Re} \Psi(w, W)$ in the disk $|w-a| \leqq \rho$ depends only on the two variables Re $w$ and $\operatorname{Im} w$, as can be seen by (6), and not on $W$, $\lambda_{1}$, or $\lambda_{2}$.

I would like to thank the referee for pointing out that the following theorem may be found in [12]. For completeness we include a more detailed proof of this useful result.

Theorem 1. Suppose $p(z) \in P, h$ is defined by (1), and a is defined as in Theorem A. Then

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)+h}\right\} \geqq\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{2 r}{(1+r)[(1+h-(1-h) r]} & \left(0 \leqq r \leqq r_{\beta}\right) \\
2 \sqrt{h^{2}+a h}-a-2 h & \left(r_{\beta}<r<1\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $r_{\beta}$ is the unique root of the equation $(1-2 \beta) r^{3}-3(1-2 \beta) r^{2}+3 r-$ $1=0$ in the interval $(0,1]$. This result is sharp.

Proof. Set $M(w)=0, N(w)=1 /(w+h), m=1$, and $w=p(z)=p$ in Theorem A, and note that $W=z p^{\prime}(z)$. Thus $\Psi(w, W)=\Psi\left(p, z p^{\prime}\right)=$ $z p^{\prime}(z) /(p(z)+h)$ and, in view of (6),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)+h}\right\} \geqq \Psi_{\rho}(p)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{p^{2}-1}{p+h}-\frac{\rho^{2}-\rho_{0}^{2}}{|p+h|}\right] . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $|p-a|=\rho_{0} \leqq \rho$, we may set $p=a+\xi+i \eta, \rho_{0}^{2}=\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}$, and $R=|p+h|$. Then
(8) $\operatorname{Re} \frac{p^{2}-1}{p+h}=\frac{|p|^{2}(a+\xi)-(a+\xi+h)+h\left[(a+\xi)^{2}-\eta^{2}\right]}{R^{2}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{(a+\xi+h)\left[R^{2}-\left(h^{2}+2 h(a+\xi)+1\right)\right]-2 h \eta^{2}}{R^{2}} \\
& =\frac{(a+\xi+h)\left[R^{2}-2 h(a+\xi+h)+\left(h^{2}-1\right)\right]-2 h \eta^{2}}{R^{2}} \\
& =(a+\xi+h)-2 h+\frac{\left(h^{2}-1\right)(a+\xi+h)}{R^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

A substitution of (8) into (7) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\rho}(p)=\frac{a+\xi+h}{2}-h+\frac{\left(h^{2}-1\right)(a+\xi+h)}{2 R^{2}}-\frac{\rho^{2}-\xi^{2}-\eta^{2}}{2 R} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now wish to minimize $\Psi_{\rho}(p)$ as a function of $\eta$. A differentiation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \psi_{\rho}}{\partial \eta}=\frac{\eta}{2} \frac{S(\xi, \eta)}{R^{4}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$S(\xi, \eta)=\left[\xi^{2}+4(a+h) \xi+\rho^{2}+\eta^{2}+2(a+h)^{2}\right] R-2\left(h^{2}-1\right)(\xi+a+h)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\geqq\left[\xi^{2}+4(a+h) \xi+\rho^{2}+2(a+h)^{2}-2\left(h^{2}-1\right)\right](\xi+a+h) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

But the last expression in (11) is an increasing function of $\xi$ in the interval $[-\rho, \rho]$. Hence

$$
S(\xi, \eta) \geqq S(-\rho, \eta)=2\left[(a-\rho)^{2}+2 h(a-\rho)+1\right](a+h-\rho)>0
$$

We thus see from (10) that $\Psi_{\rho}(\xi, \eta)$ is minimized on every chord $\xi=$ constant of the circle $\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}=\rho_{0}^{2}$ at the point $\eta=0$. Therefore the minimum of $\Psi_{\rho}(\xi, \eta)$ in the disk $\xi^{2}+\eta^{2} \leqq \rho^{2}$ occurs somewhere on the diameter $\eta=0$. Setting $\eta=0$ in (9) and noting that $R=a+\xi+h$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\rho}(p) \geqq \Psi_{\rho}(\xi, 0)=l(R)=\frac{R}{2}-h+\frac{h^{2}+\xi^{2}-\rho^{2}-1}{2 R} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the identities $\xi=R-(a+h)$ and $\rho^{2}=a^{2}-1$ in (12), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(R)=R+\frac{h^{2}+a h}{R}-(a+2 h) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We must now determine the minimum of $l(R)$ for $R$ in the interval $[a+h-\rho, a+h+\rho]$. A differentiation of (13) shows that $l(R)$ assumes its minimum at

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{0}=\sqrt{h^{2}+a h} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

as long as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a+h-\rho \leqq R_{0} \leqq a+h+\rho \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right hand inequality in (15) is always true, but the left hand inequality will not hold when $h$ (and consequently $\beta$ ) is small. In the latter case, $l(R)$ assumes its minimum at the point

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1}=a+h-\rho . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (14) and (16), respectively, into (13), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
l\left(R_{0}\right)=2 \sqrt{h^{2}+a h}-(a+2 h) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
l\left(R_{\mathrm{t}}\right)=\frac{\rho^{2}-a \rho}{a+h-\rho}=-\frac{2 r}{(1+r)[1+h-(1-h) r]} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\beta$ increases, the transition from $l\left(R_{1}\right)$ to $l\left(R_{0}\right)$ occurs at the point where $R_{0}=R_{1}$. But $R_{0}=R_{1}$ when $h^{2}+a h=(a-\rho+h)^{2}$, or in terms of $r$, when the polynomial equation

$$
t(r)=(1-2 \beta) r^{3}-3(1-2 \beta) r^{2}+3 r-1
$$

has a root in the interval $(0,1]$. Note that

$$
t^{\prime}(r)=3\left[(1-2 \beta) r^{2}-2(1-2 \beta) r+1\right]>0 \quad(0<r<1)
$$

so that $t(r)$ is increasing. Further, $t(0)=-1$ and $t(1)=4 \beta$ so that $t(r)$ has a unique root in the interval $(0,1]$. This completes the proof.

Equality holds in (18) for $p(z)=(1+z) /(1-z)$, and in (17) for

$$
p(z)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1+z e^{-i \theta_{0}}}{1-z e^{-i \theta_{0}}}+\frac{1+z e^{i \theta_{0}}}{1-z e^{i \theta_{0}}}\right]=\frac{1-z^{2}}{1-2 z \cos \theta_{0}+z^{2}},
$$

where $\cos \theta_{0}$ is defined by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
h+\left(1-r_{0}^{2}\right)\left(1-2 r_{0} \cos \theta_{0}+r_{0}^{2}\right)^{-1}=R_{0} \quad\left(r_{0}=l\left(R_{0}\right)\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Radius of convexity theorems. We may now use Theorem 1 to prove

TheOrem 2. Suppose $r_{\beta}$ is the unique root of

$$
t(r)=(1-2 \beta) r^{3}-3(1-2 \beta) r^{2}+3 r-1
$$

in the interval $(0,1]$. Set

$$
r(\alpha, \beta)=\frac{1}{2-\alpha-2 \beta+\sqrt{\alpha^{2}-2 \alpha+4 \beta^{2}-6 \beta+3}} .
$$

Then the radius of convexity of $C(\alpha, \beta)$ is $r(\alpha, \beta)$ when $0<r(\alpha, \beta) \leqq r_{\beta}$, and is otherwise the smallest root greater than $r_{\beta}$ of the polynomial equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(r)= & {\left[\alpha^{2}-\beta\left(\alpha^{2}+2 \alpha-1\right)\right] r^{4}-2(1-\alpha)(\beta+\alpha \beta-\alpha) r^{3} } \\
& +\left[(1-\alpha)^{2}(1-\beta)+2 \alpha \beta\right] r^{2}+2 \beta(1-\alpha) r-\beta .
\end{aligned}
$$

This result is sharp for all $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

Proof. An application of Theorem 1 to (5) shows that the radius of convexity of $C(\alpha, \beta)$ is the smallest positive root of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1-(1-2 \alpha) r}{1+r}-\frac{2 r}{(1+r)[(1+h)-(1-h) r]} & =0  \tag{20}\\
\frac{1-(1-2 \alpha) r}{1+r}+2 \sqrt{h^{2}+a h}-a-2 h & =0
\end{array}\left(r_{\beta}<r<1\right), ~ \$ r_{\beta}\right)
$$

where $a$ is defined in Theorem A and $h$ is defined by (1). The first expression in (20) may be written as

$$
\frac{(1-2 \alpha)(1-2 \beta) r^{2}-2(2-\alpha-2 \beta) r+1}{(1+r)[(1+h)-(1-h) r]}=0
$$

whose roots are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(2-\alpha-2 \beta) \mp \sqrt{(2-\alpha-2 \beta)^{2}-(1-2 \alpha)(1-2 \beta)}}{(1-2 \alpha)(1-2 \beta)} \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{(2-\alpha-2 \beta) \pm \sqrt{\alpha^{2}-2 \alpha+4 \beta^{2}-6 \beta+3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

If both roots are positive, the minimum root is $r(\alpha, \beta)$. Similarly, a computation shows that $r^{*}$ is a root of the second expression in (20) if and only if it is a root of $v(r)$. This completes the proof.

The extremal function is of the form

$$
f(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{1+(1-2 \beta) t}{(1-t)^{3-2 \alpha}} d t
$$

when $0<r(\alpha, \beta) \leqq r_{\beta}$, and is otherwise of the form

$$
f(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{1-2 \beta \cos \theta_{0}+(2 \beta-1) t^{2}}{\left(1-2 t \cos \theta_{0}+t^{2}\right)(1-t)^{2(1-\alpha)}} d t
$$

where $\cos \theta_{0}$ is defined by (19).
Corollary. If $0 \leqq \beta \leqq \frac{1}{10}$, then the radius of convexity of $C(\alpha, \beta)$ is $r(\alpha, \beta)$ for all $\alpha$.

Proof. We must show that $0<r(\alpha, \beta) \leqq r_{\beta}$ for $0 \leqq \alpha \leqq 1$ and $0 \leqq$ $\beta \leqq 1 / 10$. Note that $\partial t(r) / \partial \beta=2 r^{2}(3-r)$, so that $t(r)$ is an increasing function of $\beta$. This means that $r_{\beta}$ is a decreasing function of $\beta$. Set $A=\sqrt{\alpha^{2}-2 \alpha+4 \beta^{2}-6 \beta+3}$. Then

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} r(\alpha, \beta)=\frac{A+1-\alpha}{S^{3}} \geqq 0 \quad(0 \leqq \alpha \leqq 1)
$$

and

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} r(\alpha, \beta)=\frac{A+3-4 \beta}{A^{3}} \geqq 0 \quad\left(0 \leqq \beta \leqq \frac{3}{4}\right) .
$$

Thus $r(\alpha, \beta) \leqq r\left(1, \frac{1}{10}\right)$ for $0 \leqq \alpha \leqq 1$ and $0 \leqq \beta \leqq \frac{1}{10}$. The result follows upon observing that

$$
r\left(1, \frac{1}{10}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad t\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\frac{8}{10}\left(\frac{1}{8}\right)-\frac{24}{10}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)+\frac{3}{2}-1=0
$$

Remark. When $\beta=0$, we see that

$$
r(\alpha, 0)=\frac{1}{2-\alpha+\sqrt{\alpha^{2}-2 \alpha+3}} .
$$

In this case, Libera's result [5] is sharp.
We turn now to a distinguished subclass of $C(\alpha, \beta)$, and state the result as a separate theorem.

Theorem 3. If $f(z) \in S$ with $\operatorname{Ref}^{\prime}(z)>\beta$, then $f(z)$ is convex in a disk of radius

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{1}{1-2 \beta+\sqrt{4 \beta^{2}-6 \beta+2}} & \left(0 \leqq \beta \leqq \frac{1}{10}\right) \\ \left(1+\sqrt{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \left(\frac{1}{10}<\beta<1\right)\end{cases}
$$

This result is sharp.
Proof. Since $\phi(z)=z$ is the only function in $K(1)$, the class under consideration is $C(1, \beta)$ so that Theorem 2 may be applied. As we saw in the corollary to Theorem 2,

$$
r(1, \beta)=\frac{1}{1-2 \beta+\sqrt{4 \beta^{2}-6 \beta+2}} \leqq r_{\beta} \quad\left(0 \leqq \beta \leqq \frac{1}{10}\right),
$$

which gives the first part of the theorem.
Since $t\left(r_{\beta}\right)=0$ when $\alpha=1$ and $\beta=\frac{1}{10}$, the radius of convexity of $C(1, \beta)$ for $\beta>\frac{1}{10}$ is the only positive root of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1-2 \beta) r^{4}+2 \beta r^{2}-\beta=0, \text { or } \\
& r^{2}=\frac{-\beta+\sqrt{\beta-\beta^{2}}}{1-2 \beta}=\frac{1}{1+\sqrt{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
Remark. The cases $\beta=0$ and $\beta=\frac{1}{2}$ were proved, respectively, by MacGregor [6] and Hallenbeck [2].

## 4. An argument theorem.

Theorem 4. If $f(z) \in C(\alpha, \beta)$, then

$$
\left|\arg f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqq 2(1-\alpha) \sin ^{-1} r+\sin ^{-1}\left[\frac{2(1-\beta) r}{1+(1-2 \beta) r^{2}}\right]
$$

This result is sharp.
Proof. We may write

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=\phi^{\prime}(z) q(z), \quad \text { where } \quad \phi(z) \in K(\alpha) \quad \text { and } \quad q(z) \in P_{\beta} .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqq\left|\arg \phi^{\prime}(z)\right|+|\arg q(z)| \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

But by a result of Pinchuk [8],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg \phi^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqq 2(1-\alpha) \sin ^{-1} r \quad(|z| \leqq r) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{Req}(z)>\beta$, the function

$$
\omega(z)=\frac{(q(z)-\beta)-(1-\beta)}{(q(z)-\beta)+(1-\beta)}=\frac{q(z)-1}{q(z)-(2 \beta-1)}
$$

is analytic with $\omega(0)=0$ and $|\omega(z)|<1$ in $|z|<1$.
Thus by Schwarz's lemma,

$$
\left|\frac{q(z)-1}{q(z)-(2 \beta-1)}\right|<|z| \text { for }|z|<1
$$

Hence the values of $q(z)$ are contained in the circle of Apollonius whose diameter is the line segment from $(1+(2 \beta-1) r) /(1+r)$ to
$(1-(2 \beta-1) r) /(1-r)$. The circle is centered at the point $\left(1+(1-2 \beta) r^{2}\right) /$ $\left(1-r^{2}\right)$ and has radius $(2(1-\beta) r) /\left(1-r^{2}\right)$. Thus $|\arg q(z)|$ attains its maximum at points where a ray from the origin is tangent to the circle, that is, when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\arg q(z)= \pm \sin ^{-1} \frac{2(1-\beta) r}{1+(1-2 \beta) r^{2}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (22) and (23) into (21), the result follows.
Equality holds for functions of the form

$$
f(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{1+(1-2 \beta) \eta t}{(1-\epsilon t)^{2(1-\alpha)}(1-\eta t)} d t
$$

with suitably chosen $\epsilon, \eta$, where $|\epsilon|=|\eta|=1$.
Remark. For $\alpha=\beta=0$, this reduces to

$$
\left|\arg f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqq 2 \sin ^{-1} r+\sin ^{-1} \frac{2 r}{1+r^{2}}=2\left(\sin ^{-1} r+\tan ^{-1} r\right)
$$

a result of Krzyz [4].
Theorem 5. Suppose $f(z), g(z) \in C(\alpha, \beta)$. Then

$$
\lambda f(z)+(1-\lambda) g(z) \quad(0 \leqq \lambda \leqq 1)
$$

is univalent in a disk $|z|<r$, where $r$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$
2(1-\alpha) \sin ^{-1} r+\sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{2(1-\beta) r}{1+(1-2 \beta) r^{2}}\right)=\frac{\pi}{2} .
$$

This result is sharp.
Proof. In [7], MacGregor showed that the exact radius of univalence of convex linear combinations of a rotation and conjugation invariant subclass of $S$ is given by the supremum of the values of $r$ for which $\operatorname{Re} f^{\prime}(z)>0,|z|<r$, where $f(z)$ varies over all functions in the class. Since $K(\alpha)$ is rotation and conjugation invariant, see [10], so is $C(\alpha, \beta)$. That is, $f(z) \in C(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if $\overline{f(\bar{z})}$ is in $C(\alpha, \beta)$. Since $\operatorname{Re} f^{\prime}(z)>0$ if and only if $\left|\arg f^{\prime}(z)\right|<\pi / 2$, the result follows from Theorem 4.
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