ANOTHER NOTE ON EBERLEIN COMPACTS

E. MICHAEL AND M. E. RUDIN

An Eberlein compact is a compact space that can be embedded in a Banach space with its weak topology. It is shown that: If X is compact and if $X=M_1\cup M_2$ with M_1 and M_2 metrizable, then $\overline{M_1}\cap \overline{M_2}$ is metrizable and X is an Eberlein compact. This answers a question of Arhangel'skiĭ.

1. Introduction. An *Eberlein compact*, or *EC*, is a compact space¹⁾ which can be embedded in a Banach space with its weak topology. For background and various properties of these spaces, the reader is referred to [1] or the authors' preceding note [3].

Since every metrizable space can be embedded in a Banach space with its norm topology, every metrizable compact space is clearly an *EC*. The purpose of this note is to prove the following stronger result, thereby answering a question of A. V. Arhangel'skil.

THEOREM 1.1. If X is compact, and if $X = M_1 \cup M_2$ with M_1 and M_2 metrizable, then $\overline{M}_1 \cap \overline{M}_2$ is metrizable and X is an EC.

In contrast to Theorem 1.1, a compact space which is the union of *three* metrizable subsets need *not* be an *EC*, or even a Fréchet space²⁾ (see [2, Example 6.2]³⁾). However, it was shown in [5] that a compact space which is the union of countably many metrizable subsets must at least be sequential (a property somewhat weaker than being a Fréchet space).

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1. We first show that $M = \overline{M}_1 \cap \overline{M}_2$ is metrizable. For i = 1, 2, let \mathscr{U}_i be a σ -discrete—hence σ -disjoint base for M_i . For each $U \in \mathscr{U}_i$, choose an open set $\phi_i(U)$ in X such that $\phi_i(U) \cap M_i = U$. Let $\mathscr{U} = \{\phi_i(U) \cap M: U \in \mathscr{U}_i, i = 1, 2\}$. Then \mathscr{U} is easily seen to be a σ -disjoint 1—m hence point-countable 1—m base for M. Since M is compact, it must therefore be metrizable by a result of A. S. Miščenko [4].

Since M is compact and metrizable, it has a countable base (B_n) . For each pair (m, n) such that $\overline{B}_m \cap \overline{B}_n = \emptyset$, pick an open F_{σ} -set

¹ All spaces in this paper are Hausdorff.

² X is a *Fréchet* space if, whenever $x \in \overline{A}$ in X, then $x_n \to x$ for some $x_n \in A$. Every *EC* is a Fréchet space by a theorem of Eberlein and Šmulian (see [1, Theorem 4.1]).

 $^{^{3}}$ In this example, the three metrizable subsets are actually discrete, and one of them is an open set whose complement is (necessarily, by Theorem 1.1) an *EC*.

 $V_{m,n}$ in X such that $B_m \subset V_{m,n}$ and $V_{m,n} \cap B_n = \emptyset$. Let \mathscr{V} be collection of all such $V_{m,n}$.

Let Y = X - M. Then Y is the union of the two disjoint, open metrizable subsets $X - \overline{M}_1$ and $X - \overline{M}_2$, so Y is metrizable. Since Y is open in X, it therefore has a σ -disjoint base \mathscr{W} such that $\overline{W} \subset Y$ for all $W \in \mathscr{W}$. Clearly each $W \in \mathscr{W}$ is an open F_{σ} in X.

Finally, let $\mathscr{S} = \mathscr{V} \cup \mathscr{W}$. Then \mathscr{S} is a σ -disjoint, separating (in the sense of [3, Definition 1.3]) cover of X by open F_{σ} -sets, so X is an *EC* by a characterization of H. P. Rosenthal (see [6, Theorem 3.1] or [3, Theorem 1.4]).

3. Concluding remarks.

(3.1) The proof of Theorem 1.1 actually establishes the following somewhat sharper results.

(a) If X is regular, and if $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ with each X_n having a σ -disjoint base, then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{X}_n$ has a σ -disjoint base.

(b) If X is compact, and if $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ with each X_n metrizable, then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{X}_n$ is metrizable.

(c) If X is compact, and $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ with X_1 and X_2 having σ -disjoint bases, then X has a σ -disjoint, separating collection of open F_{σ} -subsets.

Observe that not every *EC* staisfies the conclusion of (c), as can be seen from the space of all points in $\{0, 1\}^{\omega_1}$ which have at most two nonzero coordinates.

(3.2). Somewhat in the spirit of Theorem 1.1, one can show that if $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} X_i$, and if each X_i is an *EC*, then X is an *EC*: In fact, X is then the image under the obvious perfect map of the topological sum $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$, and this sum is clearly an *EC*, so X must be an *EC* by [1, Theorem 2.1] (see also [3, Theorem 1.1]).

References

1. Y. Benyamini, M. E. Rudin and M. Wage, Continuous images of weakly compact subsets of Banach spaces, to appear in Pacific J. Math.

S. P. Franklin, Spaces in which sequences suffice. II, Fund. Math., 61 (1967), 51-56.
E. Michael and M. E. Rudin, A note on Eberlein compacts, Pacific J. Math., 73 (1977), 487-495.

^{4.} A. S. Miščenko, Spaces with pointwise denumerable basis, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 145 (1962), 985–988. (Soviet Math. Dokl. 3 (1962), 855–858.)

^{5.} A. Ostaszewski, Compact σ -metric spaces are sequential, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear.)

^{6.} H. P. Rosenthal, The heredity problem for weakly compactly generated Banach spaces, Compositio Math., **81** (1974), 83-111.

Received May 20, 1977. The second author was partly supported by N. S. F. grant MPS-73-08825.

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON SEATTLE, WA 98195 AND UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN MADISON, WI 53706