

## ON THE TOPOLOGY OF DIRECT LIMITS OF ANR'S

R. E. HEISEY AND H. TORUŃCZYK

Let  $\{(X_n, a_n)\}$  be a sequence of pointed, locally compact, finite-dimensional, nondegenerate, connected ANR's. It is shown that the direct limit of the system

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &\longrightarrow X_1 \times \{a_2\} \subset X_1 \times X_2 \longrightarrow X_1 \times X_2 \times \{a_3\} \subset X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3 \\ &\longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

is homeomorphic to an open subset of  $R^\infty = \varinjlim R^n$ ,  $R$  the reals. As a consequence, if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a homotopy equivalence between ANR's as above then  $\varinjlim f^n: \varinjlim X^n \rightarrow \varinjlim Y^n$  is homotopic to a homeomorphism.

**A. Introduction.** Infinite countable products of complete AR's have been shown to be in most cases homeomorphic to either the Hilbert cube or a Hilbert space: by combined results of Anderson [1], West [9] and Edwards [2] the product  $\prod X_i$  is homeomorphic to  $\prod_i [0, 1]_i$  provided all the  $X_i$  are compact and nondegenerate; similarly, any product of countably many noncompact AR's of the same weight is, topologically, a Hilbert space (see [8]). The latter result can be used to show that if  $(X_i, a_i)$  are pointed, finite-dimensional,  $\sigma$ -compact AR's then the space

$$(i) \quad \sum (X_i, a_i) = \{(x_i) \in \prod X_i: x_i = a_i \text{ for almost all } i\}$$

is, in the product topology, homeomorphic to the incomplete linear subspace  $l_2^f$  consisting of all eventually zero sequences in  $l_2$ , the Hilbert space.

In this note we show that, under the additional assumption that the  $X_i$ 's are locally compact, the space (i) considered in the *direct limit topology* is homeomorphic to another familiar topological space, namely  $R^\infty$ , the direct limit of finite products of  $R$ , the real line. More generally, we have the following:

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\{(X_n, a_n)\}$  be a sequence of pointed, locally compact, finite-dimensional, connected ANR's having more than one point. Then the direct limit of the system*

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &\longrightarrow X_1 \times \{a_2\} \subset X_1 \times X_2 \longrightarrow X_1 \times X_2 \times \{a_3\} \subset X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3 \\ &\longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

*is homeomorphic to an open subset of  $R^\infty$ .*

For results concerning the topological properties of  $R^\infty$  we refer the reader to [4] and [5]. It is shown there that the  $R^\infty$ -manifolds

possess many of the properties of  $l_2$ -manifolds; in particular, if  $f$  is a homotopy equivalence between  $R^\infty$ -manifolds then  $f$  is homotopic to a homeomorphism. Combined with a result of Hansen, Theorem 6.2 of [3], this gives the following.

**COROLLARY.** *If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a homotopy equivalence between locally compact, finite-dimensional, connected ANR's having more than one point, then  $\lim_{\rightarrow} f^n: \lim_{\rightarrow} X^n \rightarrow \lim_{\rightarrow} Y^n$  is homotopic to a homeomorphism.*

Despite the above-mentioned similarity of  $R^\infty$  and  $l_2$  manifolds no intrinsic characterization of  $R^\infty$ -manifolds corresponding to the characterizations of  $l_2$  and  $Q$ -manifolds (see [8]) is known. The motivation of this paper was to show that the direct limit operation leads naturally to such manifolds (see also the Proposition in § C). Earlier, it was shown by Henderson [6] that taking products of  $R^\infty$  with locally compact, finite-dimensional ANR's yields open subsets of  $R^\infty$ . Our result generalizes Henderson's. However, while Henderson's technique involved the linear structure of  $R^\infty$  (and has since been applied to studying factors of other linear topological spaces) our proofs involve merely the construction of embeddings from finite-dimensional compacta into products of ANR's.

**B. Notation and lemmas.** In this section all spaces are separable and metric. If  $d_i$  is the metric on  $X_i$ ,  $i \leq n$ , we take  $\max\{d_i(x_i, y_i): i \leq n\}$  as the metric on  $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ . By  $I$  and  $I^k$  we denote  $[0, 1]$  and the  $k$ -fold product of  $[0, 1]$ , respectively. If  $k = 0$ ,  $I^k$  is the singleton.

A map (= continuous function)  $g: X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be *approximable* by elements of the family  $\mathcal{F}$  of maps  $X \rightarrow Y$  if for any admissible metric  $d$  for  $Y$  there is an  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $d(f, g) < 1$ . (If  $X$  is compact this coincides with the concept of being in the closure of  $\mathcal{F}$  in the compact-open topology.)

We say that  $A \subset X$  is a  $Z^k$ -set,  $k \geq 0$ , if any map  $I^k \rightarrow X$  can be approximated by maps whose images are disjoint from  $A$ . A map whose image is a  $Z^k$ -set will be called a  $Z^k$ -map.

We shall consider spaces  $X$  having the following property, sometimes called the disjoint  $k$ -cube property.

(\*)<sub>k</sub> Any map  $I^k \times \{1, 2\} \rightarrow X$  is approximable by maps sending  $I^k \times \{1\}$  and  $I^k \times \{2\}$  to disjoint sets.

The following generalizes the fact that  $R^{2k+1}$  has property (\*)<sub>k</sub>.

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{2k+1}$  are locally contractible spaces with no isolated points then  $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_{2k+1}$  has the property (\*)<sub>k</sub>.*

For a proof see [8].

LEMMA 2. *If  $X$  is complete and satisfies  $(*)_k$  then any map  $I^k \rightarrow X$  is approximable by  $Z^k$ -maps.*

The proof is the same as that of Remark 3 of [7].

LEMMA 3. *Let  $X$  be an ANR satisfying  $(*)_k$ , let  $A$  and  $B$  be disjoint compacta of dimension  $\leq k$ , and let  $X_0$  be a closed  $Z^k$ -set in  $X$ . Then any map  $A \cup B \rightarrow X$  is approximable by maps  $g: A \cup B \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $g(A) \cap g(B) = \emptyset$  and  $g(A \cup B) \cap X_0 = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is an ANR each map  $A \cup B \rightarrow X$  can be approximated by compositions of the form  $A \cup B \rightarrow K \rightarrow X$ , where  $K$  is a polyhedron of dimension  $\leq \dim(A \cup B)$ . Thus, we may assume that  $A$  and  $B$  are compact polyhedra, and the result follows from the fact that in this case  $A$  and  $B$  are finite unions of cells of dimension  $\leq k$ . (Details are left to the reader; cf. the proof of the next result.)

PROPOSITION 4. *Let  $A$  and  $X$  be locally compact spaces, let  $A_0$  be a closed subset of  $A$  and let  $f: A \rightarrow X$  be a map such that  $f(A_0)$  is a closed  $Z^k$ -set. If  $\dim A \leq k$  and  $X$  is an ANR satisfying  $(*)_k$ , then  $f$  is approximable by  $Z^k$ -maps  $g: A \rightarrow X$  such that  $g|_{A_0} = f|_{A_0}$ ,  $g(A \setminus A_0) \cap g(A_0) = \emptyset$ , and  $g|(A \setminus A_0)$  is one-to-one.*

*Proof.* A proof is given in [7] for the case  $k = \infty$  and  $A_0 = \emptyset$ . The proof of the general case is similar; we include it for completeness.

Fix a metric  $d_0$  for  $X$ . Let  $d \geq d_0$  be a complete metric for  $X$  and let  $\{A_i\}_{i \in N}$  be a family of compact subsets of  $A \setminus A_0$  such that for any pair  $x$  and  $y$  of distinct points of  $A \setminus A_0$  there are  $i, j \in N$  with  $x \in A_i$ ,  $y \in A_j$ , and  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ . Let  $\{f_i\}_{i \in N}$  be a dense subset of  $C(I^k, X)$  consisting of  $Z^k$ -maps such that  $f_i(I^k) \cap f(A_0) = \emptyset$  (see Lemma 2). With  $F = \{g \in C(A, X): g|_{A_0} = f|_{A_0}\}$  it follows from Lemma 3 and [7, Lemma C] that for each  $i, j \in N$  with  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ , the set

$$G_{i,j,l} = \{g \in F: g(A_i) \cap g(A_j) = \emptyset \text{ and } g(A_i \cup A_j) \cap [f_i(I^k) \cup f(A_0)] = \emptyset\}$$

is dense and open in  $F$ . (We equip  $F$  with the sup metric  $\hat{d}$  induced by  $d$ .) Since  $(F, \hat{d})$  is complete it follows that  $G = \bigcap \{G_{i,j,l}: A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset, l \in N\}$  is dense in  $F$ . This completes the proof since  $f \in F$  and any  $g \in G$  satisfies the desired conditions.

COROLLARY 5. *If in Lemma 4 it is additionally assumed that*

$f$  is proper and  $f|_{A_0}$  is an embedding, then the approximations  $g: A \rightarrow X$  can be taken to be closed  $Z^k$ -embeddings.

*Proof.* Use the facts that a map sufficiently close to a proper map of locally compact spaces is itself proper and that one-to-one proper maps are closed embeddings.

REMARK. If  $A_0 = \emptyset$  and  $X = R^{2k+1}$  then the above corollary reduces to the classical Menger-Nöbeling embedding theorem.

LEMMA 6. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  be nondegenerate, connected ANR's. Then the singletons are  $Z^k$ -sets in  $X_1 \times \dots \times X_{k+1}$ . Accordingly,  $X_0 \times \{b\}$  is a  $Z^k$ -set in  $X_0 \times X_1 \times \dots \times X_{k+1}$ , for any space  $X_0$  and any point  $b \in X_1 \times \dots \times X_{k+1}$ .

*Proof.* (By induction on  $k$ .) Let  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_{k+1}) \in X_1 \times \dots \times X_{k+1}$ ,  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_{k+1}): I^k \rightarrow X_1 \times \dots \times X_{k+1}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a triangulation of  $I^k$  so fine that for each simplex  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $f_{k+1}(\sigma)$  is contractible in  $X_{k+1}$  within a set of diameter less than  $\varepsilon$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}^{k-1}$  be the  $(k-1)$ -skeleton of  $\mathcal{S}$ . By the induction hypothesis and [7, Lemma C] we may assume without loss of generality that  $(f_1, \dots, f_k)(|T^{k-1}|)$  misses  $(b_1, \dots, b_{k-1})$ ; cf. proof of 4.

Now, using the  $\varepsilon$ -contractions of  $f_{k+1}(\sigma)$ , we may alter  $f$  on  $k$ -dimensional simplices, modulo their boundaries, so that the resulting map  $g: I^k \rightarrow X_1 \times \dots \times X_{k+1}$  is within  $\varepsilon$  of  $f$  and satisfies (a)  $g_i(I^k) = f_i(I^k)$ ,  $i \leq k$ , and (b) for each  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}^{k-1}$  there is a point  $p_\sigma \in X_{k+1}$  with

$$g(\sigma) \subset [(f_1, \dots, f_k)(\partial\sigma) \times X_{k+1}] \cup [(f_1, \dots, f_k)(\sigma) \times \{p_\sigma\}].$$

Since  $X_{k+1}$  has no isolated points, all the  $p_\sigma$ 's can clearly be chosen distinct from  $b_{k+1}$ . Thus,  $g$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -approximation to  $f$  whose image misses  $b$ .

Finally, we need the following.

LEMMA 7. Let  $A$  be a locally compact space and let  $A_0$  be a closed subset of  $A$ . Then any proper map  $f: A_0 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  has a continuous extension  $\bar{f}: A \rightarrow [0, 1]$  which is also proper.

*Proof.* Let  $A \cup \{\infty\}$  be the one point compactification of  $A$ , and extend  $f$  to  $g: A_0 \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by defining  $g(\infty) = 1$ . Letting  $\bar{g}: A \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be an extension of  $g$  we may take  $\bar{f}(a) = h(a)\bar{g}(a)$ , where  $h: A \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a map with  $h^{-1}(1) = A_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ .

**C. Proof of the theorem.** The theorem follows immediately from Lemmas 1 and 6 and the following.

**PROPOSITION.** *Let  $\{X_k; i_k\}$  be a direct system of closed embeddings  $i_k: X_k \rightarrow X_{k+1}$  of locally compact, finite-dimensional ANR's. Assume that for any positive integers  $k, p$  there is an integer  $l > k$  such that  $X_l$  has property  $(*)_p$  and  $i_{l-1} \circ \dots \circ i_k(X_k)$  is a  $Z^p$ -set in  $X_l$ . Then,  $\lim_{\rightarrow} \{X_k; i_k\}$  is homeomorphic to an open subset of  $R^\infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $d_k = \dim X_k$ . Passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we may assume that

- (a)  $i_k(X_k)$  is a  $Z^{3d_k}$ -set in  $X_{k+1}$  and
- (b)  $X_{k+1}$  has property  $(*)_{3d_k}$  and, hence,  $d_{k+1} \geq 3d_k$ .

Let  $J = [-1, \infty)$  and let  $j_k: J^{3d_k-1} \rightarrow J^{3d_k-1} \times (0, 0, \dots, 0) \subset J^{3d_k}$  be the natural inclusion. We shall inductively construct manifolds with boundary  $M_k$  in  $(-1, \infty)^{3d_k-1}$  and closed embeddings  $f_k: M_k \rightarrow X_k$  and  $g_k: X_k \rightarrow M_{k+1}$  such that, for each  $k$ ,

- (c)  $M_{k+1}$  is a neighborhood of  $j_k(M_k)$ , and
- (d) the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_k & \xrightarrow{i_k} & X_{k+1} \\ \uparrow f_k & \searrow g_k & \uparrow f_{k+1} \\ M_k & \xrightarrow{j_k} & M_{k+1} \end{array} .$$

Assume  $\{(M_k, f_k, g_k)\}$  have been constructed. It is then clear that  $\lim_{\rightarrow} \{X_k; i_k\}$  and  $\lim_{\rightarrow} \{M_k; j_k\}$  are homeomorphic to the direct limit of the system  $M_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} X_0 \xrightarrow{g_0} M_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \dots$ . Also, it is clear that  $\lim_{\rightarrow} \{M_k; j_k\}$  is homeomorphic to  $\lim_{\rightarrow} \{\text{Int } M_k; j_k\}$  which is open in  $\lim_{\rightarrow} \{(-1, \infty)^{3d_k-1}; j_k\} \cong R^\infty$ . Thus,  $\lim_{\rightarrow} \{X_k; i_k\}$  is homeomorphic to an open subset of  $R^\infty$ .

We now give the construction of the embeddings  $f_k$  and  $g_k$ . Assuming, without loss of generality, that  $X_0 = R^0$ , the singleton, we take for  $f_0$  the identity. Having established  $f_k$  consider the closed embedding  $j_k f_k^{-1}: im(f_k) \rightarrow J^{3d_k}$ . By Lemma 7 we can extend  $j_k f_k^{-1}$  to a proper map  $X_k \rightarrow J^{3d_k}$  which we may then, by 1 and 6, alter so as to get a closed embedding  $g_k: X_k \rightarrow J^{3d_k}$  coinciding with  $j_k f_k^{-1}$  on  $im(f_k)$ . Clearly, we may adjust  $g_k$  so that in addition  $im(g_k) \subset (-1, \infty)^{3d_k}$ .

The set  $im(g_k)$  being a closed ANR subset of  $(-1, \infty)^{3d_k}$ , there is a manifold with boundary  $M_{k+1}$  contained in  $(-1, \infty)^{3d_k}$  which is topologically closed in  $J^{3d_k}$ , contains a neighborhood of  $im(g_k)$  in  $(-1, \infty)^{3d_k}$ , and which properly retracts to  $im(g_k)$ . Then  $i_k g_k^{-1}: im(g_k) \rightarrow$

$X_{k+1}$  extends to a proper map  $M_{k+1} \rightarrow X_{k+1}$  which we again may alter modulo  $im(g_k)$  to get a closed embedding  $f_{k+1}: M_{k+1} \rightarrow X_{k+1}$  coinciding with  $i_k g_k^{-1}$  on  $im(g_k)$ . This completes the inductive step and the proof of the proposition.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. D. Anderson, *The Hilbert cube as a product of dendrons*, Amer. Math. Soc. Notices, **11** (1964), 572.
2. T. A. Chapman, *Lectures on Hilbert cube manifolds*, C.B.M.S. Regional Conference Series in Math., No. 28, Amer. Math. Soc., (1976).
3. V. L. Hansen, *Some theorems on direct limits of expanding sequences of manifolds*, Math. Scand., **29** (1971), 5-36.
4. R. E. Heisey, *Manifolds modeled on  $R^\infty$  or bounded weak-\* topologies*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **206** (1975), 295-312.
5. ———, *Manifolds modeled on the direct limit of lines*, (to appear in this journal).
6. D. W. Henderson, *A simplicial complex whose product with any ANR is a simplicial complex*, General Topology and its Applications, **3** (1973), 81-83.
7. H. Toruńczyk, *On CE-images of the Hilbert cube and characterization of Q-manifolds*, Fund. Math., (to appear).
8. ———, *Characterization of infinite-dimensional manifolds*, Proc. Warsaw Geometric Top. Conf.
9. J. E. West, *Infinite products which are Hilbert cubes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **150** (1970), 1-25.

Received June 15, 1979 and in revised form February 7, 1980.

VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY  
NASHVILLE, TN 37235

AND

INSTITUTE OF MATH, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES  
SNIADKICH 8, 00-950 WARSAW, POLAND