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#### Abstract

Let $M$ be a simply-connected complete $d$-dimensional Riemannian manifold of nonpositive sectional curvature $K$. If $K \leqq-k^{2}<0$, then the infimum of the $L^{2}$ spectrum of the negative Laplacian is greater than or equal to $(d-1)^{2} k^{2} / 4$ with equality in case $K \rightarrow-k^{2}$ sufficiently fast at infinity. This general result is obtained by analyzing a system of ordinary differential equations. If either $d=2$ or the manifold possesses appropriate symmetry, the result is obtained under weaker conditions by analyzing a Riccati equation. Finally the case $k=0$ is treated separately.


1. Description of results. The infimum of the $L^{2}$ spectrum is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}=\inf _{\phi \neq 0} \frac{\int_{M}|d \phi|^{2}}{\int_{M} \phi^{2}} \tag{1.0}
\end{equation*}
$$

when the infinum is taken over $H_{0}^{1}$, the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(M)$ in the norm $\int_{M}\left(\phi^{2}+|d \phi|^{2}\right)$. Let $K_{x}(P)$ be the sectional curvature of the two-plane $P \subseteq M_{x}$, the tangent space at $x$. Let $\gamma(t)=\gamma(t ; 0, \xi)$ be the unit-speed geodesic emanating from $0 \in M$ and having initial velocity $\xi \in M_{0}$. Let

$$
\varepsilon(t)=\sup _{|\xi|=1} \sup _{P \subseteq M_{\gamma}(t)}\left|K_{\gamma(t)}(P)+k^{2}\right|
$$

where $k$ is a positive constant. Our main result is the following upper bound.

Theorem. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{1}^{\infty} \varepsilon(t) d t<\infty \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
0<\lambda_{1} \leqq(d-1)^{2} k^{2} / 4
$$

This immediately implies
Corollary 1. Suppose that outside of some compact set $M$ has constant sectional curvature $K=-k^{2}<0$. Then $0<\lambda_{1} \leqq(d-1)^{2} k^{2} / 4$.

Finally, we have the result stated in the first paragraph.

Corollary 2. Suppose that (1.1) holds and that $K \leqq-k^{2}<0$ everywhere on $M$. Then $\lambda_{1}=(d-1)^{2} k^{2} / 4$.
2. Proofs. We will study Jacobi fields $J(t)$ along a geodesic $\{\gamma(t), t \geqq 0\}$ where $J(0)=0, J(t) \not \equiv 0,\left(J(t), \gamma^{\prime}\right)=0$. For this purpose, let $\left\{E_{i}(t), 2 \leqq i \leqq d\right\}$ be a parallel field of orthonormal vectors along $\gamma$ with $\left(E_{i}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=0$. Write

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(t)=\sum_{i=2}^{d} f_{i}(t) E_{i}(t) \tag{2.0}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the Jacobi equation we have the following system of equations [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}^{\prime \prime}(t)+\sum_{j=2}^{d}\left(R\left(E_{i}, \gamma^{\prime}\right) \gamma^{\prime}, E_{j}\right) f_{j}(t)=0 \quad(2 \leqq i \leqq d) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the representation of $R$ in terms of sectional curvature, we have

$$
\left(R\left(E_{i}, \gamma^{\prime}\right) \gamma^{\prime}, E_{j}\right)=-k^{2} \hat{o}_{i j}+\varepsilon_{i j}
$$

where $\left|\varepsilon_{i j}\right| \leqq \varepsilon(t)$.
We use the following result from ordinary differential equations.

Proposition. Consider the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}^{\prime \prime}(t)-k^{2} f_{i}(t)=\sum_{j=2}^{d} \varepsilon_{i j}(t) f_{j}(t) \quad(2 \leqq i \leqq d) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\int_{1}^{\infty}\left|\varepsilon_{i j}(t)\right| d t<\infty$. Then (2.2) has solutions $f_{i}^{(1)}, f_{i}^{(2)}$ with

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
f_{i}^{(1)} \sim e^{k t}, & f_{i}^{(1)^{\prime}} \sim k e^{k t} & (t \longrightarrow \infty) \\
f_{i}^{(2)} \sim e^{-k t}, \quad f_{i}^{(2)^{\prime}} \sim-k e^{-k t} & (t \longrightarrow \infty) .
\end{array}
$$

For the proof see Hartman [5, p. 381] for the case $d=2$. To apply this to (2.1) we recall that from the Rauch comparison theorem [2] $|J(t)| \rightarrow \infty$ when $t \rightarrow \infty$. Now let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}(t)=\sum_{j=2}^{d}\left[c_{i j} f_{j}^{(1)}(t)+d_{i j} f_{j}^{(2)}(t)\right] \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that $c_{i j} \neq 0$ for at least one value of $(i, j)$. Indeed, if $c_{i j} \equiv 0$, then $f_{i}(t)=\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-k t}\right), t \rightarrow \infty$ which implies that $|J(t)| \rightarrow 0$, a contradiction. Now

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\left(J^{\prime}(t), J(t)\right)}{(J(t), J(t))} & =\frac{\sum_{i=2}^{d} f_{i}(t) f_{i}^{\prime}(t)}{\sum_{i=2}^{d} f_{i}(t)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\sum_{i, j} c_{i j}^{2} f_{i}^{(1)} f_{i}^{(1)}}{\sum_{i, j} c_{i j}^{2} f_{i}^{(1) 2}}(1+o(1))  \tag{2.4}\\
& (t \longrightarrow \infty) \\
& k(1+o(1))
\end{align*} \quad(t \longrightarrow \infty) .
$$

Thus we have proved the following proposition.
Lemma 1. Let $J(t)$ be a Jacobi field along $\gamma$ with $J(0)=0$, $\left(J(t), \gamma^{\prime}\right)=0, J(t) \not \equiv 0$. If (1.1) is satisfied, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(J^{\prime}(t), J(t)\right)}{(J(t), J(t))} \longrightarrow k, \quad t \longrightarrow \infty \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2. Let $r$ be the geodesic distance from $0 \in M$. Then (1.1) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta r(\gamma(t)) \longrightarrow(d-1) k \quad(t \longrightarrow \infty) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the convergence is uniform over $S^{d-1}$.

Proof. Let $\gamma(t ; 0, \xi)$ be the geodesic emanating from $0 \in M$ with initial velocity $\xi$. Let $\left\{J_{i}(t), 2 \leqq i \leqq d\right\}$ be Jacobi fields along $\gamma$ with $J_{i}(0)=0, J_{i}^{\prime}(0)=E_{i}$ where $\left(\gamma^{\prime}(0), E_{2}, \cdots, E_{d}\right)$ is an orthonormal basis of $M_{0}$. Then from the second variation of arclength [1], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta r(\gamma(t))=\sum_{k=2}^{d} \frac{\left(J_{k}^{\prime}(t), J_{k}(t)\right)}{\left(J_{k}(t), J_{k}(t)\right)} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 1 the result follows.
Lemma 3. Let $m=(d-1) k, 0<R_{0}<R_{1}<\infty$,

$$
\phi(r)= \begin{cases}e^{-m r / 2} \sin \pi \frac{\left(r-R_{0}\right)}{R_{1}-R_{0}} R_{0} \leqq r \leqq R_{1}  \tag{2.8}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \phi+\left|\frac{m^{2}}{4}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{\left(R_{1}-R_{0}\right)^{2}}\right| \phi=(\Delta r-m) \phi^{\prime}(r) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Calculus and the formula $\Delta \phi=\phi^{\prime \prime}+(\Delta r) \phi^{\prime}[1$, p. 134]. Now let $B$ be the annular domain $R_{0} \leqq r \leqq R_{1}$.

Lemma 4.

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{B}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left|\frac{m^{2}}{4}+\frac{\pi^{\prime}}{\left(R_{1}-R_{0}\right)^{2}}\right| \int_{B} \phi^{2}=\int_{B}(\Delta v-m) \phi \phi^{\prime} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Multiply equation (2.9) by $\phi$, integrate by parts and use the boundary condition $\phi=0$.

Proof of the theorem. Let $X=\left\|\phi^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(B)}^{2}, I=\|\phi\|_{L^{2}(B)}^{2}, c=m^{2} / 4+$ $\pi^{2} /\left(R_{1}-R_{0}\right)^{2}$. Applying Schwarz's inequality we have

$$
\left|\int_{B}(\Delta r-m) \phi \phi^{\prime}\right| \leqq \varepsilon_{1}\left(R_{0}\right)\|\dot{\phi}\|_{L_{2}(B)}\left\|\phi^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}(B)}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{1}\left(R_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0$ when $R_{0} \rightarrow \infty$.
Applying this to (2.10), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|X-c I| \leqq \varepsilon_{1}\left(R_{0}\right) \sqrt{I \bar{X}} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

But this implies that $X$ is smaller than the largest root of the corresponding equation, i.e.,

$$
\sqrt{\bar{X}} \leqq \sqrt{I}\left\{\frac{\varepsilon_{1}\left(R_{0}\right)}{2}+\sqrt{c+\frac{\varepsilon_{1}\left(R_{0}\right)^{2}}{4}}\right\}
$$

A glance at the definition (1.0) shows that $\lambda_{1} \leqq X / I$. This holds for all $R_{1}>R_{0}$; letting $R_{1} \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\sqrt{\lambda_{1}} \leqq \frac{\varepsilon_{1}\left(R_{0}\right)}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{m^{2}}{4}+\frac{\varepsilon_{1}^{2}\left(R_{0}\right)}{4}}
$$

Finally letting $R_{0} \rightarrow \infty$, we have the result $\lambda_{1} \leqq m^{2} / 4$.
To prove the lower bound, we first note that for some $\delta$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta r \geqq \delta<0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, outside of some sufficiently large compact set we can use Lemma 2. On the other hand, the proof of the Rauch comparison theorem implies that for any Jacobi field along $\gamma$ with $J(0)=0$, $\left(J(t), \gamma^{\prime}\right)=0, J(t) \not \equiv 0$, we have $\left(J^{\prime}(t), J(t)\right) /(J(t), J(t)) \geqq 1 / r$. Hence

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Delta r \geqq \frac{d-1}{r}>0 & (0<r<\infty) \\
\Delta r \longrightarrow(d-1) k & (r \longrightarrow \infty)
\end{array}
$$

Having proved (2.12), we can use the method of McKean. For this purpose let $G(t, \xi)=\left|J_{2}(t) \wedge \cdots \wedge J_{d}(t)\right|$. From (2.12) we see that $G_{t} / G \geqq \delta$. Now $M$ is the image of $R^{d}$ under $\exp _{0}$. Integrals over
$M$ can be computed over $R^{d}$ according to the following:
For any $\phi \in H_{0}^{1}, f \in L^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} f=\int_{s^{d-1}} d \omega \int_{0}^{\infty} f\left(\exp _{0} t \omega\right) G(t, \omega) d t \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}|d \dot{\phi}|^{2} \geqq \int_{M}|d \dot{\phi}(\partial / \partial r)|^{2} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0} \phi^{2} G(t, \omega) d t & \leqq \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{0}^{\infty} \phi^{2} G_{t} d t \\
& =-\frac{2}{\delta} \int_{0}^{\infty} \phi \phi_{t} G d t \\
& \leqq \frac{2}{\delta}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \dot{\phi}^{2} G d t\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \dot{\phi}_{t}^{2} G d t\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \phi_{i}^{2} G d t \geqq \frac{\delta^{2}}{4} \int_{0}^{\infty} \dot{\rho}^{2} G d t .
$$

Integrating this inequality on $S^{d-1}$ and referring to (2.13)-(2.14), it is clear that we have proved

$$
\int_{M}|d \dot{\phi}|^{2} \geqq \frac{\delta^{2}}{4} \int_{M} \dot{\phi}^{2} \quad\left(\dot{\phi} \in H_{0}^{1}\right) .
$$

Thus $\lambda_{1} \geqq \delta^{2} / 4>0$, as required.
3. On condition (1.1). In certain cases one may relax the technical condition (1.1). These are the following

$$
\begin{gather*}
d=2  \tag{3.1}\\
M \text { is a model [4] } \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

The latter means that for every orthogonal transformation $\phi$ in $M_{0}$, there exists an isometry $\Phi: M \rightarrow M$ such that $\Phi(0)=0, \Phi^{*}(0)=\phi$.

Proposition. Suppose that the CH manifold $M$ satisfies either (3.1) or (3.2) and in addition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon(t) \longrightarrow 0 \quad(t \longrightarrow \infty) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
0<\lambda_{1} \leqq(d-1)^{2} k^{2} / 4 .
$$

Proof. Following the proof of the theorem, the result will follow once we prove Lemma 1. In case (3.1), the Jacobi equation is a single scalar equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{\prime \prime}(t)+K(t) J(t)=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K(t)$ is the Gaussian curvature. Let $h(t)=J^{\prime}(t) / J(t)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\prime}(t)+h(t)^{2}=-K(t) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall the following asymptotic result [7] concerning solutions of (3.5).
(3.5a) $\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{-K(t)} \leqq \liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) \leqq \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) \leqq \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{-K(t)}$.

Thus (3.3) implies that $h(t) \rightarrow k$, which proves Lemma 1 in this case.
To treat the case (3.2), we use the following result of GreeneWu [4, p. 25]: every proper Jacobi field $J(t)$ along a geodesic $\gamma$ which is orthogonal to $\gamma^{\prime}$ and vanishes at 0 has the form

$$
J(t)=f(t) E(t)
$$

when $E(t)$ is a parallel vector field along $\gamma$ and $f(t)$ is a real-valued function. The Jacobi equation then takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime}(t)+K(t) f(t)=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K(t)$ is the sectional curvature of the 2 -plane spanned by ( $\left.\gamma^{\prime}(t), E(t)\right)$. Observing that (3.6) is of the same form as (3.4), we can copy the above proof for $d=2$ to conclude Lemma 1 in this case also, thus completing the proof of the proposition.

Finally, using the method of Gage [3], we can obtain results using only Ricci curvature. Indeed, Gage has proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{r r}+\frac{R_{11}}{d-1} G=-\frac{G}{2(d-1)^{2}} \Sigma\left(\mu_{r}-\mu_{j}\right)^{2} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G=\left|J_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge J_{d}\right|^{1 /(d-1)}, R_{11}$ is the Ricci curvature in the direction $\gamma(t)$ and ( $\mu_{2}, \cdots, \mu_{d}$ ) are the eigenvalues of the second fundamental form relative to the geodesic sphere. Ignoring the right hand member of (3.7) gives an inequality. Letting $h=G^{\prime} / G$, we have the Riccati inequality

$$
h^{\prime}(t)+h(t)^{2} \leqq-\frac{R_{11}}{d-1} .
$$

Let $h_{1}(t)$ be the solution of the corresponding equation, with the same initial behavior. Then standard comparison methods yield

$$
h(t) \leqq h_{1}(t) .
$$

But the asymptotic result (3.5a) now applies to the $h_{1}(t)$. Combining all of the above, we have the following

Proposition. Suppose that for the CH manifold $M$

$$
R_{11}(\gamma(t)) \longrightarrow-(d-1) k^{2} \quad(t \longrightarrow \infty)
$$

then

$$
\lambda_{1} \leqq \frac{(d-1)^{2} k^{2}}{4}
$$

4. Asymptotic flatness. The previous results are all formulated under the hypothesis $k \neq 0$, which we now remove.

Definition. The CH manifold $M$ is asymptotically flat if $k=0$ and either (1.1) holds or (3.3) holds with $d=2$ or (3.3) holds where $M$ is a model.

Proposition 4.1. Suppose that the CH manifold $M$ is asymptotically flat. Then $\lambda_{1}=0$.

Proof. In this case $\Delta r \rightarrow 0$ when $r \rightarrow \infty$. Using the trial function $f=\sin \pi\left(r-R_{0}\right) /\left(R_{1}-R_{0}\right)$ in the definition of $\lambda_{1}$, the previous proof remains unchanged, with the conclusion $\lambda_{1}=0$.

Conversely, we have the following negative result.
Proposition 4.2. There exists a CH manifold with $\lambda_{1}=0$ and curvature function $K$ which satisfies $\lim \inf _{r \rightarrow \infty} K<0$.

For the proof we will construct a 2 -dimensional CH manifold $M$ with metric

$$
d s^{2}=d r^{2}+G(r)^{2} d \theta^{2}
$$

where $G^{\prime \prime}+K G=0, G(0)=0, G^{\prime}(0)=1$. The curvature function $K(r)$ is

$$
K(r)=\left\{\begin{array}{rr}
0 & r \notin\left(a_{k}, a_{k}+\varepsilon_{k}\right) \\
-1 & r \in\left(a_{k}, a_{k}+\varepsilon_{k}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $a_{k}, \varepsilon_{k}$ are to be specified below.
Let $h=G^{\prime} / G$. Then $h$ satisfies the Riccati equation $h^{\prime}+h^{2}=-K$, with $h(r)=1 / r$ for $0<r<a_{1}$. Note the following facts:
(i) On any interval ( $a_{k}, a_{k}+\varepsilon_{k}$ ), $h^{\prime}=1-h^{2} \leqq 1$ and thus $h\left(a_{k}+\varepsilon_{k}\right) \leqq h\left(\alpha_{k}\right)+\varepsilon_{k}$.
(ii) On any interval $\left(a_{k}+\varepsilon_{k}, a_{k+1}\right)$, the Riccati equation has the explicit solution $h(r)=\left(a_{k}+\varepsilon_{k}\right) h\left(a_{k}+\varepsilon_{k}\right) / r$.

Now let $\varepsilon_{k}=1 / 2^{k+1}(k \geqq 1), \quad a_{1}=4, \quad a_{k+1}>4\left(a_{k}+\varepsilon_{k}\right)$. Such a choice is clearly possible, we will show that $h(r) \rightarrow 0$. First we show inductively that $h\left(a_{k}+\varepsilon_{k}\right)<1 / 2^{k}$.

On the interval $0<r<a_{1}, \quad h(r)=1 / r$ and thus $h\left(a_{1}\right)<1 / 4$. Using (i) above, we have $h\left(a_{1}+\varepsilon_{1}\right) \leqq h\left(a_{1}\right)+\varepsilon_{1}<1 / 4+\varepsilon_{1}=1 / 2$. Now if $h\left(a_{k}+\varepsilon_{k}\right)<1 / 2^{k}$, then on the interval $a_{k}+\varepsilon_{k}<r<a_{k+1}, h(r)=$ $h\left(a_{k}+\varepsilon_{k}\right)\left(\alpha_{k}+\varepsilon_{k}\right) / r$ and thus $h\left(\alpha_{k+1}\right)<(1 / 4) h\left(\alpha_{k}+\varepsilon_{k}\right)<1 / 2^{k+2}$. Using (i) again, $h\left(a_{k+1}+\varepsilon_{k+1}\right) \leqq h\left(a_{k+1}\right)+\varepsilon_{k+1}<1 / 2^{k+1}$.

Finally, we check that $h(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, on the interval $\left(a_{k}+\varepsilon_{k}, a_{k+1}\right) h$ is decreasing, and thus $h(r) \leqq h\left(a_{k}+\varepsilon_{k}\right)<$ $1 / 2^{k}$. On the interval $\left(a_{k+1}, a_{k+1}+\varepsilon_{k+1}\right)$ we have $h^{\prime} \leqq 1$ and thus $h(r) \leqq h\left(a_{k+1}\right)+\left(r-a_{k+1}\right) \leqq 1 / 2^{k}+1 / 2^{k+2}$.

We can now prove that $\lambda_{1}=0$. Indeed, from earlier work [8] we know that $\left(4 \lambda_{1}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqq \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} G_{r} / G$. Thus $\lambda_{1}=0$, as required.

Remarks 1. By modifying the above example, it is possible to find a metric for which $\lambda_{1}=0$ and $\lim \inf _{r \rightarrow \infty} K(r)=-\infty$. Indeed, it suffices to replace -1 by a sequence going to $-\infty$ and choose $\varepsilon_{k} \rightarrow 0$ sufficiently fast.
2. It would be interesting to find a necessary condition for $\lambda_{1}=0$, expressed in terms of the curvature function. From our previous paper [8] we know that $\lambda_{1}=0$ implies $\lim \inf _{r \rightarrow \infty} h(r)=0$. But we do not know what this says about $K(r)$.
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