## MONOTONICITY OF PERMANENTS OF CERTAIN DOUBLY STOCHASTIC MATRICES

## DAVID LONDON

Let  $p_k(A), k = 1, \dots, n$ , denote the sum of the permanents of all  $k \times k$  submatrices of the  $n \times n$  matrix A.

We prove that

$$(*) \quad p_k(I_n+P_n)=rac{n}{n-k}{2n-k-1 \choose k}, \quad k=1, \ \cdots, \ n-1$$
 ,

where  $I_n$  and  $P_n$  are respectively the  $n \times n$  identity matrix and the  $n \times n$  permutation matrix with 1's in positions  $(1, 2), (2, 3), \dots, (n - 1, n), (n, 1)$ . Using (\*), we prove that for  $n \ge 3$  and  $A = (I_n + P_n)/2$ , the functions

 $p_k((1- heta)J_n+ heta A)$ ,  $k=2, \cdots, n$ ,

are strictly monotonic increasing in the interval  $0 \le \theta \le 1$ . Here  $J_n$  is the  $n \times n$  matrix all whose entries are equal to 1/n.

Let A be an  $n \times n$  matrix, let p(A) be the permanent of A, let  $p_k(A), k = 1, \dots, n$ , be the sum of the permanents of all  $\binom{n}{k}^2 k \times k$  submatrices of A and define  $p_0(A) = 1$ . Note that  $p_n(A) = p(A)$ .

Denote by  $\Omega_n$  the set of all  $n \times n$  doubly stochastic matrices, by  $J_n$  the  $n \times n$  matrix all whose entries are equal to 1/n, by  $I_n$  the  $n \times n$  identity matrix and by  $P_n$  the  $n \times n$  permutation matrix with 1's in positions (1, 2), (2, 3),  $\cdots$ , (n - 1, n), (n, 1).

The van der Waerden conjecture asserts that if  $A \in \Omega_n$ , then

$$p(A) \ge p(J_n) = rac{n!}{n^n}$$
 ,

with equality if and only if  $A = J_n$ .

A stronger version of this conjecture states that the function

$$p((1- heta)J_n+ heta A)$$
 ,

where A is any fixed matrix on the boundary of  $\Omega_n$ , is strictly increasing in the interval  $0 \le \theta \le 1$ . In [2] the above assertion was proved for  $A = I_n$  and for  $A = (nJ_n - I_n)/(n-1)$ . In [5, p. 158, Problem 8] the problem of finding other matrices A, for which the above assertion holds, was posed.

In the present paper we prove this assertion for  $A = (I_n + P_n)/2$ . We actually prove a stronger result: for  $n \ge 3$  and  $A = (I_n + P_n)/2$  the functions DAVID LONDON

$$h_{\scriptscriptstyle A,k}\!( heta) = p_k\!((1- heta)J_n+ heta A)$$
 ,  $k=2,\,\cdots,\,n$  ,

are strictly increasing in the interval  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ . We start with the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. Let  $n \geq 3$  and let  $A \in \Omega_n$ . If

$$(1)$$
  $rac{p_i(A)}{p_i(J_n)} \leq rac{p_{i+1}(A)}{p_{i+1}(J_n)}$  ,  $i=1,\,\cdots$  ,  $n-1$  ,

with strict inequality for  $1 \leq i < n-1$ , then the functions

$$h_{\scriptscriptstyle A,k}\!( heta) = p_k\!((1- heta)J_n+ heta A)$$
 ,  $k=2,\,\cdots,\,n$  ,

are strictly increasing in the interval  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ .

Proof. By [4, Lemma 2],

$$h_{\scriptscriptstyle A,k}\!( heta) = p_k(J_n) \sum\limits_{i=0}^k inom{k}{i} (1- heta)^{k_{-i}} heta^i rac{p_i(A)}{p_i(J_n)} \, .$$

Differentiating, we obtain

$$(2) \quad h'_{A,k}(\theta) = k p_k(J_n) \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{i} (1-\theta)^{k-i-1} \theta^i \left( \frac{p_{i+1}(A)}{p_{i+1}(J_n)} - \frac{p_i(A)}{p_i(J_n)} \right).$$

From (1) and (2) follows that

$$h_{\scriptscriptstyle A,k}^\prime( heta)>0$$
 ,  $k=2,\,\cdots$  ,  $n$  ,

in  $0 < \theta < 1$ , and so the functions  $h_{A,k}(\theta)$  are strictly increasing in the interval  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ .

Doković [1] (see also [3]) conjectured that (1) holds for all  $A \in \Omega_n$ . Lemma 1 shows that if the Doković conjecture holds for a certain matrix  $A \in \Omega_n$ , then the functions  $h_{A,k}(\theta)$ ,  $k = 2, \dots, n$ , are increasing in the interval  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ .

To apply Lemma 1 for a given A,  $p_k(A)$ ,  $k = 2, \dots, n$ , have to be evaluated. Although the evaluation of  $p_k(A)$  is in general rather difficult, explicit formulas for  $p_k(A)$  are obvious for  $A = I_n$  and can be developed for  $A = (I_n + P_n)/2$ .

For  $A = I_n$ , we get

$$p_k(I_n) = {n \choose k}$$
,  $k = 0, \cdots, n$ .

Noting that

(3) 
$$p_k(J_n) = {\binom{n}{k}}^2 \frac{k!}{n^k}, \quad k = 0, \cdots, n,$$

126

(1) follows with strict inequality for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Hence, for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $p_k((1-\theta)J_n + \theta I_n), k = 2, \dots, n$ , are strictly increasing in  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ . For k = n, we get the result of Friedland and Minc [2].

To find formulas for  $p_k(I_n + P_n)$ , it is convenient first to bring some combinatorial results.

LEMMA 2. Let l and m be positive integers,  $m \leq l$ . The number l can be represented as a sum of m positive integers in  $\binom{l-1}{m-1}$  different ways. (Two representations differing in the order of the summands are regarded different.)

*Proof.* The lemma can be proved easily by induction. We prefer to use power series technique.

Consider

$$rac{x}{1-x} = \sum\limits_{r=1}^\infty x^r$$
 ,  $|x| < 1$  .

It is obvious that the requested number of representations is equal to the coefficient of  $x^{l}$  in the power series of  $[x/(1-x)]^{m}$ , which is easily found to be equal to  $\binom{l-1}{m-1}$ .

LEMMA 3. Let k, l and n be positive integers, k < n. Then

$$(4) \qquad \qquad \sum_{m=1}^{\min(l,n-k)} \binom{l}{m} \binom{n-k-1}{n-k-m} = \binom{n-k+l-1}{n-k}$$

$$(5)$$
  $\sum_{m=0}^{k} \binom{n-m-1}{n-k-1} \binom{n-k+m-1}{n-k-1} = \binom{2n-k-1}{k}.$ 

*Proof.* We use again power series. To prove (4), we consider

$$(1+x)^l = \sum\limits_{r=0}^l inom{l}{r} x^r$$
 , $(1+x)^{n-k-1} = \sum\limits_{r=0}^l inom{n-k-1}{r} x^r$  .

The sum in the lefthand side of (4) is equal to the coefficient of  $x^{n-k}$  in the power series of  $(1+x)^{n-k+l-1}$ , which is  $\binom{n-k+l-1}{n-k}$ .

To prove (5), we consider

$$rac{x^{n-k-1}}{(1-x)^{n-k}} = \sum_{r=n-k-1}^{\infty} inom{r}{n-k-1} x^r$$
 ,  $|x| < 1$  .

The sum in the lefthand side of (5) is equal to the coefficient of  $x^{2n-k-2}$  in the power series of  $[x^{n-k-1}/(1-x)^{n-k}]^2$ , which is  $\binom{2n-k-1}{k}$ . The proof of the lemma is completed.

Let n and l be positive integers,  $l \leq n$ . Let  $(n_1, \dots, n_l), 1 \leq n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_l \leq n$ , be a *l*-combination of  $1, \dots, n$ . Let m be the number of r's,  $r = 1, \dots, l$ , for which  $n_{r+1} \neq n_r + 1$ , where  $n_{l+1}$  is taken as  $n_1$  and n + 1 as 1. We say that the *l*-combination  $(n_1, \dots, n_l)$  has m gaps. Obviously,  $m \leq l$  and  $m + l \leq n$ ; i.e.,  $0 \leq m \leq \min(l, n - l)$ .

Take l < n and arrange  $1, \dots, n$  in increasing order (clockwise) in a circle. Then the set  $(n_1, \dots, n_l)$  and its complement have the same number of (connected) components. This number is the number m defined above as the number of gaps of  $(n_1, \dots, n_l)$ .

For example, if n = 6 and l = 3, then the number of gaps of (1, 2, 3) and (1, 2, 6) is 1, of (1, 3, 4) is 2 and of (1, 3, 5) is 3. If n = l, then m = 0.

We denote by  $\binom{n}{l,m}$  the number of *l*-combinations of 1, ..., *n* having *m* gaps. $\binom{n}{l,m}$  is thus defined for all nonnegative integers *l*, *m*, *n* satisfying  $0 < l \leq n, 0 \leq m \leq \min(l, n - l)$ . We also define  $\binom{n}{0,0} = 1$ . From the definition of  $\binom{n}{l,m}$  follows that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\min(l,n-l)} \binom{n}{l,m} = \binom{n}{l}.$$

In the following lemma we obtain a formula for  $\binom{n}{l, m}$ .

LEMMA 4. Let l, m, n be positive integers satisfying  $0 < l \le n-1, 0 < m \le \min(l, n-l)$ . Then

(6) 
$$\binom{n}{l,m} = \frac{n}{m}\binom{l-1}{m-1}\binom{n-l-1}{m-1}$$

*Proof.*  $\binom{n}{l, m}$  is equal to the number of *l*-combinations of 1, ..., *n* with *m* gaps. We first find the number of *l*-combinations of the form  $(1, n_2, \dots, n_l)$  with *m* gaps.

Arrange the numbers  $1, \dots, n$  in a circle and take a *l*-combination  $(1, n_2, \dots, n_l)$  with *m* gaps. As l < n, the set  $(1, n_2, \dots, n_l)$  and its complement have each *m* components. Let  $m_i$  and  $m'_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , be the number of elements in the *i*th component of  $(1, n_2, \dots, n_l)$  and its complement respectively. We have

(7) 
$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{m} m_{i} = l , \\ \sum_{i=1}^{m} m'_{i} = n - l \end{cases}$$

It is obvious that there is a 1-1 correspondence between the *l*-combinations of the form  $(1, n_2, \dots, n_l)$  with *m* gaps and the 2*m*tuples  $(m_1, m'_1, \dots, m_m, m'_m)$  of positive integers satisfying (7). By Lemma 2, the number of these 2*m*-triples is  $\binom{l-1}{m-1}\binom{n-l-1}{m-1}$ . Hence, the number of *l*-combinations of the form  $(1, n_2, \dots, n_l)$  with *m* gaps is  $\binom{l-1}{m-1}\binom{n-l-1}{m-1}$ .

For each of the numbers 1, ..., n we get  $\binom{l-1}{m-1}\binom{n-l-1}{m-1}$ l-combinations with m gaps. Assembling all these combinations, each combination with l gaps is repeated m times. Hence, to get the number of these combinations,  $\binom{n-1}{m-1}\binom{n-l-1}{m-1}$  has to multiplied by n and divided by m. Formula (6) is thus proved.

In the following lemma we obtain formulas for  $p_k(I_n + P_n)$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, n$ .

LEMMA 5. Let  $n \ge 2$ . Then

(8) 
$$p_k(I_n + P_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{n-k} \binom{2n-k-1}{k}, & k = 0, \dots, n-1, \\ 2, & k = n. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Formula (8) is easily verified for k = 0 and k = n.

Let  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ .  $p_k(I_n + P_n)$  is equal to the number of different diagonals of 1's of length k in  $I_n + P_n$ . (Where diagonals of length k in the  $n \times n$  matrix  $I_n + P_n$  are defined in the obvious way.) Each such diagonal is composed of l elements of  $I_n$  and k-l elements of  $P_n$ .

Let  $(n_1, \dots, n_l)$  be a *l*-combination of  $1, \dots, n$  with *m* gaps. The number of 1's in  $P_n$  belonging either to the rows  $n_1, \dots, n_l$  or to the columns  $n_1, \dots, n_l$  is l + m. Hence, the diagonal of length *l* consisting of 1's in positions  $(n_1, n_1), (n_2, n_2), \dots, (n_l, n_l)$  can be augmented, using elements of  $P_n$ , to  $\binom{n-l-m}{k-l}$  different diagonals of 1's of length *k*. As there are  $\binom{n}{l,m}$  *l*-combinations with *m* gaps, the number of diagonal of length *k* which originate in a *l*-combination with *m* gaps is  $\binom{n}{l,m}\binom{n-l-m}{k-l}$ . Summing up over all possible *m* and *l*, we obtain

## DAVID LONDON

$$p_k(A) = \sum_{l=0}^k \sum_{m=0}^{\min(l,n-k)} {n \choose l,m} {n-l-m \choose k-l} \,.$$

Noting that  $\binom{n}{0,0} = 1$  and, as k < n,  $\binom{n}{l,0} = 0$  for  $l = 1, \dots, k$ , it follows that

$$p_k(A) = {n \choose k} + \sum_{l=1}^k \sum_{m=1}^{\min(l,n-k)} {n \choose l,m} {n-l-m \choose k-l}$$

Using now Lemma 4, we obtain

$$p_k(A) = inom{n}{k} + \sum\limits_{l=1}^k \sum\limits_{m=1}^{\min{(l,n-k)}} rac{n}{m} inom{l-1}{m-1} inom{n-l-1}{m-1} inom{n-l-m}{k-l}$$

 $\mathbf{As}$ 

$$rac{n}{m}inom{l-1}{m-1}inom{n-l-1}{m-1}inom{n-l-m}{k-l}\ =rac{n}{l}inom{n-l-1}{n-k-1}inom{n-k-1}{m}$$
 ,

it follows that

$$p_k(A) = {n \choose k} + n \sum_{l=1}^k rac{1}{l} {n-l-1 \choose n-k-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\min\{l,n-k)} {l \choose m} {n-k-1 \choose n-k-m},$$

and using (4), we obtain

$$p_k(A) = inom{n}{k} + n \sum_{l=1}^k rac{1}{l} inom{n-l-1}{n-k-1} inom{n-k+l-1}{n-k}.$$

But

$$rac{1}{l}inom{n-k+l-1}{n-k}=rac{1}{n-k}inom{n-k+l-1}{n-k-1}\,.$$

So

(9) 
$$p_{k}(A) = \binom{n}{k} + \frac{n}{n-k} \sum_{l=1}^{k} \binom{n-l-1}{n-k-1} \binom{n-k+l-1}{n-k-1} = \frac{n}{n-k} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \binom{n-l-1}{n-k-1} \binom{n-k+l-1}{n-k-1} \cdot$$

Formula (8) follows from (5) and (9).

We bring now our main result.

THEOREM. Let  $n \ge 3$  and let  $A = (I_n + P_n)/2$ . Then the functions

130

$$h_{\scriptscriptstyle A,k}\!( heta) = p_k((1- heta)J_n + heta A)$$
 ,  $k=2,\,\cdots,\,n$  ,

are strictly increasing in the interval  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 1, it is sufficient to show that

(10) 
$$\frac{2p_i(I_n+P_n)}{p_i(J_n)} \leq \frac{p_{i+1}(I_n+P_n)}{p_{i+1}(J_n)}, \quad i=1, \cdots, n-1,$$

with strict inequality for  $1 \leq i < n - 1$ .

For i = n - 1, (10) holds with equality sign.

For  $i = 1, \dots, n - 2$ , (3) and (8) imply

(11) 
$$\frac{p_{i+1}(I_n+P_n)}{p_{i+1}(J_n)} = \frac{(i+1)! \left[(n-i-1)!\right]^2 n^{i+2}}{(n-i-1)(n!)^2} \binom{2n-i-2}{i+1} .$$

From (11) follows

$$\frac{p_{i+1}(I_n + P_n)}{p_{i+1}(J_n)} - \frac{2p_i(I_n + P_n)}{p_i(J_n)} \\ = \frac{2in^{i+1}(2n - i - 2)![(n - i - 1)!]^2(n - i - 1)}{(n!)^2(2n - 2i - 1)!}$$

Hence (10) holds with strict inequality for  $1 \leq i < n - 1$ , and the proof of our theorem is completed.

We note that the theorem holds also for all  $n \times n$  matrices A which can be obtained from  $(I_n + P_n)/2$  by permutations of rows and columns.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. I wish to thank Professor C. J. Smyth for his useful remarks.

## References

1. D. Z. Doković, On a conjecture by van der Waerden, Mat. Vesnik (19) 4 (1967), 272-276.

2. S. Friedland and H. Minc, Monotonicity of permanents of doubly stochastic matrices, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 6 (1978), 227-231.

3. D. London, On the Doković conjecture for matrices of rank two, to appear in Linear and Multinear Algebra.

4. M. Marcus and H. Minc, *Extensions of classical matrix inequalities*, Linear Algebra and Appl., **1** (1968), 421-444.

5. H. Minc, *Permanents*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. **6**, Addison-Wesley, 1978.

Received January 9, 1980.

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA VANCOUVER, B.C. V6T 1Y4 TECHNION, I.I.T. HAIFA, ISRAEL (Current address) ٠