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#### Abstract

We introduce a density condition which applies to subsets, $E$, of a bounded region $\Omega$ in the complex plane. If $E$ satisfies this condition, then it is possible to construct a quasiconformal mapping $F$, of $\Omega$, subject to the following conditions: $F$ is extremal for its boundary values; $F$ is conformal throughout $\Omega-E ; F$ is not conformal on $E$. The construction makes essential use of the Hamilton-ReichStrebel characterization of extremal quasiconformal maps.


O. Introduction. In all that follows, $\Omega$ denotes a bounded domain in the complex plane. Let $\kappa$ denote an element of $\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. We say that $\kappa$ is an extremal dilatation (on $\Omega$ ) if $\|\kappa\|_{\infty} \neq 0$ and $\kappa$ is the complex dilatation of a quasiconformal mapping of $\Omega$ which is extremal for its boundary values.
R. Hamilton, E. Reich and K. Strebel have given an incisive characterization of extremal dilatations. Their result follows ([1], [3], [4], [5]):

Let $B(\Omega)$ denote the space of functions, $f$, analytic on $\Omega$, for which

$$
\|f\|=\int|f(z)| d A(z)<\infty \quad \text { (area measure) }
$$

Then $\kappa$ is an extremal dilatation if and only if $\left(0<\|\kappa\|_{\infty}<1\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\substack{\|, f\|=1 \\ f \in B \in(\Omega)}}\left|\int_{\Omega} f(z) \kappa(z) d A(z)\right|=\|\kappa\|_{\infty} . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known that a bounded measurable function $\kappa$ may be supported on a small subset of $\Omega$ and still satisfy condition (*). In this paper we attempt to quantify this feature. We show that subsets of $\Omega$ which satisfy a certain density condition will always support extremal dilatations.

Density conditions which are necessarily satisfied by the support of an extremal dilatation are known in the case that $\Omega$ is the unit disk or the upper half plane. Some of these are discussed in [2]. They have features in common with the present sufficient condition, but in no case is there a complete characterization.

1. A sufficient condition. If $E$ is a subset of $\Omega, \chi_{E}$ denotes the indicator function of $E$ :

$$
\chi_{E}(z)= \begin{cases}1, & z \in E \\ 0, & z \notin E\end{cases}
$$

Let $E$ denote a subset of $\Omega$. We say that $E$ is analytically thick in $\Omega$ if there is a bounded analytic function, $h$, defined on $\Omega$ for which $\|h\|_{\infty}=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{H(x)} \chi_{E}(z)|h(z)| d A(z)=(1+o(1)) \int_{H(x)}|h(z)| d A(z), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $x \rightarrow 1$; in (1.1), $H(x)=\{z \in \Omega:|h(z)|>x\}$ for $0 \leqq x<1$; also, $d A(z)$ denotes Lebesgue planar measure.

Theorem 1. Suppose $E$ is analytically thick in $\Omega$. Then there is an extremal dilatation, $\kappa$, defined on $\Omega$ for which

$$
\{z \in \Omega: \kappa(z) \neq 0\} \subset E
$$

Proof. The proof of Theorem 1 depends on Lemma 2 of $\S 4$ and on the theorem of Hamilton-Reich-Strebel.

Let $h$ be given as in the definition. By Lemma 2, condition (1.1) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \chi_{E}(z)|h(z)|^{n} d A(z)=(1+o(1)) \int_{\Omega}|h(z)|^{n} d A(z), n \longrightarrow \infty \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $N=\{1,2,3, \cdots\}$ and let $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ denote the norm in $\mathscr{L}^{1}(\Omega)$. For each $n \in N$, set $k_{n}(z)=h^{n}(z) /\left\|h^{n}\right\|_{1} . \quad$ So, $\left\|k_{n}\right\|_{1}=1$ and, by (1.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega-E}\left|k_{n}(z)\right| d A(z)=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be shown that $\left\{k_{n}: n \in N\right\}$ is a normal family; there are two possibilities:
(1) at least one subsequence of $\left\langle k_{n}\right\rangle_{n \in N}$ converges, uniformly on compact subsets of $\Omega$, to a function $K(z)$ which is analytic and not identically zero on $\Omega$.
(2) $\left\langle k_{n}\right\rangle_{n \in N}$ converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of $\Omega$.

In Case 1, apply Fatou's theorem to the given subsequence: we see, by (1.3)

$$
\int_{\Omega-E}|K(z)| d A(z) \leqq \varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega-E}\left|k_{n}(z)\right| d A(z)=0
$$

Therefore measure $(\Omega-E)=0$ since $K$ is analytic and not identically zero.

In Case 2, we construct a sequence, $\left\langle A_{n}\right\rangle$, of mutually disjoint
compact subsets of $\Omega$, and a subsequence, $\left\langle K_{n}\right\rangle$, of $\left\langle k_{n}\right\rangle$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega-A_{n}}\left|K_{n}(z)\right| d A(z) \leqq \frac{1}{n}, n \in N \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, $A_{1}$ and $K_{1}$ are chosen arbitrarily. Suppose $K_{1}, K_{2}, \cdots, K_{n}$ and $A_{1}, A_{2}, \cdots, A_{n}$ have been chosen; we take $K_{n+1}$, from the vanishing sequence $\left\langle k_{n}\right\rangle$, so that

$$
\int_{j=1}^{n} A_{j}\left|K_{n+1}(z)\right| d A(z) \leqq \frac{1}{2(n+1)}
$$

Since $\left\|K_{n+1}\right\|_{1}=1$, we may choose $A_{n+1}$, disjoint from $A_{1}, A_{2}, \cdots, A_{n}$, so that

$$
\int_{A_{n+1}}\left|K_{n+1}(z)\right| d A(z) \geqq 1-\frac{1}{n+1}
$$

this is the same as (1.4).
Now we set

$$
\kappa(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\overline{K_{n}(z)} / /\left|K_{n}(z)\right|, & z \in E \cap A_{n}, n \in N \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Take $n \in N$; (1.4) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\Omega} K_{n}(z) \kappa(z) d A(z)\right| \geqq\left|\int_{A_{n}} K_{n}(z) \kappa(z) d A(z)\right|-1 / n \\
& \quad=\int_{E \cap A_{n}}\left|K_{n}(z)\right| d A(z)-1 / n \geqq \int_{E}\left|K_{n}(z)\right| d A(z)-2 / n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combine this with (1.3); since $\left\langle K_{n}\right\rangle$ is a subsequence of $\left\langle\boldsymbol{k}_{n}\right\rangle$, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\int_{\Omega} K_{n}(z) \kappa(z) d A(z)\right|=\|\kappa\|_{\infty} .
$$

It now follows, from the theorem of Hamilton, Reich and Strebel, that $\kappa / 2$ is an extremal dilatation. As $\kappa$ is supported within $E$, we are through.
2. An example. Set $\Omega:|z-1|<1$ and $h(z)=e^{-1} \exp \{-(2 i / \pi) \log z)$. Then $\left|h\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|=e^{-1} \exp \{(2 / \pi) \theta\}$ and, if $e^{-2}<x<1$ and $\theta(x)=\pi / 2(1+\log x)$, we have

$$
H(x)=\left\{r e^{i \theta}: \theta(x)<\theta<\pi / 2 \quad \text { and } \quad 0<r<2 \cos \theta\right\}
$$

For $-\pi / 2<\theta<\pi / 2$, we set $l(\theta)=\left\{r e^{i \theta}: 0<r<2 \cos \theta\right\}$.
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Now, let $E \subset \Omega$. We assume that the linear density of $E$ on $l(\theta)$ approaches one as $\theta$ approaches $\pi / 2$ : that is, we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \cos \theta} \chi_{E}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) d r=(1+o(1)) 2 \cos \theta, \theta \longrightarrow \pi / 2 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is a consequence of (2.1) that
(2.2) $\quad \int_{0}^{2 \cos \theta} \chi_{E}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) r d r=(1+o(1)) \int_{0}^{2 \cos \theta} r d r, \quad \theta \longrightarrow \pi / 2$.

This can be seen in a few lines; we integrate $\int_{0}^{2 \cos \theta} \chi_{E}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) r d r$ by parts, then use (2.1) and the estimate

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \cos \theta} \int_{0}^{t} \chi_{E}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) d r d t \leqq \int_{0}^{2 \cos \theta} t d t
$$

In turn, from (2.2), we see

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\theta(x)}^{\pi / 2} & e^{-1} \exp \left\{\frac{2 \theta}{\pi}\right\} \int_{0}^{2 \cos \theta} \chi_{E}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) r d r d \theta \\
& =(1+o(1)) \int_{\theta /(x)}^{\pi / 2} e^{-1} \exp \left\{\frac{2 \theta}{\pi}\right\} \int_{0}^{2 \cos \theta} r d r d \theta, \quad \text { as } x \longrightarrow 1
\end{aligned}
$$

and this is the same as

$$
\int_{H(x)} \chi_{E}(z)|h(z)| d A(z)=(1+o(1)) \int_{H(x)}|h(z)| d A(z), \quad x \longrightarrow 1
$$

By Theorem 1, $E$ is an extremal support. So, if $E$ satisfies condition (2.1), there is an extremal quasiconformal mapping of $\Omega$ which is conformal outside of $E$ but not conformal throughout $\Omega$.
3. Lemma 1. Let $f$ and $g$ denote integrable functions defined on $(0,1)$. We assume: $0 \leqq f(r) \leqq g(r)$ for all $r, 0<r<1 ; \int_{x}^{1} g(r) d r>$

0 for all $x, 0 \leqq x<1$; and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x}^{1} r f(r) d r=(1+o(1)) \int_{x}^{1} r g(r) d r, \quad x \longrightarrow 1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} r^{n} f(r) d r=(1+o(1)) \int_{0}^{1} r^{n} g(r) d r, \quad n \longrightarrow \infty \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be fixed. By condition (3.1), we may choose $x(\varepsilon)$, in $(0,1)$, so that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x}^{1} r(g(r)-f(r)) d r \leqq \varepsilon / 2 \int_{x}^{1} r g(r) d r \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $x(\varepsilon) \leqq x<1$. This implies that

$$
\int_{y}^{1} \int_{x}^{1} r(g(r)-f(r)) d r d x \leqq \varepsilon / 2 \int_{y}^{1} \int_{x}^{1} r g(r) d r d x
$$

holds as long as $x(\varepsilon) \leqq y<1$. We interchange the order of integration and obtain

$$
\int_{r=y}^{1} r(g(r)-f(r))(r-y) d r \leqq \varepsilon / 2 \int_{r=y}^{1} r g(r)(r-y) d r ;
$$

then, by (3.3), we see that

$$
\int_{r=y}^{1} r^{2}(g(r)-f(r)) d r \leqq \varepsilon / 2 \int_{r=y}^{1} r^{2} g(r) d r
$$

for any $y, x(\varepsilon) \leqq y<1$.
Repeat this argument with the same $x(\varepsilon)$. We see that (3.3) is valid with $r$ replaced by $r^{n}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x(\varepsilon)}^{1} r^{n}(g(r)-f(r)) d r \leqq \varepsilon / 2 \int_{x(\varepsilon)}^{1} r^{n} g(r) d r \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $n \in N$.
Set $M=\int_{0}^{1} g(t)-f(t) d t$. Then, by (3.4), if $n \in N$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} r^{n}(g(r)-f(r)) d r \leqq M x(\varepsilon)^{n}+\varepsilon / 2 \int_{x(c)}^{1} r^{n} g(r) d r \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, set $x_{1}(\varepsilon)=(x(\varepsilon)+1) / 2$. Since $\int_{x_{1}(\varepsilon)}^{1} g(t) d t>0$, we may choose $N(\varepsilon, f, g) \in N$ so that, if $n \geqq N(\varepsilon, f, g)$, we have

$$
M x(\varepsilon)^{n} \leqq \varepsilon / 2\left(x_{1}(\varepsilon)\right)^{n} \int_{x_{1}(\varepsilon)}^{1} g(r) d r \leqq \varepsilon / 2 \int_{0}^{1} r^{n} g(r) d r
$$

(just note that $\left.x(\varepsilon)<x_{1}(\varepsilon)\right)$. Combine this with (3.5); if $n \geqq N(\varepsilon, f, g)$, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{1} r^{n}(g(r)-f(r)) d r \leqq \varepsilon \int_{0}^{1} r^{n} g(r) d r .
$$

We proved that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} r^{n}(g(r)-f(r)) d r=o(1) \int_{0}^{1} r^{n} g(r) d r, \quad n \longrightarrow \infty,
$$

and (3.2) now follows.
4. Lemma 2. The technique here is to perform an iterated integration over the level curves of $|h|$. For the sake of completeness, we establish the existence of an appropriate induced measure on these curves. So, the proof is a little longer than is perhaps necessary.

Lemma 2. Let $h$ denote a bounded analytic function on $\Omega$ with $\|h\|_{\infty}=1$. For $0 \leqq x<1$, we set $H(x)=\{z \in \Omega:|h(z)|>x\}$. Then, if $E \subset \Omega$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{H(x)} X_{E}(z)|h(z)| d A(z)=(1+o(1)) \int_{H(x)}|h(z)| d A(z) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $x \rightarrow 1$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \chi_{E}(z)|h(z)|^{n} d A(z)=(1+o(1)) \int_{\Omega}|h(z)|^{n} d A(z) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. Set $\Omega^{\prime}=\left\{z \in \Omega:|h(z)| \neq 0\right.$ and $\left.\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right| \neq 0\right\}$. The lemma is trivial when $h$ is a constant function. If $h$ is not constant (as we assume from now on), the set $\Omega-\Omega^{\prime}$ is negligible with regard to integration.

We construct an open cover of $\Omega^{\prime}$. For each $z \in \Omega^{\prime}, U(z)$ will denote an open subset of $\Omega^{\prime}$ which contains $z$; moreover, we assume $h$ is one-to-one in each $U(z)$.

Now, let $\left\{P_{n}: n \in N\right\}$ be a $C^{\infty}$ partition of unity, on $\Omega^{\prime}$, subordinate to the cover $\left\{U(z): z \in \Omega^{\prime}\right\}$. So, for each $n \in N$, there is a set $U(n) \in\left\{U(z): z \in \Omega^{\prime}\right\}$ which contains the support of $P_{n}$. Set $h[U(n)]=$ $S(n)$ and let $S(n) \xrightarrow{z_{n}} U(n)\left(w \rightarrow z_{n}(w)\right)$ denote the inverse of $h$ defined in $S(n)$. For $0<r<1, n \in N$ we set

$$
\Theta_{n}(r)=\left\{\theta: 0 \leqq \theta<2 \pi, r e^{i \theta} \in S(n)\right\}
$$

and we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{n}(r)=\int_{\theta_{n}(r)} P_{n}\left(z_{n}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right) \chi_{E}\left(z_{n}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right)\left|z_{n}^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} r d \theta \\
& g_{n}(r)=\int_{\theta_{n}(r)} P_{n}\left(z_{n}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right)\left|z_{n}^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} r d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
f(r)=\sum_{n \in N} f_{n}(r), g(r)=\sum_{n \in N} g_{n}(r)
$$

It is clear that $0 \leqq f(r) \leqq g(r), 0<r<1$.
If $n \in N$ is arbitrary and $0 \leqq x<1$ and $0 \leqq \theta<2 \pi$, note that

$$
\chi_{H(x)}\left(z_{n}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right) \equiv \Phi_{x}(r)= \begin{cases}1, & x<r<1 \\ 0, & 0<r \leqq x\end{cases}
$$

Take $N \in N$ and suppose $0 \leqq x<1$ : then, with $w=r e^{i \theta}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{H(x)} \chi_{E}(z)|h(z)|^{N} d A(z)=\sum_{n \in N} \int_{\Omega^{\prime}} P_{n}(z) \chi_{H(x)}(z) \chi_{E}(z)|h(z)|^{N} d A(z) \\
& \quad=\sum_{n \in N} \int_{S(n)} P_{n}\left(z_{n}(w)\right) \chi_{H(x)}\left(z_{n}(w)\right) \chi_{E}\left(z_{n}(w)\right)|w|^{N}\left|z_{n}^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2} d A(w) \\
& \quad=\sum_{n \in N} \int_{r=0}^{1} \int_{\theta_{n}(r)} P_{n}\left(z_{n}(\cdot)\right) \chi_{H(x)}\left(z_{n}(\cdot)\right) \chi_{E}\left(z_{n}(\cdot)\right) r^{N}\left|z_{n}^{\prime}(\cdot)\right|^{2} r d \theta d r \\
& \quad=\sum_{n \in N} \int_{r=0}^{1} \Phi_{x}(r) f_{n}(r) r^{N} d r=\int_{0}^{1} \Phi_{x}(r) f(r) r^{N} d r
\end{aligned}
$$

We conclude from the Monotone Convergence Theorem that $f$ is integrable on ( 0,1 ). In summary, if $0 \leqq x<1$ and $N \in N$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{H(x)}|h(z)|^{N} \chi_{E}(z) d A(z)=\int_{x}^{1} f(r) r^{N} d r \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, by the same reasoning,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{H(x)}|h(z)|^{N} d A(z)=\int_{x}^{1} g(r) r^{N} d r \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.4), $\int_{x}^{1} g(r) d r>0$ if $0<x<1$. By hypothesis (4.1) and equations (4.3) and (4.4), in the case $N=1$, we see

$$
\int_{x}^{1} f(r) r d r=(1+o(1)) \int_{x}^{1} g(r) r d r, \quad x \longrightarrow 1
$$

Thus, by Lemma 1,

$$
\int_{0}^{1} f(r) r^{N} d r=(1+o(1)) \int_{0}^{1} g(r) r^{N} d r, \quad N \longrightarrow \infty
$$

So, by (4.3) and (4.4), in the case $x=0$,

$$
\int_{H(0)}|h(z)|^{N} \chi_{E}(z) d A(z)=(1+o(1)) \int_{H(0)}|h(z)|^{N} d A(z),
$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\Omega-H(o)$ is countable, we are through.
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