

## ON THE SEMIMETRIC ON A BOOLEAN ALGEBRA INDUCED BY A FINITELY ADDITIVE PROBABILITY MEASURE

THOMAS E. ARMSTRONG AND KAREL PRIKRY

A finitely additive probability measure  $\mu$  on a Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  induces a semi-metric  $d_\mu$  defined by  $d_\mu(A, B) = \mu(A\Delta B)$ . When  $\mathcal{B}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra and  $\mu$  countably additive  $\mathcal{B}$  is complete as is well known. The converse is shown to be true. More precisely, if  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is the quotient of  $\mathcal{B}$  via  $\mu$ -null sets then  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is  $d_\mu$ -complete iff  $\mu$  is countably additive on  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  and  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is complete as a Boolean algebra. Furthermore  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is  $d_\mu$ -complete iff every  $\nu \ll \mu$  has a Hahn decomposition iff (when  $\mathcal{B}$  is an algebra of sets) every  $\nu \ll \mu$  has a  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable Radon-Nikodym derivative. If  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is not  $d_\mu$ -complete it is either meager in itself or fails to have the property of Baire in its completion. Examples are given of both situations with the density character of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  an arbitrary infinite cardinal number.

If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Boolean algebra with supremum  $X$  and  $\mu$  is a finitely additive probability measure on  $\mathcal{B}$  (i.e.,  $\mu \in BA_1^+(\mathcal{B})$ ) there is a semi-metric  $d_\mu$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  given by  $d_\mu(A, B) = \mu(A\Delta B)$  (where  $\Delta$  denotes symmetric difference) for  $\{A, B\} \subset \mathcal{B}$ . Drewnowski [13] calls such semi-metrics Frechet-Nikodym semi-metrics. The metric space obtained by identifying  $A$  and  $B$  if  $d_\mu(A, B) = 0$  is the quotient Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}_\mu = \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{N}_\mu$  where  $\mathcal{N}_\mu$  is the ideal of  $\mu$ -negligible sets. We consider  $\mu$  and  $d_\mu$  to be defined on  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  in the usual manner so that  $\mu(A\Delta B) = d_\mu(A, B)$  if  $\{A, B\} \subset \mathcal{B}_\mu$ . The operation of complementation is an isometry in  $\mathcal{B}$  or  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  for  $d_\mu$ .

When  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -complete and  $\mu$  is countably additive on  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  then  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is complete both as a Boolean algebra and as a metric space. This fact has been very useful for analysts in the special case where  $\mathcal{B}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $X$  and  $\mu$  a countably additive measure on  $\mathcal{B}$ . In [12] it was asked to what extent this remains true if  $\mu$  is only finitely additive. If  $\mu$  is a  $\{0, 1\}$ -valued measure on the Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  then  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is a two point space  $\{\phi, X\}$  with  $d_\mu(\phi, X) = 1$ . Thus, the theorem is true in this case. Of course,  $\mu$  is then countably additive on  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ . We may ask when  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  has an isolated point.

**PROPOSITION 1.**  *$\mathcal{B}_\mu$  has an isolated point iff it is finite iff  $\mu$  is a finite convex combination of  $\{0, 1\}$ -valued measures.*

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is finite it has a finite number of  $\mu$ -atoms and  $\mu$  is a finite convex combination of  $\{0, 1\}$ -valued measures. If  $\mu$  isn't a finite convex combination of  $\{0, 1\}$ -valued measures there is an infinite sequence  $\{A_n\} \subset \mathcal{B}_\mu \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) = 0$ . If  $A \in \mathcal{B}_\mu$  then  $A \neq A \Delta A_n$  for large  $n$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_\mu(A, A \Delta A_n) = 0$ . Thus,  $A$  isn't isolated. This suffices to establish the proposition.  $\square$

Thus, except in trivial cases,  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is an infinite perfect metric space. It turns out that the only time  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is complete under  $d_\mu$  is when  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is complete as a Boolean algebra and  $\mu$  is countably additive on  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.** *In order that  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  be a complete metric space under  $d_\mu$  it is necessary and sufficient that  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  be a complete Boolean algebra and that  $\mu$  be countably additive on  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ .*

*Proof.* First suppose that  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  isn't a complete Boolean algebra. Since  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  satisfies the countable chain condition it can't be a  $\sigma$ -complete Boolean algebra. Thus, there is an increasing sequence  $\{A_n\} \subset \mathcal{B}_\mu$  without a supremum in  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ . Let  $\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n)$ . We have  $d_\mu(A_n, A_{n+k}) = \mu(A_{n+k} \setminus A_n) \leq \lambda - \mu(A_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus,  $\{A_n\}$  is  $d_\mu$ -Cauchy. If  $A \in \mathcal{B}_\mu$  were such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_\mu(A_n, A) = 0$  then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n \setminus A) = 0$  so  $\mu(A_n \setminus A) = 0$  for all  $n$  hence  $A_n \subset A$  for all  $A$ . From  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A \setminus A_n) = 0$  it would follow that  $A = \sup_n A_n$  which is impossible. Thus, if  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is  $d_\mu$ -incomplete it is incomplete as a Boolean algebra.

Now suppose that  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is a complete Boolean algebra with  $\mu$  not countably additive. There exists an increasing sequence  $\{A_n\} \subset \mathcal{B}_\mu$  with union  $A$  so that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) = \lambda < \mu(A)$ . Once again,  $\{A_n\}$  must be  $d_\mu$ -Cauchy and if  $C \in \mathcal{B}_\mu$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_\mu(A_n, C) = 0$  then  $C = A$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_\mu(A_n, A) = \mu(A) - \lambda \neq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is  $d_\mu$ -incomplete. Thus, if  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is  $d_\mu$ -complete then  $\mu$  is countably additive on  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ . This suffices to establish the proposition.  $\square$

Plachky, [23] gives a characterization of extreme extensions  $\nu$  of a finitely additive probability  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{B}_1$  to  $\mathcal{B}_2$ . He denotes by  $ba(\mathcal{B}_1, \mu, \mathcal{B}_2)$  all such extensions. We denote by  $\xi ba(\mathcal{B}_1, \mu, \mathcal{B}_2)$  the extreme elements of the compact convex set  $ba(\mathcal{B}_1, \mu, \mathcal{B}_2)$ . In terms of the semi-metric  $d_\nu$  elements  $\nu$  of  $\xi ba(\mathcal{B}_1, \mu, \mathcal{B}_2)$  are characterized by the condition that for all  $A_2 \in \mathcal{B}_2$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $A_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1$  with  $d_\nu(A_1, A_2) < \varepsilon$ . That is,  $\nu \in \xi ba(\mathcal{B}_1, \mu, \mathcal{B}_2)$  iff  $\mathcal{B}_1$  is  $d_\nu$ -dense in  $\mathcal{B}_2$ .

**COROLLARY 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}_1 \subset \mathcal{B}_2$  be Boolean algebras and let  $\mu$  be*

a probability measure with  $\mathcal{B}_1$   $d_\mu$ -complete. For  $\nu \in \text{ba}(\mathcal{B}_1, \mu, \mathcal{B}_2)$  to be in  $\xi \text{ba}(\mathcal{B}_1, \mu, \mathcal{B}_2)$  it is necessary and sufficient that for all  $A_2 \in \mathcal{B}_2$  there be an  $A_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1$  with  $d_\nu(A_1, A_2) = 0$ . If  $\nu \in \text{ba}(\mathcal{B}_1, \mu, \mathcal{B}_2)$  then  $\mathcal{B}_2$  is  $d_\nu$ -complete.

*Proof.* It is only necessary to show that if  $\nu \in \xi \text{ba}(\mathcal{B}_1, \mu, \mathcal{B}_2)$  and  $A_2 \in \mathcal{B}_2$  there is an  $A_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1$  with  $d_\nu(A_1, A_2) = 0$ . By Plachky's condition we may construct a sequence  $\{A^n\} \subset \mathcal{B}_1$  which  $d_\nu$ -converges to  $A_2$ . Any  $d_\mu$ -limit  $A_1$  of this sequence will suffice.  $\square$

REMARKS. (1) Bogdan and Oberle in Proposition 1.1.1 of [9] obtain a result closely related to Proposition 2. M. Bhaskara Rao and K. P. S. Bhaskara Rao in [25] essentially obtain Propositions 1 and 2.

(2) Corollary 2.1 yields a method for obtaining noncountably additive  $\mu$  with  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$   $d_\mu$ -complete.

Recall that a finitely additive measure  $\mu$  of bounded variation on a Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  (i.e.,  $\mu \in \text{BA}(\mathcal{B})$ ) has a Hahn decomposition iff there is an  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  so that  $\mu(A) = \|\mu^+\|$  and  $\mu(A^c) = \|\mu^-\|$ . Thus,  $\mu^+(E) = \mu(A \cap E)$  and  $\mu^-(E) = \mu(E \cap A^c)$  if  $E \in \mathcal{B}$ . Here,  $\mu^+$  and  $\mu^-$  are the positive and negative variations of  $\mu$ .  $|\mu| = \mu^+ + \mu^-$  is the total variation of  $\mu$ .

PROPOSITION 3. Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on the algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ .  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is  $d_\mu$ -complete iff every  $\nu \in \text{BA}(\mathcal{B})$  with  $|\nu| = \mu$  has a Hahn decomposition iff every  $\nu \in \text{BA}(\mathcal{B})$  with  $\nu \ll \mu$  has a Hahn decomposition.

*Proof.* If  $\mu$  is countably additive on the complete algebra  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  then every  $\nu \in \text{BA}(\mathcal{B})$  with  $\nu \ll \mu$  is countably additive on  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  hence has a Hahn-decomposition in  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  and in  $\mathcal{B}$  (we are using the  $\varepsilon - \delta$  definition of absolute continuity  $\ll$  as in [8]). Only the converse needs to be established.

We must show that if every  $\nu \in \text{BA}(\mathcal{B})$  with  $|\nu| = \mu$  has a Hahn-decomposition then  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is  $d_\mu$ -complete. Suppose that  $\mu$  isn't countably additive on  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ . There exists  $\{A_n\}$  an increasing sequence in  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  with supremum  $X$  such that  $0 < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) = \lambda < 1$ . Let  $\mu'(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A \cap A_n)$  define  $\mu'(A)$  for  $A \in \mathcal{B}_\mu$  so that  $\mu' \in \text{BA}^+(\mathcal{B}_\mu)$  hence  $\mu' \in \text{BA}^+(\mathcal{B})$ . Let  $\mu'' = \mu - \mu' \in \text{BA}^+(\mathcal{B})$ . Let  $\nu = \mu' - \mu'' \in \text{BA}(\mathcal{B})$ . Since  $\mu'$  and  $\mu''$  may be verified to be singular,  $\nu^+ = \mu'$ ,  $\nu^- = \mu''$  and  $|\nu| = \mu$ . Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}_\mu$  be such that  $\nu(A) = \nu^+(A)$  and  $-\nu(A^c) = \nu^-(A)$ . We have  $\nu^+(A) = \mu'(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A \cap A_n) = \|\mu'\| = \lambda$ . Thus,  $A_n \subset A$  for all  $n$ . Thus,  $A = X$  and  $\mu'' = 0$  which is impossible. Thus,  $\mu$  must be countably additive on  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ .

If  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  isn't  $\sigma$ -complete there is an increasing sequence  $\{A_n\}$  without a supremum. Define  $\mu'(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu'(A_n \cap A)$  so that  $\mu' \in BA^+(\mathcal{B}_\mu)$  hence  $\mu' \in BA^+(\mathcal{B})$  let  $\mu'' = \mu - \mu'$  and let  $\nu = \mu' - \mu''$ . If  $\mu'' = 0$  then  $X = \sup_n A_n$  and if  $\mu' = 0$  then  $\phi = \sup_n A_n$  which are impossible. If  $A \in \mathcal{B}_\mu$  is such that  $\nu^+(E) = \nu(E \cap A)$  and  $\nu^-(E) = -\nu(E \cap A^c)$ . Once again,  $A$  would have to be  $\sup_n A_n$  which is impossible. Since such an  $A$  is guaranteed to exist  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  must be  $\sigma$ -complete hence complete.  $\square$

$\mathcal{B}$  may be an algebra of subsets of  $X$ . This is the case if  $X$  is the Stone space  $X_{\mathcal{B}}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is regarded as the clopen algebra of  $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ . If  $\mu \in BA(\mathcal{B})$  one may integrate simple step functions  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \chi_{A_i}$  with  $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  in the usual manner. One may integrate any  $f$  which is the uniform limit of simple step functions as the limit of the integrals of the step functions. The totality of such  $f$  will be called bounded  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable functions. More generally  $f: X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  is called  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable iff  $f \wedge n \vee (-m)$  is a bounded  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable function for all integers  $n, m \geq 0$ . One defines  $\int f d\mu$ , for any  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable  $f$ , to be  $\lim_{(m,n) \rightarrow (\infty, \infty)} \int f \wedge n \vee (-m) d\mu$  provided this limit exists. For any  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable  $f$  on  $X$  with  $\int |f| d\mu < \infty$  one may define the measure  $f\mu$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  by the requirement that  $(f\mu)(A) = \int f \chi_A d\mu$  for  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then,  $f\mu \in BA(\mathcal{B})$  and is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ . If  $\mu \in BA^+(\mathcal{B})$  one has  $(f\mu)^+ = (f \vee 0)\mu$ ,  $(f\mu)^- = -(f \wedge 0)\mu$  and  $|f\mu| = |f|\mu$ . If  $g$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable and  $\int g d(f\mu)$  exists it is  $\int g f d\mu$ . If  $\nu \ll \mu \in BA^+(\mathcal{B})$  one says that  $\nu$  has a Radon-Nikodym derivative,  $f = d\nu/d\mu$ , iff  $f$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable with  $\nu = f\mu$ . When  $\mu$  is a countably additive probability on the  $\sigma$ -complete  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  (i.e., when  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is  $d_\mu$ -complete) every  $\nu \ll \mu$  has a Radon-Nikodym derivative on  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  with respect to  $\mu$  and on  $\mathcal{B}$  if  $\mu$  is countably additive on  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**PROPOSITION 4.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Boolean set algebra and let  $\mu \in BA^+(\mathcal{B})$ .  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is  $d_\mu$ -complete iff every  $\nu \ll \mu$  has a Radon-Nikodym derivative on  $\mathcal{B}$  (hence on  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ ).*

*Proof.* There is a Banach lattice isomorphism between the  $M$ -space of bounded  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable functions on  $X$  and the continuous functions on the Stone space  $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ . If the bounded  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable  $f$  on  $X$  has corresponding to it  $\tilde{f}$  and the finitely additive  $p \in BA(\mathcal{B})$  has corresponding to it  $\tilde{p} \in \mathcal{M}(X_{\mathcal{B}})$  under the Stone correspondence then  $\int_X f d p = \int_{X_{\mathcal{B}}} \tilde{f} d \tilde{p}$ . For  $\nu \in BA(\mathcal{B})$ ,  $\nu = f\mu$  with  $f$  bounded and  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable iff  $\tilde{\nu} = \tilde{f}\tilde{\mu}$  with  $\tilde{f} \in C(X_{\mathcal{B}})$ . If  $|\nu| = \mu$  then  $|\tilde{f}|\tilde{\mu} =$

$|\tilde{\nu}| = \tilde{\mu}$  so  $|\tilde{f}| = 1$  on  $\text{supp}(\tilde{\mu})$ . There is a clopen set  $[A] \subset X_{\mathcal{B}}$  corresponding to  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  so that  $\tilde{f} = \chi_{[A]} - \chi_{[A^c]}$  on  $\text{supp}(\tilde{\mu})$  consequently  $\tilde{\nu} = (\chi_{[A]} - \chi_{[A^c]})\tilde{\mu}$  and  $\nu = (\chi_A - \chi_{A^c})\mu$ . Thus,  $\nu$  has a Hahn-decomposition. Since  $\nu$  was arbitrary with  $|\nu| = \mu$   $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is  $d_\mu$ -complete by Proposition 3.

Now suppose that  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is  $d_\mu$ -complete. If  $\lambda^{-1}\mu \leq \nu \leq \lambda\mu$  for some  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$  then, on  $X_{\mathcal{B}_\mu}$ , there is a Radon-Nikodym derivative  $g$  for  $\nu$  with respect to  $\mu$  which is bounded and  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ -measurable hence continuous ( $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is considered to be the clopen algebra of  $X_{\mathcal{B}_\mu}$ ). If  $\tilde{\nu}$  and  $\tilde{\mu}$  are the Radon measures on  $X_{\mathcal{B}_\mu}$  corresponding to  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  we have  $\tilde{\nu} = g\tilde{\mu}$ . Extend  $g$  continuously from  $X_{\mathcal{B}_\mu}$ , considered as a closed subspace of  $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ , to a continuous function  $f$  on  $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ . Then,  $\tilde{\nu} = f\tilde{\mu}$  where  $\{\tilde{\nu}, \tilde{\mu}\}$  are considered as Radon measures on  $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ .  $f$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable on  $X_{\mathcal{B}}$  hence is the uniform limit of simple step functions  $\{f_n\}$ . If  $X = X_{\mathcal{B}}$  then  $\nu = f\mu$ . Otherwise  $\{f_n\}$  corresponds to a uniformly convergent sequence  $\{f'_n\}$  of simple step functions on  $X$  (where  $f'_n(x) = f_n(\hat{x})$  where  $\hat{x} \in X_{\mathcal{B}}$  is the ultrafilter of supersets of  $x$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ ). Once again  $\nu = f'\mu$  where  $f' = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n$ .

If  $\nu \ll \mu$  then  $\nu$  is the limit in the variation norm of  $\nu_n = \nu \wedge (n\mu) \vee (-n\mu)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We have  $\nu_n = \nu_{n+k} \wedge (n\mu) \vee (-n\mu)$  for all  $k > 0$ . Since  $-n\mu \leq \nu \leq n\mu$  we have  $\nu = f_n\mu$  and  $f_n = f_{n+k} \wedge n \vee -n$  for  $k > 0$  where  $\{f_n\}$  are  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable on  $X$ . Define  $f(x) = f_n(x)$  if  $f_{n+k}(x) = f_n(x)$  for all  $k > 0$ . If  $f(x)$  isn't defined either  $f_n(x) = n$  for all  $n$  or  $f_n(x) = -n$ . In the first case set  $f(x) = \infty$  and in the second set  $f(x) = -\infty$ . Since  $f \wedge n \vee -n = f_n$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable it follows that  $f$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable. If  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  then  $\nu(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_n(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A f_n d\mu = \int_A f d\mu$ . Thus,  $f = d\nu/d\mu$ . This establishes the proposition. □

REMARK. Since  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  remains unchanged if  $\mathcal{B}$  is enlarged, and  $\mu$  redefined, by only an enlargement of  $\eta_\mu$  we may consider  $\hat{\eta}_\mu$  the set of  $A$  with  $A \subset X$  such that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $A^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $A \subset A^\varepsilon$  and  $\mu(A^\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon$ . Let  $\mathcal{B} \Delta \hat{\eta}_\mu$  denote all sets  $A'$  in  $X$  differing from an  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  by an  $N \in \hat{\eta}_\mu$ . For such  $A'$  set  $\mu(A') = \mu(A)$  so that  $\hat{\eta}_\mu$  is the ideal of  $\mu$ -negligible sets in  $\mathcal{B} \Delta \hat{\eta}_\mu$ . Propositions 3 and 4 remain unchanged when  $\mathcal{B}$  is replaced by  $\mathcal{B} \Delta \hat{\eta}_\mu$ .

In general  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  isn't complete under  $d_\mu$  but its completion is easily identified.

PROPOSITION 5. Let  $\mu \in BA_1^+(\mathcal{B})$ ,  $X_{\mathcal{B}}(X_{\mathcal{B}_\mu})$  be the Stone space of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}_\mu)$  and let  $\tilde{\mu}$  be the Radon probability measure on  $X_{\mathcal{B}}(X_{\mathcal{B}_\mu})$  corresponding to  $\mu$ . The  $d_\mu$ -completion of  $\mathcal{B}$  is the quotient of the Baire algebra,  $\mathcal{B}^0$ , of  $X_{\mathcal{B}}(X_{\mathcal{B}_\mu})$  modulo  $\tilde{\mu}$ -negligible sets (i.e.,  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^0$ )

under  $d_\mu$ .

*Proof.* It is easiest to work with  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  considered as the clopen algebra of  $X_{\mathcal{B}_\mu}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}_\mu \subset \mathcal{B}^0$  and the metric  $d_\mu$  on  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is the same as is induced by the semi-metric  $d_{\tilde{\mu}}$ . As a result  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is isometric to a subset of the  $d_{\tilde{\mu}}$ -complete  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^0$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}^0$  is the monotone sequential closure of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  it follows that  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is  $d_\mu$ -dense in  $\mathcal{B}^0$  hence in  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^0$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^0$  must be the completion of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ .  $\square$

REMARK. Proposition 2 is an immediate corollary of Proposition 5.

One may extend  $\mu$  defined on the algebra,  $\mathcal{B}$  of subsets of  $X$ , not just to  $\mathcal{B} \Delta \hat{\eta}_\mu$  but to an even larger algebra  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_\mu$  of subsets of  $X$  in a unique manner.  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_\mu$  is the  $\mu$ -completion of  $\mathcal{B}$  and consists of those sets  $E \subset X$  so that  $\mu^*(E) = \inf \{ \mu(A) : E \subset A \in \mathcal{B} \} = \mu_*(E) = \sup \{ \mu(A) : E \supset A \in \mathcal{B} \}$ . One sets, for  $E \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}_\mu$ ,  $\mu(E) = \mu_*(E) = \mu^*(E)$ .  $\hat{\eta}_\mu$  is then the ideal of  $\mu$  negligible sets in  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_\mu$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{B}} \Delta \hat{\eta}_\mu \subset \hat{\mathcal{B}}_\mu$ . One may ask whether  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_\mu$  is ever  $d_\mu$ -complete. To answer this it is convenient to characterize  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_\mu$  in terms of the Stone space  $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ .

Let  $j_{\mathcal{B}}(x) = \{A \in \mathcal{B}, x \in A\} \in X_{\mathcal{B}}$ . The mapping  $j_{\mathcal{B}}$  from  $X$  to  $X_{\mathcal{B}}$  is such that if  $A$  is in  $\mathcal{B}$  then  $[A] = \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}(A)}$  so that  $A = j_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}([A])$ . The inverse image of the clopen algebra of  $X_{\mathcal{B}}$  is the algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ . It is convenient to identify  $X$  with the dense subset  $j_{\mathcal{B}}(X)$  of  $X_{\mathcal{B}}$  even though this is only proper if  $j_{\mathcal{B}}$  is injective iff  $\mathcal{B}$  separates  $X$ .

PROPOSITION 6.  $E \subset X$  is in  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_\mu$  iff there is a closed  $G_i, F$  and an open  $F_o, G$ , in  $X_{\mathcal{B}}$  with  $G \subset F$ ,  $\tilde{\mu}(F \setminus G) = 0$ , and  $j_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}(G) \subset E \subset j_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}(F)$ . In particular  $\tilde{\mu}(\partial \overline{j_{\mathcal{B}}(E)}) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $E \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}_\mu$ . Let  $\{A_n\}$  be an increasing sequence in  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\{A^n\}$  be a decreasing sequence in  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $A_n \subset E \subset A^n$  so that  $\mu(A^n \setminus A_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $G = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty [A_n]$  and  $F = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty [A^n]$ . We have  $G \subset F$  with  $\tilde{\mu}(F \setminus G) = 0$  and we have  $j_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}(G) = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n \subset E \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty A^n = j_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}(F)$ .

Conversely, if  $G$  is an open  $F_o$  and  $F$  a closed  $G_i$  in  $X_{\mathcal{B}}$  with  $j_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}(G) \subset E \subset j_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}(F)$  and with  $\tilde{\mu}(F \setminus G) = 0$  then  $G = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty [A_n]$  and  $F = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty [A^n]$  with  $\{A^n, A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{B}$  with  $A_n \subset E \subset A^n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and with  $\mu(A^n \setminus A_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus,  $E \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}_\mu$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 7.  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_\mu$  is  $d_\mu$ -complete iff (i)  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_\mu$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $X$  and (ii)  $\mu$  is countably additive on  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_\mu$ . In this case  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_\mu$  is  $\mu$ -complete as a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

*Proof.* From Proposition 2,  $d_\mu$ -completeness of  $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}^\mu$  follows from (i) and (ii). Also,  $d_\mu$ -completeness of  $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}^\nu$  implies (ii) and that  $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}^\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -complete as a Boolean algebra. If  $\{E_n\}$  is an increasing sequence in  $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}^\mu$  we must show that  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_n \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}^\mu$ . Let  $E^\infty$  be the supremum of  $\{E_n\}$  in  $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}^\mu$ . Let  $\{A_n\}$  be chosen increasing in  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $A_n \subset E_n$  and  $\mu(E_n \setminus A_n) < 1/n$  for all  $n$ . Let  $\{A^n\}$  be chosen decreasing in  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $E^\infty \subset A^n$  and  $\mu(A^n \setminus E^\infty) < 1/n$  for all  $n$ . We have  $A_n \subset E \subset A^n$  and  $\mu(A^n \setminus A_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus,  $E \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}^\mu$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 8.  $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}^\mu$  is  $d_\mu$ -complete iff  $\tilde{\mu}$  is a category measure on  $X_{\mathcal{A}_\mu}$ .

*Proof.* A residual Radon measure is a category measure on its support, [2].

Let  $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}^\mu$  be  $d_\mu$ -complete. We must show that if  $\theta$  is an open set in  $X_{\mathcal{A}_\mu}$  then  $\tilde{\mu}(\partial\theta) = 0$ , [3]. There is an open  $F_\theta$ ,  $\theta' \subset \theta$  with  $\tilde{\mu}(\theta/\theta') = 0$ . Let  $\tilde{\theta}'$  be an open  $F_\theta$  in  $X_{\mathcal{A}}$  with  $\tilde{\theta}' \cap X_{\mathcal{A}_\mu} = \theta'$  (where  $X_{\mathcal{A}_\mu}$  is considered to be  $\text{supp}(\tilde{\mu}) \subset X_{\mathcal{A}}$ ). We have  $\tilde{\mu}(\partial\tilde{\theta}') = 0$ . Thus, considering closure in  $X_{\mathcal{A}_\mu}$ ,  $\tilde{\mu}(\partial\theta') = 0$ . Since  $X_{\mathcal{A}_\mu} = \text{supp}(\tilde{\mu})$ ,  $\tilde{\theta}' = \bar{\theta}'$ . Since  $\theta$  differs from  $\theta'$  by a  $\tilde{\mu}$ -negligible set and  $\theta'$  differs from  $\bar{\theta}'$  by a negligible set  $\tilde{\mu}(\partial\theta) = 0$  which shows that  $\tilde{\mu}$  is residual on  $X_{\mathcal{A}_\mu}$ .

Let  $\tilde{\mu}$  be residual on  $X_{\mathcal{A}_\mu}$ . From Oxtoby [20, Theorem 4] any Borel set  $A$  in  $X_{\mathcal{A}_\mu}$  has the property that  $\tilde{\mu}(A) = \tilde{\mu}(A^0) = \tilde{\mu}(\bar{A})$ . Thus, if  $A$  is a Baire set in  $X_{\mathcal{A}_\mu}$  there is an open  $F_\theta$ ,  $G \subset A$  and a closed  $G_\theta$ ,  $F_\theta \supset A$  with  $\tilde{\mu}(F_\theta \setminus G) = 0$ . Represent  $G$  as  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \{[A_n] \cap X_{\mathcal{A}_\mu}\}$  where  $\{A_n\} \subset \mathcal{B}$  is increasing, and  $F$  as  $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \{[A^n] \cap X_{\mathcal{A}}\}$  with  $\{A^n\} \subset \mathcal{B}$  decreasing with  $A_n \subset A^n$  for all  $n$ , and with  $\mu(A^n \setminus A_n) = 0$ . Let  $E \subset X$  be  $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty A^n$ . Since  $A_n \subset E \subset A^n$  for all  $n$  we have  $E \in \widehat{\mathcal{B}}^\mu$ . It is easily checked that  $E$  is the  $d_\mu$ -limit of the Cauchy sequence  $\{A_n\} \subset \mathcal{B}$  and that  $E$  corresponds to the element  $A$  in the  $d_\mu$ -completion of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  as given in Proposition 5.  $\square$

By Proposition 4,  $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}^\mu$  is  $d_\mu$ -complete iff every  $\nu$  with  $|\nu| = \mu$  has a  $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}^\mu$ -measurable Radon-Nikodym derivative. One may ask what is the case if one allows Eudoxus integrable, [14], Radon-Nikodym derivatives. A bounded function  $f$  is Eudoxus integrable iff there an increasing sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of bounded  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable functions and a decreasing sequence  $\{f^n\}$  of bounded  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable functions such that  $f_n \leq f \leq f^n$  for all  $n$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f^n - f_n d\mu = 0$ . Since bounded  $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}^\mu$ -measurable functions are Eudoxus integrable no more Endoxus integrable functions are obtained if one only requires  $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}^\mu$ -measurability of  $\{f_n\}$  and  $\{f^n\}$ .  $\int f d\mu$  is defined by  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\mu$  or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f^n d\mu$ .

COROLLARY 8.1.  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}^\mu$  is  $d_\mu$ -complete iff every  $\nu$  with  $|\nu| = \mu$  has a Eudoxus integrable Radon-Nikodym derivative.

*Proof.* One direction is clear. For the other suppose that all  $\nu$  with  $|\nu| = \mu$  have Eudoxus integrable derivatives. We shall consider  $X$  as identified with a subset of  $X_{\mathcal{B}}$  via the map  $j_{\mathcal{B}}$ . Let  $\nu$  have  $|\nu| = \mu$  and let  $f$  be a Eudoxus integrable Radon-Nikodym derivative. Let  $\{f_n\}$  and  $\{f^n\}$  be the monotone sequences of bounded  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable functions with  $f_n \leq f \leq f^n$  for all  $n$  so that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f^n - f_n d\mu = 0$ . Let  $\{\tilde{f}_n\}$  and  $\{\tilde{f}^n\}$  be the corresponding sequences in  $\mathcal{E}(X_{\mathcal{B}})$ . Let  $\check{f} = \inf_n \tilde{f}^n$  and  $\hat{f} = \sup_n \tilde{f}_n$ .  $\check{f}$  is upper semicontinuous and  $\hat{f}$  is lower semi-continuous. The restrictions of  $\check{f}$  and  $\hat{f}$  to  $X$  are themselves Eudoxus integrable Radon-Nikodym derivatives of  $\nu$ . Both  $|\hat{f}|$  and  $|\check{f}|$  are equal to 1  $\tilde{\mu}$  a.e. Let  $K$  be the compact  $G_\delta\{\check{f} \geq 1\}$ . One has  $\check{f} = \chi_K - \chi_{K^c}\tilde{\mu}$  a.e. Since  $\nu$  was arbitrary  $\tilde{\nu}$  could have been of the form  $(\chi_\theta - \chi_{\theta^c})\tilde{\mu}$  for an open set  $\theta$  in  $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ . Thus, for each open  $\theta$  there is a compact  $G_\delta K$  in  $X_{\mathcal{B}}$  with  $\tilde{\mu}(\theta \Delta K) = 0$ . The closure of  $\theta \cap X_{\mathcal{B}\mu}$  must be contained in  $K \cap X_{\mathcal{B}\mu}$  since  $\text{supp}(\tilde{\mu}) = X_{\mathcal{B}\mu}$ . Thus, in  $X_{\mathcal{B}\mu}$ ,  $\tilde{\mu}(\partial(\theta \cap X_{\mathcal{B}\mu})) = 0$ . Since  $\theta \cap X_{\mathcal{B}\mu}$  may be an arbitrary open set in  $X_{\mathcal{B}\mu}$ ,  $\tilde{\mu}$  is a category measure on  $X_{\mathcal{B}\mu}$ . Proposition 8 shows that  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}^\mu$  is  $d_\mu$ -complete.  $\square$

REMARKS. Can Eudoxus integrability be replaced by  $\mu$ -integrability? Recall that  $f$  is  $\mu$ -integrable iff there is a sequence of simple  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable functions which converges to  $f$  in  $\mu$ -measure or in  $\mu$ -probability.

The maximal ideal space  $Z_{\tilde{\mu}}$  of  $L^\infty(X_{\mathcal{B}\mu}, \tilde{\mu})$  is the Gleason space or projective cover of  $X_{\mathcal{B}\mu}$  iff  $\tilde{\mu}$  is a category measure on  $X_{\mathcal{B}\mu}$ , [3]. This is true iff the projection dual to the injection  $C(X_{\mathcal{B}\mu}) \subset L^\infty(X_{\mathcal{B}\mu}, \tilde{\mu})$  is irreducible. This yields a method for constructing  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}^\mu$  which are  $d_\mu$ -complete, yet such that  $\mathcal{B} \Delta \hat{\eta}_\mu$  isn't  $d_\mu$ -complete no matter how  $\mathcal{B}$  is represented as an algebra of sets. One need only take an irreducible totally disconnected image  $Y$  of the maximal ideal space  $Z$  of  $L^\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$  where  $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$  is a probability measure space. Letting  $\mathcal{B}$  be the clopen algebra of  $Y$  one has  $Y = X_{\mathcal{B}}$ . One may take  $X(=j_{\mathcal{B}}(X))$  any dense subset of  $X_{\mathcal{B}}$  regarding  $\mathcal{B}$  now to be equal to it's trace on  $X$ . One way to obtain  $Y$  from  $Z$  is to identify two nonisolated points in  $Z$  (or even to identify a closed nowhere dense subset of  $Z$ ).

COROLLARY 8.2. There exists a set  $X$ , a Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of subsets of  $X$  and a strictly positive finitely additive probability  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  so that  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  isn't  $d_\mu$ -complete yet  $(\hat{\mathcal{B}}^\mu)_\mu$  is  $d_\mu$ -complete.

The completion  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^0$  of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  under  $d_\mu$  is a complete metrizable abelian topological group when the group operation is symmetric difference. Since  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is a dense subgroup of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^0$  the regular open algebra of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is isomorphic to that of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^0$ , [18], [20]. If  $F$  is a closed subset of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  its interior is the intersection of  $\bar{F}^0$  with  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  where closure and interior are taken in  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^0$ . Thus,  $F$  is nowhere dense in  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  iff  $F$  is nowhere dense in  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^0$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is meager in itself iff it is meager in  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^0$ . When  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is incomplete yet non-meager it must be badly behaved as a subset of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^0$ . In Kelley [16, Problem 6P] it is shown that any nonmeager dense subgroup of a Baire topological group fails to have the property of Baire.

PROPOSITION 9. *If  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is not complete then it either*

- (a) *is meager in itself under  $d_\mu$  or*
- (b) *fails to have the property of Baire in its  $d_\mu$ -completion.*

When  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is  $d_\mu$ -incomplete it may be meager. One instance is when  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is countably infinite in particular when  $\mathcal{B}$  is countable and  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is infinite. In this case each point of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is nowhere dense hence  $\mathcal{B}$  is meager. In quite a few instances  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  will be meager.

PROPOSITION 10. *Let  $\mu \in BA_1^+(\mathcal{B})$ . If  $A \in \mathcal{B}_\mu$  (or  $\mathcal{B}$ ) let  $\mathcal{I}(A) = \{A' \in \mathcal{B}_\mu: A' \subset A\}$  and let  $\mathcal{F}(A) = \{A' \in \mathcal{B}_\mu: A \subset A'\}$  be the principal ideal and filter in  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  generated by  $A$ .*

- (a) *Both  $\mathcal{I}(A)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(A)$  are  $d_\mu$ -closed.*
- (b)  *$\mathcal{I}(A)$  is nowhere dense iff  $A^c$  isn't a finite union of  $\mu$ -atoms and is open if  $A^c$  is a finite union of  $\mu$ -atoms.*
- (c)  *$\mathcal{F}(A)$  is nowhere dense iff  $A$  isn't a finite union of  $\mu$ -atoms and is open if  $A$  is a finite union of  $\mu$ -atoms.*

*Proof.* Only statements about  $\mathcal{I}(A)$  need be proven for the statements about  $\mathcal{F}(A)$  follow from those for  $\mathcal{I}(A)$  upon applying the isometry  $E \rightarrow E^c$ .

(a) To show that  $\mathcal{I}(A)$  is  $d_\mu$ -closed consider a sequence  $\{A_n\} \subset \mathcal{I}(A)$  converging to  $C \in \mathcal{B}_\mu$ . We have  $\mu(C \setminus A_n) = \mu(C \setminus A) + \mu(C \cap (A \setminus A_n)) \geq \mu(C \setminus A)$ . From  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(C \setminus A_n) = 0$  it follows that  $\mu(C \setminus A) = 0$  so  $C \in \mathcal{I}(A)$ . This establishes (a).

(b) If  $A^c$  is a finite union of atoms then  $\mathcal{B}_\mu = \cup \{\mathcal{I}(A) \Delta F: F \subset A^c\}$ , where  $\mathcal{I}(A) \Delta F = \{E \Delta F: E \in \mathcal{I}(A)\}$ , is a finite disjoint union. The map  $E \rightarrow E \Delta F$  is an isometry of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  for  $d_\mu$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{I}(A) \Delta F$  is a closed set for each  $F \subset A^c$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is a finite union of disjoint closed sets each is a clopen set. Thus,  $\mathcal{I}(A)$  is clopen.

Conversely, if  $A^c$  is not a finite union of atoms there are  $F \subset \mathcal{B}_\mu$   $F \subset A^c$  with  $\mu(F) > 0$  but arbitrarily small. If  $A' \in \mathcal{I}(A)$  then

$d_\mu(A', A' \cup F)$  is arbitrarily small yet  $A' \cup F \notin \mathcal{F}(A)$ . Thus, no  $A' \in \mathcal{F}(A)$  is an interior point of  $\mathcal{F}(A)$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{F}(A)$  is nowhere dense. □

To show that  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  was meager it would suffice to show that there was a countable family  $\{A_n\} \subset \mathcal{B}_\mu \setminus \{\phi\}$ , with  $\mathcal{F}(A_n)$  nowhere dense for all  $n$ , with  $\mathcal{B}_\mu = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{F}(A_n)$ . That is,  $\{A_n\}$  should be a family such that if  $A \in \mathcal{B}_\mu$  there is an  $A_n$  with  $A_n \subset A$  and so that no  $A_n$  is a finite union of atoms. A collection  $\{A_\alpha\} \subset \mathcal{B}_\mu \setminus \{\phi\}$  such that any  $A \in \mathcal{B}_\mu \setminus \{\phi\}$  contains an  $A_\alpha$  is called a *pseudo base* of the algebra  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ , [21]. Included in any pseudo base for  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is the, at most countable, collection of atoms. If every  $A \in \mathcal{B}_\mu$  contains an atom then the collection of atoms is a pseudo base and is minimal as a pseudo base. This is the case iff  $X_{\mathcal{B}_\mu}$  is the closure of its countable set of isolated points iff  $X_{\mathcal{B}_\mu}$  is between  $N \cup \{\infty\}$  and  $\beta N$  as a compact Hausdorff space.

**PROPOSITION 11.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is such that there exists an  $A \in \mathcal{B}_\mu \setminus \{\phi\}$  not containing a  $\mu$ -atom and such that the restriction of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  to  $A$  has a countable pseudo base.  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is meager.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu_A$  be the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $A$  normalized to be a probability measure.  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu_A}$  is the restriction of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  to  $A$ .  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu_A}$  is meager as the preceding remarks have shown. Let  $\mu_{A^c}$  be the normalized restriction of  $\mu$  to  $A^c$ . If  $\mu_{A^c}$  doesn't exist then  $\mathcal{B}_\mu = \mathcal{B}_{\mu_A}$  is meager. It is easily verified that  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  may be represented as the product  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu_A} \times \mathcal{B}_{\mu_{A^c}}$ . Furthermore the metric  $d_\mu$  is given by  $d_\mu((E_1, F_1), (E_2, F_2)) = \mu(A)d_{\mu_A}(E_1, E_2) + \mu(A^c)d_{\mu_{A^c}}(F_1, F_2)$  which yields a topology on  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu_A} \times \mathcal{B}_{\mu_{A^c}}$  which is the product topology. Since  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu_A}$  is meager so is  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu_A} \times \mathcal{B}_{\mu_{A^c}} = \mathcal{B}_\mu$ . □

**REMARK.** Every nonnegligible element of  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}^\mu$  contains a non-negligible element of  $\mathcal{B}$  hence this proposition extends to the case of  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}^\mu$ . We may even extend this proposition to cover the case of the Boolean algebra completion of  $\mathcal{B}$  or  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}^\mu$ .

**PROPOSITION 12.** *If  $\mathcal{B}$  is an infinite Boolean algebra there is a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is meager,  $\mu$  may be taken to be non-atomic if  $\mathcal{B}$  admits a non-atomic measure and may always be chosen to be atomic otherwise.*

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{B}$  admits a non-atomic measure  $\mu$  there is, [4], [24] a countable subalgebra  $\mathcal{B}_0$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  isomorphic to the clopen algebra

of the Cantor set  $\Delta$ . The algebra  $\mathcal{B}_0$  has a countable base hence a countable pseudo base. Let  $\Phi: X_{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{B}_0} \cong \Delta$  be the canonical surjection. Let  $\tilde{\nu}$  be any non-atomic Radon probability measure on  $X_{\mathcal{B}_0}$  with support equal to  $X_{\mathcal{B}_0}$ . Let  $Y$  be a minimal closed subset of  $X_{\mathcal{B}}$  such that  $\Phi(Y) = X_{\mathcal{B}_0}$ . The map  $\Phi$  is irreducible on  $Y$ , [27], [4], hence  $Y$  has a countable pseudo base, [27]. Let  $\tilde{\mu}$  be a Radon probability measure on  $Y$  (hence on  $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ ) whose image under  $\Phi$  is  $\tilde{\nu}$ . As in [4],  $\tilde{\mu}$  is non-atomic on  $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ . Let  $\mu$  be the measure on  $\mathcal{B}$  corresponding to  $\tilde{\mu}$  under the Stone correspondence. We have  $Y = X_{\mathcal{B}_\mu}$ . Since  $Y$  has a countable pseudo base and  $\mu$  is non-atomic it follows from Proposition 11 that  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is meager.

If  $\mathcal{B}$  admits no nonzero non-atomic measure there is no nonzero non-atomic Radon measure on  $X_{\mathcal{B}}$  hence  $X_{\mathcal{B}}$  is scattered, [27], as is any closed subset. Since  $X_{\mathcal{B}}$  is infinite there is a probability  $\tilde{\mu} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty 2^{-n} \delta_{x_n}$  where  $\{x_n\}$  is an infinite sequence in  $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ . The support  $Y$  of  $\tilde{\mu}$  is a separable scattered space. If  $\mu$  is the measure on  $\mathcal{B}$  corresponding to  $\tilde{\mu}$  under the Stone correspondence then  $Y = X_{\mathcal{B}_\mu}$ . The algebra  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is the clopen algebra of  $Y$ . Every clopen set in  $Y$  contains one of the countable many isolated points. Thus,  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  has a countable pseudobase. □

REMARK. Again if  $\mathcal{B}$  is an algebra of sets this proposition is valid for  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}^\mu$ .

We may improve Proposition 11 to some extent in the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 13. *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be an algebra and  $\mu$  be a finitely additive probability on  $\mathcal{B}$  so that  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  has a nonprincipal ultrafilter with a countable base.  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is  $d_\mu$ -meager.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{A_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a countable base for an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  so that  $A_n \supset A_{n+1}$  for all  $n$  and so that  $\mu(A_n \setminus A_{n+1}) > 0$  for all  $n$ .  $\mathcal{F}$  is equal to  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{F}(A_n)$ . By Proposition 10 each  $\mathcal{F}(A_n)$  is nowhere dense hence  $\mathcal{F}$  is meager for  $d_\mu$ . Consequently, the maximal ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  dual to  $\mathcal{F}$  is also meager. Since  $\mathcal{B}_\mu = \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{I}$ .  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is meager. □

PROPOSITION 14. *For any infinite cardinal number  $m$  there is a Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  and a finitely additive probability  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  so that  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is meager and has density character  $m$ .*

*Proof.* (The density character of a topological space is the minimum cardinal number of a dense subset.)

Let  $\mathcal{B}'$  be the clopen algebra of the maximal ideal space  $X_{\mathcal{A}'}$  of  $L^\infty(\{0, 1\}^m, \hat{\mu})$  where  $\hat{\mu}$  is the coin flip measure. Let  $\tilde{\mu}$  be the probability Radon measure on  $X_{\mathcal{A}'}$  corresponding to  $\hat{\mu}$  under the Banach lattice isomorphism between  $\mathcal{M}(X_{\mathcal{A}'})$  and  $L^{\infty*}(\{0, 1\}^m, \hat{\mu})$  dual to that between  $\mathcal{C}(X_{\mathcal{A}'})$  and  $L^\infty(\{0, 1\}^m, \hat{\mu})$ . Let  $\mu$  be the countably additive probability on  $\mathcal{B}'$  corresponding to  $\tilde{\mu}$  under the Stone correspondence. Consider the cardinal  $m$  to be the first ordinal of cardinal  $m$ . Let  $\hat{A}_\alpha$ , for  $\alpha$  an ordinal less than  $m$ , denote the clopen subset of  $\{0, 1\}^m$  consisting of those elements whose  $\alpha$ th coordinate is 0. Let  $A_\alpha$  be the element of  $\mathcal{B}'$  corresponding to  $\hat{A}_\alpha$  for ordinals  $\alpha < m$ . The subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}'$  generated by  $\{A_\alpha: \alpha < m\}$  is of cardinality  $m$  and is  $d_\mu$ -dense in  $\mathcal{B}'$ . Thus, the  $d_\mu$  density character of  $\mathcal{B}'$  is at most  $m$ . It is easily verified that  $d_\mu(A_\alpha, A_\beta) = 1/2$  for all  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . Thus, the density character of  $\mathcal{B}'$  is at least  $m$ . This establishes the (well known) fact that  $\mathcal{B}'$  has density character  $m$ . The same reasoning shows that  $\mathcal{I}(A_\alpha^c)$ , the principal ideal in  $\mathcal{B}'$  generated by  $A_\alpha^c$  has density character  $m$  as a closed subset of  $\mathcal{B}'$ . Choose a decreasing sequence  $\{E_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{B}'$  with  $E_1 = A_1$  and  $\mu(E_j \setminus E_{j+1}) > 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the filter  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{F}(E_n)$  and let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the ideal dual to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the algebra  $\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{I}$ . From Proposition 13,  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_\mu$  is  $d_\mu$ -meager. Since  $\mathcal{I}(A_1^c) \subset \mathcal{I}$  there is a closed subset of the metric space  $\mathcal{B}$  of density character  $m$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{B}$  has density character at least  $m$  and, since  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{B}'$ , the density character of  $\mathcal{B}$  is equal to  $m$ . □

REMARK. Under this construction  $\mu$  is never countably additive. Can  $\mu$  be constructed to be countably additive?

If one wishes to find an algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  and a finitely additive probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  so that  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is not meager for  $d_\mu$  yet not complete one should choose  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  very large in its  $d_\mu$ -completion  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^0$ . Considering  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  as a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^0$  one has the Stone space  $X_{\mathcal{B}_\mu}$  a continuous image of the Stone space  $X_{\mathcal{B}_\mu^0}$ .  $X_{\mathcal{B}_\mu}$  is obtained by identifying points in  $X_{\mathcal{B}_\mu^0}$ . To make  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  large one should identify as few points as possible. For our construction we will start out with a given infinite hyperstonian space  $Z$  satisfying the countable chain condition so that  $Z$  is the maximal ideal space of  $L^\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$  for some probability measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$  not consisting of finitely many  $P$  atoms. We will consider  $\tilde{\mu}$  to be the Radon probability measure on  $Z$  associated with  $P$  and will denote by  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^0$  the clopen algebra of  $Z$  so that  $Z = X_{\mathcal{B}_\mu^0}$ . We will identify finitely many non-isolated points of  $Z$  to obtain a totally disconnected  $Z'$  whose clopen algebra will be denoted by  $\mathcal{B}$ . We will again denote by  $\tilde{\mu}$  the Radon probability measure on  $Z'$  which is the image of  $\tilde{\mu}$  under the canonical projection of  $Z$  onto  $Z'$ . By  $\mu$  we will mean the

finitely additive probability on  $\mathcal{B}$  (or  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^0$ ) corresponding to  $\tilde{\mu}$ . Since  $\mu$  is strictly positive on  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^0$  and on  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_\mu$  and  $Z' = X_{\mathcal{A}_\mu}$ . Consequently, we are in the desired setting for this proposition.

PROPOSITION 15. *Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$  be a (countably additive) probability measure space not consisting of finitely many  $P$ -atoms. There is a subalgebra  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  of  $\Sigma$  so that  $\tilde{\Sigma}_P$  is incomplete, nonmeager for  $d_P$  with  $d_P$ -completion  $\Sigma_P$ .*

*Proof.* Assume the notation in the paragraph preceding this proposition. If we show that  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is  $d_\mu$ -incomplete we may obtain  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  from  $\mathcal{B}_\mu \subset \mathcal{B}_\mu^0 = \Sigma_P$  by using a lifting  $\lambda$  for  $L^\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$  and taking  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  to be the image of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  under  $\lambda$ .

Let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  be the points identified in  $Z$  to get  $x \in Z'$ . Each of  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  is an ultrafilter on  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^0$  which contains elements of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^0$  of arbitrarily small  $\mu$  measure (since each  $x_i$  is nonisolated). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the filter  $x_1 \cap \dots \cap x_n$  which again contains elements of arbitrarily small  $\tilde{\mu}$  measure. Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the ideal of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^0$  dual to  $\mathcal{F}$  so  $\mathcal{I} = \{A^c : A \in \mathcal{F}\}$ .  $\mathcal{I}$  is a subgroup of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^0$  and is dense for  $d_\mu$  since  $\mathcal{F}$  contains sets of arbitrarily small measure. Thus,  $\mathcal{I}$  is either meager or fails to have the property of Baire.  $\mathcal{I}$  is a subgroup of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^0$  of finite index. This is because  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{I}_n$  where  $\mathcal{I}_j$  is the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^0$  dual to the ultrafilter  $x_j$ . No subgroup of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^0$  of finite index can be meager. Thus,  $\mathcal{I}$  is non meager. The algebra  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is easily seen to be  $\mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{F}$  hence is a nonmeager, dense, incomplete subgroup of  $\mathcal{B}_\mu^0$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  fails to have the property of Baire. □

REMARKS. (1) It may be shown that as constructed,  $P$  is not countably additive on  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  nor is  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  complete as a Boolean algebra. (2) Is it true that if the projection of  $X_{\tilde{\Sigma}_\mu}$  onto  $X_{\mathcal{A}_\mu}$  is irreducible that  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is nonmeager? We conclude with a variation of Proposition 14 valid for complete Boolean algebras but with density characters restricted to cardinals between  $\aleph_0$  and  $2^{\aleph_0}$ .

PROPOSITION 16. *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be an infinite complete Boolean algebra and  $m$  a cardinal number between  $\aleph_0$  and  $2^{\aleph_0}$ . There is a finitely additive probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\mathcal{B}_\mu$  is  $d_\mu$ -meager and has density character  $m$ .*

*Proof* The first step of the proof is the construction of a probability measure  $\mu_1$  on  $2^N$  so that  $2^N$  has  $d_{\mu_1}$  density character  $m$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_0$  be a free subalgebra of  $2^N$  with  $m$  generators (since  $m \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$   $\mathcal{A}_0$  exists). On  $\mathcal{A}_0$  let  $\mu_1$  be the usual coin toss measure so that each

of the  $m$  generators of  $\mathcal{A}_0$  receives measure  $1/2$  and so that the generators are  $\mu_1$ -independent. The density character of  $\mathcal{A}_0$  for  $d_{\mu_1}$  is equal to  $m$ . Under any extension of  $\mu_1$  to  $2^N$ ,  $2^N$  will have  $d_{\mu_1}$ -density character at least  $m$ . If  $\mu_1$  is extended to  $2^N$  so that  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is  $d_{\mu_1}$ -dense in  $2^N$  then the density character of  $2^N$  will be equal to  $m$ . To accomplish this we extend  $\mu_1$  by a transfinite inductive definition. Suppose, for ordinals  $\beta < \alpha$ ,  $\mu_1$  has been extended from  $\mathcal{A}_0$  to an algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\beta$  so that  $\mathcal{A}_\gamma \subset \mathcal{A}_\beta \subset 2^N$  if  $\gamma < \beta$  and  $\mu_1$  when restricted to  $\mathcal{A}_\gamma$  from  $\mathcal{A}_\beta$  is the extension to  $\mathcal{A}_\gamma$  of  $\mu_1$  from  $\mathcal{A}_0$  and so that  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is  $d_{\mu_1}$ -dense in  $\mathcal{A}_\beta$  for all  $\beta < \alpha$ . If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal let  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{A}_\beta$  and let  $\mu_1$  be the unique extension to  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$  whose restrictions to  $\mathcal{A}_\beta$  are the already given extension of  $\mu_1$  for  $\beta < \alpha$ . It is immediate that  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is  $d_{\mu_1}$ -dense in  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$  in this case. If  $\alpha$  is not limit ordinal,  $\beta$  is its predecessor, and if  $\mathcal{A}_\beta \neq 2^N$  select an  $A \in 2^N \setminus \mathcal{A}_\beta$  and let  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$  be the algebra generated by  $\mathcal{A}_\beta$  and  $A$ . It is well known that, if  $(\mu_1)_*(A)$  and  $(\mu_1)_*(A)$  are the outer and inner measures of  $A$  with respect to  $\mu_1$  on  $\mathcal{A}_\beta$ , there is an extension of  $\mu_1$  to  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$  with  $\mu_1(A) = \lambda$  whenever  $(\mu_1)_*(A) \leq \lambda \leq (\mu_1)_*(A)$ . Select an extension  $\mu_1$  so that  $\mu_1(A) = (\mu_1)_*(A)$ . It is easily deduced that  $A$  is in the  $d_{\mu_1}$ -closure of  $\mathcal{A}_\beta$  so there is a sequence  $\{A_n\} \subset \mathcal{A}_\beta$  with  $d_{\mu_1}(A_n, A) \rightarrow 0$ . From this it follows that  $d_{\mu_1}(A_n \cap B, A \cap B) \rightarrow 0$  and  $d_{\mu_1}(A_n^c \cap B, A^c \cap B) \rightarrow 0$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{A}_\beta$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{A}_\beta$  is  $d_{\mu_1}$ -dense in  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is  $d_{\mu_1}$ -dense in  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ . For all ordinals  $\alpha$  we have  $\mathcal{A}_0 d_{\mu_1}$ -dense in  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$ . For some ordinal  $\alpha$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha = 2^N$ . At this stage the desired extension has been accomplished.

The second step of the proof is to construct a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $2^N$  such that  $2^N$  is  $d_\mu$ -meager with density character  $m$ . Let  $\mu_0$  be the countably additive measure on  $N$  with  $\mu_0(\{n\}) = 2^{-n}$  for  $n \in N$ . Let  $\mu = 1/2(\mu_0 + \mu_1)$  where  $\mu_1$  is constructed in the preceding paragraph. Since  $\mu$  is strictly positive on  $N$ , Proposition 11 shows that  $2^N$  is  $d_\mu$ -meager. From the construction of  $\mu_1$  it follows that there is a set  $\{A_\alpha: \alpha < m\}$  (where  $m$  is considered the first ordinal of cardinality  $m$ ) with  $\mu_1(A_\alpha \Delta A_\beta) = 1/2$  for  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . Thus,  $d_\mu(A_\alpha, A_\beta) = \mu(A_\alpha \Delta A_\beta) \geq (1/2)\mu_1(A_\alpha \Delta A_\beta) = 1/4$ . Thus, the density character of  $2^N$  is at least  $m$ . Let  $\{E_\alpha: \alpha < m\}$  be a  $d_{\mu_1}$ -dense set in  $2^N$ . Let  $N_f$  be the  $d_{\mu_0}$ -dense set of finite subsets of  $2^N$ . All sets which differ from an  $E_\alpha$  by an element of  $N_f$  form a  $d_\mu$ -dense set of cardinality  $m$ . Thus, the density character of  $2^N$  under  $d_\mu$  is at most  $m$ , hence is equal to  $m$ . This establishes the proposition for the case  $\mathcal{B} = 2^N$ .

The third step of the proof consists of extending from the case  $\mathcal{B} = 2^N$  to the case where  $\mathcal{B}$  is an arbitrary complete Boolean algebra. This is done imitating arguments given in [4]. An infinite complete algebra contains an infinite disjoint sequence  $\{A_n: n \in N\}$

hence contains a subalgebra isomorphic to the clopen algebra of the Alexandroff compactification,  $N \cup \{\infty\}$ , of  $N$ . There is a continuous surjection from the Stone space,  $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ , of  $\mathcal{B}$  onto  $N \cup \{\infty\}$ . Thus, by results on projective covers on Gleason spaces, [3], there is a continuous surjection of  $X_{\mathcal{B}}$  onto  $\beta N$  the Gleason space of  $N \cup \{\infty\}$ . Consequently, by results in [4], there is a closed subspace  $Y$  of  $X_{\mathcal{B}}$  on which the surjection from  $X_{\mathcal{B}}$  to  $\beta N$  is a homeomorphism. The closed set  $Y$  is the Stone space of the algebra  $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I}$  where  $\mathcal{I}$  is some ideal of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Thus, there is a Boolean isomorphism  $j: \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I} \rightarrow 2^N$ . Let  $\mu$  denote both the measure constructed in the previous paragraph on  $2^N$  and its pull back under  $j$  to  $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I}$ . Let  $\mu$  also denote the measure on  $\mathcal{B}$  obtained by defining  $\mathcal{I}$  to consist of  $\mu$ -negligible sets.  $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{B}_{\mu}$  is  $d_{\mu}$ -meager and has density character  $m$ . This complete the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

REMARKS. (1) This result is best possible in that on  $2^N$  any measure  $\mu$  yields density character at most the cardinality,  $2^{\aleph_0}$ , for  $2^N$ .

(2) Can higher cardinals be obtained for  $d_{\mu}$ -density character of sufficiently large complete Boolean algebras  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu}$   $d_{\mu}$ -meager?

(3) There is no hope, by Proposition 2, that  $\mu$  can be constructed in a countably additive fashion. This is because  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu}$  as the quotient of a complete algebra by an ideal is an  $F$ -algebra, [4], which satisfies the countable chain condition hence is complete.

(4) The measure  $\mu$  constructed in Proposition 16 is non-atomic. Candeloro and Sacchetti, [10] in the proof of Theorem 2.4 show that if  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $2^X$  and  $\mu$  is non-atomic there is a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{A}$  under  $d_{\mu}$  is homeomorphic to  $\{0, 1\}^N$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu}$  while  $d_{\mu}$ -meager is fairly large.

(5) Seever in [26] shows that the Vitali-Hahn-Saks theorem is valid for finitely additive measures on  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu}$  if  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu}$  is  $\sigma$ -complete. Labuda, [17], shows that the Vitali-Hahn-Saks theorem is true when  $\mathcal{B}_{\mu}$  isn't  $d_{\mu}$ -meager. Propositions 15 and 16 demonstrates the independence of their results.

#### REFERENCES

1. T. Armstrong, *Borel measures on compact groups are meager*, Illinois J. Math., (to appear).
2. ———, *Gleason spaces and topological dynamics*, Indiana J. Math., **29** (1980), 737-746.
3. T. Armstrong and K. Prikry, *Residual measures*, Illinois J. Math., **22** (1978), 64-78.
4. ———, *Liapounoff's theorem for non-atomic, finitely additive, finite dimensional, vector valued measures*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., (to appear).
5. W. C. Bell, *A decomposition of additive set functions*, Pacific J. Math., **72** (1977), 305-311.

6. W. C. Bell, *Hellinger integrals and set function derivatives*, Houston J. Math., **5** (1979), 465-481.
7. ———, *Approximate Hahn decompositions, uniform absolute continuity and uniform integrability*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., (to appear).
8. S. Bochner and R. S. Phillips, *Additive set functions and vector lattices*, Ann. Math., **42** (1941), 316-325.
9. V. Bogdan and R. A. Oberle, *Topological rings of sets and the theory of vector measures.*, Dissert. Math., **154** (1978).
10. D. Candeloro and A. M. Sacchetti, *Su alcuni problemi relativi a misura scalari sub additive e applicazional caso dell'additivita finita.*, Atti. Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena, **27** (1978), 284-296.
11. S. Cobzas, *Hahn decompositions of finitely additive measures*, Arch. Math., **27** (1976), 620-621
12. J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, Jr., *Vector Measures*, AMS Math. Surveys, 15, Providence, 1977.
13. L. Drewnowski, *Equivalence of Brooks-Jewett, Vitali-Hahn-Saks, and Nikodym Theorems*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys., **20** (1972), 725-731.
14. L. Dubins and L. J. Savage, *Inequalities for Stochastic Processes. How to Gamble if You Must*, Dover, New York, 1976.
15. P. Halmos, *Measure Theory*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1950.
16. J. Kelley, *General Topology*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1955.
17. I. Labuda, *Sur quelques generalizations des theoremes de Nikodym et de Vitali-Hahn-Saks*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys., **20** (1972), 447-456.
18. D. Maharam, *Category, Boolean algebras and measure. General topology and its relation to modern analysis and algebra*, Springer, New York, (1977), 124-135.
19. H. Maynard, *A Radon-Nikodym theorem for finitely additive measures*, Pacific J. Math., **83** (1979), 401-413.
20. J. C. Oxtoby, *Spaces that admit a category measure*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **205** (1961), 156-170.
21. J. C. Oxtoby, *Cartesian products of Baire spaces*, Fund. Math., **49** (1961), 157-166.
22. ———, *Measure and Category*, Springer, New York, 1971.
23. D. Plachky, *Extremal and monogenic additive set functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **54** (1976), 193-196.
24. M. Bhaskara and K. P. S. Bhaskara Rao, *Existence of non-atomic charges*, J. Australian Math. Soc., **25** (1978), 1-6.
25. ———, *Topological properties of charge algebras*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., **22** (1977), 363-375.
26. G. L. Seever, *Measures on F-spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **133** (1968), 267-280.
27. Z. Semademi, *Banach Spaces of Continuous Functions I*, Polish Scientific, Warsaw, 1971.
28. R. Sikorski, *Boolean Algebras*, Springer, New York, 1964.
29. A. Sobczyk and P. C. Hammer, *A decomposition of additive set functions*, Duke Math. J., **11** (1944), 839-840.
30. ———, *The ranges of additive set functions*, Duke Math. J., (1944), 847-851.

Received December 9, 1980 and in revised form May 20, 1981.

NORTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY  
DEKALB, IL 60115