

SOME REMARKS ON ALGEBRAIC EQUIVALENCE OF CYCLES

GIUSEPPE CERESA AND ALBERTO COLLINO¹

Let $F \subseteq \mathbf{P}^4$ be a 3-fold with one ordinary double point p , and let F' be the proper transform of F under the blowing up of \mathbf{P}^4 at p . If $H \subseteq F'$ is the preimage of p on F' , we prove that for F general the algebraic 1-cycle given by the difference of the two generators of the smooth quadric surface H , is not algebraically equivalent to zero on F' . Griffiths has shown this cycle to be homologically equivalent to zero. Also, we show that on a general quintic 3-fold X there are no non-trivial algebraic equivalence relations between the lines of X .

One of the most remarkable results of Griffiths' paper on rational integrals [3] is the proof that homological equivalence does not imply algebraic equivalence for algebraic cycles. The argument is essentially based on two theorems, the so-called inversion theorem and the theorem of §14, stating properties of primitive cycles.

Our purpose here is to show that the inversion theorem alone implies, quite directly, that on a general threefold of degree 5 in \mathbf{P}^4 two lines are not algebraically equivalent, although they are homologically equivalent because of Lefschetz' theorem. Strengthening the inversion theorem a little bit we can also answer a natural question which may occur to a reader of [3] which we explain now. Let $F \subseteq \mathbf{P}^4$ be a threefold with exactly one singular point p , which is a node (ordinary double point) and let F' be the proper transform of F under the blowing up of \mathbf{P}^4 at the node. F' is non-singular and the inverse image of p is a smooth quadric surface H . If L, M , are two lines on H belonging to the two different rulings then L is homologically equivalent to M on F' , loc. cit. §15. The question is whether L and M are algebraically equivalent:

THEOREM. *If $\deg F \geq 5$ and F is general then L and M are not algebraically equivalent on F' .*

We thank H. Clemens for useful advice [1], which has allowed us to improve and simplify to a great extent a previous version of this paper.

¹Both authors are members of G.N.S.A.G.A. of C.N.R.

I. The inversion theorem in the nodal case.

(1.1) **THEOREM.** *If $X \subseteq \mathbf{P}^4$ is a generic threefold with one node, of degree $d \geq 5$ and if $b: X' \rightarrow X$ is the desingularization of X obtained by blowing up the node, then every 1-cycle algebraically equivalent to 0 is contained in the kernel of the Abel-Jacobi map of X' .*

We recall that on a non-singular threefold Y a class $\alpha \in H_3(Y, \mathbf{Z})$ is said to be of rank 2 if there is a surface W and an inclusion $g: W \rightarrow Y$ such that $\alpha = g_*(\beta)$, $\beta \in H_3(W, \mathbf{Z})$. Proposition 13.3 of [3] says that if there are no non-zero classes of rank 2 on Y then the Abel-Jacobi map sends to 0 every cycle which is algebraically equivalent to 0. To prove (1.1) it is enough to show

(1.2) If X is as in (1.1) then X' contains no non-zero classes of rank 2.

REMARK. We stress that generic means that the set of threefolds which have non-zero classes of rank 2 is contained in a countable union of proper analytic subvarieties of the family T of threefolds of degree d with one node. In particular this implies that if in a pencil of threefolds one element has no non-zero classes of rank 2 then at most a countable number of elements in the pencil have non-zero classes of rank 2.

Proof of (1.1). For simplicity we set $d = 5$. Let \mathbf{P}^N be the projective space parametrizing the hypersurfaces of degree 5 in \mathbf{P}^4 and let T be the subset of hypersurfaces with one node. Let $z_0 \in T$ represent X and let D_0 be its equation. Then:

(1.3) Locally at z_0 T is a non-singular hypersurface in \mathbf{P}^N . The tangent space to T at z_0 is the hyperplane in \mathbf{P}^N given by the lines through z_0 which correspond to pencils $D_0 + \lambda E$, where E is a polynomial of degree d which satisfies the adjoint condition, namely E passes through the node of D_0 .

The proof of this fact is elementary and we omit it.

Now, fix $\alpha \in H_3(X', \mathbf{Z})$ and suppose that there is a neighborhood U of z_0 in T containing a dense subset U^* with the property that for $z \in U^*$ the cycle α_z is of rank 2 on X'_z . By α_z , we mean the cycle class obtained by the following process. Choose a representative cycle for α on X which does not pass through the node, (such a representative exists by (15.9) of [3]),

and transport it to nearby X'_z by taking a solid tube over this representative and intersecting it with X'_z , see loc. cit. §3. If X'_z is sufficiently close to X , then the transported cycle does not meet the node on X'_z . The lifting of this cycle to X'_z is what we call α_z . Note that α_z of rank 2 implies that there exists a surface W_z and an inclusion map $g_z: W_z \rightarrow X'_z$ such that $\alpha_z = (g_z)_*(\beta_z)$. Following the notation of [3], set $\eta_z = \Omega/D_z$, where D_z is the equation of X'_z , and let $b_z: (\mathbf{P}^4)' \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^4$ be the blowing up of \mathbf{P}^4 at the node of X'_z . By loc. cit. §16, the residue $R(b_z^*\eta_z)$ induces a cohomology class in $H^{3,0}(X'_z)$. Then

$$(1.4) \quad \int_{\alpha_z} R(b_z^*\eta_z) = \int_{\beta_z} g_z^*R(b_z^*\eta_z) = 0$$

because on a surface every $(3, 0)$ form is 0.

Also, since the integral (1.4) is an analytic function of z vanishing on U^* , it is identically zero on U .

Let $D(\lambda) = \sum_{k \geq 0} D_k(x_0, \dots, x_4)\lambda^k$ be an analytic curve on T centered at D_0 , and suppose that for small $|\lambda|$ $D(\lambda)$ is the equation of a threefold X_λ with one single node so that the corresponding point $z(\lambda)$ is in U . By (1.3) the polynomial D_1 satisfies the adjoint condition, and conversely every polynomial which satisfies the adjoint condition can be given as D_1 in the power series expansion of some $D(\lambda)$.

The integral (1.4) is then a function of λ which is identically 0 because $z(\lambda) \in U$. Differentiating (1.4) at $\lambda = 0$ gives (see [3], pg. 508)

$$(1.5) \quad 0 = \int_{\alpha} R(b_o^*(-D_1\Omega/D_0^2)) \quad \text{where } Rb^*(D_1\Omega/D_0^2) \in F^2H^3(X').$$

Thus $\int_{\alpha}\omega = 0$ for every $\omega \in F^2H^3(X')$, because this vector space is generated by residues of type (1.5) (see loc. cit. §16). Since α is a real homology class, $0 = \int_{\alpha}\omega = \int_{\alpha}\bar{\omega}$, hence by Hodge's theorems $\alpha = 0$.

We have therefore proved that if $0 \neq \alpha \in H_3(X', \mathbf{Z})$ then there is a neighborhood U of z_0 in T such that the set of points $z \in U$ for which α_z is of rank 2 is a proper analytic subvariety of U . The statement in (1.2) follows by varying α in $H_3(X', \mathbf{Z})$.

II. The nodal case. Let $G = Q(x_0, \dots, x_3)x_4^{d-2} + K(x_0, \dots, x_3)$ be the homogeneous equation of a threefold V_0 in \mathbf{P}^4 of degree d . We assume that K and Q are the equations of two non-singular surfaces in \mathbf{P}^3 which intersect transversally along a curve C . Then V_0 is non-singular, but for the node at $p = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$. Blowing up V_0 at p yields V'_0 , and the linear projection from p induces a morphism $g: V'_0 \rightarrow B_C(\mathbf{P}^3)$, where $B_C(\mathbf{P}^3)$ is

the blowing up of \mathbf{P}^3 along C . The map g is a finite covering of degree $(d - 2)$, and the exceptional divisor H on V'_0 is mapped isomorphically onto Q' , the proper transform on $B_C(\mathbf{P}^3)$ of the quadric Q .

Since the Jacobian variety of C and the intermediate Jacobian of $B_C(\mathbf{P}^3)$ are isomorphic [2], the morphism of intermediate Jacobians $g_*: J(V'_0) \rightarrow J(B_C(\mathbf{P}^3))$ induces a morphism $g_*: J(V'_0) \rightarrow J(C)$. A straight computation gives

$$(2.1) \quad g_*(\varphi(L - M)) = -i^*(L - M) \quad \text{in } J(C),$$

where φ is the Abel-Jacobi map, $i: C \rightarrow Q$ is the inclusion, $i^*: \text{Pic}(Q) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(C) \simeq J(C)$, and L, M are lines representing the two different rulings of $Q \simeq Q' \simeq H$.

$$(2.2) \text{ LEMMA. } \varphi(L - M) \neq 0 \text{ in } J(V'_0).$$

Proof. It suffices to show that $i^*(L - M)$ is not trivial in $\text{Pic}(C)$. If it were, the first ruling on Q would cut on C a linear system which would not be complete, because $i^*(M)$ is not cut by the first ruling. On the other hand, it is easy to show that the first ruling cuts on C a complete linear system.

Now, let V be a generic threefold of degree d with one single node. Without restriction we may assume that the equation of V is $F(x_0, \dots, x_4) = Qx^{d-2} + \dots = 0$, i.e., V is singular at p and it has the same tangent cone as V_0 . Define a fourfold $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^4$ by the equation $sF + tG = 0$ and blow it up along $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \{p\}$ to obtain a family $\pi: \mathcal{V}' \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$, $\pi^{-1}((0, 1)) = V_0$. Note that the exceptional divisor on \mathcal{V}' is isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^1 \times H$, so that on $V'_s = \pi^{-1}((s, 1))$ the exceptional divisor is identified with H , the exceptional divisor on V'_0 .

Set $B = \{z \in \mathbf{P}^1: V'_z \text{ is non-singular}\}$, and let $\mathcal{J} \rightarrow B$ be the associated family of intermediate Jacobians.

Fixing L and M on H , the family of cycles $(\mathbf{P}^1 \times L - \mathbf{P}^1 \times M)$ gives a section $\sigma: B \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$, defined by means of the Abel-Jacobi maps, i.e., $\sigma(z) = \varphi_z(L - M) \in J(V'_z)$.

$$(2.3) \text{ LEMMA. } \sigma \text{ is not identically zero.}$$

Proof. By (2.2) $\sigma(0) \neq 0$ and σ is analytic.

By our choice of $V'_\infty = V'$ and the remark after (1.2), for generic $z \in B$ the kernel of the Abel-Jacobi map φ_z contains all the cycles which

are algebraically equivalent to zero on V'_z ; on the other hand, by the lemma $0 \neq \sigma(z) = \varphi_z(L - M)$, hence

(2.4) For generic z the lines L and M are not algebraically equivalent on V'_z .

III. Lines on a quintic threefold. We recall that on a generic non-singular threefold of degree 5 there are at least two lines which do not intersect [4]; we shall show that they are not algebraically equivalent. Since the method of the proof is the same as in the nodal case, we only construct the analogue of V'_0 and leave further details to the reader.

Our purpose is to produce a smooth quintic threefold W containing two lines, l_a and l_b , which do not intersect and such that the cycle $(l_a - l_b)$ does not belong to the kernel of the Abel-Jacobi map φ . For this we consider the threefold W defined by the equation $x_0x_4^4 + K(x_0, \dots, x_3) = 0$, where: (i) K is a non-singular surface in \mathbf{P}^3 of degree 5, (ii) K contains two lines l_a^* and l_b^* which do not intersect and do not lie on the plane $H: \{x_0 = 0\}$, (iii) in \mathbf{P}^3 , H and K intersect transversally along a curve C . Then, on W , the lines l_a and l_b are the lines l_a^* , l_b^* contained in the hyperplane section $x_4 = 0 = K$.

Blowing up W at $p = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$ gives W' , and we have $J(W) \simeq J(W')$ and $\varphi(l_a - l_b) = \varphi(l'_a - l'_b)$, where l' denotes the proper transform of l on W' .

As in (2.1) we get a morphism $g_*: J(W') \rightarrow J(C)$ and $g_*(\varphi(l_a - l_b)) = -\text{class}(z_a - z_b)$, where $z_a, z_b \in C$ are the points of intersections of l_a and l_b with H .

(3.1) LEMMA. $\varphi(l_a - l_b) \neq 0$.

Proof. It suffices to show that on C z_a and z_b are not linearly equivalent. This is true since C is a plane curve of degree > 3 , hence not hyperelliptic.

Arguing as in the nodal case one has

(3.2) Two lines on a general quintic threefold are not algebraically equivalent.

Also we thank the referee for suggesting to us this more general statement.

(3.3) If X is a general quintic threefold, l_1, \dots, l_{2875} the lines on X , then no linear combination

$$\sum a_i l_i$$

of the l_i is algebraically equivalent to zero.

The reason is that if we have a relation $\sum a_i l_i \sim 0$, it would follow that $\sum a_i l_{\sigma(i)} \sim 0$ for any σ in the monodromy group M of the 2875 lines. Since $M = S_{2875}$, then, any relation at all would imply that $l_i \sim l_j$ for all i, j .

REFERENCES

1. C. H. Clemens, *Applications of mixed Hodge theory to the study of threefolds*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politecn. Torino, **39**, 1 (1981).
2. C. H. Clemens and P. Griffiths, *The intermediate Jacobian of the cubic threefold*, Ann. of Math., **95** (1972), 281–356.
3. P. Griffiths, *On the period of certain rational integrals*, I and II, Ann. of Math., **90** (1969), 460–541.
4. J. Harris, *Galois groups of enumerative problems*, Duke Math. J., **46** (1979), 685–724.

Received September 25, 1981.

UNIVERSITA DI TORINO
VIA P. AMEDEO 8
10123 TORINO, ITALY