ISOMORPHISMS MODULO THE COMPACT OPERATORS OF NEST ALGEBRAS

CONSTANTIN APOSTOL AND FRANK GILFEATHER

Let \mathscr{A} and \mathscr{B} be nest algebras of operators on a Hilbert space with finite-rank nest projections $\mathscr{N}_{\mathscr{A}} = \{P^{(n)}\}$ and $\mathscr{N}_{\mathscr{B}} = \{Q^{(n)}\}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, respectively. Let $P_n = P^{(n+1)} - P^{(n)}$ and $Q_n = Q^{(n+1)} - Q^{(n)}$ be the block diagonal projections for the two nests. \mathscr{A} and \mathscr{B} are thus the upper triangular matrices with respect to the decompositions determined by $\{P_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{Q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ respectively. It is easy to see that \mathscr{A} is isomorphic to \mathscr{B} if and only if rank $P_n = \operatorname{rank} Q_n$ for all n. J. Plastiras has shown that the quasitriangular algebra $\mathscr{A} + K(H)$, that is \mathscr{A} plus the compact operators, is isomorphic to $\mathscr{B} + K(H)$ if and only if there exist integers n_0 and m_0 so that rank $P^{(n_0+n)} = \operatorname{rank} Q^{(m_0+n)}$ for all n. Using different techniques this paper shows that the image of \mathscr{A} in the Calkin algebra $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}}$ is isomorphic to $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$ if and only if there exist integers n_0 and m_0 so that rank $P_{n_0+n} = \operatorname{rank} Q_{m_0+n}$ for all n.

Nest algebras were introduced by J. Ringrose as generalizations of reflexive triangular operator algebras [6]. Recently there has been a spate of important and deep developements in the theory of nest and the related quasitriangular operator algebras. A discussion of these recent results can be found in W. Arveson's CBMS conference lecture notes [1] while earlier and related work is aptly described in a survey by J. Erdos [2]. We note that for nest algebras one has that $\mathscr{A} + K(H)$ is norm closed and $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}} = [A + K(H)]/K(H) = \mathscr{A}/[\mathscr{A} \cap K(H)]$ so that our results concern as well the isomorphism classes of certain quasitriangular algebras modulo the compact operators [3].

We illustrate the obvious different results concerning the isomorphisms of these different algebras with some simple examples. Let $\mathscr{A}(n_1, n_2, ...)$ represent the nest algebra with block diagonal projections having ranks $\{n_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Clearly $\mathscr{A}(2, 1, 1, ...)$ is not isomorphic to $\mathscr{A}(1, 2, 1, 1, ...)$ however the corresponding quasitriangular algebras are isomorphic and hence are isomorphic modulo the compact operators. Moreover Plastiras' result [4] shows $\mathscr{A}(1, 2, 2, ...) + K(H)$ is not isomorphic to $\mathscr{A}(2, 2, ...) + K(H)$, while the result in this paper shows $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}}(1, 2, 2, ...)$ is isomorphic to $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}}(2, 2, ...)$. Finally our result shows that $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}}(1, 2, 1, 2, ...)$ is not isomorphic to $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}}(1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2, ...)$. This result can be restated in terms of the nest projections as: The algebras $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}$ are

isomorphic if and only if there exists integers n_0 , m_0 , k_0 so that the nest projections satisfy rank $P^{(n_0+n)} = k_0 + \operatorname{rank} Q^{(m_0+n)}$ for all n. For Pastiras' result about quasitriangular algebras one has $k_0 = 0$.

We take this opportunity to express our gratitude to W. Arveson, K. Davidson and D. Larson for discussions on the subject. The second author also wishes to acknowledge partial support from the SERC (British) and the National Bureau of Standards and both authors acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation.

1. **Preliminaries.** Throughout this paper H will denote a complex infinite dimensional, separable Hilbert space, L(H) will denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators acting in H and K(H) the ideal in L(H) of compact operators. For every $T \in L(H)$, the symbol \tilde{T} will denote the image of T in the Calkin algebra L(H)/K(H) and for every $M \in L(H)$ we shall put $\tilde{M} = \{\tilde{T}: T \in M\}$.

Let \mathscr{A} , \mathscr{B} be nest algebras of operators in H, with the finite-rank nest projections $\{P^{(n)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{Q^{(n)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Correspondingly we consider the block-diagonal projections $\{P_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{Q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, defined by

$$\begin{split} P_1 &= P^{(1)}, \quad Q_1 = Q^{(1)}, \quad P_{n+1} = P^{(n+1)} - P^{(n)}, \\ Q_{n+1} &= Q^{(n+1)} - Q^{(n)}, \qquad n \in \mathbf{N}. \end{split}$$

For each $k \ge 0$ define the operators

$$D_k: L(H) \to L(H), \qquad \Delta_k: L(H) \to L(H)$$

by the equations

$$D_kT = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P_nTP_{n+k}, \quad \Delta_kT = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Q_nTQ_{n+k}, \qquad T \in L(H).$$

It is plain that both D_k and Δ_k are norm-one projections that leave invariant K(H). The corresponding quotient operators \tilde{D}_k , $\tilde{\Delta}_k$ are normone projections, too. Observe that we have $D_k \mathscr{A} \subset \mathscr{A}$ and $\Delta_k \mathscr{B} \subset \mathscr{B}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ put

$$\mathscr{A}_{(n)} = \{ A \in \mathscr{A} \colon D_k \mathscr{A} = 0, 0 \le k < n \}.$$

The core of the nest algebra \mathscr{A} denoted by \mathscr{A}_0 , is the commutant of $\mathscr{A} \cap \mathscr{A}^*$. Clearly \mathscr{A}_0 is an abelian von Neumann algebra and we define

$$\mathscr{A}_{(0)} = \mathscr{A}_0 + \mathscr{A}_{(1)}.$$

Then $\mathscr{A}_{(0)}$ is a closed subalgebra in \mathscr{A} and $\mathscr{A}_{(n)}$, for $n \ge 1$, is an ideal in both \mathscr{A} and $\mathscr{A}_{(0)}$. Since $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}$ is norm-closed and we have $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(n)} = \tilde{\mathscr{A}} \cap (\bigcap_{k=0}^{n-1} \ker \tilde{D}_k), n \in \mathbb{N}$, we deduce that $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(n)}$ $(n \ge 1)$ is closed. The algebra

 $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}$ is the direct topological sum $\tilde{\mathscr{A}} = (\tilde{D}_0 \tilde{\mathscr{A}}) + \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(1)}$ and an elementary argument shows that $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}_0$ is closed and in particular $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(0)} = \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_0 + \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(1)}$ is closed. We shall use analogous notation involving \mathscr{B} .

The first two lemmas below express well-known basic facts in the theory of nest algebras. We sketch their proofs for the sake of completeness. The proof of the first we learned from W. Arveson and the second lemma is due to D. Larson.

1.1. LEMMA. Let $\mathcal{M} = \{T \in L(H): (1 - P^{(n)})TQ^{(n)} = 0, n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$ Then we have

$$\mathcal{M} + K(H) = \left\{ T \in L(H) \colon \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| (1 - P^{(n)}) T Q^{(n)} \right\| = 0 \right\}$$

and consequently \mathcal{M} is closed.

Proof. Let \mathscr{A}_1 be the nest algebra in $L(H \oplus H)$ determined by the nest $\{P^{(n)} \oplus Q^{(n)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. It is easy to see that we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathscr{A}_1 + K(H \oplus H) \quad \text{iff} \quad \overline{\lim_{n \to \infty}} \| (1 - P^{(n)}) T Q^{(n)} \| = 0.$$

Hence if $\overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} ||(1 - P^{(n)})TQ^{(n)}|| = 0$ we, can find $K_{i,j} \in K(H)$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} K_{1,1} & T+K_{1,2} \\ K_{2,1} & K_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathscr{A}_1.$$

Since $\{P^{(n)} \oplus Q^{(n)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are invariant projections for \mathscr{A}_1 we easily deduce that $T + K_{1,2} \in \mathscr{M}$ and in particular

$$\mathscr{M} + K(H) \supset \Big\{ T \in L(H) \colon \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| (1 - P^{(n)}) T Q^{(n)} \right\| = 0 \Big\}.$$

The opposite inclusion is obvious.

1.2. LEMMA. Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a finite lattice of commuting projections. Then there exists $A \in \mathcal{A}$, invertible in \mathcal{A} such that $A^{-1}PA$ is self-adjoint for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$.

Proof. Let $T \in L(H)$ be any invertible operator such that $T^{-1}PT$, $P \in \mathscr{P}$ is self-adjoint. If we put $\mathscr{C} = T^{-1}\mathscr{A}T$, then by the nature of our nest we can find a unitary operator $U \in L(H)$ such that $\mathscr{A} = U^* \mathscr{C}U$. Since obviously A = TU and A^{-1} belong to \mathscr{A} and $A^{-1}PA \in \mathscr{P}$ is self-adjoint, the proof is concluded.

1.3. LEMMA. Let $\tilde{\mathscr{P}} \subset \tilde{\mathscr{A}}$ be a finite lattice of commuting idempotents. Then there exists or finite lattice of commuting projections $\mathscr{P}_0 \subset \mathscr{A}$ such that the map

$$P \to \tilde{P} \in \tilde{\mathscr{P}}, \qquad P \in \mathscr{P}_0$$

is a lattice isomorphism. Moreover, if $\tilde{\mathscr{P}} \subset \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(0)}$ we may suppose $\mathscr{P}_0 \subset \mathscr{A}_{(0)}$.

Proof. We can choose $\{\tilde{A}_n\}_{n=1}^k \subset \tilde{\mathscr{P}}$ such that $\tilde{A}_n \tilde{A}_m = 0$, $n \neq m$ and $\{\tilde{A}_n\}_{n=1}^k$ generates $\tilde{\mathscr{P}}$. Pick $A'_n \in \mathscr{A}$ (or $A'_n \in \mathscr{A}_{(0)}$) such that $\tilde{A}'_n = \tilde{A}_n$. Since $\sigma(\tilde{A}'_1) \subset \{0,1\}$, $\sigma(A'_1)$ can accumulate only at 0 or 1. Let $\Gamma \subset \rho(A'_1)$ (the resolvent set of A'_1) be a simple admissible contour surrounding 1 and leaving 0 in its exterior. Because $\rho(A'_1)$ is connected we have $(\lambda - A'_1)^{-1} \in \mathscr{A}$ (or $\in \mathscr{A}_{(0)}$) for $\lambda \in \rho(A'_1)$, thus if we put

$$P_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \left(\lambda - A_1' \right)^{-1} d\lambda,$$

we have $P_1 = P_1^2 \in \mathscr{A}$ (or $\in \mathscr{A}_{(0)}$) and $\tilde{P}_1 = \tilde{A}_1$. Further we may suppose $P_1A'_k = A'_kP_1 = 0, 2 \le k \le n$ (after possibly a compact perturbation) and then produce P_2 as before. In a finite number of steps we can produce $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^k \subset \mathscr{A}$ (or $\mathscr{A}_{(0)}$) such that \mathscr{P}_0 , the lattice generated by $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^k$ has the desired properties.

1.4. LEMMA. Let
$$\tilde{A} = \tilde{A}^2 \in \tilde{\mathscr{A}}$$
. Then $\tilde{D}_0 \tilde{A} = 0$ iff $\tilde{A} = 0$.

Proof. Using Lemma 1.3 we can find $P = P^2 \in \mathscr{A}$ such that $\tilde{P} = \tilde{A}$. By Lemma 1.2, we also can find $A_0 \in \mathscr{A}$, invertible in \mathscr{A} , such that $A_0^{-1}PA_0$ is selfadjoint. Since we obviously have

$$A_0^{-1}PA_0 = D_0(A_0^{-1}PA_0) = (D_0A_0^{-1})(D_0P)(D_0A_0)$$

the equivalence in the statement follows.

1.5. LEMMA. Let $f, g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be strictly monotone functions and let $T \in L(H), \epsilon > 0$. Then there exists an infinite set $\sigma \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$K = \sum_{n \in \sigma} P_{f(n)} T Q_{g(n)} - \left(\sum_{n \in \sigma} P_{f(n)}\right) T \left(\sum_{n \in \sigma} Q_{g(n)}\right) \in K(H), \qquad ||K|| < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. We shall determine by induction a sequence $\{r_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$r_1 = 1, \quad \left\| P_{f(r_k)} T Q_{g(r_m)} \right\| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+m}}, \qquad k \neq m$$

Observe that if $\{r_k\}_{k=1}^m$ is determined we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left\| P_{f(n)} T Q_{g(n_k)} \right\| = \lim_{n\to\infty} \left\| P_{f(r_k)} T Q_{g(n)} \right\| = 0,$$

thus we can choose r_{m+1} , large enough. If we put $\sigma = \{r_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, then

$$\|K\| \leq \sum_{k \neq m} \left\| P_{f(r_k)} T Q_{g(r_m)} \right\| \leq \varepsilon \sum_{k \neq m} 2^{-(k+m)} < \varepsilon$$

and because $P_{f(r_k)}TP_{g(r_m)}$ is finite-rank, k is thus compact.

2. Isomorphisms. In the sequel α will denote a fixed isomorphism $\alpha: \tilde{\mathscr{A}} \to \tilde{\mathscr{B}}.$

Let \mathcal{N} denote the complemented lattice of all subsets in N and put

$$\mathcal{N}_0 = \{ \sigma \in N \colon \sigma \text{ is finite} \}.$$

We define an equivalence relation in \mathcal{N} by

$$\sigma \simeq \delta$$
 iff $(\sigma \setminus \delta) \cup (\delta \setminus \sigma) \in \mathscr{N}_0$.

The resulting set of equivalence classes in \mathcal{N} will be denoted by $\hat{\mathcal{N}}$, i.e. if $\sigma \in \mathbf{N}$ we put

$$\hat{\sigma} = \{ \delta \in \mathcal{N} \colon \delta \simeq \sigma \}.$$

For every $\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_0$ we have $\hat{\sigma} = \hat{\phi}$ where ϕ is the empty set. For every σ , $\delta \in \mathcal{N}$, the lattice operations

$$\hat{\sigma} \cup \hat{\delta} = \widehat{\sigma \cup \delta}$$
 and $\hat{\sigma} \cap \hat{\delta} = \widehat{\sigma \cap \delta}$

are well defined and thus $\hat{\mathcal{N}}$ becomes a complemented lattice.

Let $\tau: \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}$ be the set-translation map defined for $\sigma \in \mathcal{N}$ by

$$\tau(\sigma) = \{n+1: n \in \sigma\}.$$

We also define $\tau^* \colon \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}$ by

$$\tau^*(\sigma) = \{n: n+1 \in \sigma\}, \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{N}.$$

The map τ^* is not injective because $\tau^*(\emptyset) = \tau^*(\{1\}) = \emptyset$. However it clearly follows that $\tau^*\tau(\sigma) = \sigma$ for $\sigma \in \mathcal{N}$ and $\tau\tau^*(\sigma) = \sigma$, $\sigma \in \tau(\mathcal{N})$.

Defining $\hat{\tau}: \hat{\mathcal{N}} \to \hat{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\hat{\tau}^*: \hat{\mathcal{N}} \to \hat{\mathcal{N}}$ by

$$\hat{\tau}(\hat{\sigma}) = \widehat{\tau(\sigma)}$$
 and $\hat{\tau}^*(\hat{\sigma}) = \widehat{\tau^*(\sigma)}$

we have $\hat{\tau}\hat{\tau}^*(\hat{\sigma}) = \hat{\tau}^*\hat{\tau}(\hat{\sigma}) = \hat{\sigma}$ and thus $\hat{\tau}$ is invertible and $\hat{\tau}^{-1} = \hat{\tau}^*$. Let $P = P^2 \in \mathscr{A}$ and put

$$\operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{A}}(P) = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \colon P_n P P_n \neq 0 \}$$

By Lemma 1.2 we know that $P_n PP_n$ is a projection, thus if $P' = P'^2 \in A$ and $P - P' \in K(H)$, we have $D_0(P - P') \in K(H)$ and this obviously implies $\operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{A}}(P) \simeq \operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{A}}(P')$.

ε

Let $\tilde{A} = \tilde{A}^2 \in \tilde{\mathscr{A}}$. The above observation allows us to define $\operatorname{supp}_{\mathcal{A}}(\tilde{A}) \in \hat{\mathscr{N}}$ by

$$\operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{A}}(\tilde{A}) = \operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{A}}(P),$$

where $P \in \mathscr{A}$ and $\tilde{P} = \tilde{\mathscr{A}}$ is produced by Lemma 1.3. For each $\sigma \in \mathscr{N}$ we define

$$P_{\sigma} = \sum_{n \in \sigma} P_n$$
 if $\sigma \neq \emptyset$ and $P_{\emptyset} = 0$.

Because $\alpha(\tilde{P}_{\sigma})$ is an idempotent in $\tilde{\mathscr{B}}$ we can define $\hat{\alpha}: \hat{\mathscr{N}} \to \hat{\mathscr{N}}$, by

$$\hat{\alpha}(\hat{\sigma}) = \operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{B}}(\alpha(\tilde{P}_{\sigma})).$$

Our main objective in this section will be to obtain some basic properties of the isomorphism α and of the map $\hat{\alpha}$. However, we shall need as an auxiliary tool a result on isomorphisms between $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(0)}$ and $\tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{(0)}$.

2.1. LEMMA. For every isomorphism $\psi: \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(0)} \to \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{(0)}$, the compression $\tilde{\Delta}_0 \psi; \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_0 \to \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_0$

is an isomorphism, moreover

$$\left(\tilde{\Delta}_0 \psi | \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_0 \right)^{-1} = \tilde{D}_0 \psi^{-1} | \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_0 \quad and \quad \psi \left(\tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(1)} \right) = \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{(1)}.$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{A}_0 \in \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_0$ be such that $\tilde{\Delta}_0 \psi(\tilde{A}_0) = 0$ and let $P = P^2 \in \mathscr{A}_0$ be such that $\tilde{A}_0^* \tilde{A}_0 \tilde{P} = \|\tilde{A}_0\|^2 \tilde{P}$, $\tilde{P} \neq 0$. Since obviously $\tilde{\Delta}_0 \psi | \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_0$ is an homomorphism, we have

$$\|\tilde{A}_0\|^2 \tilde{\Delta}_0 \psi(\tilde{P}) = \tilde{\Delta}_0 \psi(\tilde{A}_0^* \tilde{A}_0 \tilde{P}) = (\tilde{\Delta}_0 \psi(\tilde{A}_0^*))(\tilde{\Delta}_0 \psi(\tilde{A}_0))(\tilde{\Delta}_0 \psi(\tilde{P})) = 0$$

and by Lemma 1.4, $\tilde{\Delta}_0 \psi(\tilde{P}) \neq 0$, thus we derive $\tilde{A}_0 = 0$. This shows that $\tilde{\Delta}_0 \psi | \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_0$ and $\tilde{D}_0 \psi^{-1} | \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_0$ are injective. Now let $A_1 \in \mathscr{A}_{(1)}$ and $B_1 \in \psi(\tilde{A}_1)$. If $\tilde{B}_1 \notin \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{(1)}$ we can find $\gamma > 0$ and a strictly monotone function f: $\mathbf{N} \to \mathbf{N}$ such that $||Q_{f(n)}B_1Q_{f(n)}|| \geq \gamma$, for all $n \in N$. Applying Lemma 1.5 we can find $\sigma \in \mathscr{N} \setminus \mathscr{N}_0$ such that

$$Q_{f(\sigma)}B_1Q_{f(\sigma)} - \Delta_0(Q_{f(\sigma)}B_1Q_{f(\sigma)}) \in K(H), \qquad Q_{f(\sigma)}B_1Q_{f(\sigma)} \notin K(H).$$

Since $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(1)}$ is an ideal in $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(0)}$, we have

$$\psi^{-1}\big(\tilde{Q}_{f(\sigma)}\tilde{B}_{1}\tilde{Q}_{f(\sigma)}\big)=\psi^{-1}\big(\tilde{Q}_{f(\sigma)}\big)\tilde{A}_{1}\psi^{-1}\big(\tilde{Q}_{f(\sigma)}\big)\in\tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(1)},$$

and thus $\tilde{D}_0 \psi^{-1}(\tilde{Q}_{f(\sigma)}\tilde{B}_1\tilde{Q}_{f(\sigma)}) = 0$, contradicting the injectivity of $\tilde{D}_0 \psi^{-1} | \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_0$. The conclusion is that we have $\psi(\tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(1)}) \subset \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{(1)}$ and by symmetry $\psi^{-1}(\tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{(1)}) \subset \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(1)}$. Finally using the relation $\psi(\tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(1)}) = \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{(1)}$, we infer

268

that

$$(\tilde{D}_0\psi^{-1})(\tilde{\Delta}_0\psi(\tilde{A}_0)) = (\tilde{D}_0\psi^{-1})(\psi(\tilde{A}_0)) = \tilde{A}_0, \text{ whenever } \tilde{A}_0 \in \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_0$$

and

$$(\tilde{\Delta}_0 \psi) \big(\tilde{D}_0 \psi^{-1} (\tilde{B}_0) \big) = (\Delta_0 \psi) \big(\psi^{-1} (\tilde{B}_0) \big) = \tilde{B}_0 \quad \text{whenever } \tilde{B}_0 \in \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_0.$$

2.2. THEOREM. The compression $\tilde{\Delta}_0 \alpha$: $\tilde{D}_0 \tilde{\mathscr{A}} \to \tilde{\Delta}_0 \tilde{\mathscr{B}}$ is an isomorphism, moreover

$$\left(\tilde{\Delta}_{0}\alpha|\tilde{D}_{0}\tilde{\mathscr{A}}\right)^{-1}=\tilde{D}_{0}\alpha^{-1}|\tilde{\Delta}_{0}\tilde{\mathscr{B}},\,\alpha(\tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(1)})=\tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{(1)}\quad and\quad \alpha(\tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(0)})=\tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{(0)}.$$

Proof. The properties of $\tilde{\Delta}_0 \alpha | \tilde{D}_0 \tilde{\mathscr{A}}$ and the relation $\alpha(\tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(1)}) = \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{(1)}$, can be derived as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, choosing $P \in D_0 \mathscr{A}$ (in place of $P \in \mathscr{A}_0$). Let $A \in \mathscr{A}_{(0)}$ and $B \in \alpha(\tilde{A})$. For every $\tilde{T} \in \tilde{\mathscr{A}}$ we have

 $\tilde{A}\tilde{T} - \tilde{T}\tilde{A} \in \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(1)}$ and $\tilde{B}\alpha(\tilde{T}) - \alpha(\tilde{T})\tilde{B} = \alpha(\tilde{A}\tilde{T} - \tilde{T}\tilde{A}) \in \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{(1)}$, consequently for $\tilde{S} \in \tilde{\mathscr{B}}$

$$ilde{B} ilde{S} - ilde{S} ilde{B} \in ilde{\mathscr{B}}_{(1)} \quad ext{and} \quad (ilde{\Delta}_0 ilde{B}) (ilde{\Delta}_0 ilde{S}) - (ilde{\Delta}_0 ilde{S}) (\Delta_0 ilde{B}) = 0.$$

In particular $\tilde{\Delta}_0 \tilde{B}$ commutes with every $\tilde{B}_0 \in \tilde{\Delta}_0 \tilde{\mathscr{B}}$ and this implies $\tilde{\Delta}_0 \tilde{B} \in \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_0$, or equivalently $\tilde{B} \in \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{(0)}$. Thus we have $\alpha(\tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(0)}) \subset \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{(0)}$ and by symmetry $\alpha^{-1}(\tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{(0)}) \subset \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(0)}$.

2.3. LEMMA. Let $P = P^2 \in \mathscr{A}$ be such that rank $P_n P P_n = n_0$ for $n \in \operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{A}}(P)$. Then there exists $Q = Q^2 \in \mathscr{B}$ such that

$$\tilde{Q} = \alpha(\tilde{P}), \quad \operatorname{rank} Q_n Q Q_n = n_0 \quad \text{for } n \in \operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{B}}(Q).$$

Proof. Let $Q' = Q'^2 \in \mathscr{B}$ be produced by Lemma 1.3, such that $\tilde{Q}' = \alpha(\tilde{P})$ and put

$$\sigma = \left\{ n \in \operatorname{supp}_B(Q') : \operatorname{rank} Q_n Q' Q_n > n_0 \right\}$$

and

$$\delta = \left\{ n \in \operatorname{supp}_B(Q') : \operatorname{rank} Q_n Q' Q_n < n_0 \right\}.$$

If $\sigma \notin \mathcal{N}_0$ we can find $T \in \Delta_0 \mathscr{B}$ such that

 $\tilde{T}^{n_0+1}=0, \quad \tilde{T}^{n_0}\neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\Delta_0 Q'\right)T=T\left(\Delta_0 Q'\right)=T.$

Applying Theorem 2.2 we deduce that $\tilde{D}_0 \alpha^{-1}(\tilde{T})$ is nilpotent with

$$(\tilde{D}_0 \alpha^{-1}(\tilde{T}))^{n_0} \neq 0$$
 and
 $(\tilde{D}_0 \tilde{P})(\tilde{D}_0 \alpha^{-1}(\tilde{T})) = (\tilde{D}_0 \alpha^{-1}(\tilde{T}))(\tilde{D}_0 \tilde{P}) = \tilde{D}_0 \alpha^{-1}(\tilde{T}).$

Since $D_0 P = \sum_{n \in \Lambda} P'_n$ where $\Lambda = \operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{A}}(P)$ and rank $P'_n = n_0$ if we choose $S \in \tilde{D}_0 \alpha^{-1}(\tilde{T})$ we have

$$S - S_0 \in K(H)$$
 and $S_0^{n_0} \notin K(H)$, where $S_0 = \sum_{n \in \Lambda} P'_n S P'_n$

But this is a contradiction because S_0 is similar to an orthogonal direct sum of operators acting in spaces whose dimensions do not exceed n_0 and such essential quasinilpotents are nilpotents of order at most n_0 . The conclusion is that we have $\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_0$ and analogously $\delta \in \mathcal{N}_0$. Now putting $B = Q' - \sum_{n \in \sigma \cup \delta} Q_n Q' Q_n$ we can define

$$Q = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \left(\lambda - B\right)^{-1} d\lambda$$

as in Lemma 1.3.

2.4. LEMMA. Let $P, P' \in \mathcal{A}$ and $Q, Q' \in \mathcal{B}$ be idempotents such that $\operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{A}}(\tilde{P}) = \operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{A}}(\tilde{P}')$ and $\tilde{Q} = \alpha(\tilde{P})$, $\tilde{Q}' = \alpha(\tilde{P}')$. Then we have $\operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{B}}(\tilde{Q}) = \operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{B}}(\tilde{Q}')$.

Proof. Put $\sigma = \operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{B}}(Q)$, $\sigma' = \operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{B}}(Q')$. Using Theorem 2.2 we derive $\tilde{D}_0 \alpha^{-1}(\tilde{Q}_{\sigma})$ and $\tilde{D}_0 \alpha^{-1}(\tilde{Q}_{\sigma'}) \in \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_0$ and in addition $(\tilde{D}_0 \tilde{P})(\tilde{D}_0 \alpha^{-1}(\tilde{Q}_{\sigma})) = \tilde{D}_0 \tilde{P}$ and $(\tilde{D}_0 \tilde{P}')(\tilde{D}_0 \alpha^{-1}(\tilde{Q}_{\sigma'})) = \tilde{D}_0 \tilde{P}'$. Since $\tilde{D}_0 \alpha^{-1}(\tilde{Q}_{\sigma}) = \tilde{P}_{\delta}$ and $\tilde{D}_0 \alpha^{-1}(\tilde{Q}_{\sigma'}) = \tilde{P}_{\delta'}$ for some δ and $\delta' \in \mathcal{N}$ we derive $(\tilde{D}_0 \tilde{P}) \tilde{P}_{\delta \cap \delta'} = \tilde{D}_0 \tilde{P}'$, $(\tilde{\Delta}_0 \tilde{Q}) \tilde{Q}_{\sigma \cap \sigma'} = \tilde{\Delta}_0 \tilde{Q}$, and $(\tilde{\Delta}_0 \tilde{Q}') \tilde{Q}_{\sigma \cap \sigma'} = \Delta_0 \tilde{Q}'$. Consequently we must have $\hat{\sigma} \cap \hat{\sigma}' \supset \hat{\sigma}$ and $\hat{\sigma} \cap \hat{\sigma}' \supset \hat{\sigma}$ is to it follows that $\hat{\sigma} = \hat{\sigma}'$.

2.5. PROPOSITION. $\hat{\alpha}$ is a lattice isomorphism.

Proof. Let σ and $\delta \in \mathcal{N}$. Since $P_{\sigma \cap \delta} = P_{\sigma}P_{\delta}$ and $\alpha(\tilde{P}_{\sigma \cap \delta}) = \alpha(\tilde{P}_{\sigma})\alpha(\tilde{P}_{\delta})$, choosing $\sigma' \in \hat{\alpha}(\hat{\sigma})$ and $\delta' \in \hat{\alpha}(\hat{\delta})$ and applying Theorem 2.2 we have $\tilde{Q}_{\sigma'} = \tilde{\Delta}_0 \alpha(\tilde{P}_{\sigma})$, $\tilde{Q}_{\delta'} = \tilde{\Delta}_0 \alpha(\tilde{P}_{\delta})$ and $\tilde{\Delta}_0 \alpha(\tilde{P}_{\sigma \cap \delta}) = \tilde{Q}_{\sigma'}\tilde{Q}_{\delta'} = \tilde{Q}_{\sigma \cap \delta'}$. This implies

 $\hat{\alpha}(\hat{\sigma} \cap \hat{\delta}) = \operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{B}}(\alpha(\tilde{P}_{\sigma \cap \delta})) = \hat{\sigma}' \cap \hat{\delta}' = \hat{\alpha}(\hat{\sigma}) \cap \alpha(\hat{\delta})$ and analogously $\hat{\alpha}(\hat{\sigma} \cup \hat{\delta}) = \hat{\alpha}(\hat{\sigma}) \cup \hat{\alpha}(\hat{\delta}), \ \hat{\alpha}(\hat{\varnothing}) = \hat{\varnothing}$ and $\hat{\alpha}(\hat{\mathbf{N}}) = \hat{\mathbf{N}}.$

Isomorphism questions concerning the von Neumann algebras $D_0 \mathscr{A}$ and $\Delta_0 \mathscr{B}$ naturally arise. Clearly $D_0 \mathscr{A}$ is isomorphic to $\Delta_0 \mathscr{B}$ if and only if there exists a bijection $\tau_0: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ so that rank $P_n = \operatorname{rank} Q_{\tau(n)}$ for all n. In [5] J. Plastiras has shown that in fact $D_0 \mathscr{A} + K(H)$ is isomorphic to $\Delta_0 \mathscr{B} + K(H)$ if and only if there exists finite subsets \mathbb{N}_0 and \mathbb{N}_1 of \mathbb{N} and a bijection $\tau_0: \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}_1$ such that rank $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} P_n =$ rank $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_1} Q_n$ and, for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}_0$, rank $P_n = Q_{\tau(n)}$. The results in this section give certain preliminary results concerning isomorphisms of $\tilde{D}_0 \mathscr{A}$. A corollary of the proof of Lemma 2.3 yields such a result whenever $\{\operatorname{rank} P_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. Whether this result is true in general appears to be an open question.

2.6. PROPOSITION. Let $\{\operatorname{rank} P_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be bounded. Then $\tilde{D}_0 \tilde{\mathscr{A}}$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{\Delta}_0 \tilde{\mathscr{B}}$ if and only if there exists finite subsets \mathbb{N}_0 and \mathbb{N}_1 of \mathbb{N} and a bijection $\tau_0: \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}_0 \to \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}_1$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}_1$, rank $P_n = \operatorname{rank} Q_{\tau(n)}$.

3. Restriction isomorphisms. Throughout this section ω , $\gamma \in N \setminus N_0$ will be fixed sets such that $\hat{\gamma} = \hat{\alpha}(\hat{\omega})$. The sequential representations

$$\omega = \{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad a_n < a_{n+1}; \qquad \gamma = \{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad \alpha_n < \alpha_{n+1},$$

are unique. If we put

$$P^{(\omega,n)} = P^{(a_n)}, \quad P_1^{\omega} = P_{[1,a_1]}, \quad P_{n+1}^{\omega} = P^{(a_{n+1})} - P^{(a_n)}$$

then the nest $\mathscr{N}_{A}^{\omega} = \{P^{(\omega,n)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ determines the nest algebra \mathscr{A}^{ω} . We shall define $D_{k}^{\omega}, \tilde{D}_{k}^{\omega}, \mathscr{A}_{0}^{\omega}, \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{0}^{\omega}, \mathscr{A}_{(k)}^{\omega}, \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(k)}^{\omega}, k \geq 0$ as in §1. It is easy to see that $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}^{\omega}$ depends on $\hat{\omega}$ only and we have for $k \geq 0$

 $\mathscr{A}^{\,\omega}\supset\mathscr{A}, \quad D_k^{\,\omega}\mathscr{A}\subset\mathscr{A}, \quad \mathscr{A}_0^{\,\omega}\subset\mathscr{A}_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathscr{A}_{(k)}^{\,\omega}\subset\mathscr{A}_{(k)}.$

For every $n \ge 1$, $\mathscr{A}^{\omega}_{(n)}$ is an ideal in \mathscr{A} as well as in \mathscr{A}^{ω} . Making analogous definitions for \mathscr{B} and γ we want to prove the relation $\alpha(\tilde{\mathscr{A}}^{\omega}_{(k)}) = \tilde{\mathscr{B}}^{\gamma}_{(k)}, \ k \ge 0$. We shall use the notation

 $\alpha^{\omega}: \tilde{\mathscr{A}} \to \tilde{\mathscr{B}}, \text{ where } \alpha^{\omega}(\tilde{A}) = \alpha(\tilde{A}) \text{ whenever } \tilde{A} \in \tilde{\mathscr{A}},$

to point out that we consider $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}$ and $\tilde{\mathscr{B}}$ as subalgebras in $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}^{\omega}$, respectively $\tilde{\mathscr{B}}^{\gamma}$ (which are related to the nests $\mathscr{N}_{\mathscr{A}}^{\omega}$, respectively $\mathscr{N}_{\mathscr{B}}^{\gamma}$). If $P = P^2 \in \tilde{\mathscr{A}}$ then $D_0^{\omega} P$ is a projection in $\mathscr{A}(\subset \mathscr{A}^{\omega})$ and we can define

$$\operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{A}^{\omega}}(P) = \{ n \in N \colon P_n^{\omega} P P_n^{\omega} \neq 0 \}$$

and $\operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{A}^{\omega}}(P)$ is determined by $\tilde{D}_{0}^{\omega}\tilde{P}$ modulo \simeq (see Lemma 1.4). Thus if $\sigma \simeq \operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{A}^{\omega}}(P)$ we shall put

$$\operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{A}^{\omega}}(\tilde{P}) = \hat{\sigma}.$$

Using Lemma 2.4 we can define

 $\hat{\alpha}^{\omega}: \hat{\mathcal{N}} \to \hat{\mathcal{N}}$

by $\hat{\alpha}^{\omega}(\hat{\sigma}) = \operatorname{supp}_{B^{\gamma}}(\alpha(\tilde{P}))$, if $\sigma \simeq \operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{A}^{\omega}}(P)$ where $P = P^2 \in \mathscr{A}$. It should be noted that $\hat{\alpha}^{\omega}$ depends on γ and in fact different γ give different values of $\hat{\alpha}^{\omega}$; however the argument in Lemma 4.10 shows that the resultant $\hat{\alpha}^{\omega}(\cdot)$ differ by a factor τ^{n_0} for some n_0 .

For technical reasons we need to consider some compression algebras associated with \mathscr{A} and subsets of N. Let $P' = P'^2 \in \mathscr{A}$. For simplicity assume that we have $\operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{A}}(P') = \omega$. By Lemma 1.2 we know that P' is similar (in \mathscr{A}) to a self-adjoint projection $P'' \in \mathscr{A}$. Let $\mathscr{A}_{p'}, \mathscr{A}_{p''}$ be the compression algebras $P'\mathscr{A}|P'H$, resp. $P''\mathscr{A}|P''H$. If we put $P''_n = P_{a_n}P''P_{a_n}$, $n \in N$, then $\mathscr{A}_{P''}$ appears to be the nest algebra determined by the nest $\{\sum_{k=1}^{n} P_k''\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. This shows that $\mathscr{A}_{P'}$ is a nest algebra. Choose $Q' \in Q'^2 \in B$ such that $\tilde{Q}' = \alpha(\tilde{P}')$ and $\operatorname{supp}_B(Q') = \gamma$. Now we can define the isomorphism

$$\alpha_{P'} \colon \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{P'} \to \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{O'}$$

as follows: Let $A' \in \mathscr{A}_{P'}$ and choose $B \in \alpha(\tilde{A}'\tilde{P}')$ (where $A'P' \in \mathscr{A}$). Then putting B' = Q'B|Q'H we see that \tilde{B}' depends on \tilde{A}' only, thus $\alpha_{P'}(\tilde{A}') = \tilde{B}'$ is consistent and the properties of $\alpha_{P'}$ can easily be checked. Since $\alpha_{P'}$ is an isomorphism between essential nest algebras we can define $\hat{\alpha}_{P'}$ as in §2.

3.1. LEMMA. Let
$$P' = P'^2 \in A$$
, $Q' \in Q'^2$ be such that
 $\tilde{Q}' = \alpha(\tilde{P}')$ and $\operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{A}}(P') = \operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{B}}(Q') = N$.
Then we have $\hat{\alpha} = \hat{\alpha}_{P'}$ (where $\alpha_{P'}: \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{P'} \to \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{Q'}$).

Proof. It is plain that our statement is similarity stable thus we shall assume $P' = P'^*$, $Q' = Q'^*$. Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{N}$ and choose $Q = Q^2 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\tilde{Q} = \alpha(\tilde{P}_{\sigma}'\tilde{P}_{\sigma})$, QQ' = Q'Q = Q. Making a new similarity we may suppose $Q = Q^*$ and $Q'_{\delta} = Q|Q'H$, where $\hat{\delta} = \hat{\alpha}_{P'}(\hat{\sigma})$. But obviously $\hat{\sigma}$ is a minimal element in $\hat{\mathcal{N}}$, with the property $\alpha(\tilde{P}_{\sigma})\tilde{Q} = \tilde{Q}\alpha(\tilde{P}_{\sigma}) = \tilde{Q}$ and this implies $\alpha(\hat{\sigma}) = \hat{\alpha}_{P'}(\hat{\sigma})$.

Recall that τ was defined as the set translation map on \mathcal{N} with $\hat{\tau}$ the resultant map on $\hat{\mathcal{N}}$.

3.2. THEOREM. $\hat{\alpha}$ commutes with $\hat{\tau}$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 3.1 we may suppose rank $P_n = \operatorname{rank} Q_n = 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{N}$ and pick

$$\sigma'\in \hat{ au}^{-1}\hat{lpha}^{-1}(\hat{lpha}\hat{ au}(\hat{\sigma})ackslash\hat{lpha}(\hat{\sigma})) \quad ext{and} \quad \sigma''\in \hat{lpha}^{-1}\hat{ au}^{-1}(\hat{ au}(\hat{\sigma})ackslash\hat{lpha}(\hat{\sigma})).$$

Suppose $\hat{\sigma}' \neq \hat{\varnothing}$. Since $\hat{\tau}\hat{\alpha}(\hat{\sigma}') \cap \hat{\alpha}\hat{\tau}(\hat{\sigma}') = \emptyset$, we can find $\delta \in \hat{\alpha}\hat{\tau}(\hat{\sigma}')$ and $\delta' \in \hat{\alpha}(\hat{\sigma}')$ such that $\delta \cap \tau(\delta') = \emptyset$. Let $W \in \mathscr{A}_{(1)}$ be a partial isometry such that

$$D_1W = W$$
, $W^*W = P_{\tau(\sigma')}$ and $WW^* = P_{\sigma'}$.

By Theorem 2.2 we can find $U \in \mathscr{B}_{(1)}$ such that $\tilde{U} = \alpha(\tilde{W})$. Since we have

$$\Delta_0 U = 0$$
 and $\tilde{U} = \alpha(\tilde{P}_{\sigma'})\tilde{U} = \tilde{U}\alpha(\tilde{P}_{\sigma'})$

we derive

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{1}\tilde{U} = (\tilde{\Delta}_{0}\alpha(\tilde{P}_{\sigma'}))(\tilde{\Delta}_{1}\tilde{U}) = (\tilde{\Delta}_{1}\tilde{U})(\tilde{\Delta}_{0}\alpha(\tilde{P}_{\sigma'})).$$

Because rank $Q_n = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we also have

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{0}\alpha(\tilde{P}_{\sigma'}) = \tilde{Q}_{\delta'}, \quad \tilde{\Delta}_{0}\alpha(\tilde{P}_{\tau(\sigma')}) = \tilde{Q}_{\delta}, \quad \mathscr{B}_{(2)} = (\mathscr{B}_{(1)})^{2}$$

and in particular

$$\tilde{\Delta}_1 \tilde{U} = \tilde{Q}_{\delta'} (\tilde{\Delta}_1 \tilde{U}) = (\tilde{\Delta}_1 \tilde{U}) \tilde{Q}_{\delta}.$$

Now using the obvious relation $Q_{\delta'}(\Delta_1 U) = (\Delta_1 U)Q_{\tau(\delta')}$ we infer

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{1}\tilde{U}=\tilde{Q}_{\delta'}(\tilde{\Delta}_{1}\tilde{U})\tilde{Q}_{\delta}=(\tilde{\Delta}_{1}\tilde{U})\tilde{Q}_{\tau(\delta')}\tilde{Q}_{\delta}=0$$

or equivalently $\tilde{U} \in \tilde{B}_{(2)}$. Let $U_1, U_2 \in \mathscr{B}_{(1)}$ be such that $\tilde{U} = \tilde{U}_1 \tilde{U}_2$. Since by Theorem 2.2 we have

$$\tilde{W} = \alpha^{-1}(\tilde{U}_1)\alpha^{-1}(U_2) \in \left(\tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(1)}\right)^2 = \mathscr{A}_{(2)},$$

and this is a contradiction, we deduce $\hat{\sigma}' = \hat{\varnothing}$ and analogously $\hat{\sigma}'' = \hat{\varnothing}$. It follows that $\hat{\alpha}\hat{\tau} = \hat{\tau}\hat{\alpha}$.

3.3. LEMMA. Let $\tilde{A} = \tilde{A}^2 \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. Then $\tilde{A} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\omega}_{(0)}$ if and only if $\tilde{D}_0 \tilde{A} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\omega}_0$. Moreover if $\tilde{A} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\omega}_{(0)}$ then $\tilde{D}^{\omega}_0 \tilde{A} = \tilde{D}_0 \tilde{A}$.

Proof. Suppose $\tilde{A} \in \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(0)}^{\omega}$. Using Lemma 1.3 we can find $P = P^2 \in \mathscr{A}_{(0)}^{\omega}$ such that $\tilde{P} = \tilde{A}$ and in particular $D_0^{\omega}P = P_{\sigma}^{\omega}$ for some $\sigma \in \mathcal{N}$. Since obviously $P_n^{\omega} \in A_0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $D_0 D_0^{\omega}P = D_0^{\omega} D_0 P = D_0 P$, we derive

$$D_0 P = D_0 D_0^{\omega} P = D_0 P_{\sigma}^{\omega} = P_{\sigma}^{\omega} \in A_0^{\omega}$$

If $\tilde{D}_0 \tilde{A} \in \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_0^{\omega}$, applying again Lemma 1.3 we can find $P = P^2 \in \mathscr{A}_{(0)}$ such that $\tilde{P} = \tilde{A}$ and $D_0 P = P_{\sigma}^{\omega}$ for some $\sigma \in \mathcal{N}$. Since $P_n^{\omega} - P_n^{\omega} P P_n^{\omega}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a projection and $D_0(P_n^{\omega} - P_n^{\omega} P P_n^{\omega}) = 0$, $n \in \sigma$, we easily derive $P_n^{\omega} = P_n^{\omega} P P_n^{\omega}$, $n \in \sigma$. If $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \sigma$, then $P_n^{\omega} P P_n^{\omega}$ is a projection such that $D_0(P_n^{\omega}PP_n^{\omega}) = 0$, consequently $P_n^{\omega}PP_n^{\omega} = 0$. Thus it follows: $D_0^{\omega}P = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P_n^{\omega}PP_n^{\omega} = D_0P \in \mathscr{A}_0^{\omega},$

or equivalently $P \in \mathscr{A}^{\omega}_{(0)}$.

3.4. LEMMA. Let $\tilde{A} = \tilde{A}^2 \in \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(0)}$, $P = P^2 \in \tilde{A}$ and let $\sigma \in \operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{A}}(\tilde{P})$ be of the form $\sigma = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [b_n, c_n]$, $b_n \leq c_n < b_{n+1}$. Let us put $\sigma_l = \{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\sigma_r = \{c_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then we have $\tilde{A} \in \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(0)}^{\omega}$ if and only if $\hat{\tau}^{-1}(\hat{\sigma}_l) \cup \hat{\sigma}_r \subset \hat{\omega}$.

Proof. Since the part "only if" is obvious assume $\hat{\tau}^{-1}(\hat{\sigma}_l) \cup \hat{\sigma}_r \subset \hat{\omega}$. After deleting a finite set we can reduce to the case $\sigma \subset \tau(\mathbf{N}), \ \tau^*(\sigma_l) \cup \sigma_r \subset \omega$. But this obviously implies $P_{\sigma} \in \mathscr{A}_0^{\omega}$ and because $\tilde{D}_0 \tilde{P} = \tilde{P}_{\sigma}$, applying Lemma 3.3 we infer $\tilde{A} \in \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(0)}^{\omega}$.

3.5. LEMMA. Let $\tilde{A} \in \tilde{\mathscr{A}}$. Then $\tilde{A} \in \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(0)}^{\omega}$ iff $\tilde{D}_0 \tilde{A} = \tilde{D}_0^{\omega} \tilde{A} \in \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_0^{\omega}$.

Proof. If $\tilde{A} \in \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(0)}^{\omega}$ we may suppose that there exists $A \in \tilde{\mathscr{A}}$ of the form $A = A_0 + A_1$, where $A_0 \in \mathscr{A}_0^{\omega}$, $A_1 \in \mathscr{A}_{(1)}^{\omega}$. Because $\mathscr{A}_0^{\omega} \subset \mathscr{A}_0$ and $A_{(1)}^{\omega} \subset \mathscr{A}_{(1)}$ we deduce

$$D_0^{\omega}A = A_0 = D_0A_0 = D_0A \in \mathscr{A}_0^{\omega}.$$

The part "if" is trivial.

3.6. Lemma. For every
$$\tilde{A} = \tilde{A}^2 \in \mathscr{A}^{\omega}_{(0)}$$
 we have $\alpha(\tilde{A}) \in \tilde{\mathscr{B}}^{\gamma}_{(0)}$.

Proof. Pick $\delta \in \operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{A}}(\alpha(\tilde{A}))$ and define δ_l , δ_r as in Lemma 3.6. Since obviously $\hat{\tau}^{-1}(\hat{\delta}_l) \cap \hat{\delta} = \hat{\varnothing}$, using Theorem 3.2 we deduce $\hat{\tau}^{-1}\hat{\alpha}^{-1}(\hat{\delta}_l) \cap \hat{\alpha}^{-1}(\hat{\delta}) = \hat{\varnothing}$. Let $\sigma \in \hat{\alpha}^{-1}(\hat{\delta})$ and $\sigma' \in \hat{\alpha}^{-1}(\hat{\delta}_l)$. Since $\sigma \in \operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{A}}(\tilde{A})$, $\tilde{A} \in \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(0)}^{\omega}$ and $\hat{\sigma}' \subset \hat{\sigma}$, $\hat{\tau}^{-1}(\hat{\sigma}') \cap \hat{\sigma} = \hat{\varnothing}$ we easily derive $\hat{\sigma}' \subset \hat{\sigma}_l$, $\hat{\tau}^{-1}(\hat{\sigma}') \subset \hat{\omega}$. It follows $\hat{\tau}^{-1}(\hat{\delta}_l) \subset \hat{\gamma}$ and analogously $\hat{\delta}_r \subset \hat{\gamma}$, thus by Lemma 3.4, $\alpha(\tilde{A}) \in \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{(0)}^{\gamma}$.

3.7. THEOREM. $\alpha(\tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(0)}^{\omega}) = \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{(0)}^{\gamma}$.

Proof. Let $A \in \mathscr{A}_{(0)}^{\omega}$, $B \in \mathscr{B}_{(0)}$ be such that $\tilde{B} = \alpha(\tilde{A})$. Suppose $\tilde{B} \notin \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{(0)}^{\gamma}$. Then we can choose $\lambda_n \in \sigma(Q_n^{\gamma}B|Q_n^{\gamma}H)$ with

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|Q_n^{\gamma}(B-\lambda_n)Q_n^{\gamma}\|>0.$$

Applying Lemma 1.5 we can find $\delta \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{N}_0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \in \delta} \lambda_n = \lambda, \quad \tilde{Q}_{\delta}^{\gamma} \tilde{B} \tilde{Q}_{\delta}^{\gamma} = \tilde{Q}_{\delta}^{\gamma} (\tilde{\Delta}_0^{\gamma} \tilde{B}) \tilde{Q}_{\delta}^{\gamma},$$
$$\|Q_n^{\gamma} (B - \lambda) Q_n^{\gamma}\| \ge a > 0, \qquad n \in \delta.$$

Since by Lemma 3.6 we have $\alpha^{-1}(\tilde{Q}^{\gamma}_{\delta})\tilde{A}\alpha^{-1}(\tilde{Q}^{\gamma}_{\delta}) \in \mathscr{A}^{\omega}_{(0)}$ we can use again Lemma 1.5 to produce $\sigma \in \mathscr{N} \setminus \mathscr{N}_{0}$ such that

$$\tilde{P}^{\omega}_{\sigma} (\tilde{D}^{\omega}_{0} \alpha^{-1} (\tilde{Q}^{\gamma}_{\delta})) = \tilde{P}^{\omega}_{\sigma} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{P}^{\omega}_{\sigma} \tilde{A} \tilde{P}^{\omega}_{\sigma} = \mu \tilde{P}^{\omega}_{\sigma}.$$

Now applying Lemma 3.6 we can find $\delta' \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{N}_0$ and $\delta' \subset \delta$ such that

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{0}^{\gamma} \alpha(\tilde{P}_{\sigma}^{\omega}) = \tilde{Q}_{\delta'}^{\gamma} \text{ and } \tilde{Q}_{\delta'}^{\gamma} (\tilde{\Delta}_{0}^{\gamma} \tilde{B}) \tilde{Q}_{\delta'}^{\gamma} = \mu \tilde{Q}_{\delta'}^{\gamma}.$$

But because $\lambda_n \in \sigma(Q_n^{\gamma}B|Q_n^{\gamma}H)$ we deduce that

$$\lim_{n \in \delta'} \lambda_n = \lambda = \mu \quad \text{and} \quad \|Q_n^{\gamma}(B - \mu)Q_n^{\gamma}\| \ge \frac{a}{2}$$

for some $n \ge n_0$, $n \in \delta'$

which yields a contradiction. It therefore follows that $\alpha(\tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(0)}^{\omega}) \subset \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{(0)}^{\gamma}$ and analogously $\alpha^{-1}(\tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{(0)}^{\gamma}) \subset \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(0)}^{\omega}$.

3.8. COROLLARY. The compression $\tilde{\Delta}_0^{\gamma} \alpha^{\omega}$: $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}_0^{\omega} \to \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_0^{\gamma}$ is an isomorphism with

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Delta}_{0}^{\gamma} \alpha^{\omega} | \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{0}^{\omega} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Delta}_{0}^{\omega} \alpha | \mathscr{A}_{0}^{\omega} \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Delta}_{0}^{\gamma} \alpha^{\omega} | \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{0}^{\omega} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{D}_{0}^{\omega} \alpha^{-1} | \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{0}^{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} \quad and \quad \alpha \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(1)}^{\omega} \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{(1)}^{\gamma}.$$

Proof. We apply Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 3.7.

3.9. LEMMA. Let $P' = P'^2 \in \mathscr{A}$, $Q' = Q'^2 \in \mathscr{B}$ be such that $\tilde{Q}' = \alpha(\tilde{P}')$, $\operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{A}}(P') = \{a'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{B}}(Q') = \{\alpha'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ where $a_{n-1} < a'_n \leq a_n$ and $\alpha_{n-1} < \alpha'_n \leq \alpha_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have $\hat{\alpha}^{\omega} = \hat{\alpha}_{P'}$ (where $\alpha_{P'}$: $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{P'} \to \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{Q'}$).

Proof. Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{N}$. By Theorem 3.7 we know that we have $\tilde{\Delta}_{0}^{\gamma} \alpha^{\omega} (\tilde{P}_{\sigma}^{\omega}) = \tilde{Q}_{\delta}^{\gamma}$ where $\delta \in \operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{A}^{\gamma}}(\alpha(\tilde{P}_{\sigma}^{\omega}))$. Let $Q = Q^{2} \in \mathscr{B}$ be such that QQ' = Q'Q = Q and $\tilde{Q} = \alpha(P_{\sigma}'\tilde{P}_{\sigma}^{\omega})$. Since $\hat{\sigma}$ is minimal in $\hat{\mathcal{N}}$, with the property $\alpha(\tilde{P}_{\sigma}^{\omega})\tilde{Q} = \tilde{Q}\alpha(P_{\sigma}^{\omega}) = \tilde{Q}$ we derive as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, that we have $\hat{\alpha}_{P'}(\hat{\sigma}) = \hat{\delta} = \hat{\alpha}^{\omega}(\hat{\sigma})$.

3.10. COROLLARY. $\hat{\alpha}^{\omega}$ is a lattice isomorphism and $\hat{\alpha}^{\omega}\hat{\tau} = \hat{\tau}\hat{\alpha}^{\omega}$.

Proof. Apply Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 3.9.

3.11. LEMMA. For every $\sigma \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{N}_0$, $\delta \in \hat{\alpha}^{\omega}(\sigma)$ put $\omega_{\sigma} = \{a_n\}_{n \in \sigma}$, $\gamma_{\delta} = \{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \delta}$. Then we have

$$\hat{\alpha}(\hat{\omega}_{\sigma}) = \hat{\gamma}_{\delta}.$$

Proof. Making a similarity we may suppose that we have $\tilde{Q}_{\gamma} = \alpha(\tilde{P}_{\omega})$ and $\tilde{Q}' = \alpha(\tilde{P}_{\omega_{\gamma}})$ where $Q'Q_{\gamma} = Q_{\gamma}Q' = Q'$. Let \mathscr{A}' , \mathscr{B}' denote the compression algebras $\mathscr{A}_{P_{\gamma}}$, resp. $\mathscr{B}_{Q_{\gamma}}$ and let

$$\alpha' \colon \tilde{\mathscr{A}}' \to \tilde{\mathscr{B}}'$$

denote the isomorphism induced by α . Since the block-diagonal projections of \mathscr{B}' are $\{Q_{\alpha_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and by Lemma 3.9 we have $\operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{B}'} Q' \simeq \delta$ we deduce $\operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{B}} Q' \simeq \gamma_{\delta}$ or equivalently $\hat{\alpha}(\hat{\omega}_{\sigma}) = \hat{\gamma}_{\delta}$.

3.12. Proposition. For every
$$k \ge 0$$
 we have $\alpha(\tilde{\mathscr{A}}^{\omega}_{(k)}) = \tilde{\mathscr{B}}^{\gamma}_{(k)}$.

Proof. For k = 0 we apply Theorem 3.7. If k > 0 put

 $\sigma_m = \{kn + m: n \in \mathbf{N}\}, \qquad 0 \le m < k$

and pick $\delta_m \in \hat{\alpha}^{\omega}(\hat{\sigma}_m)$. Since $\sigma_m = \tau^m(\sigma_0)$, Corollary 3.10 implies $\hat{\delta}_m = \hat{\tau}(\hat{\delta}_0^m)$ and by Lemma 3.11 we derive $\hat{\gamma}_{\delta_m} = \hat{\alpha}(\hat{\omega}_{\sigma_m})$. On the other hand because $\sigma_m \cap \sigma_i = \emptyset$, $m \neq i$ and $\bigcup_{m=0}^{k-1} \sigma_m = \mathbf{N}$ we infer that δ_m can be chosen of the form

$$\delta_m = \{k(n+n_0) + m: n \in \mathbb{N}\} \text{ for some } n_0 \in \mathbb{N}.$$

But using the relations

$$\tilde{A}^{\omega}_{(k)} = \bigcap_{m=0}^{k-1} \tilde{A}^{\omega_m}_{(1)}, \quad \tilde{B}^{\gamma_m}_{(k)} = \bigcap_{m=0}^{k-1} \tilde{B}^{\gamma_m}_{(1)}, \quad \text{where } \omega_m = \omega_{\sigma_m}, \gamma_m = \gamma_{\delta_m}$$

and because by Corollary 3.8 we have $\alpha(\tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(1)}^{\omega_m}) = \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{(1)}^{\gamma_m}$, the proof is concluded.

3.13. LEMMA. Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{N}$, $\delta \in \hat{\alpha}^{\omega}(\hat{\sigma})$ and let σ_l , δ_l , σ_r , δ_r be defined as in Lemma 3.4. Then we have

$$\hat{\delta}_l = \hat{\alpha}^{\omega}(\hat{\sigma}_l) \quad and \quad \hat{\delta}_r = \hat{\alpha}^{\omega}(\hat{\sigma}_r).$$

Proof. We have $\hat{\alpha}^{\omega}(\hat{\sigma}_{l}) \subset \hat{\delta}$ and by Corollary 3.10, we deduce

$$\hat{ au}^{-1} \hat{lpha}^{\omega} \hat{ au}^{-1}(\, \hat{\sigma}_l^{}) \subset \hat{\mathbf{N}} \setminus \hat{\delta}$$

which implies $\hat{\alpha}^{\omega}(\hat{\sigma}_l) \subset \hat{\delta}_l$. Analogously we can prove that we have $\hat{\alpha}^{\omega}(\hat{\sigma}_l) \supset \hat{\delta}_l$ and $\hat{\alpha}^{\omega}(\hat{\sigma}_r) = \hat{\delta}_r$.

4. The Essential Equivalence of \mathcal{N}_A and \mathcal{N}_B . The aim of this section is to prove the main result of the paper, namely:

4.1. THEOREM. There exist $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and an integer m_0 such that $\hat{\alpha} = \hat{\tau}^{m_0}$ and rank $P_n = \operatorname{rank} Q_{n+m_0}$ whenever $n \ge n_0$. The second relation in the above statements describes what "essential equivalence of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathscr{A}}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathscr{B}}$ " means.

In he sequel we need some new notation. Thus we consider the ideals (in \mathscr{A}) $I_{\mathscr{A}}^{\omega}$, $F_{\mathscr{A}}^{\omega}$ defined as follows.

 $A \in I^{\omega}_{\mathcal{A}}$ if $A \in \mathscr{A}^{\omega}_{(1)}$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ all entries of A along the *n*th diagonal in \mathscr{A}^{ω} , except the first n(n + 1)/2, are 0.

 $A \in F_{\mathscr{A}}^{\omega}$ if $A \in \mathscr{A}_{(2)}^{\omega}$ and for each $n \in N$, $n \ge 2$ all entries of A along the *n*th diagonal in \mathscr{A}^{ω} , except the first (n-1)n/2, are 0.

To be more rigorous, let [a] denote the integer part of $a \in \mathbf{R}$ and define the function $v: \mathbf{N} \to \mathbf{N}$ by

$$v(n) = \left[\frac{1+\sqrt{8n-7}}{2}\right] + n, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It is easy to see that we have

$$v(n) = k + n$$
 whenever $\frac{(k-1)k}{2} < n \le \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We define the ideals $I_{\mathscr{A}}^{\omega}$, $F_{\mathscr{A}}^{\omega}$ by

$$I_{\mathscr{A}}^{\omega} = \left\{ A \in L(H) \colon (I - P^{(\omega, n-1)}) A P^{(\omega, v(n)-1)} = 0, n \in N \right\},$$

$$F_{\mathscr{A}}^{\omega} = \left\{ A \in L(H) \colon (I - P^{(\omega, n-1)}) A P^{(\omega, v(n))} 0, n \in N \right\},$$

where $P^{(\omega,0)} = 0$.

Applying Lemma 1.1 we note that $\tilde{I}^{\omega}_{\mathscr{A}}$ and $\tilde{F}^{\omega}_{\mathscr{A}}$ are closed ideals in $\tilde{\mathscr{A}}$.

4.2. LEMMA. Let $P, P' \in \mathscr{A}$ be selfadjoint projections and let $W \in D_k^{\omega} \mathscr{A}$ $(k \ge 1)$ be such that

 $P'W: PH \rightarrow P'H$

is a Fredholm operator. Let $Q = Q^2 \in \mathcal{B}$, $Q' = Q'^2 \in \mathcal{B}$, $\tilde{Q} = \alpha(\tilde{P})$, $\tilde{Q}' = \alpha(\tilde{P}')$, $U \in \alpha(\tilde{W})$ and put

$$U_{k} = \left(\Delta_{0}^{\gamma}Q'\right)\left(\Delta_{k}^{\gamma}U\right): \left(\Delta_{0}^{\gamma}Q\right)H \to \left(\Delta_{0}^{\gamma}Q'\right)H.$$

Then U_k is a Fredholm operator.

Proof. Suppose that U_k is not left essentially invertible. Since by Proposition 3.12 we have $\tilde{U} \in \tilde{\mathscr{B}}^{\gamma}_{(k)}$, it is easy to find $B \in \Delta_0^{\gamma} \mathscr{B}$, such that

$$(\Delta_0^{\gamma} Q) B = B, \quad \tilde{B} \neq 0, \quad \tilde{Q}' \tilde{U} \tilde{B} \in \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_{(k+1)}^{\gamma}.$$

Because $QB - B \in \mathscr{B}^{\gamma}_{(1)}$, using Corollary 3.8 and Proposition 3.12 we deduce

$$\tilde{P}(\tilde{D}_0^{\omega}\alpha^{-1}(\tilde{B})) = \tilde{D}_0^{\omega}\alpha^{-1}(\tilde{B}) \neq 0 \text{ and } \tilde{P}'\tilde{W}\alpha^{-1}(\tilde{B}) \in \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{(k+1)}^{\omega}$$

But this implies $\tilde{P}'\tilde{W}\tilde{P}(\tilde{D}_0^{\omega}\alpha^{-1}(\tilde{B})) = 0$, contradicting our hypothesis. Analogously we prove that U_k is right essentially invertible.

4.3. LEMMA. Let us put $\omega_0 = \{n(n+1)/2\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then there exists an integer m_0 such that

$$\hat{\alpha}^{\omega}(\hat{\omega}_0) = \hat{\tau}^{m_0}(\hat{\omega}_0).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.9 we may and we shall suppose $\omega = \mathbf{N}$, thus $\alpha^{\omega} = \alpha$, and rank $P_n = \operatorname{rank} Q_n = 1$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Suppose that $\gamma_0 = \alpha(\omega_0)$ is of the form $\gamma_0 = \{\beta_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}, \beta_n < \beta_{n+1}$. Since rank $P_n^{\omega_0} = n$ we can find $W \in D_1^{\omega_0} \mathscr{A}$ such that $WW^* = I$, $W^*W = I - P_{\omega_0}$. Applying Lemma 1.2 and Proposition 3.12 we reduce to the case

$$\tilde{U} = \alpha(\tilde{W}), \quad U \in B_{(1)}^{\gamma_0}, \quad \tilde{Q}_{\gamma_0} = \alpha(\tilde{P}_{d\omega_0}), \quad U(I - Q_{\gamma_0}) = U.$$

Since in particular $(\Delta_1^{\gamma_0}U)(I - Q_{\gamma_0}) = \Delta_1^{\gamma_0}U$ and by Lemma 4.2.

$$\Delta_1^{\gamma_0} U: (I - Q_{\gamma_0}) H \to H$$

is a Fredholm operator, we can find $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$, such that

$$\operatorname{rank}(Q_{n+1}^{\gamma_0}-Q_{\beta_{n+1}})=\operatorname{rank}Q_n^{\gamma_0}, \qquad n\geq n_0.$$

Now using the fact that rank $Q_n^{\gamma_0} = \beta_n - \beta_{n-1}$, we deduce

$$\begin{split} \beta_{n_0+n} - \beta_{n_0} &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left(k + r_0\right) \\ &= -\frac{r_0(r_0+1)}{2} + \frac{(r_0+n)(r_0+n+1)}{2}, \qquad n \in N, \end{split}$$

where $r_0 = \operatorname{rank} Q_{n_0}^{\gamma_0}$. Thus putting $m_0 = \beta_{n_0} - r_0(r_0 + 1)/2$ we have

$$\beta_{n_0+n} = m_0 + \frac{(r_0+n)(r_0+n+1)}{2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

or equivalently $\hat{\gamma}_0 = \hat{\alpha}(\omega_0) = \hat{\tau}^{m_0}(\hat{\omega}_0)$.

Let v be the function introduced in the beginning of this section and define $\hat{v}: \hat{\mathcal{N}} \to \hat{\mathcal{N}}$ by

$$\hat{v}(\hat{\sigma}) = \widehat{v(\sigma)}$$
 whenever $\sigma \in \mathcal{N}$.

4.4. LEMMA. Let ω_0 be as in Lemma 4.3 and assume $\hat{\omega}_0 = \hat{\alpha}^{\omega}(\hat{\omega}_0)$. Then $\hat{\alpha}^{\omega}$ commutes with \hat{v} .

Proof. as in Lemma 4.3 we shall replace α^{ω} by α , we shall assume rank $P_n = \operatorname{rank} Q_n = 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and then to simplify the notation we shall also assume $\omega = \omega_0$. This will allow us to take $\hat{\gamma} = \hat{\omega}$. Now suppose that our Lemma is false. Then proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.2 we can find $\sigma \in \mathcal{N}$ of the form $\sigma = \{r_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $s_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{(s_n - 1)s_n}{2} < r_n \le \frac{s_n(sS_n + 1)}{2}, \quad s_n + 1 \le s_{n+1}, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and $\hat{\alpha}\hat{v}(\hat{\sigma}) \cap \hat{v}\hat{\alpha}(\hat{\sigma}) = \hat{\varnothing}$. By the definition of v we easily derive

$$v\left(\frac{(s_n-1)s_n}{2}\right) < v(r_n) \le v\left(\frac{s_n(s_n+1)}{2}\right), \qquad v(r_n) = s_n + r_n$$

and

$$v\left(\frac{s_n(s_n+1)}{2}\right) = \frac{(s_n+1)(s_n+2)}{2} - 1.$$

Consequently

$$\frac{s_n(s_n+1)}{2} - r_n = v\left(\frac{s_n(s_n+1)}{2}\right) - v(r_n).$$

Let $\sigma' \in \mathcal{N}$ be such that $\omega_{\sigma'} = \{s_n(s_n + 1)/2\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and pick $\delta' \in \hat{\alpha}^{\omega}(\sigma')$. Applying Corollary 3.10 and Lemma 3.11 we infer

$$\hat{\omega}_{\delta'} = \hat{\alpha}(\hat{\omega}_{\sigma'}) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\omega}_{\tau(\delta')} = \hat{\alpha}(\hat{\omega}_{\tau(\sigma')}).$$

Let us put

$$\sigma_n^{(1)} = \left[r_n, \frac{s_n(s_n+1)}{2} \right], \qquad \sigma_n^{(2)} = \left[v(r_n), \frac{(s_n+1)(s_n+2)}{2} - 1 \right],$$
$$\sigma^{(1)} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma_n^{(1)}, \qquad \sigma^{(2)} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma_n^{(2)}$$

and pick $\delta^{(1)} \in \hat{\alpha}(\hat{\sigma}^{(1)}), \ \delta^{(2)} \in \hat{\alpha}(\hat{\sigma}^{(2)})$. If $\omega_{\delta'} = \{s'_n(s'_n - 1)/2\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ then applying Lemma 3.13 we may suppose that we have $\delta^{(1)} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \delta_n^{(1)}, \delta^{(2)} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \delta_n^{(2)}$, where

$$\begin{split} \delta_n^{(1)} &= \left[r'_n, \, \frac{s'_n(s'_n+1)}{2} \right], \quad \delta_n^{(2)} = \left[l'_n, \, \frac{(s'_n+1)(s'_n+2)}{2} \, - \, 1 \right], \\ &\frac{(s'_n-1)s'_n}{2} < r'_n \le \frac{s'_n(s'_n+1)}{2} \, . \end{split}$$

Because we have rank $P_{\sigma_n^{(1)}} = \operatorname{rank} P_{\sigma_n^{(2)}}$ we can find $W \in D_1^{\omega} \mathscr{A}$ such that $W^*W = P_{\sigma^{(2)}}$ and $WW^* = P_{\sigma^{(1)}}$. Let $U \in \alpha(\tilde{W})$. Using Lemma 1.2 and Proposition 3.12 we may suppose that in fact

 $\tilde{Q}_{\delta^{(1)}} = \alpha(\tilde{P}_{\sigma^{(1)}}), \quad \tilde{Q}_{\delta^{(2)}} = \alpha(\tilde{P}_{\sigma^{(2)}}), \quad U \in \mathscr{B}_{(1)}^{\gamma}, \quad Q_{\delta^{(1)}}U = UQ_{\delta^{(2)}} = U.$

Applying Lemma 4.2 we know that

$$\Delta_1^{\gamma}U:(Q_{\delta^{(2)}}H)\to (Q_{\delta^{(1)}}H)$$

is a Fredholm operator, and this obviously implies

$$\operatorname{rank} Q_{\delta_n^{(1)}} = \operatorname{rank} Q_{\delta_n^{(2)}}, \qquad n \ge n_0,$$

for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. This means

$$l'_n = s'_n + r'_n = v(r'_n), \qquad n \in N,$$

or equivalently $\hat{\alpha}\hat{v}(\hat{\sigma}) = \hat{v}\hat{\alpha}(\hat{\sigma})$, contradicting our working assumptions.

4.5. LEMMA. Let ω_0 be as in Lemma 4.3 and assume $\hat{\alpha}^{\omega}(\hat{\omega}_0) = \hat{\omega}_0$. Then we have

$$\alpha(\tilde{I}_{\mathscr{A}}^{\omega}) = \tilde{I}_{\mathscr{B}}^{\gamma} \quad and \quad \alpha(\tilde{F}_{\mathscr{A}}^{\omega}) = \tilde{F}_{\mathscr{B}}^{\gamma}.$$

Proof. Suppose that there exists $A \in I^{\omega}_{\mathscr{A}}$, $B \in \alpha(\tilde{A})$ with $B \notin I^{\gamma}_{\mathscr{A}}$. Since by Lemma 1.1 we have

$$\overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} \left\| \left(I - Q^{(\gamma,n-1)} \right) B Q^{(\gamma,\nu(n)-1)} \right\| > 0$$

we can find k_n , l_n , r_n , $s_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$s_n = v(k_n) - 1, \quad k_n \le l_n < k_{n+1}, \quad r_n \le s_n < r_{n+1},$$
$$\|Q_{[k_n, l_n]}^{\gamma} B Q_{[r_n, s_n]}^{\gamma}\| \ge a > 0.$$

Let us put $\delta = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [k_n, l_n]$, $\delta_2 = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [r_n, s_n]$ and pick $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $\hat{\delta}_1 = \hat{\alpha}^{\omega}(\hat{\sigma}_1)$, $\hat{\delta}_2 = \hat{\alpha}^{\omega}(\hat{\sigma}_2)$. Using Lemma 3.4, Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 1.2 we may assume that we have

$$\sigma_1 = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [k'_n, l'_n], \quad \sigma_2 = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [r'_n, s'_n], \quad \tilde{Q}^{\gamma}_{\delta_1} = \alpha(\tilde{P}^{\omega}_{\sigma_1}), \quad \tilde{Q}^{\gamma}_{\delta_2} = \alpha^{\omega}(\tilde{P}_{\sigma^2})$$

where $l'_n < k'_{n+1}$, $v(k'_n) - 1 = s'_n < r'_{n+1}$. Applying Lemma 1.5 and decreasing σ_1 and σ_2 if necessary, we finally may assume that

$$P_{\sigma_1}^{\omega}AP_{\sigma_2}^{\omega}-\sum_{n\in N}P_{[k'_n,l'_n]}^{\omega}AP_{[r'_n,s'_n]}^{\omega}\in K(H).$$

However since $A \in I^{\omega}_{\mathscr{A}}$, we derive

$$\sum_{n \in N} P^{\omega}_{[k'_n, l'_n]} A P^{\omega}_{[r'_n, s'_n]} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{Q}^{\gamma}_{\delta_1} \tilde{B} \tilde{Q}_{\delta_2} = 0$$

thus contradicting the relation

$$\left\|Q_{[k_n,l_n]}BQ_{[r_n,s_n]}\right\|\geq a>0.$$

This shows that we have $\alpha(\tilde{I}_{\mathscr{A}}^{\omega}) \subset \tilde{I}_{\mathscr{B}}^{\gamma}$ and by symmetry $\alpha(\tilde{I}_{\mathscr{A}}^{\omega}) = \tilde{I}_{\mathscr{B}}^{\gamma}$. The relation $\alpha(\tilde{F}_{\mathscr{A}}^{\omega}) = \tilde{F}_{\mathscr{B}}^{\omega}$ can be proved analogously, thus completing the proof.

Let $A \in I^{\omega}_{\mathscr{A}}, A' \in F^{\omega}_{\mathscr{A}}$. Then we have

$$P_n^{\omega}AP_k^{\omega}=0, \quad k\leq v(n)-1, \qquad P_n^{\omega}A'P_k^{\omega}=0, \quad k\leq v(n).$$

We define

$$D_v^{\omega}: L(H) \to L(H) \text{ and } \Delta_v^{\gamma}: L(H) \to L(H)$$

by

$$D_v^{\omega}T = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P_n^{\omega}TP_{v(n)}^{\omega}, \quad \Delta_v^{\gamma}T = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Q_n^{\gamma}TQ_{v(n)}^{\gamma}, \qquad T \in L(H).$$

We have $A \in F_{\mathscr{A}}^{\omega}$ iff $D_v^{\omega}A = 0$ or equivalently

$$I^{\omega}_{\mathscr{A}} = (D^{\omega}_{v} \mathscr{A}) + F^{\omega}_{\mathscr{A}}$$

4.6. LEMMA. Let ω_0 be as in Lemma 4.3 and suppose $\hat{\alpha}^{\omega}(\hat{\omega}_0) = \hat{\omega}_0$. Let $P, P' \in \mathscr{A}$ be selfadjoint projections and let $W \in D_v^{\omega} \mathscr{A}$ be such that

$$P'W: PH \rightarrow P'H$$

is a Fredholm operator. Let $Q = Q^2 \in b$, $Q' = Q'^2 \in B$, $\tilde{Q} = \alpha(\tilde{P})$, $\tilde{Q}' = \alpha(\tilde{P})$, $U \in \alpha(\tilde{W})$ and put

$$U_{v} = \left(\Delta_{0}^{\gamma}Q'\right)\left(\Delta_{v}^{\gamma}U\right): \left(\Delta_{0}^{\gamma}Q\right)H \to \left(\Delta_{0}^{\gamma}Q'\right)H.$$

Then U_v is a Fredholm operator.

Proof. We repeat the proof of Lemma 4.2, using Lemma 4.5 in place of Proposition 3.12.

4.7. LEMMA. Let $P = P^2 \in \mathscr{A}$ be such that rank $P_n^{\omega} P P_n^{\omega} = n_0$, for $n \in \operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{A}^{\omega}}(P)$. Then there exists $Q = Q^2 \in \mathscr{B}$ such that

$$Q = \alpha(P),$$
 rank $Q_n^{\gamma} Q Q_n^{\gamma} = n_0$ for $n \in \operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{B}^{\gamma}}(Q).$

Proof. Pick $Q' \in \alpha(\tilde{P})$ and as in the proof of Lemma 2.3 put

$$\sigma = \left\{ n \in \operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{B}^{\gamma}}(Q') : \operatorname{rank} Q_n^{\gamma} Q' Q_n^{\gamma} > n_0 \right\}$$

and

$$\delta = \left\{ n \in \operatorname{supp}_{\mathscr{B}^{\gamma}}(Q') \colon \operatorname{rank} Q_n^{\gamma} Q' Q_n^{\gamma} < n \right\}.$$

If $\sigma \in \mathcal{N}_0$ we can find $T \in \Delta_0^{\gamma} \mathscr{B}$ such that

 $\tilde{T}^{n_0+1} = 0, \quad \tilde{T}^{n_0} \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\Delta_0^{\gamma} Q'\right) T = T\left(\Delta_0^{\gamma} Q'\right) = T.$

The existence of T can be proved using the freedom we have to use matrix entries involving $\mathscr{B}_{(1)}$. But it is plain that $\tilde{D}_0^{\omega} \alpha^{-1}(\tilde{T})$ is a nilpotent of order at most n and because $(\tilde{D}_0^{\gamma} \alpha^{-1}(\tilde{T}))^{n_0} = \tilde{D}_0^{\gamma} \alpha^{-1}(\tilde{T})^{n_0}$, Proposition 3.12 implies $(\tilde{D}_0^{\gamma} \alpha^{-1}(\tilde{T}))^{n_0} \neq 0$. The rest of the proof repeats the arguments used in the last part of the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Let us put for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\sigma_n = \left\{ v^{k-1} \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \right) \right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$$

It is easy to see that we have

$$\sigma_m \cap \sigma_n = \emptyset, \quad m \neq n, \qquad \mathbf{N} = \bigcup_{n \in N} \sigma_n \text{ and } v(\sigma_n) = \sigma_n \setminus \left\{ \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \right\}.$$

4.8. LEMMA. Let us put

$$\hat{\mathscr{N}}_{v} = \{ \hat{\sigma} \in \hat{\mathscr{N}} : \hat{v}(\hat{\sigma}) = \hat{\sigma} \neq \hat{\varnothing} \}.$$

Then $\hat{\sigma} \in \hat{\mathcal{N}}_v$ is minimal in $\hat{\mathcal{N}}_v$ if and only if $\hat{\sigma} = \hat{\sigma}_n$ for some $n \in N$.

Proof. Let $\sigma' \in \hat{\sigma} \in \hat{\mathcal{N}}_v$. Since $\mathbf{N} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma_n$ and v(m) > m, $m \in N$ we can find $n \in N$ such that $\sigma' \cap \sigma_n \notin \mathcal{N}_0$. For every $s \in \sigma' \cap \sigma_n$ put

$$g(s) = \sup \{ k \in \mathbb{N} \colon v^{m-1}(s) \in \sigma' \cap \sigma_n, 1 \le m \le k \}$$

If the set $\sigma'' = \{s \in \sigma' \cap \sigma_n : g(s) < \infty\}$ is infinite then $v(\sigma'') \cap \sigma' \cap \sigma_n$ = \emptyset , $\hat{\sigma}'' \neq \hat{\emptyset}$. But this is a contradiction because $\hat{v}(\hat{\sigma}' \cap \hat{\sigma}_n) = \hat{\sigma}' \cap \hat{\sigma}_n$. It follows that there exists $s \in \sigma' \cap \sigma_n$ such that $g(s) = \infty$, and this obviously implies

$$\sigma' \cap \sigma_n \supset \left\{ v^{k-1}(s) \right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \simeq \sigma_n \supset \sigma' \cap \sigma_n$$

This shows that any $\hat{\sigma}_n$ is minimal in $\hat{\mathcal{N}}_v$. If $\hat{\sigma}'$ is minimal and $\hat{\sigma}' \cap \hat{\sigma}_n \neq \hat{\varphi}$, the above reasoning completes the proof by implying that

$$\hat{\sigma}' = \hat{\sigma}' \cap \hat{\sigma}_n = \hat{\sigma}_n.$$

Let $R(\mathcal{N}_{\mathscr{A}}^{\omega})$ denote the set of all ranks of the block-diagonal projections $\{P_n^{\omega}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and analogously we consider $R(\mathcal{N}_{\mathscr{A}}^{\gamma})$.

4.9. LEMMA. Let ω_0 be as in Lemma 4.3 and assume

$$\hat{\alpha}^{\omega}(\omega_0) = \hat{\omega}_0, \quad \text{rank } P_n^{\omega} < \text{rank } P_{n+1}^{\omega}$$

Then $\widehat{R(\mathscr{N}_{\mathscr{A}}^{\omega})} \cap \widehat{R(\mathscr{N}_{\mathscr{A}}^{\gamma})} \neq \hat{\varnothing}.$

282

Proof. Let $P'_n = P'^2_n = P'^* \in \mathscr{A}$, $P'_n \le P^{\omega}_n$ be such that

rank
$$P'_{v^{k-1}(n(n+1)/2)} = \operatorname{rank} P^{\omega}_{n(n+1)/2}, \quad k, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and put $P' = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P'_n$. Using Lemma 1.2, Lemma 1.3 and Lemma 4.4 we may assume that we have $\tilde{Q}' = \alpha(\tilde{P}')$, $\tilde{Q}'_{v(N)} = \alpha(P'_{v(N)})$, $\tilde{Q}^{\gamma}_{v(N)} = \alpha(\tilde{P}^{\omega}_{v(N)})$, where

$$Q' = Q'^2 = Q'^* \in \mathscr{B}, \qquad Q' = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Q'_n, \, Q'_n \le Q_n^{\gamma}.$$

Because $\hat{\alpha}^{\omega}(\hat{\omega}_0) = \hat{\omega}_0$ we can take $Q'_{n(n+1)/2} = Q^{\gamma}_{n(n+1)/2}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $W \in \mathscr{A}$ be a partial isometry such that

 $P_m^{\omega}WP_{v(n)}^{\omega} = 0$ whenever $m \neq n$ and $WW^* = P'$, $W^*W = P'_{v(N)}$. Let $U \in \mathscr{B}$ be such that $\tilde{U} = \alpha(\tilde{W})$ and put

$$U_v = Q'(\Delta_v^{\gamma}U): (Q'_{v(N)}H) \to (Q'H).$$

Since we have $\hat{\alpha}^{\omega}\hat{v}(\hat{N}) = \hat{v}\hat{\alpha}^{\omega}(\hat{N}) = \hat{v}(\hat{N})$ (see Lemma 4.4) applying Lemma 4.6 we know that U_v is Fredholm and this implies

$$\operatorname{rank}(Q'_{v^{k-1}(n)}UQ'_{v^{k}(n)}) = d_{n}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}, \ n \ge n_{0}$$

for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Choose $r = (m(m+1)/2) \ge n_0$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $\hat{\alpha}^{\omega}(\hat{\sigma}) = \hat{\sigma}_m$. It is plain that $\hat{\sigma}$ is minimal in \hat{N}_v , thus by Lemma 4.8, we derive $\hat{\sigma} = \hat{\sigma}_l$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Because by our hypothesis we have

rank
$$P'_{v^{k-1}(l(l+1)/2)} = \operatorname{rank} P^{\omega}_{l(l+1)/2}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

applying Lemma 4.7 we get rank $Q_r^{\gamma} = \operatorname{rank} P_{l(l+1)/2}^{\omega}$, for infinitely many r's which completes the proof.

The map $\hat{\alpha}^{\omega}$ depends on both ω and γ . The dependence on γ , when ω is fixed is an indexation matter. The next lemma will allow us to optimize the choice of γ (modulo \approx), with respect to ω_0 .

4.10. LEMMA. We can choose $\gamma \in \hat{\alpha}(\hat{\omega})$ such that $\hat{\alpha}^{\omega}(\hat{\omega}_0) = \hat{\omega}_0$.

Proof. Let $\gamma' \in \hat{\alpha}(\hat{\omega})$ be of the form $\gamma' = \{\alpha'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \alpha'_n < \alpha'_{n+1}$. By Lemma 4.3 we know that we have $\hat{\alpha}_l^{\omega}(\hat{\omega}_0) = \hat{\tau}^{m'}(\hat{\omega}_0)$ for some integer m'. If we take $\gamma = \{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $\alpha_n = \alpha'_{n+m'}$ for large n and $\delta \in \hat{\tau}^{m'}(\hat{\omega}_0)$, then using Lemma 3.1 we deduce $\hat{\alpha}(\hat{\omega}_{\omega_0}) = \hat{\gamma}_{\delta}' = \hat{\gamma}_{\omega_0}$ or equivalently $\hat{\alpha}^{\omega}(\hat{\omega}_0) = \hat{\omega}_0$.

4.11. LEMMA. Suppose that rank $P_n^{\omega} < \operatorname{rank} P_{n+1}^{\omega}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exist an integer m_0 and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\operatorname{rank} P_n^{\omega} = \operatorname{rank} Q_{n+m_0}^{\gamma} \quad and \quad n \ge n_0, \quad \hat{\alpha}^{\omega} = \hat{\tau}^{m_0}.$$

Proof. Arguing as in Lemma 4.4 we may suppose rank $Q_n^{\gamma} < \operatorname{rank} Q_{n+1}^{\gamma}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the first relation in the statement is obviously equivalent to the relation $R(\mathcal{N}_{\mathscr{A}}^{\omega}) \simeq R(\mathcal{N}_{\mathscr{A}}^{\gamma})$. Suppose $R(\mathcal{N}_{\mathscr{A}}^{\omega}) \neq R(\mathcal{N}_{\mathscr{A}}^{\gamma})$. Then replacing \mathscr{A} and \mathscr{B} by suitable compression algebras (see the preliminaries to Lemma 3.1) we reduce to the case $R(\mathcal{N}_{\mathscr{A}}^{\omega}) \cap R(\mathcal{N}_{\mathscr{A}}^{\gamma}) = \emptyset$. But because $R(\mathcal{N}_{\mathscr{A}}^{\gamma})$ does not depend on $\hat{\gamma}$, applying Lemma 4.10 we may suppose $\hat{\alpha}^{\omega}(\hat{\omega}_0) = \hat{\omega}_0$ and Lemma 4.9 implies $R(\mathcal{N}_{\mathscr{A}}^{\omega}) \cap R(\mathcal{N}_{\mathscr{A}}^{\gamma}) \neq \hat{\emptyset}$, a contradiction. Thus we conclude that we have

$$\operatorname{rank} P_n^{\omega} = \operatorname{rank} Q_{n+m_0}^{\gamma}, \qquad n \ge n_0.$$

Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{N}$, $\delta \in \alpha^{\omega}(\hat{\sigma})$. Applying the first part of the proof to the compression algebras $A_{\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}^{\omega}}$ and B_{OI} we deduce

$$\{\operatorname{rank} P_n^{\omega} \colon n \in \sigma\} \simeq \{\operatorname{rank} Q_n^{\gamma} \colon n \in \delta\}$$

and this obviously implies $\hat{\delta} = \hat{\tau}^{m_0}(\hat{\sigma})$.

4.12. COROLLARY. Let $\sigma = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [b_n, c_n], b_n \le c_n < b_{n+1}$ and let $\delta \in \hat{\alpha}(\hat{\sigma})$ where $\delta = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [b'_n, c'_n], b'_n \le c'_n < b'_{n+1}$. If rank $P_{[b_n, c_n]} < \text{rank } P_{[b_{n+1}, c_{n+1}]}$ then there exists an integer m_0 and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

rank $P_{[b_n,c_n]} = \operatorname{rank} Q_{[b'_{n+m_0},c'_{n+m_0}]}, \quad n \ge n_0.$

Proof. We reduce to the application of Lemma 4.1 to the compression algebras $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{P}_{a}}$ and $\mathscr{B}_{O_{\delta}}$.

4.13. LEMMA. Suppose that there exists an integer m_0 such that $\hat{\alpha} = \hat{\tau}^{m_0}$. Then there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

 $\operatorname{rank} P_n = \operatorname{rank} Q_{n+m_0}, \qquad n \ge n_0.$

Proof. Suppose the contrary. Then we can reduce to the case

 $\operatorname{rank} P_{a_n} \neq \operatorname{rank} Q_{\alpha_n}, \quad \operatorname{rank} P_n^{\omega} < \operatorname{rank} P_{(a_n, a_{n+1})}, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N},$

where $\alpha_n = a_n + m_0$ for large *n*. Applying Lemma 4.11 we can find an integer m'_0 such that

$$\operatorname{rank} P_n^{\omega} = \operatorname{rank} Q_{n+m_0}^{\gamma}, \quad n \ge n_0, \qquad \hat{\alpha}^{\omega} = \hat{\tau}^{m_0'}.$$

Using Lemma 3.11 we infer $\hat{\alpha}(\hat{\omega}_{\sigma}) = \hat{\tau}^{m_0}(\hat{\omega}_{\sigma}) = \hat{\gamma}_{\delta}$ for every $\sigma \in \mathcal{N}$, $\delta \in \hat{\tau}^{m'_0}(\hat{\sigma})$ which yields

$$\left\{a_n+m_0\right\}_{n\in\sigma}\simeq \left\{a_{n+m_0'}+m_0\right\}_{n\in\sigma}.$$

This obviously implies $m'_0 = 0$ and in particular

(1) $\operatorname{rank} P_n^{\omega} = \operatorname{rank} Q_n^{\gamma}, \quad n \ge n_0, \quad \hat{\alpha}^{\omega}(\hat{\sigma}) = \hat{\sigma}, \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{N}.$

Applying Corollary 4.12 we can find an integer m''_0 such that

rank
$$P_{[a_{n-1}+1,a_n-1]} = \operatorname{rank} Q_{[\alpha_{n-1}+m_0^{\prime}+1,\alpha_{n+m_0^{\prime}}-1]}, \quad n \ge n_0.$$

Using Lemma 3.11 and Lemma 3.13 we deduce as before $m_0^{\prime\prime} = 0$ and

(2)
$$\operatorname{rank} P_{[a_{n-1}+1,a_n-1]} = \operatorname{rank} Q_{[\alpha_{n-1}+1,\alpha_n-1]}, \quad n \ge n_0.$$

Subtracting (2) from (1) we get rank $P_{a_n} = \operatorname{rank} Q_{\alpha_n}$, $n \ge n_0$, a contradiction.

The Proof of Theorem 4.1. Using Lemma 4.13 it suffices to prove that we have $\hat{\alpha} = \hat{\tau}^{m_0}$ for some integer. Applying Lemma 3.1 we shall suppose rank $P_n = \operatorname{rank} Q_n = 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Take $\omega = \omega_0$, m_0 produced by Lemma 4.3 such that $\hat{\alpha}(\hat{\omega}) = \hat{\tau}^{m_0}(\hat{\omega})$ and $\alpha_n = a_n + m_0$ for large *n*. Suppose that we have $\hat{\alpha}(\hat{\sigma}') \neq \hat{\tau}^{m_0}(\sigma')$ for some $\sigma' \in \mathcal{N}$ and pick $\delta' \in \hat{\alpha}(\hat{\sigma}')$. Let $\sigma'_0 \in \mathcal{N}$ be such that $\sigma' = \{c_n\}_{m \in \sigma'_0}, \delta' = \{c'_n\}_{n \in \sigma'_0}$ and

$$a_{n-1} < c_n \le a_n, \quad \alpha_{n-1} < c'_n \le \alpha_n, \qquad n \in \sigma'_0$$

Case I. The sequence $\{c_n - a_{n-1}\}_{n \in \sigma'_0}$ is strictly increasing. Let us put

$$P = \sum_{n \in \sigma'_0} P_{[a_{n-1},c_n]}, \qquad Q = \sum_{n \in \sigma'_0} Q_{[\alpha_{n-1},c'_n]}$$

and assume (after a similarity) that $\tilde{Q} = \hat{\alpha}(\tilde{P})$. Decreasing σ' if necessary we may assume $\hat{\delta}' \cap \hat{\tau}^{m_0}(\hat{\sigma}') = \hat{\varnothing}$ and then (because $\alpha_n = a_n + m_0$, $c'_n \neq c_n + m_0, n \in \sigma'_0$)

$$\left\{\operatorname{rank} P_{[a_{n-1},c_n]}\right\}_{n\in\sigma'_0}\cap\left\{\operatorname{rank} Q_{[\alpha_{n-1},c'_n]}\right\}_{n\in\sigma'_0}=\varnothing$$

But making a natural identification and applying Lemma 4.11 to

$$\alpha_P \colon \tilde{\mathscr{A}}_P \to \tilde{\mathscr{B}}_Q$$

we deduce

$$\left\{\operatorname{rank} P_{[a_{n-1},c_n]}\right\}_{n \in \sigma'_0} \simeq \left\{\operatorname{rank} Q_{[\alpha_{n-1},c'_n]}\right\}_{n \in \sigma'_0}$$

and this is a contradiction.

Case II. The sequence $\{c_n - a_{n-1}\}_{n \in \sigma'_0}$ is not strictly increasing. If $\{c_n - a_{n-1}\}_{n \in \sigma'_0}$ is unbounded, decreasing σ' we can reduce to Case I. If $\{c_n - a_{n-1}\}_{n \in \sigma'_0}$ is bounded, then decreasing σ' we may suppose that the sequence $\{a_n - c_n\}_{n \in \sigma'_0}$ is strictly increasing and then proceed as before.

REMARK. The best we could expect would be that α is implemented by a Calkin similarity. Though Theorem 4.1 does not provide such a similarity, it suggests that its existence is a reasonable conjecture. It also seems reasonable to conjecture that the conclusion of Theorem 4.1 holds true if we assume only that the algebras $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{(0)}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{(0)}$ are isomorphic.

References

- [1] W. Arveson, *Ten lectures on operator algebras*, CBMS Regional Conference, Lubbock, Texas, 1983.
- [2] J. Erdos, Non-selfadjoint operator algebras, Proc. Royal Irish Acad., 81A (1981), 127-145.
- [3] T. Fall, W. Arveson and P. Muhly, Perturbations of nest algebras, J. Operator Theory, 1 (1979), 135–150.
- [4] J. Plastiras, Quasitriangular operator algebras, Pacific J. Math., 64 (1976), 543-550.
- [5] _____, Compact perturbations of von Neumann algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 234 (1977), 561–577.
- [6] J. R. Ringrose, On some algebras of operators, Proc. London Math. Soc., 15 (1965), 61–83.

Received January 28, 1985.

ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY TEMPE, AZ 85287

AND

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA LINCOLN, NE 68588