

ON A QUESTION OF FEIT CONCERNING
CHARACTER VALUES OF
FINITE SOLVABLE GROUPS

GIDEON AMIT AND DAVID CHILLAG

Let χ be an irreducible character of a finite group G and let f be the smallest integer such that $\{\chi(x) | x \in G\} \subseteq Q(\sqrt[f]{1})$. The question raised by W. Feit is: Does G contain an element of order f . In this article we give an affirmative answer to the question for solvable groups.

Introduction. Let G be a finite group and χ an irreducible complex character of G . Denote by $Q(\chi)$ the field obtained by adjoining the values of χ to the rational number field Q . For every positive integer m we denote by Q_m the field $Q(\omega)$, where ω is a primitive m th root of unity. Finally, denote by $f(\chi)$ the smallest positive integer f for which $Q(\chi) \subseteq Q_f$.

The following question has been raised by Walter Feit (see e.g. [4] p. 178): Let χ be an irreducible complex character of a finite group G , does G contain an element of order $f(\chi)$?

In this article we show that if G is solvable the answer to the question is positive. Before stating this result we survey the known positive answers to the question.

Brauer ([3] Corollary 4) gave an affirmative answer in the case that $f(\chi)$ has the form $f(\chi) = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ where $\alpha_i \geq 2$ for all i and the p_i 's are primes. There is no restriction on G . In [5] Gow gives an affirmative answer in the case that G has odd order with no restriction on $f(\chi)$. In [1], Brauer's and Gow's methods are generalized and an affirmative answer is given (Theorem 2.2 of [1]) in a case of which both Brauer's and Gow's cases are special cases. Also, it is fairly easy to prove ([2]) that if $f(\chi)$ has the form $f(\chi) = p^\alpha q^\beta$, p and q primes, the answer is also positive. The main result of this paper is:

THEOREM. *Let G be a finite solvable group and χ an irreducible complex character of G , then G contains an element of order $f(\chi)$.*

Most of our notation is standard and taken mainly from [6]. Some other pieces of notation will be introduced as we go along.

2. Preliminaries and proof of the theorem. The notation $o(a)$ will be used to denote the order of the element a of a group. If G is a finite group and $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ we let $\pi(\chi) = \{p \mid p \text{ a prime divisor of } f(\chi)\}$. For each $p \in \pi(\chi)$ we fix a generator, $\sigma_p(\chi)$ of the cyclic group $\text{Gal}(Q_f/Q_{f/p})$, where $f = f(\chi)$. By Galois theory we have that

$$o(\sigma_p(\chi)) = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } p^2 \mid f \\ p - 1 & \text{if } p^2 \nmid f. \end{cases}$$

We note that if $p^2 \nmid f$ then $p \neq 2$. It is clear from the definitions that for all $p \in \pi(\chi)$ we have that $\chi^{\sigma_p(\chi)} \neq \chi$.

LEMMA 1. *Let H be a subgroup of the finite group G , $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ and $\psi \in \text{Irr}(H)$.*

(a) *If $Q(\chi) \subseteq Q(\psi)$ then $f(\chi) \mid f(\psi)$.*

(b) *If $Q(\psi) \subseteq Q(\chi)$ and $\psi^{\sigma_p(\chi)} \neq \psi$ for all $p \in \pi(\chi)$, then $f(\chi) = f(\psi)$.*

Proof. If $Q(\chi) \subseteq Q(\psi)$ then $Q_{f(\chi)} \subseteq Q_{f(\psi)}$ and (a) follows. As $\psi^{\sigma_p(\chi)} \neq \psi$ is equivalent to $Q(\psi) \not\subseteq Q_{f(\chi)/p}$ we get that (b) holds.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, $f = f(\chi)$ and $\pi = \pi(\chi)$. If G contains no element of order $f(\chi)$ then there exist $p \in \pi$ such that:*

(a) $p^2 \nmid f$, and

(b) $\chi^{\sigma_p(\chi)} = \chi^\tau$ for some $\tau \in \text{Gal}(Q_f/Q_p)$.

Proof. Let $\sigma_q = \sigma_q(\chi)$ for each $q \in \pi$ and set $\mathcal{G} = \text{Gal}(Q_f/Q)$. Denote by A the abelian subgroup of the ring of class functions of G that is generated by $\{\chi^\sigma \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{G}\}$. For each $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\alpha \in A$ define $\alpha \cdot \sigma = \alpha^\sigma$. Then A becomes a $Z\mathcal{G}$ -module, where Z is the ring of integers.

Let $g \in G$. Then $o(g)$ is not divisible by the full q -part of f for some $q \in \pi$. Then $\alpha(g)^{\sigma_q} = \alpha(g)$ for all $\alpha \in A$. It follows that if $\beta \in A \cdot (\sigma_q - 1)$ then $\beta(g) = 0$. Since each $g \in G$ has such a $q \in \pi$, we get that if $\beta \in A \cdot \prod_{q \in \pi} (\sigma_q - 1)$, then $\beta(g) = 0$ for all $g \in G$. This shows that $\prod_{q \in \pi} (\sigma_q - 1)$ annihilates A and in particular it annihilates χ .

Let π_0 be a subset of π minimal such that $\chi \cdot \prod_{q \in \pi_0} (\sigma_q - 1) = 0$. Let p be the largest prime in π_0 and set $\pi_1 = \pi_0 - \{p\}$. Write $\varepsilon = \prod_{q \in \pi_1} (\sigma_q - 1)$, then $\chi \cdot (\sigma_p - 1)\varepsilon = 0$ and the minimality of π_0 implies that $\chi \cdot \varepsilon \neq 0$. Hence $\chi \cdot \sigma_p \varepsilon = \chi \cdot \varepsilon \neq 0$. An irreducible constituent of $\chi \cdot \sigma_p \varepsilon = \chi^{\sigma_p} \cdot \varepsilon$ has a form $\chi \cdot \sigma_p \mu = \chi \cdot \nu$ where μ, ν are in the abelian group $B = \langle \sigma_q \mid q \in \pi_1 \rangle$. Thus $\chi \cdot \sigma_p = \chi \cdot \nu \mu^{-1}$. Let $\tau = \nu \mu^{-1}$, then

$\chi^{\sigma_p} = \chi^\tau$ and $\tau \in B$. We note that if $q \in \pi_1$, then $\sigma_q \in \text{Gal}(Q_f/Q_{f/q}) \subseteq \text{Gal}(Q_f/Q_p)$ as $q \neq p$. It follows that $\tau \in B \subseteq \text{Gal}(Q_f/Q_p)$ as required.

Finally, we claim that $p^2 \nmid f$. For if $p^2 \mid f$, then $o(\sigma_p) = p$ and the equality $\chi^{\sigma_p} = \chi^\tau$ implies that $p \mid o(\tau)$. On the other hand the maximality of p implies that $o(\sigma_q) \leq q < p$ for all $\sigma_q \in B$. As τ is a product of elements of B we get that $p \nmid o(\tau)$, a contradiction.

DEFINITIONS. (1) Let G be a finite solvable group. A p -chief factor of G , K/L , is called distinguished if $p \nmid |G:K|$. There is in this case a unique conjugacy class of complements of K/L in G , a complement being a subgroup H of G such that $G = KH$ and $K \cap H = L$, $|H| < |G|$.

(2) If $N \triangleleft G$ and $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$ we define $\text{Irr}(G|\theta) = \{ \chi \in \text{Irr}(G) \mid [\chi_N, \theta] \neq 0 \}$.

The next lemma sums up some known facts from character correspondence theory that will be needed in the proof of the Theorem.

LEMMA 3. *Let K/L be a distinguished chief factor of the solvable finite group G and let H be a complement of K/L . Suppose that $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ is primitive. Then χ_K and χ_L have, each, a unique irreducible constituent, θ and ϕ respectively, and there are just two possibilities:*

(i) $\theta_L = \phi$. *In this case the mapping $\mu \rightarrow \mu_H$ is a bijection from $\text{Irr}(G|\theta)$ to $\text{Irr}(H|\phi)$. In particular: $\chi_H = \xi \in \text{Irr}(H|\phi)$ and ξ and θ together uniquely determine χ . Thus if $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(Q_{f(\chi)}/Q)$ and $\xi^\sigma = \xi$ and $\theta^\sigma = \theta$, then $\chi^\sigma = \chi$.*

(ii) $\theta_L = e\phi$ with $e^2 = |K:L|$. *In this case there is a canonically defined bijection $\text{Irr}(G|\theta) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(H|\phi)$. If $\chi \rightarrow \xi$ in this bijection then each of χ and ξ uniquely determines θ and ϕ and so each determines the other. It follows by Galois theory that $Q(\chi) = Q(\xi)$.*

Proof. See [6], [7] and [8].

PROPOSITION 4. *Let G be a finite solvable group and $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$. Assume that there exists no proper subgroup X of G and $\psi \in \text{Irr}(X)$ such that $f(\chi)$ divides $f(\psi)$. For a $p \in \pi = \pi(\chi)$, let K/L be a distinguished p -chief factor and let H be a complement of K/L . If $\sigma_p = \sigma_p(\chi)$, then*

- (a) $\chi_H = \xi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ and $\xi^{\sigma_p} = \xi$.
- (b) $\chi_K = a\theta$, $\theta \in \text{Irr}(K)$, a a positive integer and $\theta^{\sigma_p} \neq \theta$.
- (c) $\theta_L = \phi \in \text{Irr}(L)$ and $\phi^{\sigma_p} = \phi$.

Proof. Assume that the Proposition is false and choose p as large as possible to get a counterexample. Then the conclusions of the Proposition

are false for some distinguished p -chief factor, K/L , and they hold for distinguished q -chief factors for $q > p, q \in \pi$.

If χ is induced from some proper subgroup X of G , say $\chi = \psi^G$, $\psi \in \text{Irr}(X)$, then $Q(\chi) \subseteq Q(\psi)$ and so $f(\chi)$ divides $f(\psi)$. This is a contradiction. Thus χ is primitive so we apply Lemma 3. Hence $\chi_K = a\theta$ for some $\theta \in \text{Irr}(K)$ and a natural number a . Let ϕ be the unique irreducible constituent of χ_L . If $\theta_L = e\phi$ with $e^2 = |K : L|$ then there is a $\xi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ with $Q(\chi) = Q(\xi)$. Therefore $f(\chi) = f(\xi)$, a contradiction. Thus $\theta_L = \phi \in \text{Irr}(L)$ and $\chi_H = \xi \in \text{Irr}(H)$.

Now $Q(\xi) \subseteq Q(\chi)$ but $f(\xi) \neq f(\chi)$ and thus there exist $q \in \pi$ with $\xi^{\sigma_q} = \xi$ (see Lemma 1). Note that $\xi_L = \chi_L = a\phi$ and hence $\phi^{\sigma_q} = \phi$. Since $\chi^{\sigma_q} \neq \chi$ and χ is uniquely determined by ξ and θ , we must have $\theta^{\sigma_q} \neq \theta$. If $q = p$, then K/L is not a counterexample contrary to hypothesis. Therefore $q \neq p$.

Next $(\theta^{\sigma_q})_L = \phi^{\sigma_q} = \phi$ and so θ and θ^{σ_q} are two distinct extensions of ϕ and hence θ and θ^{σ_q} are two distinct irreducible constituents of ϕ^K . By [6] Corollary 6.17 we get that $\theta^{\sigma_q} = \lambda\theta$ for some $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(K/L)$, $\lambda \neq 1$. Since $o(\lambda) = p \neq q$ we have that $\lambda^{\sigma_q} = \lambda$. Thus for every positive integer k we have $\theta^{(\sigma_q)^k} = \theta\lambda^k$. By taking $k = o(\sigma_q)$ we obtain that $\theta = \theta\lambda^k$ and hence $\lambda^k = 1$ by (6.17) of [6]. It follows that $p|o(\sigma_q)$. Recall that $o(\sigma_q) = q$ or $q - 1$ and $p \neq q$. Therefore $p|q - 1$ and $q > p$.

Hence, if K_0/L_0 is any distinguished q -chief factor, then the conclusions of the Proposition hold. This means that $\chi_{K_0} = a_0\theta_0$, $(\theta_0)_{L_0} = \phi_0$, $\theta_0^{\sigma_q} \neq \theta_0$, $\phi_0^{\sigma_q} = \phi_0$ where $\theta_0 \in \text{Irr}(K_0)$, $\phi_0 \in \text{Irr}(L_0)$ and a_0 is a positive integer. If $q || G : K$, then we can choose K_0/L_0 with $K \subseteq L_0$. Then $\chi_{L_0} = a_0\phi_0$ and so $a\theta = \chi_K = a_0(\phi_0)_K$ and hence $(\phi_0)_K = (a/a_0)\theta$. Since $\phi_0^{\sigma_q} = \phi_0$ we get that $\theta^{\sigma_q} = \theta$, a contradiction. Therefore $q \nmid |G : K|$. Now we choose K_0/L_0 with $K_0 \subseteq L$ and as above we get that ϕ_{K_0} is a multiple of θ_0 . But $\phi^{\sigma_q} = \phi$ and this yields $(\theta_0)^{\sigma_q} = \theta_0$, a contradiction. This completes the proof.

Proof of the Theorem. Let G be a minimal counterexample. If G contains a proper subgroup H with $\psi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ such that $f(\chi)|f(\psi)$, then by induction H contains an element h with $o(h) = f(\psi)$. Then there exist $g \in \langle h \rangle$ with $o(g) = f(\chi)$, a contradiction. Hence G satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 4 and therefore its conclusions. Set $f = f(\chi)$, $\pi = \pi(\chi)$ and $\sigma_q = \sigma_q(\chi)$ for all $q \in \pi$. By Proposition 2 we can choose $p \in \pi$ with $p^2 \nmid f$ and $\tau \in \text{Gal}(Q_f/Q_p)$ such that $\chi^{\sigma_p} = \chi^\tau$. Clearly $p \neq 2$. Let K/L be a distinguished p -chief factor and H a complement of K/L . Then by Proposition 4 we get: $\chi_H = \xi$, $\chi_K = a\theta$, $\theta_L = \phi$ where $\xi \in$

$\text{Irr}(H)$, $\theta \in \text{Irr}(K)$, $\phi \in \text{Irr}(L)$ and a a positive integer. Moreover $\xi^{\sigma_p} = \xi$, $\phi^{\sigma_p} = \phi$ but $\theta^{\sigma_p} \neq \theta$.

Since $\phi^{\sigma_p} = \phi$, we have that $Q(\phi) \subseteq Q_{f/p}$ and as $p^2 \nmid f$ we conclude that ϕ is p -rational. As $p \neq 2$, Theorem (6.30) of [6] implies that ϕ has a unique p -rational extension $\mu \in \text{Irr}(K)$. As $\theta_L = \mu_L = \phi$ we get by (6.17) of [6] that $\theta = \lambda\mu$ for some $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(K/L)$. Note that ϕ and μ uniquely determine each other so that $Q(\mu) = Q(\phi) \subseteq Q(\theta) \subseteq Q(\chi) \subseteq Q_f$. Also μ^{σ_p} is a p -rational extension of $\phi^{\sigma_p} = \phi$ and by the uniqueness we have $\mu^{\sigma_p} = \mu$.

Note that $\lambda(g) \in Q_p$ for all $g \in K/L$ and so $\lambda^\tau = \lambda$. Also, σ_p agrees with τ on $Q(\chi)$. Since $Q(\theta) \subseteq Q(\chi)$, this yields

$$\theta^{\sigma_p} = \theta^\tau = (\lambda\mu)^\tau = \lambda^\tau\mu^\tau = \lambda\mu^{\sigma_p} = \lambda\mu = \theta.$$

This contradiction completes the proof.

Acknowledgment. We wish to thank Professor I. Martin Isaacs for carefully reading our manuscript and for providing us detailed suggestions which simplified the proof of the theorem considerably.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Amit and D. Chillag, *Character Values, Conjugacy Classes and a Problem of Feit*, to appear in Houston J. of Math.
- [2] ———, *On a question of W. Feit concerning values of characters of finite groups*. Proceedings of the fourth international conference on representations of algebras. Edited by V. Dlab. Carleton-Ottawa Mathematical Lecture Notes Series.
- [3] R. Brauer, *A note on theorems of Burnside and Blichfeldt*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **15** (1964), 31–34.
- [4] W. Feit, *Some consequences of the classification of finite simple groups*, the Santa-Cruz conference on finite groups, Proc. of Symposia in pure math. vol. 37, Amer. Math. Soc., (1980), 175–181.
- [5] R. Gow, *Character values of groups of odd order and a question of Feit*, J. Algebra, **68** (1981), 75–78.
- [6] I. M. Isaacs, *Character Theory of Finite Groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [7] ———, *Characters of solvable and symplectic groups*, Amer. J. Math., **85** (1973), 594–635.
- [8] T. R. Wolf, *Character correspondences in solvable groups*, Illinois J. Math., **22** (1978), 327–340.

Received December 7, 1984 and in revised form July 9, 1985.

TECHNION—ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
 HAIFA 32000, ISRAEL

