

# SPANNED AND AMPLE VECTOR BUNDLES WITH LOW CHERN NUMBERS

EDOARDO BALlico

**Here we classify pairs  $(V, E)$  with  $V$  projective variety,  $\dim(V) = n$ ,  $E$  ample and spanned rank-2 vector bundle and, if  $n = 2k$ ,  $c_2(E)^k = 1$ , if  $n = 2k + 1$ ,  $c_1(E)c_2(E)^k = 2$ . In both cases  $V = \mathbb{P}^n$  and  $E$  is the direct sum of two line bundles of degree 1.**

**Introduction.** In the last few years a few papers appeared (e.g. [LP], [LS], [W1], [W2]) giving classifications, under suitable assumptions, of pairs  $(V, E)$  with  $V$  projective variety and  $E$  an ample, spanned vector bundle with low Chern classes. It is natural to arise the following conjecture (which is proved in 2.2 in a stronger form if the bundle is the direct sum of  $r$  line bundles):

*Conjecture.* Fix integers  $n, r, s, i_1, \dots, i_s$ , with  $s > 0$ ,  $0 < r$ ,  $0 < i_t \leq \min(r, n)$ ,  $i_1 + \dots + i_s = n$ . Fix an irreducible, complete variety  $V$ ,  $\dim(V) = n$ , and an ample vector bundle  $E$ ,  $E$  spanned by global sections. Then

$$c_{i_1}(E) \cdots c_{i_s}(E) \geq \binom{r}{i_1} \cdots \binom{r}{i_s}$$

and if we have equality, then  $V \cong \mathbb{P}^n$  and  $E \cong r\mathcal{O}_V(1)$ .

Here we work over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbf{K}$  and prove the following results.

**THEOREM 1.** *Fix an even integer  $n = 2k > 0$ . Let  $V$  be an integral complete variety and  $E$  a rank-2 ample vector bundle on  $V$ ,  $E$  spanned by its global sections and with  $c_2(E)^k = 1$ . Assume either  $V$  Cohen-Macaulay or  $\text{char}(\mathbf{K}) = 0$ . Then  $V \cong \mathbb{P}^n$  and  $E \cong 2\mathcal{O}_V(1)$ .*

**THEOREM 2.** *Fix an odd integer  $n = 2k + 1 > 0$ . Let  $V$  be an integral complete variety and  $E$  a rank-2 ample vector bundle on  $V$ ,  $E$  spanned by its global sections and with  $c_1(E)c_2(E)^k = 2$ . Assume either  $V$  Cohen-Macaulay or  $\text{char}(\mathbf{K}) = 0$ . Then  $V \cong \mathbb{P}^n$  and  $E \cong 2\mathcal{O}_V(1)$ .*

For a fixed variety  $V$ , Theorem 1 follows from the conjecture of [LS]; hence Theorem 1 was known in several cases proved in [LP], [W1], 3.4, [W2].

This paper is dedicated to Alessandra.

**NOTATIONS.** For a projective space  $X$ , we write  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  instead of  $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$  when there is no danger of misunderstanding. A vector bundle is called spanned if it is spanned by its global sections. We use  $|L|$ ,  $L \in \text{Pic}(Y)$ , for the linear system associated to the sections of  $L$ .

### 1. Proof of Theorem 1.

**LEMMA 1.1.** *Let  $V$  be an integral complete variety,  $\dim(V) = n$ , and  $E$  an ample vector bundle on  $V$ ,  $\text{rk}(E) = r$ ,  $E$  spanned by a linear subspace  $W$  of its sections. Then  $\dim(W) \geq n + r$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $L := \mathcal{O}_{P(E)}(1)$ . Using  $(P(E), L)$  instead of  $(V, E)$  we reduce to the case  $r = 1$ . Now use that the map induced by  $|L|$  is finite by the ampleness of  $E$ .  $\square$

We omit the proof of the following general result suggested by the referee, since it will be either used in cases (e.g. under assumption (\$)) in the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2) in which the existence of a suitable section with zero-locus of the right codimension is trivial or proved directly (claim in the proof of 1.3).

**LEMMA 1.2.** *Let  $E$  be a rank- $v$  ample vector bundle on an  $n$ -fold  $X$ . Assume that  $E$  is spanned by its sections. Let  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  be  $k$  points in  $X$ . If  $kv \leq \dim(X)$ , then there is  $s \in H^0(E)$  such that  $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \subseteq (s)_0$  and  $\text{codim}(s)_0 = v$ . Furthermore we may assume that  $x_2$  is a tangent vector at  $x_1$ .*

**LEMMA 1.3.** *Let  $S$  be an integral complete surface and  $E$  a rank-2 spanned ample vector bundle with  $c_2(E) = 1$ . Then  $(S, E) \cong (\mathbf{P}^2, 2\mathcal{O}(1))$ .*

*Proof.* If  $S$  is normal, the result is well known (see e.g. [B] if  $\text{char}(\mathbf{K}) > 0$ ). Assume that  $S$  is not normal. Let  $p: S' \rightarrow S$  be the normalization. Let  $E' := p^*(E)$ . We have  $(S', E') \cong (\mathbf{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(1))$ . Fix a nonnormal point  $x \in S$ , hence with  $\text{length}(p^{-1}(x)) > 1$ .

*Claim.* There is a section  $s$  of  $E$  with  $x \in (s)_0$  and  $\text{codim}((s)_0) = 2$ .

Assume the claim. Then  $p^*(s)$  is a section of  $E'$  vanishing in codimension 2. Since  $c_2(E') < \text{length}(p^{-1}(x))$ , we get a contradiction.

*Proof of the claim.* Let  $F$  be the fiber of the projection  $t: \mathbf{P}(E) \rightarrow S$  over  $x$  and  $L := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(E)}(1)$  the tautological line bundle. Let  $h: \mathbf{P}(E) \rightarrow |L|$  be the map induced by  $|L|$ . Since  $L$  is spanned,  $h(F)$  is a line. Since  $L$  is ample,  $h^{-1}(h(F))$  is a curve. Set  $A := t(h^{-1}(h(F)))$ , and let  $A(1), \dots, A(s)$  be the irreducible components of  $A$  of dimension 1 (if any). Let  $P(i)$  be a general point of  $A(i)$ . Since  $h^{-1}(h(F)) \cap t^{-1}(P(i))$  is finite, a general section of  $E$  vanishing at  $x$  does not vanish at  $P(i)$ . By Bertini's theorem ([K]) applied to  $S \setminus A$ , we get that a general section  $s$  of  $E$  with  $x \in (s)_0$  vanishes only in codimension 2.  $\square$

The proof of Theorem 1 will be divided into several steps ((a), ..., (m)). It will give also Theorem 2 and most of the results stated in the next section.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* (a) Take  $s \in H^0(E)$  with  $X := (s)_0$  of codimension 2. We want to prove that  $(X, E|X) \cong (\mathbf{P}^{n-2}, 2\mathcal{O}(1))$ . Since  $c_2(E|X)^{k-1} = 1$ ,  $X$  is generically reduced and  $Y := X_{\text{red}}$  is irreducible. By the inductive assumption,  $(Y, E|Y) \cong (\mathbf{P}^{n-2}, 2\mathcal{O}(1))$ . If  $V$  is Cohen-Macaulay, then  $X$  is Cohen-Macaulay; since  $X$  is generically reduced, it is reduced. Now assume  $\text{char}(\mathbf{K}) = 0$ . Then a general section  $s'$  of  $E$  has  $W := (s')_0$  reduced, hence  $(W, E|W) \cong (\mathbf{P}^{n-2}, 2\mathcal{O}(1))$ . Set  $H := \det(E)$ . For every closed subscheme  $Z$  of  $V$ , let  $p_Z$  be the Hilbert polynomial of  $\mathcal{O}_Z$  with respect to  $H$ . Using either flatness or the short exact sequences determined by  $s$  and  $s'$ , we see that  $p_X = p_W$ . Assume that  $X$  is not reduced and let  $k \geq 0$  be the dimension of the support of the nilradical of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ . For a fixed  $Z$  and a general  $D \in |L|$ , we have  $p_{Z \cap D}(n) = p_Z(n) - p_Z(n-1)$ . Hence  $p_{X \cap D} = p_{W \cap D}$ . Taking  $k$  general divisors of  $L$ , we get a contradiction.

(b) Set  $T := \{(s)_0: s \in H^0(E), \text{codim}((s)_0) = 2\}$ . By the proof of the claim in the proof of 1.3 (or by 1.2), for every  $x \in V$ , there is  $S \in T$  with  $x \in S$ .

(c) Now we prove that  $V$  is smooth. Indeed by (b) and (a) for every  $x \in V$  there is a smooth subvariety  $S$  of codimension 2 and locally complete intersection in  $V$ , with  $x \in S$ .

(d) Now we give a few definitions. A curve  $C \subset V$  is called a line if  $C \cong \mathbf{P}^1$  and  $E|C \cong 2\mathcal{O}(1)$ . A line  $C$  is called of type  $T$  if it is contained in some  $S \in T$ . Fix any  $S \in T$ . For any smooth codimension 2 subvariety  $Y$  of  $V$  which is an embedded deformation of  $S$ , we have  $(Y, E|Y) \cong (\mathbf{P}^{n-2}, 2\mathcal{O}(1))$  by the invariance of Chern numbers under deformations. Call  $G$  the set of such  $Y$ . A line is called of type  $G$  if it is contained in some  $Y \in G$ .

(e) Now we prove that for all  $x, y \in V$ ,  $x \neq y$ , there is  $S \in T$  with  $\{x, y\} \subset S$ .

*Proof.* For any  $z \in V$ , let  $F(z)$  be the fiber over  $z$  of the projection  $\mathbf{P}(E) \rightarrow V$ . Let  $L := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(E)}(1)$  be the tautological line bundle and  $h: \mathbf{P}(E) \rightarrow |L|$  be the induced map. Since  $L$  is spanned,  $h(F(z))$  is a line for all  $z$ , hence  $h(F(x)) \cup h(F(y))$  spans a linear space  $O$  of dimension at most 3. We conclude as in the proof of the claim in the proof of 1.3.

(f) By (e) for all  $x, y \in V$  with  $x \neq y$  there is a line of type  $T$  containing  $x$  and  $y$ . Now we want to prove that there is a unique line containing  $x$  and  $y$ . Take  $S \in T$  with  $\{x, y\} \subset S$  and let  $C$  be a line containing  $x$  and  $y$ . Since  $E|_C \cong 2\mathcal{O}(1)$ , any section of  $E$  vanishing on  $x$  and  $y$  vanishes on  $C$ . Thus  $C \subset S$ , hence the uniqueness of the line containing  $x$  and  $y$ . Hence every line is of type  $T$ . Since  $E|_S$  is the normal bundle to  $S$  in  $V$ , every line is a smooth point of the Hilbert scheme of  $V$ . Write  $(x, y)$  for the line containing  $x$  and  $y$ ,  $x \neq y$ .

(g) Now assume the existence of a divisor  $D > 0$  with  $h^0(E(-D)) \neq 0$ . Fix  $x, y, z$  in  $V$  with  $x \in D$ ,  $y \notin D$ . Take  $X \in T$ ,  $X$  containing  $x, y$ . Since  $D \cap S$  is a positive divisor, we have  $\mathcal{O}(D)|_S \cong \mathcal{O}_S(1)$ . Fix a section  $s$  of  $E(-D)$ ,  $s \neq 0$ . We have seen that  $s$  does not vanish on  $S$  or vanishes identically on  $S$ . By (e) we get easily that  $s$  vanishes nowhere on  $S$ , hence it does not vanish at  $x$  or at  $y$ . Thus  $s$  does not vanish at all and shows that  $E(-D)$  is the extension of a line bundle  $M$  by the trivial line bundle. Set  $R := M(D)$ . We have seen that  $R|_S \cong \mathcal{O}_S(1)$  for every  $S \in T$ . Since  $R$  is a quotient of  $E$ ,  $R$  is ample and spanned. Fix  $A \in |R|$ ,  $u \in A$ ,  $z \in A$ ,  $v \notin A$ ,  $S \in T$ ,  $Q \in T$  with  $u \in S \cap Q$ ,  $v \in S$ ,  $z \in Q$ . Since  $R|_S \cong \mathcal{O}_S(1)$ , one sees that  $A$  is smooth and irreducible, and that for any two points of  $A$ , the line containing them is contained in  $A$ . Fix  $W \in T$ . Since  $h^0(R|_W) = n - 1 \leq h^0(R) - 2$ , there are  $A, B \in R$  with  $A \neq B$ ,  $W \subseteq (A \cap B)$ . Since  $A \cap B$  contains the line joining any two of its points,  $(A \cap B)_{\text{red}} = W$ . Fix  $a \in W$  and  $C \in |R|$  with  $a \notin C$ . Since  $V$  is the union of the lines  $(a, t)$ ,  $t \in C$ , there is a line  $(a, m)$  not tangent to  $A$  at  $a$ . Take  $B'$  in the pencil of  $R$  spanned by  $A$  and  $B$ , with  $m \in B'$ . Then  $A \cap B'$  is smooth at  $a$ , hence everywhere i.e.  $(A \cap B) = W$ . Thus  $R^n = 1$ . Since  $R$  is ample and spanned, and  $V$  is smooth  $V \cong \mathbf{P}^n$ ,  $R \cong \mathcal{O}(1)$ . By (e) for every line  $I$  of  $V$ ,  $E|_I \cong 2\mathcal{O}_I(1)$ , hence  $E \cong 2\mathcal{O}(1)$  (e.g. use [E]).

(h) From now on in this section, we make the following assumption (\$):

(\$)\$ For divisors  $D > 0$ ,  $h^0(E(-D)) = 0$ .

By (g) to prove Theorem 1 it is sufficient to assume (\$)\$ and find a contradiction.

(i) First assume  $h^0(E) > 6$ ; by 1.1 this is satisfied if  $k > 2$ . Fix any 3 points  $x, y, z$  of  $V$ . By assumption there is  $s \in H^0(E)$  with  $s(x) = x(y) = s(z) = 0, s \neq 0$ . By (\$)\$ there is  $S \in T$  with  $\{x, y, z\} \subset S$ . We want to check that if  $h^0(E) = 6$  there is  $S \in G$  with  $\{x, y, z\} \subset S$  and that if  $z \notin (x, y)$ , such a surface  $S$  is unique. First the uniqueness. If  $S, S'$  are surfaces with this property,  $S \cap S'$  contains the line joining any two of its points, hence  $S = S'$ . Counting dimensions, we see that for general  $x, y, z$  there is  $S \in G$  containing them. Since by the proof of (a)  $G$  is a complete family, this is true for all  $x, y, z$ ; alternatively one can use the union of the lines  $(z, t)$  with  $t \in (x, y)$ .

(j) For every  $S \in G$  and every  $P \notin S$ , let  $D(P, S)$  be the union of the lines  $(P, t)$  with  $t \in S$ .  $D(P, S)$  is a divisor. First we check that for any  $x, y \in D(P, S)$ ,  $(x, y) \subset D(P, S)$  (hence in particular  $D(P, S)$  is irreducible). Take  $u, v \in S$  such that  $x \in (u, P)$ ,  $y \in (v, P)$ . Fix  $t \in (x, y)$ . By (i) there is  $W \in G$  with  $\{u, v, P\} \subset W$ , hence with  $t \in W$  and with  $(t, P) \in W$ ; hence  $(t, P) \cap (u, v) \neq \emptyset$ , i.e.  $t \in D(P, S)$ . Note that the divisors  $D(P, S)$  and  $D(P', S')$  are algebraically equivalent. Hence for any two points  $a, b \in V$ , there is a divisor  $D$  algebraically equivalent to  $D(P, S)$  and with  $a \in D$ ,  $b \notin D$ ; by Nakai's ampleness criterion  $D(P, S)$  is ample.

(k) By (j) any line of type  $T$  not contained in  $D(P, S)$  intersects  $D(P, S)$  at most at a point. Fix a point  $x$  of  $D(P, S)$ . By (k) there is a line  $F$  of type  $T$  intersecting  $D(P, S)$  only at  $x$  and transversally. Thus  $\mathcal{O}(D(P, S))|F \cong \mathcal{O}(1)$ . Thus the same is true for all lines (by (f) they are of type  $T$ ), hence  $\mathcal{O}(D(P, S))|S \cong \mathcal{O}(1)$ . Fix  $y \in D(P, S)$  and any line of type  $T$  through  $y$  and not contained in  $D(P, S)$ ; since  $D(P, S) \neq V$ , the existence of such a line follows from (i) and (j); we get that  $D(P, S)$  is smooth at  $y$  for every  $y$ . Since for suitable  $P'$ , we have  $D(P, S) \cap D(P', S) = S$  (set-theoretically),  $S$  is ample in  $D(P, S)$  by the last part of (i).

(l) Set  $A := D(P, S)$ .

*Claim.*  $E|A \cong 2\mathcal{O}_A(A)$ .

*Proof of the claim.* Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the ideal sheaf of  $S$  in  $A$ ; let  $S(k)$  be the  $k$ th infinitesimal neighborhood of  $S$  in  $A$ , with ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}^{k+1}$ . Set  $F := \text{Hom}(2\mathcal{A}_A(A), E|A)$ . Since  $E$  is ample in  $A$ , there is an integer  $k > 0$  such that  $h^1(A, F \otimes \mathcal{I}^{k+1}) = 0$ , thus  $H^0(A, F) \rightarrow H^0(S(k), F|S(k))$  is surjective. Since  $\mathcal{I}^k/\mathcal{I}^{k+1} \cong \mathcal{O}_S(k)$ , from the isomorphism  $E|S \cong 2\mathcal{O}_S(1)$  and the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^t/\mathcal{I}^{t+1} \otimes F \rightarrow F|S(t) \rightarrow F|S(t-1) \rightarrow 0$$

we find that the restriction map  $H^0(S(k), F|S(k)) \rightarrow H^0(S, F|S)$  is surjective. Thus there is  $c \in H^0(A, F)$  which induces the isomorphism between  $2\mathcal{O}_S(1)$  and  $E|S$ . Since  $S$  is ample in  $A$ , every divisor of  $A$  intersects  $S$ . Thus  $c$  induces an isomorphism at every point of  $A$  (take the determinant!).

(m) The same proofs as in (l) give that  $E = 2\mathcal{O}(A)$ , containing  $(\$)$ . The proof of Theorem 1 is over.  $\square$

## 2. Proof of Theorem 2.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* First assume  $n = 1$ . Let  $h$  be the morphism from  $V$  to a suitable Grassmannian Grass induced by  $H^0(E)$ . By assumption (for the Plucker embedding)  $\deg(h) \deg(h(V)) = 2$ . Thus  $h(V)$  is smooth and rational. If  $\deg(h) = 2$ ,  $h(V)$  is a line and the restriction of the universal quotient bundle of Grass to  $h(V)$  is not ample (see e.g. [P], p. 123), contradicting the ampleness of  $E$ . Thus  $h$  is an isomorphism and  $E$  must be the direct sum of two line bundles of degree 1. If  $n > 3$ , the inductive proof of Theorem 1 works. If  $n = 3$ , however that proof has to be modified (in particular point (e) and its consequences). Thus we assume  $n = 3$  and use the terminology “line of type  $T$  or of type  $G$ ” as in the previous section.

(1) As in (b) of §1, for every  $P \in W$ , there is a line  $C$  of type  $T$  with  $P \in C$ . As in (c) of §1 this implies the smoothness of  $V$ .

(2) First assume the existence of a divisor  $D > 0$  with  $h^0(E(-D)) \neq 0$ . By (1) there is a line  $A$  of type  $T$  not contained in  $D$ . Since  $E|D$  is ample,  $c_2(E|D) \neq 0$ , hence for every line  $C$  of type  $T$ ,  $C \cap D \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $\mathcal{O}(D)|C$  has degree 1 for every  $C$  of type  $T$ . Fix  $s \in H^0(E)$  with  $(s)_0 = A$  and  $t \in H^0(E(-D))$ ,  $t \neq 0$ . Then  $s|D$  shows that  $c_2(E|D) = 1$ . By 1.3  $D \cong \mathbf{P}^2$ ,  $E|D \cong 2\mathcal{O}(1)$ . Note that  $t$  either vanishes identically on a line not in  $D$  or has no zero there. Fix a point  $P \in V$ . By 1.1 and Bertini’s theorem ([K]), we see that there are infinitely many lines of type  $T$  through  $P$ . Thus we see that if  $t$  vanishes at  $P$ , it vanishes in codimension 1. Enlarging if necessary  $D$ , we get a contradiction.

Thus  $t(\mathcal{O}_V)$  is a subline bundle of  $E(-D)$ ; let  $M := E(-D)/s(\mathcal{O}_V)$ ,  $R := M(D)$ , hence  $R$  ample and spanned. Fix  $A \in |R|$ . As before we see that  $(A, E|_A) \cong (\mathbf{P}^2, 2\mathcal{O}(1))$ . Since  $h^0(V, A) > 3$ ,  $A$  contains a line  $B$  of type  $T$ . Thus  $\mathcal{O}(A)|_A \cong \mathcal{O}(1)$ , hence  $A^3 = 1$ , and we get the thesis.

(2) From now on, we assume the following assertion (\$):

(\$) there is no divisor  $D > 0$  with  $h^0(E(-D)) \neq 0$ .

By 1.1 for any length 2 subscheme  $X$  of  $V$  there is a non-zero section of  $E$  vanishing there. By (\$) there is a line of type  $T$  containing  $X$ . Such a line is unique by (\$) (even taking lines not of type  $T$ ). The uniqueness implies that every line is of type  $T$ .

(3) Fix any line  $S$  and  $P \notin S$ . Let  $A = D(P, S)$  be the union of the lines  $(P, t)$  with  $t \in S$ . Let  $Q$  be the image of  $H^0(E)$  into  $H^0(A, E|_A)$  by the restriction map. Take a general  $s \in Q$  with  $s(P) = 0$ . By Bertini's theorem we see that  $s$  vanishes only in codimension 2 on  $A$ . By the last part of (2) we see that  $P = (s)_0$  as a scheme. Thus by 1.3  $(A, E|_A) \cong (\mathbf{P}^2, 2\mathcal{O}(1))$ . In particular every section of  $E|_A$  vanishing on a scheme of length 2 vanishes on a "line" of  $A$ . Thus by the last statement in (2) every line intersecting  $A$  at more than one point is contained in  $A$ . Taking  $D(P', S)$  for general  $P'$ , we get  $A^3 = 1$ , hence  $V = \mathbf{P}^3$ . By [E]  $E$  splits and Theorem 2 is proved.  $\square$

**REMARK 2.1.** Fix  $(V, E)$ . A line in  $V$  is a smooth rational curve  $C$  such that  $E|_C$  is a direct sum of line bundles of degree 1. Here are some properties a pair  $(V, E)$  can have: (i) through a general point there is a line; (ii) for two general points there is a line; (iii) for every pair of points there is a line containing them. In (ii) and (iii) we can ask also the uniqueness of the line. The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2, show that (ii) is true if in the statement of the theorems we omit the Cohen-Macaulay assumption; furthermore no pair  $(V, E)$  exists if in the statement of Theorem 2 we take  $c_1(E)c_2(E)^k = 1$ . One gets similar results, for instance if  $r = 3$ ,  $n = 1 + 3k$ ,  $c_1(E)c_3(E)^k = 3$  (no such pair exists if  $c_1(E)c_3(E)^k < 3$ ) and in a few similar cases.

Now we show that the conjecture holds (in a stronger form) for vector bundles which are direct sum of ample, spanned line bundles.

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** Fix integers  $r, n, s, i_1, \dots, i_s$  with  $r > 0, n > 0, s > 0, 0 < i_t \leq \min(r, n)$  for all  $t, i_1 + \dots + i_s = n$ . Let  $V$  be a complete, integral variety and  $L_1, \dots, L_r$  be ample and spanned line bundles on

$V$ . Set  $E := L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_r$  and  $c = c_{i_1}(E)c_{i_2}(E) \cdots c_{i_s}(E)$ . Then

$$c \geq d := \binom{r}{i_1} \cdots \binom{r}{i_s}$$

and if  $c = d$ , then  $V \cong \mathbf{P}^n$  and  $L_t$  has degree one for all  $t$ .

*Proof.* The intersection number of any  $n$  ample line bundles is  $> 0$ . The result follows immediately from the following claim.

*Claim.* Fix any  $n$  ample, spanned, line bundles  $M_1, \dots, M_n$  in  $V$ . If their intersection number is one, then  $V \cong \mathbf{P}^n$  and each  $M_t$  has degree one.

*Proof of the claim.* By induction on  $n$ , the cases with  $n = 1$  and  $n = 2$  being left to the reader; for  $n = 2$  use for instance Hodge index theorem. Assume  $n \geq 3$ . Take  $A \in |M_1|$ . By induction we get  $A \cong \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$  and each  $M_t|_A$ ,  $t > 1$ , has degree one. Set  $U := M_1$ ,  $J := M_2$ . We get  $UJ^{n-1} = 1$ . Taking  $B \in |J|$ , we get  $B \cong \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$  and  $JB$  of degree one. Thus  $J^n = 1$ , and the claim is easy.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [B] E. Ballico, *On globally generated vector bundles on surfaces with  $c_2 = 1$* , Geometriae Dedicata, **27** (1988), 319–324.
- [E] L. Ein, *Stable vector bundles on projective spaces in char  $p > 0$* , Math. Ann., **254** (1980), 53–72.
- [K] S. L. Kleiman, *The transversality of a general translate*, Compositio Math., **28** (1974), 287–297.
- [LP] A. Lanteri and M. Palleschi, *Some characterizations of projective bundles and projective spaces*, Geometriae Dedicata, **14** (1983), 203–208.
- [LS] A. Lanteri and A. J. Sommese, *A vector bundle characterization of  $\mathbf{P}^n$* , preprint.
- [P] A. Papantonopoulou, *Curves in Grassmann varieties*, Nagoya Math. J., **66** (1977), 121–136.
- [W1] J. Wisniewski, *Length of extremal rays and applications*, Ph. D. Thesis, Notre Dame Univ. 1987.
- [W2] ———, *Length of extremal rays and a characterization of projective space*, preprint.

Received February 2, 1988 and in revised form, June 14, 1988.

UNIVERSITY OF TRENTO  
38050 Povo (TN)–ITALY