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#### Abstract

Ideas underlying the proof of the "simple" trace formula are used to show the following. Let $F$ be a global field, and $\mathbb{A}$ its ring of adeles. Let $\pi$ be a cuspidal representation of $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{A})$ which has a supercuspidal component, and $\omega$ a unitary character of $\mathbb{A}^{\times} / F^{\times}$. Let $s_{0}$ be a complex number such that for every separable extension $E$ of $F$ of degree $n$, the $L$-function $L\left(s, \omega \circ \operatorname{Norm}_{E / F}\right)$ over $E$ vanishes at $s=s_{0}$ to the order $m \geq 0$. Then the product $L$-function $L(s, \pi \otimes \omega \times \check{\pi})$ vanishes at $s=s_{0}$ to the order $m$. This result is a reflection of the fact that the tensor product of a finite dimensional representation with its contragredient contains a copy of the trivial representation.


Let $F$ be a global field, $\mathbb{A}$ its ring of adeles and $\mathbb{A}^{\times}$its group of ideles. Denote by $\underline{G}$ the group scheme $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ over $F$, and put $G=\underline{G}(F), \mathbb{G}=\underline{G}(\mathbb{A})$, and $Z \simeq F^{\times}, \mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{A}^{\times}$for the corresponding centers. Fix a unitary character $\varepsilon$ of $\mathbb{Z} / Z$, and signify by $\pi$ a cuspidal representation of $\mathbb{G}$ whose central character is $\varepsilon$. For almost all $F$-places $v$ the component $\pi_{v}$ of $\pi$ at $v$ is unramified and is determined by a semi-simple conjugacy class $t\left(\pi_{v}\right)$ in $\widehat{G}=\underline{G}(\mathbb{C})$ with eigenvalues $\left(z_{i}\left(\pi_{v}\right) ; 1 \leq i \leq n\right)$. Given a finite dimensional representation $r$ of $\widehat{G}$, and a finite set $V$ of $F$-places containing the archimedean places and those where $\pi_{v}$ is ramified, one has the $L$-function

$$
L^{V}(s, \pi, r)=\prod_{v \notin V} \operatorname{det}\left(I-q_{v}^{-s} r\left(t\left(\pi_{v}\right)\right)\right)^{-1}
$$

which converges absolutely in some right half plane $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 1$. Here $q_{v}$ is the cardinality of the residue field of the ring $R_{v}$ of integers in the completion $F_{v}$ of $F$ at $v$.

In this paper we consider the representation $r$ of $\widehat{G}$ on the $\left(n^{2}-1\right)$ dimensional space $M$ of $n \times n$ complex matrices with trace zero, by the adjoint action $r(g) m=\operatorname{Ad}(g) m=g m g^{-1} \quad(m \in M, g \in \widehat{G})$. More generally we can introduce the representation Adj of $G \times \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ by $\operatorname{Adj}((g, z))=z r(g)$, and hence for any character $\omega$ of $\mathbb{Z} / Z$ the
$L$-function

$$
L^{V}(s, \pi, \omega, \operatorname{Adj})=\prod_{v \notin V} \operatorname{det}\left(I-q_{v}^{-s} t\left(\omega_{v}\right) r\left(t\left(\pi_{v}\right)\right)\right)^{-1}
$$

Here $V$ contains all places $v$ where $\pi_{v}$ or the component $\omega_{v}$ of $\omega$ is ramified, and $t\left(\omega_{v}\right)=\omega_{v}\left(\underline{\pi}_{v}\right) ; \underline{\pi}_{v}$ is a generator of the maximal ideal in $R_{v}$.

In fact the full $L$-function is defined as a product over all $v$ of local $L$-functions. These are introduced in the $p$-adic case as (a quotient of) the "greatest common denominator" of a family of integrals whose definition is recalled from [JPS] after Proposition 3 below. The local $L$-functions in the archimedean case are introduced below as a quotient of the $L$-factors studied in [JS1]. We denote by $L(s, \pi, \ldots)$ the full $L$-function.

More precisely, we have

$$
L^{V}(s, \pi, \omega, \operatorname{Adj})=L^{V}(s, \pi \otimes \omega \times \check{\pi}) / L^{V}(s, \omega),
$$

where $L^{V}\left(s, \pi_{1} \times \pi_{2}\right)$ denotes the partial $L$-function attached to the cuspidal $\mathrm{GL}\left(n_{i}, \mathbb{A}\right)$-modules $\pi_{i}(i=1,2)$ and the tensor product of the standard representation of $\widehat{G}_{1}=\mathrm{GL}\left(n_{1}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ and $\widehat{G}_{2}=$ $\mathrm{GL}\left(n_{2}, \mathbb{C}\right)$. This provides a natural definition for the complete function $L(s, \pi, \omega, \operatorname{Adj})$ globally, and also locally. This definition permits using the results of [JPS] and [JS1] mentioned above. In particular, for any cuspidal $\mathbb{G}$-module $\pi$, the $L$-function $L(s, \pi, \omega, \operatorname{Adj})$ has analytic continuation to the entire complex $s$-plane.

To simplify the notations we shall assume, when $\omega \neq 1$, that $\omega$ does not factorize through $z \mapsto \nu(z)=|z|$; this last case can easily be reduced to the case of $\omega=1$. Indeed, $L\left(s, \pi, \omega \otimes \nu^{s^{\prime}}, \operatorname{Adj}\right)=$ $L\left(s+s^{\prime}, \pi, \omega, \operatorname{Adj}\right)$. Our main result is the following.

1. Theorem. Suppose that the cuspidal $\mathbb{G}$-module $\pi$ has a supercuspidal component, and $\omega$ is a character of $\mathbb{Z} / Z$ of finite order for which the assumption (Ass; $E, \omega$ ) below is satisfied for all separable field extensions $E$ of $F$ of degree $n$. Then the L-function $L(s, \pi, \omega, \operatorname{Adj})$ is entire, unless $\omega \neq 1$ and $\pi \otimes \omega \simeq \pi$. In this last case the L-function is holomorphic outside $s=0$ and $s=1$. There it has simple poles.

To state (Ass; $E, \omega$ ) note that given any separable field extension $E$ of degree $n$ of $F$ there is a finite galois extension $K$ of $F$, containing $E$, such that $\omega$ corresponds by class field theory to a character, denoted again by $\omega$, of the galois group $J=\operatorname{Gal}(K / F)$.

Denote by $H=\operatorname{Gal}(K / E)$ the subgroup of $J$ corresponding to $E$, and by $\omega \mid E$ the restriction of $\omega$ to $H$. It corresponds to a character, denoted again by $\omega \mid E$, of the idele class group $\mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times} / E^{\times}$of $E$. When $E / F$ is galois, and $N_{E / F}$ is the norm map from $E$ to $F$, then $\omega \mid E=\omega \circ N_{E / F}$. Our assumption is the following.
(Ass; $E, \omega$ ) The quotient $L(s, \omega \mid E) / L(s, \omega)$ of the Artin (or Hecke, by class field theory) L-functions attached to the characters $\omega \mid E$ of $\operatorname{Gal}(K / E)=H$ and $\omega$ of $\operatorname{Gal}(K / F)=J$, is entire, except at $s=0$ and $s=1$ when $\omega \neq 1$ and $\omega \mid E=1$.
If $E / F$ is an abelian extension, (Ass; $E, \omega$ ) follows by the product decomposition $L(s, \omega \mid E)=\prod_{\zeta} L(s, \omega \zeta)$, where $\zeta$ runs through the set of characters of $\operatorname{Gal}(E / F)$. More generally, (Ass; $E, \omega$ ) is known when $E / F$ is galois, and when the galois group of the galois closure of $E$ over $F$ is solvable, for $\omega=1$ (see, e.g., [CF], p. 225, and the survey article [W]). For a general $E$ we have

$$
L(s, \omega \mid E)=L\left(s, \operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{J}(\omega \mid E)\right)=L(s, \omega) L(s, \rho),
$$

where the representation $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{J}(\omega \mid E)$ of $J=\operatorname{Gal}(K / F)$ induced from the character $\omega \mid E$ of $H$, contains the character $\omega$ with multiplicity one (by Frobenius reciprocity); $\rho$ is the quotient by $\omega$ of $\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{J}(\omega \mid E)$. Artin's conjecture for $J$ now implies that $L(s, \rho)$ is entire, unless $\omega \mid E=1$ and $\omega \neq 1$, in which case $L(s, \rho)$ is holomorphic except at $s=0,1$, where it has a simple pole. When $[E: F]=n, \omega=1$ and $K$ is a galois closure of $E / F$, then $J=\operatorname{Gal}(K / F)$ is a quotient of the symmetric group $S_{n}$. Artin's conjecture is known to hold for $S_{3}$ and $S_{4}$, hence (Ass; $E, 1$ ) holds for all $E$ of degree 3 or 4 over $F$, and Theorem 1 holds unconditionally (when $\omega=1$ ) for GL(3) and GL(4), as well as for GL(2).

The conclusion of Theorem 1 can be rephrased as asserting that $L(s, \omega)$ divides $L(s, \pi \otimes \omega \times \check{\pi})$ when $\pi \otimes \omega \not \approx \pi$ or $\omega=1$, namely the quotient is entire, and that the quotient is holomorphic outside $s=$ 0,1 , if $\pi \otimes \omega \simeq \pi$ and $\omega \neq 1$; of course we assume (Ass; $E, \omega$ ) for all separable extensions $E$ of $F$ of degree $n$. Note that the product $L$-function $L\left(s, \pi_{1} \times \pi_{2}\right)$ has been shown in [JS], [JS1], [JPS] and (differently) in [MW] to be entire unless $\pi_{2} \simeq \check{\pi}_{1}$. In this last case the $L$-function is holomorphic outside $s=0,1$, and has a simple pole at $s=0$ and $s=1$. This pole is matched by the simple pole of $L(s, \omega)$ when $\omega=1$. Hence $L(s, \pi, 1, \operatorname{Adj})$ is also entire.

Another way to state the conclusion of Theorem 1 is that if $L(s, \omega)$ vanishes at $s=s_{0}$ to the order $m \geq 0$, then so does $L(s, \pi \otimes \omega \times \check{\pi})$,
provided that (Ass; $E, \omega$ ) is satisfied for all separable extensions $E$ of $F$ of degree $n$. Note that $L(s, \omega)$ does not vanish on $\left|\operatorname{Re} s-\frac{1}{2}\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

Yet another restatement of the Theorem: Let $\pi$ be a cuspidal $\mathbb{G}$ module with a supercuspidal component, and $\omega$ a unitary character of $\mathbb{Z} / Z$. Let $s_{0}$ be a complex number such that for every separable extension $E$ of $F$ of degree n, the L-function $L(s, \omega \mid E)$ vanishes at $s=s_{0}$ to the order $m \geq 0$. Then $L(s, \pi \otimes \omega \times \check{\pi})$ vanishes at $s=s_{0}$ to the order $m$. This is the statement which is proven below. Note that the assumption that $\omega$ is of finite order was put above only for convenience. Embedding $\mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times}$as a torus in $\mathbb{G}$, the character $\omega \mid E$ can be defined also by $(\omega \mid E)(x)=\omega(\operatorname{det} x)$ on $x \in \mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times} \subset \mathbb{G}$. In general $\omega$ would be a character of a Weil group, and not a finite galois group.

When $n=2$ the three dimensional representation $\operatorname{Adj}$ of $\operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is the symmetric square $\mathrm{Sym}^{2}$ representation, and the holomorphy of the $L$-function $L\left(s, \omega \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{2} \pi\right)(s \neq 0,1$ if $\pi \otimes \omega \simeq \pi, \omega \neq 1)$ is proven in [GJ] using the Rankin-Selberg technique of Shimura [Sh], and in [F1] using a trace formula. Another proof was suggested by Zagier $[\mathrm{Z}]$ in the context of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and generalized by JacquetZagier [JZ] to the context of $\pi$ on $\operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{A})$. This last technique is the one extended to the context of cuspidal $\pi$ with a supercuspidal component and arbitrary $n \geq 2$, in the present paper.

The path followed in $[\mathbf{Z}]$ and $[J Z]$ is to compute the integral

$$
\int K_{\varphi}(x, x) E(x, \Phi, \omega, s) d x
$$

on $x$ in $\mathbb{Z} G \backslash \mathbb{G}$, where $E(x, \Phi, \omega, s)$ is an Eisenstein series, and $K_{\varphi}(x, y)$ the kernel representing the cuspidal spectrum in the trace formula. The computation shows that the integral is a sum of multiples of $L(s, \omega \mid E)$ (with $[E: F]=2$ in the case of $[\mathrm{Z}]$ and $[\mathrm{JZ}]$ ), and on the other hand of (a sum of multiples of) $L(s, \pi \otimes \omega \times \check{\pi})$, from which the conclusion is readily deduced. However, [ Z$]$ and [JZ] computed all terms in the integral, and reported about the complexity of the formulae. To generalize their computations to $\mathrm{GL}(n), n \geq 3$, considerable effort would be required.

To bypass these difficulties in this paper we use the ideas employed in [FK] and [F2] to establish various lifting theorems by means of a simple trace formula. In particular we use a special class of test functions $\varphi$, with one component supported on the elliptic regular set, and another component is chosen to be supercuspidal. The first choice reduces the conjugacy classes contributing to $K_{\varphi}(x, y)$ to elliptic ones only, while the second guarantees the vanishing of the non-cuspidal
terms in the spectral kernel. The first choice does not restrict the applicability of our formulae. Thus our Theorem 1 is offered as another example of the power and usefulness of the ideas underlying the simple trace formula.

For a "twisted tensor" analogue of this paper see [F4].
We shall work with the space $L(G)$ of smooth complex valued functions $\phi$ on $G \backslash \mathbb{G}$ which satisfy (1) $\phi(z g)=\varepsilon(z) \phi(g)(z \in \mathbb{Z}, g \in \mathbb{G})$, (2) $\phi$ is absolutely square integrable on $\mathbb{Z} G \backslash \mathbb{G}$. The group $\mathbb{G}$ acts on $L(G)$ by right translation: $(r(g) \phi)(h)=\phi(h g)$. The action is unitary since $\varepsilon$ is. The function $\phi \in L(G)$ is called cuspidal if for each proper parabolic subgroup $\underline{P}$ of $\underline{G}$ over $F$ with unipotent radical $\underline{N}$ we have $\int \phi(n g) d n=0 \quad(n \in N \backslash \mathbb{N})$ for all $g \in \mathbb{G}$. Let $r_{0}$ be the restriction of $r$ to the space $L_{0}(G)$ of cusp forms in $L(G)$. The space $L_{0}(G)$ decomposes as a direct sum with finite multiplicities of invariant irreducible unitary $\mathbb{G}$-modules called cuspidal $\mathbb{G}$-modules.

Let $\varphi$ be a complex valued function on $\mathbb{G}$ with $\varphi(g)=\varepsilon(z) \varphi(z g)$ $(z \in \mathbb{Z})$, compactly supported modulo $\mathbb{Z}$, smooth as a function on the archimedean part $G\left(F_{\infty}\right)$ of $\mathbb{G}$, and bi-invariant by an open compact subgroup of $G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$; here $\mathbb{A}_{f}$ is the ring of adeles without archimedean components, and $F_{\infty}$ is the product of $F_{v}$ over the archimedean places. Fix Haar measures $d g_{v}$ on $G_{v} / Z_{v}\left(G_{v}=\underline{G}\left(F_{v}\right), Z_{v}\right.$ its center) for all $v$ such that the product of the volumes $\left|K_{v} / Z_{v} \cap K_{v}\right|$ converges; $K_{v}$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $G_{v}$, chosen to be $\mathrm{K}_{v}=\underline{G}\left(R_{v}\right)$ at the finite places. Then $d g=\otimes d g_{v}$ is a measure on $\mathbb{G} / \mathbb{Z}$. The convolution operator $r(\varphi)=\int_{\mathbb{G} / \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(g) r(g) d g$ is an integral operator on $L(G)$ with the kernel $K_{\varphi}(x, y)=\sum \varphi\left(x^{-1} \gamma y\right)$ $(\gamma \in G / Z)$. In this paper we work only with discrete functions $\varphi$.

Definition. The function $\varphi$ is called discrete if for every $x \in \mathbb{G}$ and $\gamma \in G$ we have $\varphi\left(x^{-1} \gamma x\right)=0$ unless $\gamma$ is elliptic regular.

Recall that $\gamma$ is called regular if its centralizer $Z_{\gamma}(\mathbb{G})$ is a torus, and elliptic if it is semi-simple and $Z_{\gamma}(\mathbb{G}) / Z_{\gamma}(G) \mathbb{Z}$ has finite volume. The centralizer $Z_{\gamma}(G)$ of an elliptic regular $\gamma \in G$ is the multiplicative group of a field extension $E$ of $F$ of degree $n$. For a general elliptic $\gamma$, we have that $Z_{\gamma}(G)$ is $\operatorname{GL}\left(m, F^{\prime}\right)$ with $n=m\left[F^{\prime}: F\right]$.

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on integrating the kernel $K_{\varphi}(x, y)$ on $x=y$ against an Eisenstein series, as in [Z] and [JZ].

Identify $\mathrm{GL}(n-1)$ with a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ via $g \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{ll}g & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. Let $U$ be the unipotent radical of the upper triangular parabolic subgroup of type $(n-1,1)$. Put $Q=\operatorname{GL}(n-1) U$. Given a local field $F$,
let $S\left(F^{n}\right)$ be the space of smooth and rapidly decreasing (if $F$ is archimedean), or locally constant compactly supported (if $F$ is nonarchimedean) complex valued functions on $F^{n}$. Denote by $\Phi^{0}$ the characteristic function of $R^{n}$ in $F^{n}$ if $F$ is non-archimedean. For a global field $F$ let $S\left(\mathbb{A}^{n}\right)$ be the linear span of the functions $\Phi=$ $\otimes \Phi_{v}, \Phi_{v} \in S\left(F_{v}^{n}\right)$ for all $v, \Phi_{v}$ is $\Phi_{v}^{0}$ for almost all $v$. Put $\underline{\varepsilon}=(0, \ldots, 0,1)\left(\in \mathbb{A}^{n}\right)$. The integral of

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(g, s)=\omega(\operatorname{det} g)|\operatorname{det} g|^{s} \int_{\mathbb{A}^{\times}} \Phi(a \underline{\varepsilon} g)|a|^{n s} \omega^{n}(a) d^{\times} a \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges absolutely, uniformly in compact subsets of $\operatorname{Re} s \geq \frac{1}{n}$. The absolute value is normalized as usual, and $\omega$ is a character of $\mathbb{A}^{\times} / F^{\times}$.

It follows form Lemmas (11.5), (11.6) of [GoJ] that the Eisenstein series

$$
E(g, \Phi, \omega, s)=\sum f(\gamma g, s) \quad(\gamma \in Z Q \backslash G)
$$

converges absolutely in $\operatorname{Re} s>1$. In [JS], (4.2), p. 545, and [JS2], (3.5), p. 7, it is shown (with a slight modification caused by the presence of $\omega$ here) that $E(g, \Phi, \omega, s)$ extends to a meromorphic function on $\operatorname{Re} s>0$, in fact to the entire complex $s$-plane with a functional equation $E(g, \Phi, \omega, s)=E\left({ }^{t} g^{-1}, \widehat{\Phi}, \omega^{-1}, 1-s\right)$; here ${ }^{t} g$ is the transpose of $g$ and $\widehat{\Phi}$ is the Fourier transform of $\Phi$. Moreover, $E(g, \Phi, \omega, s)$ is slowly increasing in $g \in G \backslash \mathbb{G}$, and it is holomorphic except for a possible simple pole at $s=1$ and 0 . Note that $f(g)$ and $E(g, s)$ are $\mathbb{Z}$-invariant.
2. Proposition. For any character $\omega$ of $\mathbb{A}^{\times} / F^{\times}$, Schwartz function $\Phi$ in $S\left(\mathbb{A}^{n}\right)$, and discrete function $\varphi$ on $\mathbb{G}$, for each extension $E$ of degree $n$ of $F$ there is an entire holomorphic function $A(\Phi, \varphi, \omega, E, s)$ in $s$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{Z} G \backslash G} & K_{\varphi}(x, x) E(x, \Phi, \omega, s) d x  \tag{2.1}\\
= & \sum_{E} A(\Phi, \varphi, \omega, E, s) L(s, \omega \mid E)
\end{align*}
$$

on $\operatorname{Re} s>1$. The sum over $E$ ranges over a finite set depending on (the support of ) $\varphi$.

Proof. Since the function $\varphi$ is discrete the sum in $K_{\varphi}(x, x)=$ $\sum \varphi\left(x^{-1} \gamma x\right)$ ranges only over the elliptic regular elements $\gamma$ in $G / Z$.

It can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\varphi}(x, x)=\sum_{T}[W(T)]^{-1} \sum_{\gamma \in T / Z} \sum_{\delta \in G / T} \varphi\left(x^{-1} \delta^{-1} \gamma \delta x\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $T$ ranges over a set of representatives for the conjugacy classes in $G$ of elliptic tori ( $T$ is isomorphic over $F$ to the multiplicative group of a field extension $E$ of degree $n$ of $F ; T$ is uniquely determined by such $E$, and each such $E$ is so obtained). The cardinality of the Weyl group (normalizer/centralizer) $W(T)$ of $T$ in $G$ is denoted by [ $W(T)$ ]. It is easy to check that for any elliptic $T$ we have $G=T Q$, and $T \cap Q=\{1\}$. Hence the sum over $\delta$ can be taken to range over $Q$.

The left side of (2.1) is equal, in the domain of absolute convergence of the series which defines the Eisenstein series, to

$$
\int_{\mathbb{Z} G \backslash G} K_{\varphi}(x, x) \sum_{\gamma \in Z Q \backslash G} f(\gamma x, s) d x=\int_{\mathbb{Z} Q \backslash G} K_{\varphi}(x, x) f(x, s) d x
$$

since $x \mapsto K_{\varphi}(x, x)$ is left $G$-invariant. Substituting (2.2) this is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{Z} Q \backslash \mathbb{G}} \sum_{T}[ & {[W(T)]^{-1} \sum_{\gamma \in T / Z} \sum_{\delta \in Q} \varphi\left(x^{-1} \delta^{-1} \gamma \delta x\right) f(x, s) d x } \\
= & \sum_{T}[W(T)]^{-1} \sum_{\gamma \in T / Z} \int_{\mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{G}} \varphi\left(x^{-1} \gamma x\right) f(x, s) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

note that $x \mapsto f(x, s)$ is left $Q$-invariant.
To justify the change of summation and integration note that given $\varphi$, the sums over $T$ and $\gamma$ are finite. Indeed, the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of $\gamma$ are rational, and lie in a compact set depending on the support of $\varphi$ (and a discrete subset of a compact is finite). This explains also the finiteness assertion at the end of the proposition.

Substituting now the expression (1.1) for $f(x, s)$ we obtain a sum over $T$ and $\gamma$ of

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{G}} & \varphi\left(x^{-1} \gamma x\right) f(x, s) d x=\int_{\mathbb{G}} \varphi\left(x^{-1} \gamma x\right) \omega(\operatorname{det} x)|\operatorname{det} x|^{s} \Phi(\underline{\varepsilon} x) d x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{T} \backslash \mathbb{G}} \varphi\left(x^{-1} \gamma x\right) \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Phi(\underline{\varepsilon} t x) \omega(\operatorname{det} t x)|\operatorname{det} t x|^{s} d t d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\mathbb{T}=\underline{T}(\mathbb{A}) \simeq \mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times}$, where $\underline{T}$ is the centralizer of $\gamma$ in $\underline{G}$, and $\underline{T}(F)=T$. The inner integral, over $\mathbb{T}$, is a "Tate integral" for
$L(s, \omega \mid E)$; it is a multiple of $L(s, \omega \mid E)$ by a function which is holomorphic in $s$ in $\mathbb{C}$ and smooth in $x$, depending on $\Phi, \omega$ and $E$. The integral over $x$ ranges over a compact in $\mathbb{T} \backslash \mathbb{G}$, since $\varphi$ is compactly supported modulo $\mathbb{Z}$. The proposition follows.

We now turn to the spectral expression for the kernel $K_{\varphi}(x, y)$.
Definition. The function $\varphi$ on $\mathbb{G}$ is called cuspidal if for every $x, y$ in $\mathbb{G}$ and every proper $F$-parabolic subgroup $\underline{P}$ of $\underline{G}$, we have $\int_{\mathbb{N}} \varphi(x n y) d n=0$, where $\mathbb{N}=\underline{N}(\mathbb{A})$ is the unipotent radical of $\mathbb{P}=$ $\underline{P}(\mathbb{A})$.
When $\varphi$ is cuspidal, the convolution operator $r(\varphi)$ factorizes through the projection on $L_{0}(G)$. Then $r(\varphi)$ is an integral operator whose kernel has the form

$$
K_{\varphi}(x, y)=\sum_{\pi} K_{\varphi}^{\pi}(x, y), \quad \text { where } K_{\varphi}^{\pi}(x, y)=\sum_{\phi^{\pi}}\left(r(\varphi) \phi^{\pi}\right)(x) \bar{\phi}^{\pi}(y) .
$$

The sum over $\pi$ ranges over all cuspidal $\mathbb{G}$-modules in $L_{0}(G)$. The $\phi^{\pi}$ range over an orthonormal basis consisting of $\mathbb{K}=\Pi_{v} K_{v}$-finite vectors in $\pi$. The $\phi^{\pi}$ are rapidly decreasing functions and the sum over $\phi^{\pi}$ is finite for each $\varphi$ (uniformly in $x$ and $y$ ) since $\varphi$ is $\mathbb{K}$ finite. The sum over $\pi$ converges in $L^{2}$, and hence also in a space of rapidly decreasing functions. Hence $K_{\varphi}(x, y)$ is rapidly decreasing in $x$ and $y$, and the product of $K_{\varphi}(x, x)$ with the slowly increasing functions $E(x, \Phi, \omega, s)$, is integrable over $\mathbb{Z} G \backslash \mathbb{G}$. The resulting integral, which is equal to (2.1), can also be expressed then in the form

$$
\sum_{\pi} \sum_{\phi^{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{Z} G \backslash G}\left(r(\varphi) \phi^{\pi}\right)(x) \bar{\phi}^{\pi}(x) E(x, \Phi, \omega, s) d x .
$$

To prove Theorem 1 we now assume that $L(s, \omega)$ is zero at $s=s_{0}$. It is well known then that $\left|\operatorname{Re} s_{0}-\frac{1}{2}\right|<\frac{1}{2}$, hence $s_{0} \neq 0,1$. If $s_{0}$ is a zero of order $m$ of $L(s, \omega)$, then by (Ass; $E, \omega$ ) the function $L(s, \omega \mid E)$ vanishes at $s_{0}$ to the order $m$. Making this assumption for every separable field extension $E$ of degree $n$ of $F$ we conclude that (2.1) vanishes at $s=s_{0}$ to the order $m$, and that for all $j(0 \leq j \leq m)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\pi} \sum_{\phi^{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{Z} G \backslash \mathbb{G}}\left(\pi(\varphi) \phi^{\pi}\right)(x) \bar{\phi}^{\pi}(x) E^{(j)}\left(x, \Phi, \omega, s_{0}\right) d x=0 . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $E^{(j)}\left(*, s_{0}\right)=\left.\frac{d^{j}}{d s^{j}} E(*, s)\right|_{s=s_{0}}$.
At our disposal we have all cuspidal discrete functions $\varphi$ on $\mathbb{G}$, and our aim is to show the vanishing of some summands in the last
double sum over $\pi$ and $\phi^{\pi}$. In fact, fix a $\pi$ for which Theorem 1 will now be proven. Let $V$ be a finite set of $F$-primes, containing the archimedean primes and those where $\pi$ or $\omega$ ramify. Consider $\varphi=\otimes_{v} \varphi_{v}$ (product over all $F$-places $v$ ) where each $\varphi_{v}$ is a smooth compactly supported modulo $Z_{v}$ function on $G_{v}$ which transforms under $Z_{v}$ via $\varepsilon_{v}^{-1}$. For almost all $v$ the function $\varphi_{v}$ is the unit element $\varphi_{v}^{0}$ in the Hecke algebra $\mathbb{H}_{v}$ of $K_{v}$-biinvariant (compactly supported modulo $Z_{v}$ transforming under $Z_{v}$ via $\varepsilon_{v}^{-1}$ ) functions on $G_{v}$. For all $v \notin V$ the component $\varphi_{v}$ is taken to be spherical, namely in $\mathbb{H}_{v}$.

Each of the operators $\pi_{v}\left(\varphi_{v}\right)$ for $v \notin V$ factorizes through the projection on the subspace $\pi_{v}^{K_{v}}$ of $K_{v}$-fixed vectors in $\pi_{v}$. This subspace is zero unless $\pi_{v}$ is unramified, in which case $\pi_{v}^{K_{v}}$ is onedimensional. On this $K_{v}$-fixed vector, the operator $\pi_{v}\left(\varphi_{v}\right)$ acts as the scalar $\varphi_{v}^{\vee}\left(t\left(\pi_{v}\right)\right)$, where $\varphi_{v}^{\vee}$ denotes the Satake transform of $\varphi_{v}$. Put $\varphi^{\vee}\left(t\left(\pi^{V}\right)\right)$ for the product over $v \notin V$ of $\varphi_{v}^{\vee}\left(t\left(\pi_{v}\right)\right)$, and $\pi_{V}\left(\varphi_{V}\right)=$ $\otimes_{v \in V} \pi_{v}\left(\varphi_{v}\right)$. Then (2.3) ${ }_{j}$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\left\{\pi ; \pi^{\mathbb{K}, V} \neq 0\right\}} \varphi^{\vee}\left(t\left(\pi^{V}\right)\right) a\left(\pi, \varphi_{V}, j, \Phi, \omega, s_{0}\right)=0, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& a\left(\pi, \varphi_{V}, j, \Phi, \omega, s\right)  \tag{2.5}\\
& \quad=\sum_{\phi^{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{Z} G \backslash G}\left(\pi_{V}\left(\varphi_{V}\right) \phi^{\pi}\right)(x) \bar{\phi}^{\pi}(x) E^{(j)}(x, \Phi, \omega, s) d x .
\end{align*}
$$

The sum over $\pi$ ranges over the cuspidal $\mathbb{G}$-modules $\pi=\otimes \pi_{v}$ with $\pi_{v}^{K_{v}} \neq\{0\}$ for all $v \notin V ; \pi^{\mathbb{K}, V}$ denotes the space of $\prod_{v \notin V} K_{v}$ fixed vectors in $\pi$. The sum over $\phi^{\pi}$ ranges over those elements in the orthonormal basis of $\pi$ which appears in (2.3) ${ }_{j}$, which, for any $v \notin V$, as functions in $x \in G_{v}$, are $K_{v}$-invariant and eigenfunctions of $\pi_{v}\left(\varphi_{v}\right), \varphi_{v} \in \mathbb{H}_{v}$, with eigenvalues $t\left(\pi_{v}\right)$. In particular $\phi^{\pi}(x)=$ $\phi_{V}^{\pi}\left(x_{v}\right) \prod_{v \notin V} \phi_{v}^{\pi}\left(x_{v}\right)$, for such $\phi_{v}^{\pi}(v \notin V)$.
A standard argument (see, e.g., Theorem 2 in [FK] in a more elaborate situation), based on the absolute convergence of the sum over $\pi$ in $(2.4)_{j}$, standard estimates on the Hecke parameter $t\left(\pi_{v}\right)$ of the unitary unramified $\pi_{v}(v \notin V)$, and the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, implies the following.
3. Proposition. Let $\pi$ be a cuspidal $\mathbb{G}$-module which has a supercuspidal component. Let $\omega$ be a character of $\mathbb{Z} / Z$. Suppose that
$L(s, \omega \mid E)$ vanishes at $s=s_{0}$ to the order $m$ for every separable extension $E$ of $F$ of degree $n$. Then for any $\Phi$ and a function $\varphi_{V}$ such that $\varphi$ is cuspidal and discrete with any choice of $\otimes \varphi_{v}(v \notin V)$, we have that $a\left(\pi, \varphi_{V}, j, \Phi, \omega, s_{0}\right)$ is zero.

We shall now recall the relation between the summands in $(2.5)_{j}$ and the $L$-function $L(s, \pi \otimes \omega \times \check{\pi})$. Let $\psi$ be an additive non-trivial character of $\mathbb{A}$ modulo $F$ (into the unit circle in $\mathbb{C}$ ), and denote by $\psi_{v}$ its component at $v$. An irreducible admissible $G_{v}$-module $\pi_{v}$ is called generic if $\operatorname{Hom}_{N_{v}}\left(\pi_{v}, \psi_{v}\right) \neq\{0\}$. By [GK], or Corollary 5.17 of [BZ], such $\pi_{v}$ embeds in the $G_{v}$-module $\operatorname{Ind}\left(\psi_{v} ; G_{v}, N_{v}\right)$ induced from the character $n=\left(n_{i j}\right) \mapsto \psi(n)=\psi\left(\sum_{1 \leq i<n} n_{i, i+1}\right)$ of the unipotent upper triangular subgroup $N_{v}$ of $G_{v}$. Moreover, this embedding is unique, equivalently the dimension of $\operatorname{Hom}_{N_{v}}\left(\pi_{v}, \psi_{v}\right)$ is at most one. The embedding is given by $\pi_{v} \ni \xi \mapsto W_{\xi}$, where $W_{\xi}(g)=$ $\lambda(\pi(g) \xi)(g \in G)$ and $\lambda \neq 0$ is a fixed element in $\operatorname{Hom}_{N_{v}}\left(\pi_{v}, \psi_{v}\right)$. Since $\pi_{v}$ is admissible, each of the functions $W_{\xi}$ is smooth (under right action by $G_{v}$ ). If $\pi_{v}$ is generic, denote by $W\left(\pi_{v}\right)$ its realization in $\operatorname{Ind}\left(\psi_{v}\right) ; W\left(\pi_{v}\right)$ is called the Whittaker model of $\pi_{v}$. It is well-known that any component of a cuspidal $\mathbb{G}$-module is generic.

Given $\pi$, consider $W_{v}^{\prime} \neq 0$ in $W\left(\pi_{v}\right)$ for all $v$, such that $W_{v}^{\prime}$ is the normalized unramified vector $W_{v}^{0}$ (it is $K_{v}$-invariant and $W_{v}^{0}(1)=$ 1) for all $v \notin V$. The function $\phi^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{p \in N \backslash Q} W^{\prime}(p x)$, where $W^{\prime}(x)=\Pi_{v} W_{v}^{\prime}\left(x_{v}\right)$, is a cuspidal function in the space of $\pi \subset L_{0}(G)$. Substituting the series definition of $E(x, \Phi, \omega, s)=\sum_{Z Q \backslash G} f(\gamma x, s)$ in

$$
\int_{\mathbb{Z} G \backslash \mathbb{G}} \phi^{\prime \prime}(x) \bar{\phi}^{\prime}(x) E(x, \Phi, \omega, s) d x \quad\left(\phi^{\prime \prime} \in \pi \subset L_{0}(G)\right)
$$

one obtains

$$
\int_{\mathbb{Z} Q \backslash G} \phi^{\prime \prime}(x) \bar{\phi}^{\prime}(x) f(x, s) d x=\int_{\mathbb{Z} N \backslash G} \phi^{\prime \prime}(x) \bar{W}^{\prime}(x) f(x, s) d x .
$$

Since $W^{\prime}(n x)=\psi(n) W^{\prime}(x)$, and $\int_{N \backslash \mathrm{~N}} \phi^{\prime \prime}(n x) \bar{\psi}(n) d n=W_{\phi^{\prime \prime}}(x)$ is the Whittaker function associated to the cusp form $\phi^{\prime \prime}$, the integral is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{Z} \mathbb{N} \backslash \mathbb{G}} & W_{\phi^{\prime \prime}}(x){\overline{W^{\prime}}}^{\prime}(x) f(x, s) d x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{N} \backslash \mathbb{G}} W_{\phi^{\prime \prime}}(x){\overline{W^{\prime}}}^{\prime}(x) \Phi(\underline{\varepsilon} x) \omega(\operatorname{det} x)|\operatorname{det} x|^{s} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\phi^{\prime \prime}$ is also of the form $\phi^{\prime \prime}(x)=\sum_{p \in N \backslash Q} W^{\prime \prime}(p x)$, where $W^{\prime \prime}(x)=$ $\prod_{v} W_{v}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{v}\right)$ is factorizable, then $W_{\phi^{\prime \prime}}=W^{\prime \prime}$ and the integral factorizes as a product over all $v$ of the local integrals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{N_{v} \backslash G_{v}} W_{v}^{\prime \prime}(x) \bar{W}_{v}^{\prime}(x) \Phi_{v}(\underline{\varepsilon} x) \omega_{v}(\operatorname{det} x)|\operatorname{det} x|_{v}^{s} d x, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that $\Phi(x)=\prod_{v} \Phi_{v}\left(x_{v}\right)$.
When $W_{v}^{\prime}=W_{v}^{0}=W_{v}^{\prime \prime}$, and $\Phi_{v}$ is the characteristic function $\Phi_{v}^{0}$ of $R_{v}^{n}$ (and $v \notin V$ ), the integral (3.1) is easily seen (on using Schur function computations; see [F3], p. 305) to be equal to $L\left(s, \pi_{v} \otimes \omega_{v} \times \check{\pi}_{v}\right)$. For a non-archimedean $v \in V$ the $L$-factor is defined in [JPS], Theorem 2.7, as a "g.c.d" of the integrals (3.1) for all $W_{1 v}, W_{2 v} \in W\left(\pi_{v}\right)$ and $\Phi_{v}$. In the archimedean case the $L$-factor is defined in [JS1], Theorem 5.1. It is shown in [JPS] and [JS1] that the $L$-factor lies in the span of the integrals (3.1). The product of the $L$-factors, as well as the various manipulations above, converges absolutely for $s$ in some right half plane.
4. Lemma. The functions $W_{v}^{\prime} \in W\left(\pi_{v}\right)$ (and so $\phi^{\prime} \in \pi$ ) can be chosen to have the property that $\phi^{\prime}$ factorizes as $\otimes_{v} \phi_{v}^{\prime}$.

Proof. Since $W_{v}^{\prime}$ is $K_{v}$-invariant for $v \notin V$, so is $\phi^{\prime}$, and we have

$$
\phi^{\prime}(x)=\phi_{V}^{\prime}\left(x_{v}\right) \prod_{v \notin V} \phi_{v}^{0}\left(x_{v}\right),
$$

where $\phi_{v}^{0}$ is the $K_{v}$-invariant function on $G_{v}$ which takes the value 1 at 1 and is the eigenfunction of the operators $\pi_{v}\left(\varphi_{v}\right), \varphi_{v} \in \mathbb{H}_{v}$, with the eigenvalue $t\left(\pi_{v}\right)$.

The space $\pi \subset L_{0}(G)$ is spanned by factorizable functions, namely $\phi^{\prime}$ is a finite sum over $j(1 \leq j \leq J)$ of products $\otimes_{v} \phi_{j v}^{\prime}$ of functions $\phi_{j v}^{\prime}$ on $G_{v}$ (which are smooth, compactly supported modulo $Z_{v}$, transform under $Z_{v}$ via $\varepsilon_{v}$ ), with $\phi_{j v}^{\prime}=\phi_{v}^{0}$ for all $v \notin V$. Each of the functions $\phi_{1 v}^{\prime}(v \in V)$ is (right) invariant under a congruence subgroup $K_{v}^{\prime}$ of the standard compact subgroup $K_{v}$ of $G_{v}$. Namely $\phi_{1 v}^{\prime}$ is a non-zero vector in the finite dimensional space $\pi_{v} K_{v}^{\prime}$ of $K_{v^{-}}^{\prime}$ fixed vectors in $\pi_{v}$. The Hecke algebra $\mathbb{H}\left(K_{v}^{\prime}\right)$ of $K_{v}^{\prime}$-biinvariant compactly supported modulo $Z_{v}$ functions on $G_{v}$ which transform under $Z_{v}$ via $\varepsilon_{v}^{-1}$ generate the algebra of endomorphisms of the finite dimensional space $\pi_{v} K_{v}^{\prime}$. Consider $\varphi_{v} \in \mathbb{H}\left(K_{v}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\pi_{v}\left(\varphi_{v}\right)$ acts
as an orthogonal projection on $\phi_{1 v}^{\prime}$. Then $\left(\otimes_{v \in V} \pi_{v}\left(\varphi_{v}\right)\right) \phi^{\prime}$ lies in $\pi$, is of the form $\otimes_{v} \phi_{1 v}^{\prime}$, and is defined by the Whittaker functions $\pi_{v}\left(\varphi_{v}\right) W_{v}^{\prime}$, as required.

Proof of Theorem 1. For $\pi$ as in the theorem, and $s_{0}$ as in (2.3) ${ }_{j}$, we shall choose $W_{v}^{\prime} \in W\left(\pi_{v}\right)$ with factorizable $\phi^{\prime}(x)=\bigotimes_{v} \phi_{v}^{\prime}\left(x_{v}\right)=$ $\sum_{p \in N \backslash Q} W^{\prime}(p x)$ and proceed to show the vanishing of the corresponding summand in $(2.5)_{j}$. Recall that by the assumption of Theorem 1 there is an $F$-place $v_{2}$ such that $\pi_{v_{2}}$ is supercuspidal. Let $v_{1}$ be another $F$-place in $V$, say where $\pi$ and $\omega$ are unramified. Put $V^{\prime \prime}=V-\left\{v_{2}\right\}$ and $V^{\prime}$ for $V^{\prime \prime}-\left\{v_{1}\right\}$.

Consider the matrix coefficient $\varphi_{v_{2}}^{\prime}(x)=\left\langle\pi_{v_{2}}\left(x^{-1}\right) \phi_{v_{2}}^{\prime}, \phi_{v_{2}}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ of the supercuspidal $G_{v_{2}}$-module $\pi_{v_{2}}$. Note that $\phi_{v_{2}}^{\prime}$ is a $C_{c}^{\infty^{2}}$-function on $G_{v_{2}}$ modulo $Z_{v_{2}}$, and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the natural inner product. The function $\varphi_{v_{2}}^{\prime}$ is smooth and compactly supported on $G_{v_{2}}$ modulo $\boldsymbol{Z}_{v_{2}}$, and it is a supercusp form $\left(\int \varphi_{v_{2}}^{\prime}(x n y) d n=0, n \in N_{v_{2}}=\right.$ unipotent radical of any parabolic subgroup of $G_{v_{2}}$ ). It is well-known that a function $\varphi=\otimes \varphi_{v}$ whose component at $v_{2}$ is a supercusp form is cuspidal. By the Schur orthogonality relations, the convolution operator $\pi_{v_{2}}\left(\varphi_{v_{2}}^{\prime}\right)$ acts as an orthogonal projection on the subspace generated by $\phi_{v_{2}}^{\prime}$. Working with $\varphi=\otimes \varphi_{v}$ whose component at $v_{2}$ is $\varphi_{v_{2}}^{\prime}$ we then have that $\varphi$ is cuspidal and that the sum in $(2.5)_{j}$ ranges only over the $\phi\left(=\phi^{\pi}\right)$ whose component at $v_{2}$ is $\phi_{v_{2}}^{\prime}$ (up to a scalar multiple).

As in the proof of Lemma 4, for each $v \in V^{\prime}$ we may choose $\varphi_{v}^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{H}\left(K_{v}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\pi_{v}\left(\varphi_{v}^{\prime}\right)$ acts as an orthogonal projection to the subspace of $\pi_{v}^{\prime}$ spanned by $\phi_{v}^{\prime}$. Choosing the components $\varphi_{v}$ of $\varphi$ at $v \in V^{\prime}$ to be of the form $\varphi_{v}^{\prime \prime} * \varphi_{v}^{\prime}$, with any $\varphi_{v}^{\prime \prime}$, the sum in (2.5) ${ }_{j}$ for our $\pi$ extends only over those $\phi$ in the orthonormal basis of the chosen $\pi \subset L_{0}(G)$ whose component at $v \neq v_{1}$ is $\phi_{v}^{\prime}$. But $\phi$ is left $G$-invariant, being a cusp form, and $\mathbb{G}=G \prod_{v \neq v_{1}} G_{v}$. Hence the only $\phi$ which contributes to the sum in (2.5) ${ }_{j}$ is $\phi^{\prime}$, whatever $\varphi_{v_{1}}$ is.
We still need to choose $\varphi_{v_{1}}$ such that $\varphi=\otimes \varphi_{v}$ be discrete. It suffices to choose $\varphi_{v_{1}}$ to be supported on the regular elliptic set in $G_{v_{1}}$. Moreover, since $\phi_{v_{1}}^{\prime}$ is right invariant under a compact open subgroup $K_{v_{1}}^{\prime}$ of $K_{v_{1}} \subset G_{v_{1}}$, we can choose the support of $\varphi_{v_{1}}$ to be contained in $Z_{v_{1}} K_{v_{1}}^{\prime}$. Then $\pi_{v_{1}}\left(\varphi_{v_{1}}\right)$ acts as a scalar on $\phi_{1}^{\prime}$, and we normalize $\varphi_{v_{1}}$ so that this scalar be one.

In conclusion, for any choice of $W_{v}^{\prime} \in W\left(\pi_{v}\right)$ for all $v$, with $W_{v}^{\prime}=$
$W_{v}^{0}$ for $v \notin V$, and any choice of $\varphi_{v}\left(v \in V^{\prime}\right)$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{Z} G \backslash \mathbb{G}} & \left(\pi_{V^{\prime}}\left(\varphi_{V^{\prime}}\right) \phi^{\prime}\right)(x) \bar{\phi}^{\prime}(x) E(x, \Phi, \omega, s) d x \\
= & \prod_{v \in V} \int_{N_{v} \backslash G_{v}}\left(\pi_{v}\left(\varphi_{v}\right) W_{v}^{\prime}\right)(x) \bar{W}_{v}^{\prime}(x) \Phi_{v}(\underline{\varepsilon} x) \omega_{v}(\operatorname{det} x)|\operatorname{det} x|_{v}^{s} d x \\
& \cdot \prod_{v \notin V} L\left(s, \pi_{v} \otimes \omega_{v} \times \check{\pi}_{v}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

vanishes at $s_{0}$ to the order $m$. Here $\pi_{v_{1}}\left(\varphi_{v_{1}}\right) W_{v_{1}}^{\prime}=W_{v_{1}}^{\prime}$. In fact we may choose $W_{v_{1}}^{\prime}$ to be $W_{v_{1}}^{0} \in W\left(\pi_{v_{1}}\right)$, and $\Phi_{v_{1}}$ to be $\Phi_{v_{1}}^{0}$. Since $\pi_{v_{1}}$ and $\omega_{v_{1}}$ are unramified, the corresponding integral is then equal to the $L$-factor, so $v_{1}$ can be deleted from the set $V$.

To complete the proof of Theorem 1, note that the $L$-function $L\left(s, \pi_{v} \otimes \omega_{v} \times \check{\pi}_{v}\right)$ lies in the span of the integrals (3.1). Hence the assumption for every separable extension $E$ of $F$ of degree $n$ that $L(s, \omega \mid E)$ vanishes at $s=s_{0}$ to the order $m$, implies the vanishing of $\Pi L\left(s, \pi_{v} \otimes \omega_{v} \times \check{\pi}_{v}\right)$ to the order $m$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1 .
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