

ADJOINT LINEAR SYSTEMS ON A SURFACE OF GENERAL TYPE IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

TOHRU NAKASHIMA

Let X be a minimal surface of general type defined over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic p . For a given divisor D , we consider the spannedness properties of adjoint linear systems $|K + D|$ on X . Under some numerical conditions on p and D , the failure of spannedness of $|K + D|$ implies the existence of divisors with special properties. This leads to the following result: Let L be an ample line bundle and assume $p \geq 5$. Then $|m(K + L)|$ is base point free for $m \geq 2$ and very ample for $m \geq 3$. Our proof is based on a technique of Shepherd-Barron using unstable vector bundles.

1. Introduction. After Reider introduced a new method ([R]), many results have been obtained concerning adjoint linear systems on algebraic surfaces defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Recently, Shepherd-Barron ([SB]) treated the positive characteristic case and obtained results on pluricanonical systems, improving the work of Ekedahl ([E]). He also showed Reider's analysis holds for surfaces of special type except the quasi-elliptic ones. His method is, as in [R], based on the theory of unstable vector bundles in the sense of Bogomolov.

In the present note we shall consider adjoint linear systems on a minimal surface of general type in characteristic p and prove some results of Reider's type.

Let X be a minimal surface of general type defined over an algebraically closed field k of char $k = p > 0$ and let D be a nef divisor such that $D - K$ is nef and big. We shall prove the following

THEOREM 1. *Let X and D be as above and let $d := D^2$.*

(i) *Suppose that one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1) $p \geq 2$, $d \geq 5$ and X is not uniruled,
- (2) $p = 3$ and $d \geq 12$,
- (3) $p = 5$ and $d \geq 6$,
- (4) $p \geq 7$ and $d \geq 5$.

If $|K + D|$ has a base point, then there exists an effective divisor Δ such

that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{either } D \cdot \Delta = 1, \quad \Delta^2 = 0, \\ \text{or } D \cdot \Delta = 0, \quad \Delta^2 = -1. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Suppose that one of the following conditions holds:

- (1') $p \geq 2$, $d \geq 10$ and X is not uniruled,
- (2') $p = 3$ and $d \geq 30$,
- (3') $p = 5$ and $d \geq 13$,
- (4') $p = 7$ and $d \geq 11$,
- (5') $p \geq 11$ and $d \geq 10$.

If $|K + D|$ is not very ample, then there exists an effective divisor Δ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{either } D \cdot \Delta = 0, \quad \Delta^2 = -1, -2, \\ \text{or } D \cdot \Delta = 1, \quad \Delta^2 = -1, 0, \\ \text{or } D \cdot \Delta = 2, \quad \Delta^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

As a corollary of the above theorem, we obtain the following result on pluri-adjoint systems:

COROLLARY 2. *Let L be an ample divisor on X .*

(i) *Suppose that one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1) $p \geq 2$, $m \geq 2$ and X is not uniruled,
- (2) $p = 3$ and $m \geq 3$,
- (3) $p \geq 5$ and $m \geq 2$.

Then $|m(K + L)|$ is base point free.

(ii) *Suppose that one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1') $p \geq 2$, $m \geq 3$ and X is not uniruled,
- (2') $p = 3$ and $m \geq 4$,
- (3') $p \geq 5$ and $m \geq 3$.

Then $|m(K + L)|$ is very ample.

Proof. Apply the theorem to $D = (m - 1)K + mL$. □

2. Proof of the theorem. Let p be a base point of $|K + D|$ in (i) (resp. p, q be the points not separated by $|K + D|$ in (ii)) and let $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blowing up at p in (i) (resp. at p and q in (ii)). Put $l := \pi^{-1}(p)$, $m := \pi^{-1}(q)$, and $\tilde{D} := \pi^*D - 2l$ in (i) (resp. $\tilde{D} := \pi^*D - 2(l + m)$ in (ii)).

Since we have $H^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-\tilde{D})) \neq 0$, we have a nonsplit sequence on \tilde{X} :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{D}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since E satisfies the inequality $c_1(E)^2 > 4c_2(E)$, by Theorem 1 in [SB], there exists a Frobenius map $F^e: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ and an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(p^e \tilde{D} - \Delta_1) \rightarrow \tilde{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\Delta_1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Here $\tilde{E} := (F^e)^*E$, Z is a 0 cycle and Δ_1 is some effective divisor such that $p^e \tilde{D} - 2\Delta_1$ is contained in the positive cone of \tilde{X} . We write $\Delta_1 = \pi^* \Delta + rl$ in (i) (resp: $\Delta_1 = \pi^* \Delta + rl + sm$ in (ii)) where r, s are some integers and Δ is an effective divisor on X .

If $p^e = 1$, Reider's argument shows Δ satisfies the properties stated in the theorem (cf. [R]). We shall show that the case $p^e \geq p$ never occurs under our assumption.

Suppose $p^e \geq p$. Then there is a purely inseparable covering $\rho: Y \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ of $\deg \rho = p^e$ and we have the following estimates (cf. [SB]).

LEMMA 3. Assume $d := D^2 \geq 5$ in (i) (resp. $d \geq 10$ in (ii)). Then

$$D \cdot \Delta \leq \left(\frac{d}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{d^2}{4} - d} \right) p^e$$

and

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_Y) \geq \left(\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + \frac{p^e - 1}{12} [(2p^e - 1)\tilde{D}^2 - 3\tilde{D} \cdot K_{\tilde{X}}] \right) p^e.$$

Since both D and $D - K$ are nef and big, the Hodge index theorem yields $K \cdot D \leq d - 3$ and $K^2 \leq d - 5$ in (i) (resp. $K^2 \leq d - 6$ in (ii)). By these estimates, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_Y \cdot \rho^* \pi^* D &= 2(p^e - 1)D \cdot \Delta + p^e(K \cdot D - (p^e - 1)D^2) \\ &\leq 2(p^e - 1) \left(\frac{d}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{d^2}{4} - d} \right) + p^e(d - 3 - (p^e - 1)d) \\ &= (d - 3 - (p^e - 1)\sqrt{d^2 - 4d})p^e. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain $\omega \cdot \rho^* \pi^* D < 0$. Therefore Y is ruled and hence X is uniruled. Let $q(X)$ be the irregularity of X . Then by Lemma 34 in [SB], we have $\chi(\mathcal{O}_Y) \leq 1 - q(X) \leq 1$. Assume we are in the case

(i). Then Corollary 30 and Proposition 35 in the same paper yield

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\mathcal{O}_Y) &\geq \left(\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + \frac{p^e - 1}{12} [(2p^e - 1)\tilde{D}^2 - 3\tilde{D} \cdot K_{\tilde{X}}] \right) p^e \\ &\geq \left(-\frac{K^2}{10} + \frac{p^e - 1}{12} [(2p^e - 1)(D^2 - 4) - 3(D \cdot K + 2)] \right) p^e \\ &\geq \left(-\frac{d - 5}{10} + \frac{p^e - 1}{12} [(2p^e - 1)(d - 4) - 3(d - 3) - 6] \right) p^e. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly in the case (ii) we obtain

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_Y) \geq \left(-\frac{d - 6}{10} + \frac{p^e - 1}{12} [(2p^e - 1)(d - 8) - 3(d - 3) - 12] \right) p^e.$$

However, under the assumption that one of (1) to (4) (resp. (1') to (5')) holds, we have $\chi(\mathcal{O}_Y) > 1$. This is a contradiction and hence the theorem is proved. \square

REFERENCES

- [E] T. Ekedahl, *Canonical models of surfaces of general type in positive characteristic*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., **67** (1988), 97–144.
- [R] I. Reider, *Vector bundles of rank 2 and linear systems on algebraic surfaces*, Ann. of Math., **127** (1988), 309–316.
- [SB] N. I. Shepherd-Barron, *Unstable vector bundles and linear systems on surfaces in characteristic p* , preprint.

Received March 18, 1991.

TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY
TOKYO 192-03, JAPAN