# Analytic torsions for hyperbolic manifolds with cusps

#### By Jinsung PARK

## School of Mathematics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, 207-43 Cheongnyangni 2-dong, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 130-722, Republic of Korea

(Communicated by Shigefumi MORI, M.J.A., Oct. 12, 2007)

Abstract: In this paper, we announce a result on the relation of the analytic torsion with the Laurent expansion of the Ruelle zeta function at s = 0 for odd dimensional noncompact hyperbolic manifolds with cusps.

Key words: Analytic torsion; Ruelle zeta function; hyperbolic manifold with cusps.

**1.** Introduction. In his seminal paper [1], Fried derived a formula relating the analytic torsion to the Laurent expansion of the Ruelle zeta function at s = 0 for compact hyperbolic manifold of odd dimension. The corresponding formula for the eta invariant and the value of the odd type Selberg zeta function at s = 0 had been proved by Millson in [6]. Recently in [7] the formula of Millson has been generalized to the case of noncompact hyperbolic manifolds with cusps. Here the eta invariant is defined by certain regularized trace of odd heat operator, which is essentially the same as the b-trace of Melrose introduced in [5]. We also applied the result for the weighted unipotent orbital integral in [4] to compute the contribution from cusps. Hence it is a natural question whether a generalization of the formula of Fried for analytic torsion could be obtained employing these methods. In this paper, we announce such a generalization of the formula of Fried, the relationship of the analytic torsion with the Laurent expansion of the Ruelle zeta function at s = 0 for noncompact hyperbolic manifolds with cusps. First we follow the idea of Melrose in [5] to define the analytic torsion for this noncompact case, which is explained in Section 3. Recently in [2,3] it is also shown that the Ruelle zeta function has the meromorphic extension over **C** for odd dimensional hyperbolic manifolds with cusps. This is briefly reviewed in Proposition 4.1. The detailed proofs of results announced in this paper will be given in [8].

2. Laplacians over hyperbolic manifolds with cusps. Let us recall that a (2n + 1)-dimensional noncompact hyperbolic manifold with cusps is given by

$$X_{\Gamma} = \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{SO}_0(2n+1,1) / \mathrm{SO}(2n+1)$$

where  $\Gamma$  is a cofinite discrete subgroup of  $G = SO_0(2n+1,1)$  and K = SO(2n+1) is a maximal compact subgroup of  $SO_0(2n+1,1)$ . Throughout this paper, we assume that the group generated by the eigenvalues of  $\Gamma$  contains no root of unity. Its consequences are that  $\Gamma$  is torsion free and

(1) 
$$\Gamma \cap P = \Gamma \cap N$$

for a  $\Gamma$ -cuspidal minimal parabolic subgroup Pand a Langlands decomposition P = MAN where  $M = \mathrm{SO}(2n) \subset K = \mathrm{SO}(2n+1).$ 

Let  $(\rho, V_{\rho})$  be a finite-dimensional unitary representation of  $\pi_1(X_{\Gamma}) = \Gamma$ . The vector bundle  $E_{\rho}^k$  over  $X_{\Gamma}$  of k-forms twisted by  $\rho$  is given by

$$E^k_\rho = V_\rho \times_\rho G \times_{\tau_k} V_{\tau_k}$$

where  $\tau_k$  denotes the fundamental representation of  $K = \mathrm{SO}(2n+1)$  acting on  $V_{\tau_k} = \wedge^k \mathbf{R}^{2n+1} \otimes \mathbf{C}$ . Then the Laplacian acting on  $C_0^{\infty}(X_{\Gamma}, E_{\rho}^k)$  has the unique self adjoint extension to  $L^2(X_{\Gamma}, E_{\rho}^k)$  denoted by  $\Delta_k$ . In general, the operator  $\Delta_k$  on  $L^2(X_{\Gamma}, E_{\rho}^k)$  has the discrete spectrum  $\sigma_p(\Delta_k)$  as well as the continuous spectrum  $[(n-k)^2, \infty)$ . The continuous spectrum of  $\Delta_k$  is mainly controlled by the scattering operators  $C_{\rho}^k(\sigma_k, s)$  and  $C_{\rho}^k(\sigma_{k-1}, s)$  for purely imaginary numbers  $s = i\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ . Here  $\sigma_k$  denotes the fundamental representation of  $M = \mathrm{SO}(2n)$  acting on  $\wedge^k \mathbf{R}^{2n} \otimes \mathbf{C}$  for  $k = 0, 1, \ldots, (n-1)$  and  $\sigma_n = \sigma_+ \oplus$  $\sigma_-$  with the half spin representations  $\sigma_+, \sigma_-$  acting on  $\wedge^n \mathbf{R}^{2n} \otimes \mathbf{C}$ . These scattering operators have the matrix forms of size  $d_c(\rho)$  where

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 58J52; Secondary 11M36.

J. PARK

$$d_c(\rho) = \sum_{j=1}^{\kappa} d_j(\rho).$$

Here  $\kappa$  denotes the number of cusps and  $d_j(\rho)$ denotes the dimension of the maximal subspace of  $V_{\rho}$  over which  $\rho|_{P_j \cap \Gamma}$  acts trivially for  $P_j \in \mathfrak{P}$  where  $\mathfrak{P} := \{P_1, \ldots, P_{\kappa}\}$  denotes the set of representatives of  $\Gamma$ -conjugacy classes of  $\Gamma$ -cuspidal minimal parabolic subgroups corresponding to the cusps of  $X_{\Gamma}$ . The scattering operator  $C_{\rho}^n(\sigma_n, s)$  has the size  $2 d_c(\rho)$  since  $\sigma_{\pm}$  is un-ramified.

3. Analytic torsions for hyperbolic manifolds with cusps. Now let us recall that the heat operator  $e^{-t\Delta_k}$  is not of trace class for noncompact hyperbolic manifold with cusps, so that we could not take the usual trace of it. To overcome this, we follow the idea of Melrose in [5] as follows. First let us observe that each cusp corresponds to a  $\Gamma$ cuspidal parabolic subgroup P = MAN and each cuspidal end is modelled on  $A \cdot \Gamma_N \setminus N$  where  $\Gamma_N :=$  $\Gamma \cap P = \Gamma \cap N$  by (1). The standard Haar measure on G (for instance given in [9]) induces naturally a metric over  $X_{\Gamma}$ , which has the form  $du^2 + e^{-2u}dn^2$ over a cuspidal end where  $dn^2$  is the induced metric over  $\Gamma_N \setminus N$ . For sufficiently large  $a \gg 0$ , we put  $X_{\Gamma}^a$ to be the complement in  $X_{\Gamma}$  of the cuspidal ends whose u-coordinates are larger than a. Now, by the Maass-Selberg relation, we could remove the diverging term of the expansion of

$$\int_{X_{\Gamma}^{a}} \operatorname{tr} e^{-t\Delta_{k}}(x,x) \, dx \qquad ext{as} \quad a o \infty$$

and define the regularized trace  $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbf{r}}(\cdot)$  of  $e^{-t\Delta_k}$  to be the remaining finite part of it. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbf{r}}(e^{-t\Delta_{k}}) &= \sum_{\lambda_{j}\in\sigma_{p}(\Delta_{k})} e^{-t\lambda_{j}} \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=k,k-1} \left( \frac{d(\sigma_{\ell})}{4} e^{-td_{\ell}^{2}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{\rho}^{k}(\sigma_{\ell},0)\right) \\ &- \frac{d(\sigma_{\ell})}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t(\lambda^{2}+d_{\ell}^{2})} \operatorname{Tr}\left(C^{k}(\ell,\lambda)\right) d\lambda \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$

where  $d_{\ell} = (n - \ell), \ d(\sigma_{\ell}) = \dim(V_{\sigma_{\ell}})$  and

$$C^{k}(\ell,\lambda) = C^{k}_{\rho}(\sigma_{\ell},s)^{-1} \frac{d}{ds} C^{k}_{\rho}(\sigma_{\ell},s)\Big|_{s=i\lambda}$$

Actually this trace is the same as the geometric side of the Selberg trace formula applied to the test function given by the lifted heat kernel of  $\Delta_k$  to G.

Now we define the spectral zeta function of  $\Delta_k$  by

$$\zeta_{\Delta_k}(s) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \left( \int_0^1 + \int_1^\infty \right) t^{s-1} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbf{r}} \left( e^{-t\Delta_k} - P_k \right) dt$$

where  $P_k$  denotes the orthogonal projection onto  $\ker_{L^2}(\Delta_k)$ . Here the small, large time integrals  $\int_0^1$ ,  $\int_1^\infty$  are defined for  $\Re(s) \gg 0$  and  $\Re(s) \ll 0$  respectively. The first result in this paper is

**Theorem 3.1.** For  $0 \le k \le (2n+1)$ , the spectral zeta function  $\zeta_{\Delta_k}(s)$  has the meromorphic extension over **C** and is regular at s = 0.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is an application of the Selberg trace formula in [10] with complete computation of the weighted unipotent orbital integral applied to the test function given by the lifted heat kernel of  $\Delta_k$  to G. The detail of proof will be given in [8].

By Theorem 3.1, we can define the regularized determinant of  $\Delta_k$  by

$$\det_{\zeta} \Delta_k := \exp\left(-\frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=0} \zeta_{\Delta_k}(s)\right)$$

and the analytic torsion  $T(X_{\Gamma}, \rho)$  by

$$T(X_{\Gamma},\rho) := \frac{\det_{\zeta} \Delta_{1}}{\left(\det_{\zeta} \Delta_{2}\right)^{2}} \cdot \frac{\left(\det_{\zeta} \Delta_{3}\right)^{3}}{\left(\det_{\zeta} \Delta_{4}\right)^{4}} \cdots \\ \cdots \frac{\left(\det_{\zeta} \Delta_{2n-1}\right)^{2n-1}}{\left(\det_{\zeta} \Delta_{2n}\right)^{2n}} \cdot \left(\det_{\zeta} \Delta_{2n+1}\right)^{2n+1}.$$

Note that our definition of analytic torsion is reduced to the square of the one given in [1] when  $X_{\Gamma}$  is compact.

4. Expansion of Ruelle zeta function at s = 0. Let us recall that the Ruelle zeta function  $R_{\rho}(s)$  is defined by

$$R_{\rho}(s) := \prod_{\gamma} \det \left( \mathrm{Id} - \rho(\gamma) e^{-s \, l_{\gamma}} \right)^{-1}$$

for  $\Re(s) > 2n$ . Here  $\gamma$  runs over the  $\Gamma$ -conjugacy classes of the primitive hyperbolic elements in  $\Gamma$ , the determinant denoted by det is taken over the representation space  $V_{\rho}$  of  $\rho$ , and  $l_{\gamma}$  denotes the length of the prime geodesic determined by  $\gamma$ . Note that the above definition of the Ruelle zeta function is the inverse of the one in [1]. In [2,3], the following fundamental properties of  $R_{\rho}(s)$  are proved,

### Proposition 4.1.

(a) The Ruelle zeta function  $R_{\rho}(s)$  defined a priori

for  $\Re(s) > 2n$  has the meromorphic extension over **C**.

(b) Let N<sub>0</sub> denote the order of the singularity of R<sub>ρ</sub>(s) at s = 0 such that lim<sub>s→0</sub> s<sup>N<sub>0</sub></sup>R<sub>ρ</sub>(s) is a nonzero finite value. Then the integer N<sub>0</sub> is given by

$$2\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{k} (n+1-k)\beta_{k} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} d(\sigma_{k})b_{k} + d_{c}(\rho)\sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k} k d(\sigma_{k})$$

where  $\beta_k := \dim \ker_{L^2}(\Delta_k)$  and  $b_k$  is the order of singularity of  $\det C^k_{\rho}(\sigma_k, s)$  at s = n - k.

By Proposition 4.1, we can see that the behavior of the Ruelle zeta function  $R_{\rho}(s)$  at s = 0 is related to the spectral data of the Laplacians  $\Delta_k$ 's. Hence it is a natural question whether the nonzero constant  $\lim_{s\to 0} s^{N_0} R_{\rho}(s)$  may have a relationship with certain spectral data. It turned out that this is given by the analytic torsion (up to a constant) for compact case, which is the formula of Fried in [1]. The second result in this paper states that the essentially same formula holds for hyperbolic manifolds with cusps when we use the analytic torsion defined in Section 3. To state this, we need to introduce some notation. Let us recall that  $\det C^k_{\rho}(\sigma_k, s)$  is a meromorphic function over **C** and  $C^k_{\rho}(\sigma_k, s)$  satisfies the following functional equation

$$C^k_{\rho}(\sigma_k, s)C^k_{\rho}(\sigma_k, -s) = \mathrm{Id}.$$

Hence the order of the singularity of  $\det C^k_{\rho}(\sigma_k, s)$ at s = -(n-k) is  $-b_k$ . Now we put

$$egin{aligned} S_{
ho}(k) &= \lim_{s o -(n-k)} s^{-b_k} \operatorname{det} C^k_{
ho}(\sigma_k,s) \ &= (-1)^{b_k} \lim_{s o (n-k)} \left( s^{b_k} \operatorname{det} C^k_{
ho}(\sigma_k,s) 
ight)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 4.2.** The following equality holds up to sign,

$$\lim_{s \to 0} (s^{N_0} R_{\rho}(s))^{-1} = C_1 \cdot C_2^{d_c(\rho)} \cdot C_3 \cdot T(X_{\Gamma}, \rho).$$

Here

$$C_{1} := \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \left( -4(n-k)^{2} \right)^{(-1)^{k} \alpha_{k}}$$
$$C_{2} := \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^{(-1)^{k+1} d(n,k)} \cdot (n-k)^{(-1)^{k} (d(n,k)+d(\sigma_{k}))}$$

where

and

$$\alpha_k := \beta_k - \beta_{k-1} + \beta_{k-2} - \ldots \pm \beta_0,$$
$$d(n,k) := \binom{2n}{k} - \binom{2n-1}{k}$$

$$C_3 := \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} S_{
ho}(k)^{(-1)^{k+1} d(\sigma_k)}$$

When  $X_{\Gamma}$  is compact, the equality in Theorem 4.2 is reduced to the formula of Fried in [1]. Actually we can see that the same formula holds under a more general condition that  $d_c(\rho) = 0$ . In fact, if  $d_c(\rho) = 0$ , then  $C_2^{d_c(\rho)} = C_3 = 1$  and  $N_0$  is given only by  $\beta_k$ 's. Moreover the sign ambiguity in Theorem 4.2 disappear since this comes from the scattering operators. The proof of Theorem 4.2 is mainly a complete analysis of the geometric side of the Selberg trace formula in [10], in particular, of the weighted unipotent orbital integral. The detail of proof will be given in [8].

#### References

- D. Fried, Analytic torsion and closed geodesics on hyperbolic manifolds, Invent. Math. 84 (1986), no. 3, 523–540.
- [2] Y. Gon and J. Park, Ruelle zeta function for odd dimensional hyperbolic manifolds with cusps. (Preprint).
- [3] Y. Gon and J. Park, The zeta functions of Ruelle and Selberg for hyperbolic manifolds with cusps. (in preparation).
- W. Hoffmann, The Fourier transforms of weighted orbital integrals on semisimple groups of real rank one, J. Reiner Angew. Math. 489 (1997), 53-97.
- [5] R. B. Melrose, *The Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem*, Research Notes in Mathematics, 4. A K Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 1993.
- [6] J. Millson, Closed geodesics and the  $\eta$ -invariant, Ann. of Math.(2) **108** (1978), no. 1, 1–39.
- J. Park, Eta invariants and regularized determinants for odd dimensional hyperbolic manifolds with cusps, Amer. J. Math. **127** (2005), no. 3, 493–534.
- [8] J. Park, Analytic torsion and closed geodesics for hyperbolic manifolds with cusps. (in preparation).
- [9] M. Wakayama, Zeta functions of Selberg's type associated with homogeneous vector bundles, Hiroshima Math. J. 15 (1985), no. 2, 235–295.
- [10] G. Warner, Selberg's trace formula for nonuniform lattices: the *R*-rank one case, in *Studies in* algebra and number theory, 1–142, Academic Press, New York.

0

No. 8]