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§ 1. Introduction and the theorem.
The mean ergodic theorem of J. von Neumann reads as follows:
Let $T$ be a unitary operator in the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{F}$. Then, for any $x \in \mathfrak{G}$, the sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}=\frac{T \cdot x+T^{2} \cdot x+\cdots+T^{n} \cdot x}{n} \quad(n=1,2, \ldots) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges strongly to a point $\in \mathfrak{S}$.
Neumann's proof is based upon Stone's theorem concerning the oneparameter group of unitary operators in $\mathfrak{g}$. We find F. Riesz's elementary proof in E. Hopf's book. ${ }^{1)}$

Recently C. Visser ${ }^{2)}$ gave the following theorem:
Let a linear operator $T$ in $\mathfrak{G}$ satisfy the condition; $\left\|T^{n}\right\| \leqq a$ constant for $n=1,2, \ldots$. Then, for any $x \in \mathfrak{F}$, the sequence (1) converges weakly to a point $\in \mathfrak{G}$.

He also showed that the mean ergodic theorem is easily obtained from this theorem. Thus we have another elementary proof of the mean ergodic theorem.

In the present note I intend to give a more general
Theorem. Let a linear operator $T$ in the (real or complex) Banach space $\mathfrak{B}$ satisfy the two conditions:
(2) $\left\|T^{n}\right\| \leqq a$ constant $C$ for $n=1,2, \ldots$,
(3) $\left\{\begin{array}{c}T \text { is weakly completely continuous, viz. } T \text { maps the unit sphere } \\ \mathfrak{B}^{3}\end{array}\right.$

Then, for any $x \in \mathfrak{B}$, the sequence (1) converges strongly to a point $\bar{x} \in \mathfrak{B}$. We have $T \cdot \bar{x}=\bar{x}$.

As the existence of the inverse $T^{-1}$ of $T$ is not assumed, this theorem may be applied in the problem of the temporally homogeneous stochastic process. ${ }^{4}$ ) The applications will be published elsewhere.

I here express my hearty thanks to S. Kakutani who kindly communicated me that Visser's weak convergence theorem can be extended to $\mathfrak{B}{ }^{5}$ )

[^0]§2. The proof of the theorem.
Let $x$ be any point of $\mathfrak{B}$. We have, by (2), $\left\|\frac{T \cdot x+T^{2} \cdot x+\cdots+T^{n} \cdot x}{n}\right\|$ $\leq C\|x\|$ for $n=1,2, \ldots$. Hence the sequence (1) is weakly compact in $\mathfrak{B}$ by (3). Thus there exist a partial sequence $\left\{x_{n^{\prime}}\right\}$ and an element $\bar{x} \in \mathfrak{B}$ such that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty} f\left(x_{n^{\prime}}\right)=f(\bar{x}) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

for any linear functional $f$ defined in $\mathfrak{B}$. We have
by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \cdot \bar{x}=\bar{x} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left\|T \cdot x_{n^{\prime}}-x_{n^{\prime}}\right\|=\left\|\frac{T^{n^{\prime}+1} \cdot x-T \cdot x}{n^{\prime}}\right\| \leqq \frac{2 C\|x\|}{n^{\prime}}
$$

We put $x=\bar{x}+(x-\bar{x})$. By (5) we have $T^{n} \cdot \bar{x}=\bar{x}$ and hence we have $x_{n}=\bar{x}+z_{n}$ where $z_{n}=\frac{T+T^{2}+\cdots+T^{n}}{n}(x-\bar{x})$. Hence it is sufficient to prove that $z_{n}$ converges strongly to zero.

Consider the linear closed subspace $\mathfrak{B}_{0}$ of $\mathfrak{B}$ spanned by the elements of the from $(y-T \cdot y), y \in \mathfrak{B}$. Then, for any $w \in \mathfrak{B}_{0}$, $\frac{T+T^{2}+\cdots+T^{n}}{n} w$ converges strongly to zero. The proof runs as follows. If $w$ is of the form $(y-T \cdot y)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{T+T^{2}+\cdots+T^{n}}{n} w\right\|=\left\|\frac{T \cdot y-T^{n+1} \cdot y}{n}\right\| \leqq \frac{2 C}{n}\|y\| \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which converges strongly to zero. Let now $w$ be not of the form $(y-T \cdot y)$, then for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $y \in \mathfrak{B}$ such that $\|w-(y-T \cdot y)\|$ $\leqq \varepsilon$. Thus, by (1),

$$
\left\|\frac{T+T^{2}+\cdots+T^{n}}{n} w-\frac{T+T^{2}+\cdots+T^{n}}{n}(y-T \cdot y)\right\| \leqq C \varepsilon
$$

Therefore, at any $w \in \mathfrak{B}_{0}, \frac{T+T^{2}+\cdots+T^{n}}{n} w$ converges strongly to zero.
Next assume that $(x-\bar{x})$ does not belong to $\mathfrak{B}_{0}$. Then by S . Banach's theorem, ${ }^{1)}$ there exists a linear functional $f_{0}$ such that

$$
f_{0}(x-\bar{x})=1, \quad f_{0}(z)=0 \text { for any } z \in \mathfrak{B}_{0}
$$

As $\quad\left(T^{m} \cdot x-T^{m+1} \cdot x\right) \in \mathfrak{B}_{0} \quad$ we have $f_{0}\left(T^{m} \cdot x\right)=f_{0}\left(T^{m+1} \cdot x\right)$. Hence $f_{0}\left(\frac{T+T^{2}+\cdots+T^{n}}{n} x\right)=f_{0}(x)$ for $n=1,2, \ldots$ Therefore, by $(4), f_{0}(\bar{x})=f_{0}(x)$ contrary to $f_{0}(x-\bar{x})=1$.

Thus $(x-\bar{x}) \in \mathfrak{F}_{0}$ and hence $x_{n}$ converges strongly to $\bar{x}$. Q. E. D.
Remark 1. The above proof shows that

[^1]$$
\left\|\frac{T+T^{2}+\cdots+T^{n}}{n} x-\bar{x}\right\| \leqq \frac{d(x)}{n} \quad(n=1,2, \ldots)
$$
with a constant $d(x)$, if the image of $\mathfrak{B}$ by $(E-T)^{1}$ is closed.
Remark 2. The correspondence $x \rightarrow \bar{x}$ is given by a linear operator $T_{1}: \bar{x}=T_{1} \cdot x$. By (5) we have $T T_{1}=T_{1}$. Thus $T^{n} T_{1}=T_{1}$ for any $n$ and hence
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}^{2}=T_{1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

From the inequalities $\left\|\frac{T+T^{2}+\cdots+T^{n}}{n} x-\frac{T+T^{2}+\cdots+T^{n}}{n} T \cdot x\right\| \leqq \frac{2 C}{n}\|x\|$ we see that $T_{1} T=T_{1}$. Thus
(8)

$$
T T_{1}=T_{1} T=T_{1}
$$

Hence $T\left(T_{1} \cdot x\right)=T_{1} \cdot x$ for any $x \in \mathfrak{B}$. If $T \cdot y=y$, we have $T^{n} \cdot y=y$ for any $n$ and thus $T_{1} \cdot y=y$.

Therefore $T_{1}$ is the projection operator which maps $\mathfrak{B}$ on the proper subspace (Eigenraum) of $T$ belonging to the proper value 1.

By applying the theorem to $(T / \lambda),|\lambda|=1$, we see that the strong limit $T_{\lambda}$ of $T_{\lambda, n}=\frac{1}{n}\left\{\frac{T}{\lambda}+\frac{T^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}+\cdots+\frac{T^{n}}{\lambda^{n}}\right\}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{\lambda}^{2}=T_{\lambda}, \quad T_{\lambda} T=T T_{\lambda}=\lambda T_{\lambda}, \quad T_{\lambda} T_{\mu}=0  \tag{9}\\
\quad \text { for } \quad \lambda \neq \mu \text { and } \quad|\lambda|=|\mu|=1
\end{gather*}
$$

1) $E$ is the identity operator in $\mathfrak{B}$.
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