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We will prove the following extension of Löwner's theorem.
Theorem. Let $w=f(z)$ be regular and $|f(z)|<1$ in $|z|<1$, $f(0)=0$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)=e^{i \psi}$ exists, when $\theta$ belongs to $a$ set $E$ and the $\psi$-set on $|w|=1$ be denoted by $E^{*}$. Then $E$ and $E^{*}$ are measurable and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m E \leqq m E^{*} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $0<m E<2 \pi$, then $m E<m E^{*}$.
Mr. $\dot{\mathrm{Y}}$. Kawakami ${ }^{1)}$ proved (1) under the condition that $f(z)$ is schlicht in $|z|<1$ and Messrs. S. Kametani and T. Ugaheri ${ }^{2)}$ proved that $m_{i} E \leqq m_{e} E^{*}$, where $m_{i} E$ and $m_{e} E$ denote the inner and outer measure of $E$.

Proof. Since $f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) \quad(0<r<1)$ is continuous in $0 \leqq \theta \leqq 2 \pi$, by H. Hahn's theorem ${ }^{3)}$, the set $e$, where $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)=\rho(\theta) e^{i \psi(\theta)}$ exists, is $F_{\sigma \grave{\delta}}$, so that $\rho(\theta)$ and $\psi(\theta)$ are Borel functions defined on a Borel set $e$ and hence the sub-set $E$ of $e$, where $\rho(\theta)=1$, is a Borel set. Consider on the $(\theta, \psi)$-plane a set $M$, whose points are $(\theta, \psi(\theta))$, where $\theta \in E$. We will prove that $M$ is a Borel set on the $(\theta, \psi)$-plane.

Let $0=a_{0}<a_{1}<\cdots<a_{n-1}<a_{n}=2 \pi, a_{k}-a_{k-1}=\frac{1}{n}(1 \leqq k \leqq n)$ and $E_{k}=E\left(a_{k-1} \leqq \psi(\theta) \leqq a_{k}\right)$,
$\underline{M}_{k}=$ the set of points $(\theta, \psi)$, where $\theta \in E_{k}, \quad 0 \leqq \psi<a_{k-1}$,

$$
\underline{M}(n)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \underline{M}_{k},
$$

and

$$
\bar{M}_{k}=\text { the set of points }(\theta, \psi), \text { where } \theta \in E_{k}, \quad 0 \leqq \psi \leqq a_{k}
$$

$$
\bar{M}(n)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \bar{M}_{k}
$$

Then for $n \rightarrow \infty, \underline{M}(n) \rightarrow \underline{M}, \bar{M}(n) \rightarrow \bar{M}$, so that $M=\bar{M}-\underline{M}$. Since $\bar{M}(n), \underline{M}(n)$ are Borel sets, $\bar{M}$ and $\underline{M}$ and hence $M$ is a Borel set. $E^{*}$, being the projection of $M$ on the $\psi$-axis, is an analytic set, so that is measurable.

[^0]From this we can proceed similarly as Kametani-Ugaheri's proof. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(z)=u\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{E} \frac{1-r^{2}}{1-2 r \cos (\varphi-\theta)+r^{2}} d \varphi \\
& U(w)=U\left(\rho e^{i \psi}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{E_{*}} \frac{1-\rho^{2}}{1-2 \rho \cos (\varphi-\psi)+\rho^{2}} d \varphi
\end{aligned}
$$

$v(z)=U(f(z))-u(z)$. Let $O$ be an open set which contains $E^{*}, U_{1}(w)$ be the Poisson integral formed with $O$ instead of $E^{*}$ and $v_{1}(z)=U_{1}(f(z))-u(z)$, then $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} v_{1}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)=0$ almost everywhere on $E, \geqq 0$ almost enerywhere on $E^{\prime}$ (the complementary set of $E$ ), so that $v_{1}(z) \geq 0$ in $|z|<1$. Making $m O \rightarrow m E^{*}$, we have $v(z) \geqq 0$ in $|z|<1$. Hence $v(0)=m E^{*}-m E \geqq 0$, or $m E^{*} \geqq m E$. If $0<m E<2 \pi$, then $0<m E \leqq m E^{*}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(w)>0 \text { in }|w|<1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

if in this case, $m E=m E^{*}$, then $v(0)=0$, so that $v(z) \equiv 0$, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(z) \equiv U(f(z)) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $m E^{\prime}>0$, by Fatou's theorem, there exists $\theta_{0}$ in $E^{\prime}$, such that $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} u\left(r e^{i \theta_{0}}\right)=0, \lim _{r \rightarrow 1} f\left(r e^{i \theta_{0}}\right)=w_{0} \quad\left(\left|w_{0}\right|<1\right)$. Hence we have from (3), $U\left(w_{0}\right)=0$, which contradicts (2). Hence if $0<m E<2 \pi$, then $m E<m E^{*}$.
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