## 134. On the Structure of the Associated Modular

By Tsuyoshi ANDÔ

Research Institute of Applied Electricity, Hokkaidô University (Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Nov. 12, 1958)

Let R be a modulared semi-ordered linear space<sup>1)</sup> with a modular m. The structure of the conjugate modular  $\overline{m}$  on the conjugate space  $\overline{R}^m$  is investigated in detail.<sup>2)</sup> On the other hand, it is known<sup>3)</sup> that if the norm on R by m is not continuous,  $\overline{R}^m$  constitutes a proper normal manifold of the associated space  $\widetilde{R}^m$ . In this short note, we shall determine completely the structure of the associated modular  $\widetilde{m}$  on the orthogonal complement  $(\overline{R}^m)^1$  of  $\overline{R}^m$  in  $\widetilde{R}^m$ .

**Theorem.** The associated modular  $\tilde{m}$  is linear<sup>4</sup> on  $(\overline{R}^m)^{\downarrow}$ ; more precisely it is given by the formula:

$$\widetilde{m}(\widetilde{a}) = \sup_{m(x) < \infty} \mid \widetilde{a}(x) \mid \qquad for \ all \ \ \widetilde{a} \in (\overline{R}^m)^{\perp}.$$

Proof. There exists<sup>5)</sup> a normal manifold N of R such that m is semi-simple on N and is singular on  $N^{\perp}$ . It is known that N is semiregular<sup>6)</sup> and the associated modular  $\tilde{m}$  is linear on  $[N^{\perp}]\tilde{R}^{m}$ . Thus to prove Theorem we may assume that R is semi-regular.

Let  $0 \leq \tilde{a} \in (\overline{R}^m)^{\perp}$  and  $0 \leq a \in R$   $m(a) < \infty$ . Put  $F = \{x; 0 \leq x \leq a \quad \tilde{a}(x) = 0\}$ . Then it is a lattice manifold. Putting  $e = \bigcup_{x \in F} x$ , we shall show first that a = e. For this purpose, it is sufficient to prove that

 $\overline{x}(a-e) \leq \varepsilon$  for any  $0 \leq \overline{x} \in \overline{R}^m$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

because R is semi-regular by assumption. Since  $\tilde{a} \frown \bar{x} = 0$ , there exist<sup>7)</sup>  $\{b_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \subset R$  such that

 $0 \leq b_{\nu} \leq a \text{ and } \overline{x}(a-b_{\nu}) + \widetilde{a}(b_{\nu}) \leq \varepsilon/2^{\nu} \quad (\nu=1, 2, \cdots).$ Putting  $b = \bigcap_{\nu=1}^{\infty} b_{\nu}$ , we have  $0 \leq \widetilde{a}(b) \leq \inf_{\nu=1,2,\dots} \widetilde{a}(b_{\nu}) = 0$ , namely  $b \in F$ . Further universal continuity of  $\overline{x}$  implies  $\overline{x}(a-b) = \overline{x}(\bigcup_{\nu=1}^{\infty} (a-b_{\nu}))$  $\leq \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \overline{x}(a-b_{\nu}) \leq \varepsilon.$  From this and the definition of e it follows that

2) Ibid., §§ 41-46.

- 3) Ibid., Theorem 31.10.
- 4)  $\widetilde{m}(\xi \widetilde{a}) = \xi \widetilde{m}(\widetilde{a})$  for all  $\xi \ge 0$ .

6) Semi-regularity means that  $\overline{x}(a)=0$  (for all  $\overline{x}\in\overline{R}^m$ ) implies a=0.

<sup>1)</sup> We use the definitions, terminology, and notations in H. Nakano: Modulared Semi-ordered Linear Spaces, Maruzen, Tokyo (1950).

<sup>5)</sup> Ibid., §35.

<sup>7)</sup> Ibid., §18.

T. Andô

588

$$\overline{x}(a-e) \leq \overline{x}(a-b) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Thus we have proved a=e.

Since  $\sup_{x\in F} m(x) = m(a)$  by semi-continuity<sup>8)</sup> of m, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $c \in \mathbf{F}$  such that

Since 
$$m(a-c) \leq m(a) - m(c) \leq \varepsilon$$
.  
 $\widetilde{m}(\widetilde{a}) = \sup_{m(x) < \infty} \{\widetilde{a}(x) - m(x)\}$ 

by the definition of the associated modular, we obtain

$$\widetilde{a}(a) = \widetilde{a}(a-c) + \widetilde{a}(c) = \widetilde{a}(a-c)$$

$$\leq \widetilde{a}(a-c) - m(a-c) + \varepsilon \leq \widetilde{m}(\widetilde{a}) + \varepsilon.$$

$$m(a) < \infty \text{ and } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ are arbitrary, we can$$

Since  $0 \leq a \in R$   $m(a) < \infty$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  are arbitrary, we can conclude  $\sup_{m(x) < \infty} | \widetilde{a}(x) | \leq \widetilde{m}(\widetilde{a}).$ 

Now the proof is complete, because the converse inequality is obviously valid.

**Corollary.** The first norm and the second one by the associated modular  $\tilde{m}$  coincide on  $(\overline{R}^n)^1$ , and

 $\|\tilde{a}+\tilde{b}\|=\|\tilde{a}\|+\|\tilde{b}\|$  for all  $0\leq \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}\in (\bar{R}^m)^1$ .

**Remark.** The assertion of Theorem is in essence a reformulation of reflexivity of a semi-continuous modular.<sup>9)</sup>

<sup>8)</sup> Semi-continuity means that  $0 \le x_{\lambda} \uparrow_{\lambda \in A} x$  implies  $\sup m(x_{\lambda}) = m(x)$ .

<sup>9)</sup> See H. Nakano: Modulars on semi-ordered linear spaces I, Jour. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ., ser. I, **13**, 41-52 (1956).